# MIDDLE ARABIC IN A COPTIC-ARABIC MANUSCRIPT 

## IN THE

# "RÖHSSKA KONSTSLÖJDMUSÉET", GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN 

BY<br>JAN RETSÖ

JAN RETSÖ (Göteborg)

MIDDLE ARABIC IN A COPTIC-ARABIC MANUSCRIPT IN THE
"RÖHSSKA KONSTSLÖJDMUSÉET", GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

In 1927 the "Röhsska Konstslöjdmuséet" in Göteborg received a Coptic-Arabic manuscript as a gift from the late Sigfrid Lindstam who probably had bought it in Istanbul in 1911 or $1912 .^{1}$ The manuscript has the designation RKM 32$27 .{ }^{2}$

The manuscript contains the Coptic text of the baptismal ritual of the Coptic Church with an Arabic translation. ${ }^{3}$ It consists of 103 folios. It is written by two hands. Hand $A$ uses a neat and easily legible nasx $\bar{\imath}$ script while hand $B$ is much cruder and clumsier. Hand $B$ has written folios 18, 4144, 63 and 76-83 which obviously replace the corresponding folios belonging to hand A which have been worn out. The manuscript, which is bound in a codex, shows traces of at least two mendings where the folios by hand $A$ have been cut out and glued to new strips of paper in the inner margins. The manuscript is rather worn especially in the beginning and it is also stained by water in the folios containing the texts for the consecration of the baptismal funt.

Apart from the priestly readings and prayers belonging to the ritual the ms. also contains two sermons in rhymed prose, one of which is ascribed to alṢaf $\overline{1}$ b. al- ${ }^{\mathrm{C}}$ Assā1 (95v). ${ }^{4}$

It is clear that hand $B$ has written both the Coptic and the Arabic while this is less obvious with hand A. The Arabic stands on the right side of the page in a rather narrow column.

D ating
The ms. contains two colophons by hand A which run as follows:
"So this was completed on the 25 th of Hatūr in the year 1305."

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (93v) ثم وكمل ذلـك في يـوم الثلـثـا المبـارك }  \tag{93v}\\
& \text { تـامن عشرين شهر كيهـك المبـارك } \\
& \text { سنه الا } \\
& \text { الابـر ار رزقنـا اللـّه تعـالي بركـاتهم امـين }
\end{align*}
$$

(الا
"So this was completed on the blessed Tuesday, the 28 th of the blessed month of Kīhak in the year 1305 of the pure, blessed and pious martyrs. May God grant us their blessings! Amen."

The year 1305 according to the Coptic "Era of the Martyrs" is 1589 A.D. and the months Hatūr and Kīhak correspond to November and December. ${ }^{5}$

It may be supposed that the ms. was written in Egypt. The earliest ms. of this content noted by Graf is from $1307 .{ }^{6}$ The great bulk of Coptic-Arabic texts is from the 12 th century and later. ${ }^{7}$ Blau's studies on Christian and Jewish Middle Arabic are based on texts from the 9 th to the 13 th centuries while the material used by Knutsson starts in the 14 th century. ${ }^{8}$ Egyptian Middle Arabic material has been studied by Blau ( 13 th century) and Davies ( 17 th century). Recently a Muslim Egyptian text from the 14 th century has been published with notes on Middle Arabic elements by Lundén. ${ }^{9}$ In the present study special reference will be made to the parallells with Middle Arabic and Egyptian origin.

The homily ascribed to al-Ṣaf $\overline{1}$ b. al- ${ }^{c}$ Assā 1 in the ms. is also found in two mss. in the Vatican Library from the 16 th and 17 th centuries. ${ }^{10}$ This sermon was thus a part of the baptismal liturgy at least from the 16 th century. The oldest version of the homily may be as early as the 13 th century, i.e. when al-Ṣaf $\overline{1}$ was still alive. ${ }^{11}$ The letters in the two sermons, which are in Arabic only, are somewhat larger than in the sections translated from the Coptic and they are also written with a slightly thicker pencil. This is explicable by the more ample space available to the writer when he did not have to pay regard to the Coptic text. The handwriting is in all other details identical with hand $A$. The second colophon concludes the homily of al-Ṣafi.

The Middle Arabic of thems.
As pointed out by Blau, the term Middle Arabic is most properly used as a designation of the kind of Arabic found in several medieval Arabic texts which show influences from a colloquial of more or less the same type as the modern Arabic dialects. ${ }^{12}$ Middle Arabic is thus not the Arabic spoken in the Middle Ages but the language found in the texts, which hovers between Classical Arabic, the colloquial and pseudocorrections. From this point of view, the present ms. is of a clearly Middle Arabic type, showing many of the elements de-
scribed by Blau and others. The study of Middle Arabic is a part of the study of Arabic diglossia in general. A distinction should thus be made between the description of this diglossia at different stages of the history of Arabic and between the problem of the origin of the diglossic situation. From this point of view all periods of Arabic deserve to be investigated. This also holds for "the dark centuries" after 1500 where Knutsson's study is the main contribution. The investigation of Arabic diglossia has, in general, been concentrated on the present century and the earliest Islamic period.

## H A N D A

## Vocalisation and diacritical points

The ms. is furnished with vowel signs. This is, however, a pseudovocalisation since the fatha sign is used almost always, even in cases which should have kasra or damma, e.g. الدُيَن (ibid.) "religion". In a few wherds the damma is used correctly, e.g. $\hat{\rho}^{\hat{\rho}}(15 \mathrm{v})$. Only once a mağh $\bar{u} l$ form is marked:


The sukūn is never used. The šadda occurs consistently only in the word اللّه $(29 \mathrm{v}, 36 \mathrm{r}, 38 \mathrm{r}, 51 \mathrm{v})$, and once in $\operatorname{Lol}(48 \mathrm{v}) .{ }^{13}$ The perpendicular 'alif is not used.
The tanw $\overline{\text { in }}$ is consistent only with the accusative ending $L .{ }^{14}$ The genitive -in is written in a few cases: (5r) كل ذكرقر (29v), but mostly left unmarked, e.g. الـى ميـه حلـوه (69r). The nominative -un is never found written with tanw $\bar{\imath} n$. Worth noting is the spelling of a participle III y $\bar{a}$ g انت بـ (4r). This is the only case of the indeterminate state of a root of this kind in the ms. ${ }^{15}$

