Effect of added magnesium, potassium, lime and nitrogen on oats I. Yields

RAILI JOKINEN

Agricultural Research Centre, Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Physics, 01300 Vantaa 30, Finland

Abstract: With peat as the growth base the effect of the various combinations of three amounts of magnesium, of three of potassium, of two of lime and two of nitrogen on the grain and the straw crop yields, grown in pots, was investigated.

During three seasons the magnesium fertilization did not affect the grain or the straw yield, the weight of the grain, or the rate of maturing in the first year. After the magnesium reserve had been exhausted the annual magnesium fertilization was needed to satisfy the requirements of an abundant crop. The highest level of potassium application together with a double magnesium fertilization rate caused a slight decrease in the yield, as the amount of water soluble salts in the growth base reached a high value. A deficiency in magnesium impeded more strongly the development of the grain crop than that of the straw crop; the maturing of the crop was delayed, and the grains were small. The ratio of potassium to magnesium in the annually renewed fertilization, when both nutrients were being used, did not affect the yield of oats. The amount of nutrients used had a more important significance than the ratio of the nutrients. Increase in the amounts of potassium, lime or nitrogen each had a diminishing effect on the yield if the plants were suffering from a deficiency of magnesium. Together with magnesium these treatments increased both the grain and the straw yields. Without the magnesium fertilization the highest rate of potassium increased clearly the value of the ratio K/Mg in the growth base, and caused a decrease in the yield.

Introduction

In investigations concerned with the uptake of nutrients by plants, solutionculture is often used. The concentration of the nutrient solution flowing into the growth base and the ratios between the nutrients can thus be maintained at a constant level for the duration of the test. The uptake of nutrients by the plants is in a test affected only by the properties of the nutrients. When undecomposed peat *(Sphagnum)* is used as the growth base, the results are almost comparable with solution cultivation (PUUSTJÄRVI 1971). This kind of peat contains very little nutrients, however, it binds a lot of them and releases them again easily to be used up by the plants (PUUSTJÄRVI 1968).

In the literature there is little information about the effect of various nutrients on the development of grain and straw crops of cereals when cultivated



in solution. In the experiments the crop of the test plant has often been harvested already at the vegetative stage. In pot experiments on various growth bases magnesium fertilization has either increased significantly the grain yield of cereals (JERLSTRÖM 1975), or it has had no effect (SORTEBERG 1974). As far as the straw yields are concerned the results also vary. The yields of plants fertilized by magnesium and harvested at the vegetative stage depended among other factors on the amounts of potassium, calcium, and nitrogen (FALADE 1973, HANSEN 1972). The ratios between the nutrients, on the other hand, have seldom affected the yield (OMAR and EL KOBBIA 1966, MCLEAN and CARBONELL 1972).

In this investigation an attempt was made to clarify the effects of potassium and nitrogen fertilization, and of liming, on the grain and straw yields of oats, on the maturing, and on the grain weight when using magnesium fertilization.

Material and methods

The test was performed outdoors in the years 1970-1972 in Mitscherlichpots (5 1). Undecomposed peat (Sphagnum, 250 g/pot, Table 1) served as the growth base, because it was desirable to keep the effects of the growth base on the nutrient uptake of oats to a minimum. In the factorial experiment there were three rates of magnesium application (Mg₀ = 0, Mg₁ = 200 and Mg₂ = 400 mg/pot Mg), three of potassium (K₁ = 415, K₂ = 830 and K₄ = 1660 mg/pot K), two of lime (Ca₁ = 2400 and Ca₃ = 7200 mg/pot Ca) and two of nitrogen (N₁ = 1000 and N₂ = 2000 mg/pot N). The fertilizations were carried out with all the combinations, and the test was repeated twise. All the nutrients were supplied as pure chemicals, MgSO₄ · 7H₂O, K₂SO₄, CaCO₃, NH₄NO₃. In addition, each pot was supplied with 436 mg P as Ca (H₂PO₄)₂ · H₂O, 1.8 mg B as H₃BO₃, 13 mg Cu as CuSO₄ · 5H₂O, 10 mg Mn as MnSO₄ · 7H₂O, 11 mg Zn as ZnSO₄ · 7H₂O and 4 mg Mo as Na₂MoO₄ · 2H₂O. The calcium carbonate was given only in the first year, and the fertilizations annually.

Into each pot 25 oat (Pendek) seeds were planted. The crop was harvested when mature. Immediately after the cutting, the dry matter content (%)

Cation exchange capacity					
H+	139.0				
K+	1.4				
Mg ²⁺	4.1		*	*	*
Ca ²⁺	4.1	me/100	g of	air-dry	peat
Exchangeable cations					
Ignition loss	81	%			
Density	60	g/dm ³			
pH _{KCl}	3.9				
pH _{H2O}	4.2				

Table 1. The properties of peat (Sphagnum).

of the whole crop (grains + straws) was determined by keeping it overnight in 60° C, and then 2 h in 105° C. The weight of the oat grain was determined on the basis of four 100-grain samples. The proportion (%) of grains in the whole yield was calculated from the dry matter yields.

The experiment continued over three seasons. The part of the experiment in which the higher rate of nitrogen was supplied was discontinued after the second year, because a further growth of the grain crop was beginning to dwindle in the pots lacking magnesium.

The exchangeable cations of peat were extracted in 1 N neutral ammonium acetate (1: 60 w/v). The magnesium, potassium, and calcium contents of the extract were determined by means of atomic absorption spectrophotometry, and the exchangeable hydrogen by titrating the pH of the extract back to seven, using 0.1N sodium hydroxide solution.

The annual data results were tested by means of variance analysis, and the differences between averages by means of Duncan's new multiple range test (STEEL and TORRIE 1960). The interdependencies between the nutrients and the yields were also studied by means of correlation analysis.

Results

Nutrient deficiency symptoms in plants

In the oat shoots mild symptoms of magnesium deficiency were observed already in the first year in growths that had not received magnesium fertilization. In the following years the deficiency symptoms were very strong in the plants that had received the highest potassium rate. Tripling the liming lessened the deficiency symptoms with the low level of nitrogen application, but made them more pronounced with the high level of nitrogen application in the second year. In the plants that had received the lower rate of potassium there were potassium deficiency symptoms every year.

Grain and straw yields

The magnesium treatments did not have a positive effect on the grain and the straw yields in the first year (Table 2). In the pots that had received little lime the grain yield actually decreased with a magnesium sulphate fertilization, when the plants had received an abundant nitrogen and potassium fertilization. Tripling the rate of liming evened out the differences in the grain yields with various rates of magnesium.

The increase in the potassium fertilization from K_1 to K_2 increased significantly both the grain and the straw yields, regardless of the rates of lime and nitrogen. The highest rate of potassium still increased the yields when using abundant nitrogen fertilization and liming. Tripling the rate of liming had a positive effect on the grain and on the straw yields at all the levels of magnesium application, when abundant nitrogen and potassium fertilization had been used.

In the second year without magnesium fertilization the magnesium reserves of the growth base began to be exhausted, especially in the pots that