The madda is used with the ending $-\bar{a}$ ' but not consistently: sī (93v) but ء (ibid.). The long $-\bar{a}-$ is sometimes spelt with madda also when not final: جآ او (5r). One may note the spelling جـاو (6r) "they came". 16
The alif otiosum is missing in جآاو above. On the other hand, it is once written in a non-finite form: 1 مalt (96r) "with a filled vesse1". ${ }^{17}$

The distinction between final $y \bar{a}$ and 'alif maqṣūra is not made. Thus the endings $-\bar{\imath}$ and $-\bar{\alpha}$ are indiscriminately written $y \bar{a}$ as mater lectionis, sometimes with the diacritical points and sometimes without them, no principle in the spelling being apparent. Thus, e.g., the relative particle الذي ،التي is spelt with ي 26 times in the first 40 folios, and with $\mathcal{S} 18$ times. The preposition is written الى 7 times, but without the two dots three times only. Spel-
 ' ibtad $\bar{a}$ and maḍ $\bar{a}$ should also be noted. On the other hand, there are spellings 1ike الحقـيقى (8v, 13r) and المشقى (ibid.) (for المشتقي ).

The same inconsistency in the use of the diacritics is found in the spelling of final -ay. Thus لـك ( لكى ( 8 r ( r , 9v) but. It should be remarked that this haphazard use of the diacritical points occurs only with final $y \bar{\alpha} .{ }^{18}$
$H$ $\quad$ m $\quad$ z $a$. The hamza sign is extensively used only in words ending in $-\bar{a}$ ' in Classical Arabic, but not consistently even here. ${ }^{19}$ It has the invariable form
 (102r) "You have become a vessel for the Holy Ghost". There are, however, cases where it is not written, e.g. (93v) but ibid. لـلشهداء (both in the genitive). Instances where the hamza is not written are: perfect of the verb اض اضـا (99r, cf. (52v) "to want". In the same manner (72r) "air", (5v) "comfort" (but الهو الـعزا (70v). "Virgin" is العدري (8r, 13r). ${ }^{20}$
A few times hamza is found after a consonant: (3v, genitive), ${ }^{21}$ (39v). The latter is mostly spelt without hamza: ( 67 v ) or ( 70 r )..$^{22}$ Once the hamza is put in front of the first consonant: (34v). 23
The hamza is never written on initial 'alif and, except for one doubtful case, never within a word. Thus the sequence $C+h a m z+V$ always appears with 'alif on-
 preceded by a short $-\alpha-$ is not marked either: تـق 1 ( 3 F ( 95 v , passive). The same principle is found in the few cases of $u+$ hamz: 25 رووسكم (68r, 75r) رووسـا (101v). The word تومن (54r) may possibly have a small hamza written over $w \bar{a} w$ but it is hardly discernible in the ms. The same might hold for (8v).
Sequences $i^{\prime} a / a^{\prime}, i$ are written with $y \bar{a}$, mostly with the diacritical points; ${ }^{26}$ so always in the active participle of verba IIw/y: قـا قـا (16r) (93v) . There is only one exception: خـ (5v). In the same way the plural of "angel":
 with - but a few instances have اسر اسـيلـ (55r) for لـئلا. The word for "sins" is written (55r). 28
The sequence $-\bar{i}, a$ is always written without hamza: (31v, $32 \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{fem}$.)
(47r). 29
Hamzat al-was 2. The word for "woman" is written according to

Classical standards except in one case: مر (16r). In other words, the 'alif is consistently written even in words with hamzat al-waṣl. ${ }^{30}$

Lon g $-\bar{\alpha}-$. There are a few cases of defective spelling. It occurs twice with "three": ثلـث ( $73 \mathrm{v}, 75 \mathrm{v}$ ), ${ }^{31}$ and once in 4 r ) "except you". The word اللـه is always spelt in the Qur'anic way (mostly also with گadda). ${ }^{32}$ With ' $i l \bar{a} h-$, however, there is an inconsistency. The derived adjective ' $i l \bar{a} h i y y-$ is always defective; when the word' ' $i l \bar{a} h$ - has a pronominal suffix (e.g. "our God") the plene writing is twice as frequent as the defective. Without suffix it is always defective, thus الالـه but الاهنـا 33
$t / \underline{t}$. While there is no case of confusion between $s \bar{z} n$ and $\Sigma_{\bar{i} n}$ in the ms. (the latter always being written with three dots) the $t \bar{a}$ and $t \bar{\alpha}$ alternate in a way that seems to reflect a pronunciation different from the one found in Classical Arabic. ${ }^{34}$ The root ${ }^{*}$ is always written with $t \bar{\alpha}$ (20r, 34v). The same holds for (7v, 12v, 60r, 98r). Further (38r) "inheritors",
 second". In words of higher frequency the spelling varies: متل (60v), متـلال (38v, 74v) but with $\underline{t} \bar{\alpha}$ in $9 \mathrm{r}, 14 \mathrm{r}, 62 \mathrm{v}, 64 \mathrm{r}$ (مثـال), 48 v (مثل). thuma is written with $t \bar{\alpha}$ a few times (10r, 19v), and "idols" is in 23 r and 24 v . The rather frequent numeral "three" is always written with $\underline{t} \bar{a}$ except once in the derived word "trinity": لـلـوت المقدس (102r) which could be a contamination with the abstract suffix $-\bar{u} t$.
$d / \underline{d}$. There are a few exceptional cases where the diacritical point is missing in $n \bar{u} n$ and $b \bar{a}$. The relative particle الـذي occurs 19 times with the diacritical point and 6 times without it in the first 40 folios of the ms. while the plural الذيـن is found six times, always without the dot. This makes 19 vs . 12 for this word. Of 16 cases of 1 هذ هذ four are written with $d \bar{a} l$. To this
 compare اللـديـده (36r), ادرعته (36r) "arms" and (39r) "save them". ${ }^{36}$ Finally, the spelling (72v) "the new one" should be noted. ${ }^{37}$

3 $\bar{a}$. There are two cases of $b$ instead of $b$, both in the same word: (23r, 24r) "promised" (but $25 r$ and $21 r$ ). The highly frequent participle ضـ is once spelt with b (25v). ${ }^{38}$
$\ddot{\partial} / \Delta$. The use of the diacritical points over final harking it as t $\bar{\alpha}$ marbüta follows a clear pattern: the points occur when the word is in the construct state: شركة سر ايـرك المقدسه (17r) "participation in Thy holy mysteries"; من الضلالـه الى معرفة الحق ; the delicious olive tree" " 35 (35rرة الزبـتون اللـديـده