		Ca ₁		Ca ₃			
	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_{3}	K4	K1	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_4	
a .							
Grains 1st year							
N ₁ Mg ₀	40.4ab	49.9cde	55.5efghi	43.4de	55.7 efghi	61.3ghij	
Mg ₁	39.9ab	53.0efg	50.9cdef	43.6bed	53.5efgh	59.9fghi	
Mg ₂	40.3ab	50.2cde	51.7cdef	42.6be	52.8defg	62.3hij	
$N_2 Mg_0$	39.6ab	64.0 ^{ijk}	68.2jk1	32.0a	57.2efghi	72.5k1	
Mg ₁	38.5ab	59.6fghij	64.3 ^{ijk}	33.3a	57.8 efghi	75.51	
Mg_2	40.1ab	56.2 ^{efghi}	59.0 efghi	31.9ª	59.0 efghi	76.21	
2nd year							
N ₁ Mg ₀	22.5°	29.0def	27.1cde	23.7cd	34.2fgh	39.4hij	
Mg ₁	28.8def	35.7gh	36.8ghi	31.7efg	39.1hij	41.31	
Mg ₂	32.4fg	35.1 ^{fgh}	34.4fgh	33.6 ^{fgh}	39.4hij	43.7jk	
N ₂ Mg ₀	14.4b	5.8ª	0.2ª	5.1ª	1.1ª	0.5ª	
Mg ₁	30.2efg	52.91m	50.21	30.5efg	48.7k1	61.0 ⁿ	
Mg ₂	30.1 ef	46.9k1	44.6jk	30.6efg	44.5 ^{jk}	56.9mn	
3rd year							
		0.40	0.50	0.20	0.10		
N ₁ Mg ₀	3.4ª	2.4a	0.5a	0.3a	0.1ª		
${{ m Mg}_1} {{ m Mg}_2}$	17.4° 19.3°	20.1° 17.7°	9.1 ^b 6.4 ^a	18.9° 21.4°	28.4d 28.4d	28.7d 31.0d	
Straws 1st year							
N ₁ Mg ₀	34.6ª	41.2abed	45.1 bcdefg	43.4abcd	54.5hij	55.7ijk	
Mg ₁	36.3ab	44.2bcdef	46.8defgh	47.2cdefghi	53.5hij	55.3hijk	
Mg ₂	39.3abc	44.6bcdef	43.6bcde	46.7cdefgh	52.3 efghij	56.3jk	
N ₂ Mg ₀	38.0ab	49.7defghij	55.0hij	42.6abed	52.6fghij	63 8k1	
Mg ₁	37.3ab	46.6cdefgh	54.8hij	48.3defghij	55.3hijk	64.0 ^{k1}	
Mg_2	38.0ab	48.4defghij	52.6 ^{fghij}	46.6cdefgh	56.6 ^{jk}	69.41	
2nd year							
N ₁ Mg ₀	23.8ª	33.9bcde	35.0cde	30.7bc	41.7 efg	46.1gh	
Mg ₁	30.5bc	38.6e	40.0ef	36.7ª	46.8 ^{gh}	50.2hi	
Mg_2	32.7bcd	37.2 ^d	38.5°	39.1e	45.5fgh	49.3hi	
$N_2 Mg_0$	28.9ab	25.2ª	21.9ª	29.3be	22.2ª	21.4ª	
Mg_1	34.9cde	53.4 ^{ij}	54.0 ^{ij}	44.8fgh	60.2k	71.1^{1}	
Mg_2	38.1de	52.3 ¹	46.3gh	43.4efg	58.2 ^{jk}	67.01	
3rd year							
N ₁ Mg ₀	14.0 ^b	14.6 ^b	5.7ª	13.7b	6.1ª	2.9ª	
Mg_1	24.7ª	29.0ef	20.2 ^{ef}	27.7def	36.9gh	43.41	
Mg_2	25.1 ^d	27.3de	27.3de	30.7f	35.4g	39.1h	

Table 2. Grain and straw yields of oats (g/pot dry matter) in different years.

The results of the same year do not differ statistically significantly, if the same index letter appears.

had received abundant nitrogen fertilization. In that situation a magnesium fertilization increased significantly the yields with any of the potassium and lime rates. With a high rate of magnesium smaller yields were obtained in some cases than with a low rate of magnesium. The difference was significant, however, in both the grain and the straw yields only in pots that had received slight liming and abundant potassium fertilization. With the low rate of nitrogen the magnesium fertilization increased the yield if the plants were receiving little potassium.

Doubling the nitrogen fertilization decreased significantly the grain yield obtained without magnesium fertilization. Increasing the rate of potassium and tripling the liming strengthened the negative effect of an abundant nitrogen fertilization. The corresponding changes in the straw yields occurred in the same direction, but not to as great an extent as the changes in the grain yields.

Irrespective of the levels of lime and magnesium fertilizer application, greater grain and straw yields were obtained with the greatest potassium rate than with the smallest potassium rate when the low level of nitrogen fertilization had been used. In the pots that had received the abundant nitrogen fertilization and liming, increasing the rate of potassium has a significant positive effect on the yields when using magnesium fertilization. In the slightly limed pots the highest rate of potassium produced smaller grain and straw yields than the medium potassium rate. The difference was significant with the high rate of magnesium application.

In the third year the experiment continued with the low rate of nitrogen. The yields were smaller than in the first two years. Without a magnesium fertilization the grain yields were small or the grains did not develop at all. A magnesium fertilization caused a significant increase in the grain and the straw yields with all the rates of potassium and lime application. The two rates of magnesium application showed no differences in this respect.

With the greatest potassium rate smaller yields were obtained than with the two smaller potassium rates at all the levels of magnesium, when the peat had been slightly limed. A astrong liming removed the harmful effect of the high level of potassium, and an increase in the rate of potassium increased the straw yields significantly with both magnesium fertilizations. As far as the grain yields are concerned, an increase in the rate of potassium from K_1 to K_2 caused a significant increase in the yield.

The positive effect of tripling the amount of liming was significant on the grain and straw yields of oats at the two highest potassium levels when the plants were receiving a magnesium fertilization.

The greatest grain and straw yields in this pot experiment were produced by using abundant potassium and nitrogen fertilization together with strong liming and magnesium fertilization. The significance of the two rates of magnesium varied from year to year.

During the three years the magnesium fertilization increased the grain and the straw yields annually on the average as follows:

		Increase	in yield	Change	in yield
		g/pot	t	9	0
		$Mg_1 - Mg_0$	$Mg_2 - Mg_0$	$Mg_1 - Mg_0$	$Mg_2 - Mg_0$
Grains	1st year	 -0.8	-1.5	2	3
	2nd year	 23.8	22.4	141	133
	3rd year	 19.3	19.6	1442	1461
Straws	1st year	 1.1	1.5	2	3
	2nd year	 16.7	15.1	56	50
	3rd year	 20.8	19.3	219	203

In the second year the magnesium fertilization appeared to be increasing the grain and the straw yields in a more pronounced way. The difference was not, however, significant. In all the data from three years the increase in the yield due to the magnesium fertilization was the bigger the smaller the yield that was obtained without any magnesium fertilization (grains $r = -0.799^{***}$, straws $r = -0.701^{***}$).

The ratios between the equivalents of the annually supplied two amounts of magnesium (200 mg = 16.5 me and 400 mg = 32.9 me) and three amounts of potassium (415 mg = 10.6 me, 830 mg = 21.3 me and 1660 mg = 42.5 me) were 0.32, 0.65, 1.29 and 2.58. Using the fertilizers in the various ratios the following average grain and straw yields were obtained during the three years:

			K/Mg in the	fertilization		
	K ₁ /Mg ₂ 0.32	K ₁ /Mg ₁ 0.65	$ m K_2/Mg_2$ 0.65	${ m K_{2}/Mg_{1}}$ 1.29	$ m K_4/Mg_2$ 1.29	K ₄ /Mg ₁ 2.58
			Yield	g/pot		
Grains	32.2ª	31.3ª	43.0ъ	44.9 ^b	46.6b	47.8b
Straws	38.0ª	36.8ª	45.8 ^b	46.5 ^b	47.7b	50.0b

The peat used as the growth base contained little exchangeable magnesium and potassium and an increase in the ratio K/Mg in the fertilizer caused increases in the yields. The grain and the straw yields obtained with the ratios at 1.29 and 2.58 were significantly higher than the yields obtained with ratio at 0.32. At K/Mg equal to 0.65 the yield increased upon increasing the amount of either nutrient. With the ratio at 1.29 or 2.58, increases in the amounts of nutrients, and the ratios between the nutrients, no longer affected the yields.

Grain yield as a fraction of the total yield

The percentage figure that gives the grain yield as a lot of the whole yield describes the effect of various nutrients on the development of the grain and the straw yields (Table 3). In the beginning of the experiment the magnesium treatments did not change the grain fraction in the over-all yields. Tripling the amount of liming decreased the grain fraction at the K_1 and K_2 levels regardless of the rates of nitrogen and magnesium fertilization.

In the second year with the abundant level of nitrogen fertilizer supply the deficiency in magnesium lowered the grain fraction in the whole yield with respect to crops that had received a magnesium fertilization. The effect of other nutrients that were studied waslight. The third year results with respect to the magnesium treatments were similar to the previous ones. In addition, the highest potassium rate, even together with the magnesium, lowered the grain fraction when the rate of liming was low. As the growth in the grain yield, caused by magnesium fertilization, increased, also the grain fraction in the whole yield increased. ($r = 0.769^{***}$, n = 60).