ومن عبـادة الاصنـام (31r) "from error to knowledge of the truth and from service of the idols..."; من جهة بـشارة انـاجيلـه المقدسه (8v) "by the message of His holy Gospel". When occurring in the other states the $h \bar{a}$ is written without the two dots: الحيـاه المهلـكه (21v) "the death-bringing sin"; الارو اح المقـاومه الشريره (31v) "the opposing evil spirits". Cf. also مجتهـهـه مرتـا (sic) "Martha was busy" and القديـه مريـم (8r, 13r) "Holy Mary". There are only a few deviations from this pattern: صلاه تحلـيل (15v) "the prayer of absolution" (the only case of o in the construct state); (sic) (28v) "the Grace which..."; (10v) "the woman who..."; سلـطـان الرحمة (30r) "the power of Mercy"; ومن الضلالـة (26r) "from the Error".
The consistent differentiation between $h \bar{a}$ and $t \bar{\alpha}$ marbu$t \underset{a}{ }$ must reflect a pronunciation $-\emptyset /-t$ as in the modern colloquial. ${ }^{39}$ Some of the instances of $t \bar{\alpha}$ marbūṭa in the non-construct states might be due to a pseudoclassical pronunciation $-t$ when several words occur in a closer connection. The two cases where the word in $\partial$ is followed by the relative particle should be noted in this connection. Further examples are: سلـطان الحية الد ايـمه (38v) "the power of the everlasting life"; الميـاة المره (69r) "the bitter waters"; بالامر اة المومنه (10v) "by the woman who believes"; كـــت امر اة (10v) "[she was] a woman who believes"; بـرقة كتيره (29v) "with great agony";
 الحيـاه (33v) "Thy deeds which give life".
There is one example of confusion between and a: و اجبت ان تقيم مe (10v) "she has to live with him" (= I Cor. 7:12). ${ }^{40}$

The general impression is that the differentation between homographs by means of diacritical points is not made haphazardly in the ms., but reflects the pronunciation of the writer. This is evident in the distribution of $h \bar{\alpha}$ and $t \bar{\alpha}$ mar$b \bar{u} t ̣ a$. In words with $t / \underline{t}$ and $d / \underline{d}$ the interdentals tend to be replaced by the dentals in words of low frequency, while in words of higher frequency, like the relative particles, there is a more insecure handling of the diacritical points. As will be shown later, the use of these particles in the ms. indicates that the writer does not have a natural intuition or even a grammatical insight into the rules for their use. Lastly, the rendering of the Classical hamza shows that the writer does not have this phoneme in his speech, and it is restituted in special cases only in order to indicate a more Classical reading.

## Personal pronouns

The only instance of a form deviating from Classical Arabic is (7r) "you" (fem.sing.). ${ }^{41}$

There is a kind of popular etymology of the name Pontius Pilate: بلاطس البـنطى (24r). This may be a reflex of the definite article $P$ - in Coptic.
${ }^{\text {, Id }} \bar{a} f a$
There is one instance of two muda $f \bar{a} t$ to one mudāf 'itayhi: جسر ودم الانـن $(102 \mathrm{v})$ "they are the body and blood of the Son". Like similar examples quoted by Blau the two words in question are synonyms, parallells or otherwise connected. 42

There is often confusion between ' $i d \bar{a} f a$ constructions and attributive constructions. Thus, "the First Letter to the Corinthians" is رسالـة قورتـتــه الاولـي (10r, 96r). ${ }^{43}$ Rather frequent are negative naht-adjectives where the adjective agrees with the noun and the article is attached to the mud $\bar{\alpha} f:$ الـوهوه الغيـر (2lr) "the incorruptible gift"; الــاسده (66r, 85v) "the incorruptible clothing"; الحيـاه (85r-v, 89v) "the incorruptible life". With the latter may be compared عـدم الـوت (86r) "the immortal life". Further: النور الـلـوي الـغبـر موصوف (99v) "the heavenly, indescribable light";
 Lord without sins". 44 Two cases occur with the article attached to a numeral: الـنـع صلـو ات او اكي الكبــار الثلـثه صلـو ات السلامه (55v) "the seven great prayers". ${ }^{45}$

A special case is the expression "the Holy Spirit". In the ms. this is consistently translated الروح الـقدس which seems to be the normal form in modern Christian Arabic. Thus the modern Bible translation has it in e.g. Matthew 1:18 and 28:19 while Levin's texts here have the 'idafa روح القدس, "the Spirit of Holiness". ${ }^{46}$ In the present ms. the 'idafa is used with a possessive suffix: (9v, $14 \mathrm{v}, 57 \mathrm{v}, 65 \mathrm{v}, 71 \mathrm{r})$ "Thy holy Spirit". With the suffix the attributive construction is sometimes employed: روحك الـّوس (20r, 29r, 35r, $89 \mathrm{v}, 92 \mathrm{r})$. With other nouns this latter construction is frequent, e.g.: مدبحك المقتدس (74r) "Thy holy altar"; اسمك القدوس (72r) "Thy holy Name".

## Case inflection

Since the vocalisation does not render the pronunciation, the use of the case endings is reflected only in the consonantal spelling. The handing of the Classical inflectional system is, on the whole, rather insecure.
$N$ o m i n a t i v . Oblique forms are often written instead of the nominative,


وكان ابـيه وه امه يـتعجبان (6v) "and his father and his mother were astonished"; ${ }^{48}$ the accusative: الديـن كتبوا اسمـاهم (29v) "whose names are written". The accusative in the first word of the Lord's prayer is particularly striking: يـقول الشعب ابـانـا (19v) "the people shall say: Our Father...". ${ }^{49}$ It is doubtful if this should be seen as a correct use of the accusative (as the object to the verb) since بـقـال ابـانـا الدى فى السمو ات (100v) "(then) is said: Our Father, which art in heaven...". The accusative seems to be common in this passage (without the vocative particle $y \bar{a}$ ) since the modern translation also has it, while Levin's text (Matthew) has البونL.

The word 1 "father" regularly lacks the special nominative construct form in (7v passim) "The Father of our Lord". As has already been shown, the construct appears with pronominal suffixes in the accusative and genitive (although incorrectly used). 50
Genitive. Accusative occurs instead of genitive in a few cases: من قبل
 God of the prophets"); في امـانتـك المقدسه ورجـاك (17v) "in Thy true faith and hope". 51 A striking case is ولـيس شي غير طاهر ا امـامك (59v) "nothing is impure before Thee".

Accusative. The final 'alif marking the indeterminate state of the accusative is absent in several cases: كان انسـان صديـة (5v) "he was a righteous and (god)fearing man" (= Luke 2:25); فـان عينـاى ابـصرتـا خلاصك...... (6v) "and my eyes have seen Thy salvation...a light which has become manifest"; كلـل رسله الاطهـار القديـسين و انبـيـايهه وشهد اـه (87r) "He crowned His pure, holy apostles and His prophets and His martyrs"; ليكونو لـبـاس غير فـاسد "لاس $(64 \mathrm{v})$ "so that they (the water and the oil) may become an incorruptible clothing"; الاكلـــل المملـو مجد (but (الـملـو مجدا (102r) "the crown filled with glory" in e.g. 62r, 67v, 72r); يلـبس المعموديـن تيـابـ بـيض (85r) "He dresses the baptizees in white clothes"; كـان متمنطق (93v) "he was girdled". 52

## Gender

The word شيـطان and its plural شيـاطين are both treated as fem. sing. and as proper names (without the definite article): شيطـن الظهيره (39v) "the visible devil"; كل شيـاطيـنك الرديـه (32v) "all your evil devils". The word ملكوت is feminine in accordance with Aramaic. Thus قربت ملـكوت السمو ات (98r) "the kingdom of heaven has come near"; ملـكوتك الممجده (89v) "Thy glorious kingdom"; الـملمكوت (100v) "The heavenly kingdom". 53

An adjective found in other texts of this kind is المـيت (95v) for ${ }^{\text {الميّت } \text { "dead". }}$ A participle derived from the noun منطقة belt" occurs in 98v: وكان متمتطق (sic) "... and he (John the Baptist) was girdled with skin". 54

## Numerals

The numeral "two" is once used in the absolute state with a pronominal suffix: ونـزلا اتنـينـهم (49v) "and they (the two) descended". 55

Weak verbs
There is one example of a media geminata conjugated as III yāa :لانك استحقيت (103r) "because you were entitled". 56

There are some verbs III hamza written with $y \bar{a}$ in the ms. Thus the mağh $\bar{u} \mathcal{L}$ of "read" is تقري (91r fem. 3rd person but تقر in 95v). "To be filled" is spelt in a way that suggests that it is conjugated according to this pattern: يمتلـو لــستضو ا بـضيـاء انـجل : ملكوتك (39r) "so that they may be enlightened by the Light of the gospel of Thy kingdom". Other forms of this verb are: (30r), cf. the imperative and jussive: اضي (99r) ; اضي (91v) = perf. This spelling probably reflects the vernacular forms of this verb which in Egyptian Arabic are formed from the root duy. ${ }^{58}$ Of the same type is (87r) "we prepared them".

The tL form of the root $\frac{d}{c} d d$, "to oppose", is always strong in the ms. where it only occurs as a particip1e: المضادديـن" (37v). 59

## Imperative

The verb "to give" has once a masculine singular imperative: اعطيه قوة (7lr) "give him power" (but gelg $29 r$ "and give"). ${ }^{60}$ The verb "to prepare" (stem IV of عدد) occurs once with the 'alif and twice without it in the imperative: (26v, 57r) "prepare them to a temple". ${ }^{61}$

Use of moods
The indicative is used instead of the subjunctive: ...امنحهp ان يحيـون ويـفكرون ويفهـون (58v) "grant them that they may live...and think...and understand"
 ويـقول الكاهن (96r) "the priests shall gather and bring a vessel filled with water and the priest shall say". ${ }^{62}$ Once the writer has written the imperfect of the verb "give" without the $y \bar{a}:$ ونسلال.........
(102r) "and we pray that he will make these children forget....and that he will give them...". The $h \bar{a}$, however, has two dots under it, which therefore must have been put there as a correction.

The subjunctive/jussive is once used instead of the indicative: عبـيـك يا رب (58r) "Thy servants, O Lord, who serve Thee and call upon Thy name". 63

## Gender/number agreement

Deviations from the strict rules of agreement of Classical grammar are rather frequent in the ms., and many of them bear witness to the writer's own speech. Here all cases of interest will be given. ${ }^{64}$
a) masculine plural instead of feminine singular
(7r) لـكى يـنكشف افكار قلـوب كثير "so that the thoughts of many hearts / the thoughts of the hearts of many may be revealed"
(16r) ايـامـا قلايـل "a few days"
"and He shut the depths and sealed them" من بعد هذا بـلـبس المعمودين ثيـابـا "after this he dresses the baptizees in (68v) بــض " white clothes" هذه الاكـالـــل الذيـن هيـيـنـاهم لــلـبسهم عبـيدك...لـكى يكونو ا لـهم اكـالـيلـ (87r) مجد they may be to them crowns of glory"

Cf. also the examples $27 v$ and $29 v$ quoted under "Nominative" above and $55 v$ under "The definite article". ${ }^{65}$
b) masculine plural instead of feminine plural ${ }^{66}$ رسم الطهاره الو اجبه على كل امر اه اthe prescription of purification incumbent تلـد ان يـلبتو ا(sic on every woman who has given birth that they كمـ قد رسمت لـهم كيـلا يلـمسو (16r) .... prescribed for them..."
ونضور "and we implore...on behalf of these Thy انـاموسك و اكملـو ا وصـايـاك و اشتهو الـا الدخول الـي موضع قدسك ويـسجدو المـا filled Thy commandments and who have longed
(16v) . . هیک⿰丿㇄ ) to enter the place of Thy holiness and to prostrate before Thy temple..."
(...."bless Thy servants(f.)...that they may de(17r) serve participation in Thy holy mysteries..."
c) masculine plural instead of dual ${ }^{67}$
"sanctify this water and this oil so that (64r) حميم الميـلاد they may become the hot water of birth"

Cf. the correct use of the dual: خـالـق السمـاء و الارض وكل زيـنتـهــا (67r) "Creator of heaven and earth and all their beauty". A special case is the following: .... ولـيكن هذا المـاء وهذا الزيت مبـاركين مملويـن مجدا مطهرين (73r-v) "may this water and this oil be blessed, filled with glory, purified...". The Classical spelling would have مaلـووزين for the dual accusative and for the plural accusative. The omission of one of two identical consonants when one of them is kurs $\bar{\imath}$ hamza is, however, frequent, and a decisive conclusion cannot be made here. In view of the insecure handling of numeral agreement in the ms., especially of the dual (see below) makes this interpretation of the spelling plausible.
d) dual instead of feminine plural
... "bless Thy servants(f.) and purify them and (17r) absolve them..."
هكذ ا ايضضا يـا سيـدنـا هولاء الاطفـال "...in the same way, 0 our Lord, (bless) these (17r-v) المولـوديـن منهمـا children born by them (= the female servants)"
e) dual instead of masculine plural
"bless them (i.e. the children mentioned in (17v) (sic) d) and sanctify them and make them grow and bring them to full stature"
f) masculine singular instead of feminine singular

This kind of disagreement always involves the relative particle الذي (cf. also a, second last example, and $\underline{b}$, second example). ${ }^{69}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (23r) حبـلت الذي هو هذ ا الزيت "Thy body which is this oil" } \\
& \text { (28v) النـمة الذي تقدمو الا اليهها "the mercy toward which they have strived" } \\
& \text { الامـانه الذي لا يـغلب الذي لا يـقـاوم } \\
& \text { (37v) cannot be resisted" } \\
& \text {.with this mercy which your children have de- } \\
& \text { (102v) served" } \\
& \text { the divine mysteries which are the body and } \\
& \text { (102v) الابن blood of the Son" }
\end{aligned}
$$

g) feminine plural instead of masculine singular
(52r) لا لا تعجبن "Do not be astonished" (= Jesus to Nicodemus, John 3:7) ${ }^{70}$
h) masculine singular instead of masculine plural
هدا الاولاد (102r) "These children""71

At last, a case of constructio ad sensum: دعيت جميع الامم (25v) "all nations have been called". ${ }^{72}$

## Passive

With the G-stem the magh $\bar{u} L$ form is the normal form in passive constructions in the ms. ${ }^{73}$ One verb has once mağhūl and once Gt: وكمـا رفع موسي الحيه في البـريـه (53v) "and as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up" (= John 3:14); ارتفعت (48v-49r) "in his humiliation his 74 judgement was taken away...for his 1ife is taken from the earth" (=Acts 8:33). ${ }^{74}$ There is only one example of an nG form in a passive construction: و انُمبغو ا (96v) "and they were all baptised" (= I Corinthians 10:2). The Gt of $c_{m d}$ is used once in the homilies: المسيح الذى اعتّمد في نهر اردن (103r) "Christ... who was baptised in the river Jordan". In other passages this verb has the tD in passive constructions. In the ms. the mağh $\bar{u} l$ forms seem to occur with the G stem only, augmented forms being used with derived stems. It is impossible to decide whether these augmented forms (mostly tD) are also mağhūl due to the lack of consistency in the vocalisation of the ms. 75

Passive constructions with agent extension are rather frequent. Some of them may be influenced by the Coptic, and it is evident that the writer had no difficulty or restrictions in finding Arabic equivalents to such phrases. ${ }^{76}$
a) agent phrase with the preposition (with noun) سلاح الامـانه ....الذي لا.... بـقـاوم من "the armour of faith which cannot be resis(37v) ted by the adversaries"
"so that we may be saved by the message of (8v) His Gospels" ${ }^{77}$
هذا الذي من قبلـه دعيت جميع الامم He is the one by whom all nations have been (25v) called out of darkness" ${ }^{78}$
وكان قد اوحى علـيه من فبل الـووح (5v-6r) Ghost" (= Luke $2: 26)^{79}$

هذا الذي قيل من قبل اشعيـاء الـنـى "This is he that was spoken of by the prophet Isaiah" (= Matthew $3: 3)^{80}$
"who was baptised in the river Jordan by the الصـبق الصابغ ״ـوحنـا Precursor John the Baptist"81
b) agent phrase by the preposition على

و انمبعغو ا جميعـا على يـد موس (= I Corinthians 10:2) ${ }^{82}$
c) agent phrase with ب
83 "by whom they have been exhorted" الكلام الذى وعظو ا به

## Relative clauses

The tendency evident early in Classical Arabic for the relative particles to become subordinate conjunctions or relative pronouns is documented by at least
 (102r) "they drew near to the mercy of the Holy Spirit by whom/which they received the mercy of Holy Baptism". The frequent cases of disagreement with the relative particle quoted under "Gender/number agreement" $\underline{f}$ above may be interpreted as evidence for this particle to have become a general subordinating conjunction introducing relative clauses. ${ }^{85}$

## Subordinate clauses

In one case the article 0 is missing and the construction is asyndetic as in the modern colloquial: من يـقدر يـقصه (49r) "who can tell it?".86 لـكـ 86 is once
 (29v-30r) "we beg...and ask God...that He will open the ears of their hearts". ${ }^{87}$
-... ا
The particle $ف$ is missing once in connection with the topicalisation particle (10r) "as far as the rest of mankind is concerned I say...". ${ }^{88}$

Prepositional object
The preposition $J$ is sometimes used instead of others in non-locative verbal complements: انـع (21r) "bestow upon them forgiveness of their sins". In 26v the normal construction occurs: ان تُنعم عليهر بـالـنمو في الامـان. Further: ونـبـين لـعرسا السمـايـي (38r) "and may we see Thy heavenly throne"; (87r) "that we may put them (the crowns) on Thy servants"; ${ }^{89}$

المضادديـن لــ (37v) "our adversaries". The latter is interpretable as an objective genitive expressed analytically, a construction which is not entirely outside the system of Classical Arabic but still characteristic of Middle Arabic. ${ }^{90}$

HAND B

## Spel1ing

In the folios of hand $B$ there are no cases of $ث$, this always being replaced with e. Also is lacking except in a few instances of e.g. هد الذي الذى (44v). The two dots are also consistently written under all yáa's, i.e. also when they are 'alif maqṣūra. The hamza is written twice, in the words البـs (79v) and (79v). One may also note the spellings (77v) "my bowels" and (63v) "these". The accusative tanw $\overline{i n}$ is always according to Classical standards. The handling of $t \bar{a}$ marbu$t ̣ a$ follows that of hand A. A possible case of short - $a$ - instead of long is (43v) "the powers". 91

Grammatical features
A negated adjective occurs in الحيه الموبـده الغير مـايـته (83r) "the eternal, immortal life".

اضا conjugated as III y $\bar{a}$ is found here as in hand A: ورا باضو (80r)
"and they are enlightened by the light of Thy divinity".

## NOTES

1 S. Lindstam (1879-1942), who was a Graecist and a teacher of Classical languages, undertook in the years 1911-1913 a journey to Italy, Greece and Turkey. The manuscript must have been bought during this journey, probably in Istanbul. According to Professor Åke Fridh, Department of Classical Studies, Göteborg, Lindstam in 1939 himself mentioned a manuscript for which he had paid 200 Swedish crowns. In the present ms. the figure 200 is written twice on the first page. There is also written, by another hand, £ 420, which might be the original price in Turkish pounds. For the data on Lindstam, see Göteborgs Högskolas matrikel 1916-41 (Göteborg 1942).
2 I want to express my thanks to the "Röhsska Konstslöjdmuséet" and especial1 y Mr. Thomas Baagöe for giving me the opportunity to work with the manuscript.
3 For a description of the archaic Coptic baptismal ceremony, see 0. H. E. Burmester, The Egyptian or Coptic Church. A detailed description of her liturgical services... in Textes et documents (Publications de la Société d'Archéologie Copte), Le Caire 1967, pp. 111-126. For a more penetrating study of the text and its relations to the rituals of other Oriental churches, see $i d$. . The Baptismal rite of the Coptic Church: A critical study, in Bulletin de la Société d'Archéologie Copte t. XI (1945), pp. 27-86.

4 al-Ṣafī b. al- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Assā1, according to A. Mallon a Coptic counterpart to Bonaventura and Thomas ab Aquino, lived in the first half of the thirteenth century. The exact years of his birth and death cannot be determined but according to Graf he was dead when his brother $A b \bar{u}$ Ishāa composed his main work مجموع المول الديـن ومسموع محصول اليققـنـ between 1253 and 1260, see Graf, Aulād al-c Assāl, p. 129-130. The most complete survey of the work of the ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Assā1ids is found in Graf, Aulād and $i d$. , Geschichte. See also A. Mallon, Ibn al-c Assā1. Les trois écrivains de ce nom, in Journal Asiatique 10:6 (1905), pp. 509-529, and A. J. B. Higgins, Ibn al-cAssā1, in the Journal of Theological Studies 44 (1943), pp. 73-75.
5 The numbers are written with cursive Greek letters which is the usual form found in Coptic manuscripts. For these, see Mallon, 4 th ed., p. 234. Before it was possible to check the numbers in Mallon's work, Professor Rostislav Holthoer, Uppsala, suggested the 16 th century on paleographic evidence with reference to Cramer ms. Nr. 54. This suggestion thus turned out to be correct.
6 Graf, Geschichte I, pp. 648-649.
7 Cramer (Vorwort).
8 Blau, Grammar, pp. 21ff.; Knutsson, pp. 220ff.
9 B1au, Observations, p. 215; Lundén, pp. 32ff.; Davies, pp. 4ff.
10 Graf, Geschichte II, p. 396. In Aulād Graf claims a homily on baptism to be contained in a manuscript in Cairo (Kopt. Patr. 749, Aulād, p. 140). When 1isting this manuscript in his Catalogue (p. $158=\mathrm{Nr} .433$ ) and in Geschichte (II, p. 396), this holy homily is, however, not mentioned.
11 The dating of Kopt. Patr. 749 is somewhat contradictory, but according to the Hiğra reckoning it is completed in 1239 A.D. ( 637 H ).
12 Blau, Emergence, p. 215. This distinction was actually made by H. Blanc in his review of Blau's Emergence.
13 Cf. Blau, Grammar, p. 122f. Cf. Knutsson's karshuni ms. 1.5 ('allāh).
14 The accusative $-a n$ is, however, not used according to Classical grammar, see below under "Case inflection".
15 In MA texts these words often invariably and in $-\bar{\imath}$ (B1au, Grammar, p. 317).
16 For the use of madda, see Wright, Grammar I, p. 24-26; Knutsson, p. 77 n. 49 .
17 Cf. Blau, Grammar, pp. 127-128.
18 The lack of consistency in distinguishing between final $-\bar{\imath},-\bar{\alpha}$ and $-a y$ is found also in Knutsson's texts (judging from the facsimiles). To this may be added the ending $-\bar{a}$, which may be written in the same way in MA (cf. Blau, Grammar, pp. 81ff., and examples under hamza below). Levin suggests that the alternation between this latter ending and the spelling with $y \bar{a}$ might reflect 'imāla (Levin, p. 20). This is supported by the evidence in Blau's Coptic ms. where hawle (for haw $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ' $i$ ) and beki (for beq $\bar{i}=C l a s s$. baq $\bar{\alpha}^{\prime}$ ) are found (B1au, Observations, pp. 222-227). In Levin there is a
 correct interpretation) may be independent of faryngalised consonants like $q \bar{a} f$ and $d \bar{a} d$. That this occurs even in modern dialects is well known (cf. Borg, Imaala, pp. 205ff.). For 'imāla after $-r^{-}$, cf. Talmoudi, Sūsa, p. 37 hröm ; id., Diglossic situation, p. 104 fikrä, p. 42 r $\bar{a} z i^{c}$ an, and the opposition žra "it happened" vs. žrä "he ran" (oral communication). In light of this, Davies' xdrh may after all reflect pausal 'imala (cf. wikih from
wikā’; cf. Davies, p. 81). In the present ms. "virgin" (Class. العذراء) is written العدري (see below under "Hamza"). The inconsistent use of the two dots in final $y \bar{a}$ might thus reflect a pronunciation where final $-\bar{a},-\bar{a}$, $-\bar{\imath}$ and $-a y$ sounded more or less the same. For the question of pausal ' $i m \bar{a}-$ Za, see Davies, pp. 81ff.
19 For hamza in general in Christian Arabic, see Blau, Grammar, pp. 83ff.
$20 \mathrm{Cf}$. Blau, Grammar, p. 89. The hamza may be seen as a classicizing element in the spelling signalling one of the most conspicuous differences between colloquial and Classical accentuation. In the Egyptian colloquial the words which in CA end in $-\bar{a}$, have $-a$, and the accent is on the first syllable if all syllables are short, and on the paenultima if this is short and the antepaenultima is long (Diem, pp. 34-35; Harrell, pp. 9-13). The colloquial accentuation is mostly extended even to borrowings from CA, e.g. Myasa "gangers"; múasa "chiefs" (Mitchell, p. 212). The restitution of hamz in these words with ensuing shift of accent to the ultima is an obvious signal of attempts to speak "faṣ乞̆ Standard Arabic texts analysed by Harrell and Diem. Cf. also Palva, Notes, p. 26; Blanc, Stylistic variations, p. 95; Davies, p. 73. Of special interest is the following case in Diem: bi-šuhad $\bar{a}$ ' $u$ (Diem, p. 721.9 in the Arabic text) "with its martyrs". The speaker here restitutes hamz but is not able to form the correct case ending at the same time. Mostly, the case endings are lacking in these texts with nouns ending in $-\bar{\alpha}$, The restitution of hamz and the consequent accentuation opposed to the colloquial seems to have higher priority than the ' $\mathcal{C}^{C} \bar{\alpha} b$, which, of course, is more difficult to handle. The same picture emerges from the present ms. where the restitution of hamz is pervading in these words while the handling of the case inflection is rather chaotic (see below under "Case inflection").
21 The same spelling of this word is seen in one of Cramer's manuscripts (Cramer, Tafel 87). The restitution of hamz in final position after a consonant is often found in the Egyptian Standard Arabic texts already mentioned. Thus, Diem has bi-bad’ $i \quad 2$ - $c_{\bar{a}} m i$ (p. 69, text 31.2 ) "in the beginning of the year"; quz' e minha (Diem, p. 72, text 7 1. 4) "one part of it"; cf. 'annaha quz'um min-al-waṭani (Harre11, p. 57 1. 13) "that it is a part of the (Arab) worl ${ }^{\prime}$ ".
22 Blau, Grammar, p. 93.
23 This could be a reflex of the anaptyctic vowel typical for Egyptian Arabic: kolle häga (Tomiche, p. 42-43, 201). Cf. Diem, p. 71 text Nr. 6 1. 8: kull-e $m \bar{\alpha} f \bar{\imath} h \dot{a}$ etc. One could also compare the Syro-Palestinian form 'əši (Cowe11, p. 142).

24 Blau, Grammar, pp. 99-100; Knutsson, p. 77; Lundén, p. 36.
25 Blau, Grammar, p. 97; cf. id., Observations, p. 236: mouwaxah $=$ mu' $\bar{a} x \bar{a} t$. Davies, p. 72.
26 Blau, Grammar, pp. 93-95; Knutsson, pp. 65ff.
27 Knutsson, loc. cit.
28 Blau, Grammar, p. 86, 96 rem. A; Knutsson, p. 76.
29 Blau, Grammar, pp. 95-96.
30 B1au, Grammar, p. 99; cf. ibid., pp. 104-105; id., Observations, p. 236.
31 Blau, Grammar, pp. 77ff. and, especially Knutsson, p. 55 note 2, and p. 60 note 2.

32 Cf. note 13.
33 Cf. Knutsson, p. 55 note 2 .
34 For this phaenomenon in Christian Arabic, cf. Levin, p. 19, and the thorough discussion by Knutsson, pp. 78ff. See also Blau, Diqdûq, p. 34, and the remarks in Observations, pp. 221-222. The main argument for this spelling being a reflection of a pronunciation different from that of CA is the difference in the use of diacritical points in the ms.: with certain letters ( $ش / \sim, \dot{J} / \leftharpoonup / j$, non-final $\rightarrow$ ) there is a consistent use of these signs according to the Classical ortography while $\quad / \star$ and $\Delta / \dot{\Delta}$ are differentiated in a way that shows insecurity with the writer. As has been emphasised by Knutsson, the $\hat{*}$ and $\dot{j}$ have in the modern colloquial (and consequently also in medieval spoken Arabic) both $t / s$ and $d / z$ as corresponding sounds. The words in the present ms. which show alternation between $t / \underline{t}$ and $d / \underline{d}$ are precisely those which in modern Arabic are documented with $s$ and $z$ respectively (cf. Knutsson, pp. 80-81 and passim). See a1so Blau, Emergence, p. 76, and Davies, pp. 66f.
35 Cf. Knutsson, p. 81 note 13 for this word.
36 Blau, Grammar, pp. 107-108.
37 Blau, Grammar, p. 106 (top).
38 Due to the low frequency of words with $b$ in the ms. it is difficult to make any conclusions as to the phonetic background of this spelling. Cf. the discussion in B1au, Observations, p. 221.
39 This has not been found in the earlier investigations of MA but, interestingly enough, it is clearly documented in Blau's Coptic-Arabic text (Observations, p. 246), cf. id., Grammar, p. 115, and Knutsson, pp. 109-112. One case of this is even visible in Knutsson's karshuni ms. (LII 1. $12=$ $\left.c_{a s ̌ r a t ~ ' ~}^{a} l \bar{a} f\right)$. A few examples are found in Davies, p. 81.
40 A similar case in Knutsson, p. 109 note 1 (confusion between $\because$ and $\quad 0$ ).
41 Blau, Grammar, p. 133; Knutsson, p. 54; Davies p. 177.
42 The same example in Levin, Matthew $4: 16$; cf. further Blau, Grammar, pp. 345-346; id., Observations, p. 251.
43 Blau, Grammar, pp. 359-360. Observe the $\partial$ in the first word.
$44 \mathrm{Cf} . \mathrm{Blau}, \mathrm{Grammar}, \mathrm{pp} .350 \mathrm{ff}$. For such constructions in Modern Standard Arabic, see Monteil, pp. 140-141; Cantarino II, pp. 149-150. For modern Arabic dialects, see Cowe11, p. 456, Féghali, pp. 198-199.
45 Blau, Grammar, pp. 379-382. For modern dialects, cf. Cowe11, pp. 471 ff ., Féghali, pp. 186-189. For Modern Standard, cf. Cantarino II, pp. 375-377. The word او او اوشي is probably to be read is an Arabic plural of the Coptic ōši "prayer".
46 So also in Matthew $3: 11,12: 32$ and Mark 1:10. The form used in the present ms . is also frequent in Knutsson (although not noticed by him), e.g. pp. 22, 26, 31 (cf. id., p. 168). The Qur'ān has 'iḍāfa, e.g. 2:87, 2:253, 5:110, 16:102.
47 B1au, Grammar, pp. 90-91.
48 Blau, Grammar, p. 320; Knutsson, pp. 166-167.
49 Most of the cases with oblique case instead of the nominative are in passive constructions, cf. Blau, Grammar, p. 336, and ibid., note 64. For the use of the accusative in address, see Wright II, pp. 85-86; B1au, Grammar, pp. 338-339.

50 Cf . B1au, Grammar, p. 72 rem. A. The interpretation of this form suggested there is now confirmed by the form $a b b$ in Blau, Observations, p. 245.
51 Blau, Grammar, p. 89; id., Observations, p. 236: warahom, ahdak (= 'acdā'ika).
52 Blau, Grammar, pp. 323ff.; Knutsson, pp. 160ff.
53 Paralle11s in Blau, Grammar, pp. 203-205.
54 Cf. Blau, Grammar, p. 71, ibid., p. 106, where the imperative of the verb is noticed.
55 For nūn in the construct state, cf. Blau, Grammar, pp. 222ff. The numeral "two" in this form with a pronominal suffix is documented in Judaeo-Arabic (Blau, Diqdûq, p. 104), cf. Davies, p. 145; Lundén, p. 37. The preservation of $-n$ in the construct (and pronominal) state is a characteristic feature of modern Egyptian colloquial, cf., e.g., Tomiche, pp. 183-84.
56 Blau, Diqdûq, pp. 80-81 אםתחקית; id., Grammar, p. 167 note 124; Knutsson, pp. 126-127 and note 3; Davies, p. 108-109.
57 Blau, Grammar, pp. 176ff.; Knutsson, pp. 129-131.
58 Cf. Spiro, s.v., and Denizeau, s.v.; Talmoudi, Texts, p. 123; Blau, Grammar, p. 180.

59 Blau, Grammar, p. 167.
60 Blau, Grammar, p. 194.
61 Blau, Grammar, pp. 157-159.
62 Blau, Grammar, pp. 194ff.; Knutsson, pp. 135, 145.
63 A similar case (with both $-\bar{u}$ and $-\bar{u}$ n in the same phrase) in B1au, Observations, p .248.
64 In general, see Blau, Grammar, pp. 275ff., pp. 285ff.
65 In a) the masc. plur. refers to inanimate things where the modern dialects usually have the same form (cf. Cowe11, pp. 420ff., pp. 501ff.). The first case here is somewhat problematic. It is a quotation from Luke 2:35 where the Greek is ambiguous: "the hearts of many" or "many hearts" ('̇x $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$
 . So has the Vulgate: ut revelentur ex multis cordibus cogitationes. The Syriac versions have the former: lebawoto $\underline{d}-s a g \hat{i} \bar{e}$ (BFBS, Sinai palimpsest).
66 Blau, Grammar, pp. 206ff.; Knutsson, pp. 136ff.
67 B1au, Grammar, p. 247; id., Observations, p. 247.
68 The suffix -hunna is thus replaced by humã. It is not impossible that this form may reflect a masc. plur. -huma which is found in texts of Egyptian origin. This form occurs as an independent personal pronoun, cf. Blau, Observations, p. 238: homme. It is not clear if the example on p. 220 (Zoc. cit.) is a dual or a plural: atrodhome "I shall expel them". One case occurs in Diem, p. 83: benhumma "mitten unter ihnen". Cf. examples from Ju-daeo-Arabic להמה , כהמה (Blau, Diqdûq, p. 58). Cf. further Blau, Grammar, pp. 134-135, and note 8 ibid.; Knutsson, pp. 116-117.
69 B1au, Grammar, p. 550.
70 Cf . Knutsson, pp. 116-117. Observe the haplology. The form might also be seen as an energicus although, in light of the inconsistent handling of
agreement in the ms. this is less probable than the interpretation forwarded here.
71 Knutsson, pp. 117-118.
72 Blau, Grammar, p. 292.
73 For the passive in MA in general, see Blau, Grammar, pp. $150 f f$.
74 The modern translation has Gt of $n z^{c}$ here: في تو اضعه انتّزع قضاؤه لانَ حيـاته . تـتتزع من الارض . In the original passage in Is. 53:8-9 mağhū̆l forms are used: من الضغطة ومن الذَيـنـونـة اخذ وفي حيلـه من كـان يـظنَ انَّه قـطع من ارض الاحيـا In Luke 10:42 both the ms. (12r) and the modern translation have mağh $\bar{u} \mathcal{L}$ : لا "it shall not be taken from her".
75 Blau has the passive اعمد (Grammar, p. 158) and (ibid., p. 166, as well as استـاق (48v) in the same meaning. The modern translation uses the Gt in these passages while Levin's text has Gt of صبغ (but cf. the apparatus to Mark 1:5 يعتمدون and Blau, Grammar, p. 424).
76 For agent extension with passive constructions in MA, see Blau, Grammar, pp. 424-425; in modern dialects, see Retsö, pp. 169ff. For the passive construction (with agent extension) in Coptic, see Steindorff, pp. 193-194.
77 Lit.: "from the direction of". The Coptic has EBOL HITEN which is the normal agent phrase (Steindorff, p. 194; Mallon, § 276).
78 The Coptic has EBOL HITOTF as agent preposition.
79 The Coptic has $M-\left(=n^{-}\right)$which is dative or instrumental (Steindorff, $p$. 89; Mallon, § 361). The Greek origina1, however, has Ú爪ò toũ $\pi v \varepsilon$ ט́h $\alpha$ тos toũ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma i ́ o u$ which must be agent.
80 The Coptic has EBOL HITOTF $N-\bar{E} S A \bar{E} A S$ as agent phrase while the Greek has $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ and the modern Arabic translation $b i$ - (rather instrumental).

81 This is part of one of the homilies which have no Coptic counterparts. One could compare e.g. Mark 1:9 where the Greek has útio 'Iwávvou, while Levin and the modern translation have $\min$ with the same verb (Gt).

82 It is possible that the Greek in this passage ( $\varepsilon$ is tòv M Mürñv) reflects the Hebrew (or Aramaic) $l$ - as agent preposition (the interpretation is dependent upon the exegesis of the verse). The Coptic has here $E-M \bar{O} Y S \bar{E} S$ which is terminative (= Arab. ' $i l \bar{\alpha}$ ) or dative (Steindorff, p. 89; Mallon, §313). The modern translation has $l_{i-}$. على preposition in both Literary and colloquial Arabic (cf. Retsö, p. 171).
83 For $b i$ - as agent preposition, cf. Reckendorf, pp. 233-234.
84 Blau, Grammar, p. 563; Knutsson, pp. 178ff; Lundén, 75:10.
85 Blau, Grammar, pp. 549-550.
86 B1au, Grammar, p. 492; Knutsson, p. 177.
87 Blau, Grammar, pp. 522ff.
88 Blau, Grammar, pp. 482-483; Knutsson, p. 176.
89 Blau, Grammar, p. 251, 413ff.
90 Blau, Grammar, pp. 414ff.
91 Lundén, 83 note 5 .
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