Weight of the grain

Tripling the liming rate, or doubling the nitrogen fertilization rate, depressed the weight of the oat grain in the first year at the level of the lowest potassium rate (Table 4). Increasing the rate of potassium increased the weight of the grain irrespective of the magnesium treatments.

In the second year an increase in potassium without magnesium further increased the weight of the grain in the crops that had received the low rate of nitrogen fertilization. The same was found to apply in yields at the high level of nitrogen application with magnesium fertilization. Grains obtained without magnesium were smaller than others.

Between the increase, caused by the magnesium fertilization in the grain yield, and the change in the weight of the grain there prevailed a constant, positive correlation ($r = 0.863^{***}$, n = 60).

Dry matter content of the crop

The crop was cut when the oats had matured in the majority of the pots. The dry matter content of the whole crop, determined immediately after the cutting, varied in the first year in the range 46-85 %, in the second year in the range 16-76 %, and in the third year in the range 19-52 %.

The dry matter content of the crops obtained in the second year with the high nitrogen fertilization rate without magnesium was 16-43 %, and the dry matter content of the corresponding crops, but with magnesium fertilization, was 26-41 percent units higher. Still in the third year, the increase in the dry matter content due to the magnesium fertilization was 8-22 percent units. The amount of green adventitious shoots in crops with a low dry matter content was high.

An increase in the potassium level from K_1 to K_4 depressed in all the years, at all the magnesium fertilization levels, the dry matter content of the crop significantly regardless of the amounts of nitrogen fertilization and liming.

Nutrient contents of the growth base

The nutrient contents of the growth base were determined from samples taken at the end of the growth season in the second year from the part of the experiment that had received the high nitrogen rate $(N_2, Table 5)$, and in the third year from the part that had received the low nitrogen rate $(N_1, Table 5)$

		Ca ₁			Ca ₃	
	K1	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_4	\mathbf{K}_{1}	$\mathbf{K_2}$	\mathbf{K}_4
1st year						
N ₁ Mg ₀	54defg	55efg	55efg	50bc	51bed	53cde
Mg ₁	52cde	55efg	52cde	48 ^b	50be	52cde
Mg_2	51bed	53cdef	54defg	48ъ	51bed	53cdef
N ₂ Mg ₀	51bed	57g	55 ^{efg}	43a	52cde	54defg
Mg ₁	51bed	56 ^f	54defg	41a	51bed	54defg
Mg_2	51bed	54def	53cdef	41ª	51bed	52cde
2nd year						
N ₁ Mg ₀	49gh	46defgh	44defg	43def	45defgh	46defgh
Mg1	49gh	48fgh	48fgh	47 efgh	46defgh	45defgh
Mg_2	50 ^h	49gh	48fgh	47 ^{efgh}	47 ^{efgh}	47 efgh
N ₂ Mg ₀	33c	19ь	1ª	15 ^b	5ª	2ª
Mg_1	47 efgh	50 ^h	48fgh	41de	45defgh	46defgh
Mg_2	44defg	47 efgh	49gh	42de	44defg	47 efgh
3rd year						
N ₁ Mg ₀	19ь	14 ^b	3a	2ª	1ª	0
Mg ₁	40cd	41cd	31°	41 cd	44a	40cd
Mg_2	44a	39ed	30°	41 cd	45ª	45ª

Table 3. Grain yield as a fraction of the total yield (%).

Meaning of index letters same as in Table 2.

Table 4.	Weight of	the oat	grain	(mg).
A COLO II	11018110 01	erro our	Brann	\

		Ca1			Ca ₃	
	\mathbf{K}_1	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_4	K ₁	\mathbf{K}_{2}	$\mathbf{K_4}$
1st year						
N ₁ Mg ₀	21de	26 ^{ij}	291	22ef	23 ^{fg}	25 ^{hi}
Mg1	22ef	24gh	28k1	21de	23fg	24gh
Mg2	22ef	25 ^{hi}	27jk	20 cd	23fg	26 ^{ij}
N2 Mg0	19bc	26 ^{ij}	27jk	18 ^b	23fg	25hi
Mg ₁	18 ^b	25 ^{hi}	27jk	15ª	22ef	24gh
Mg_2	20ed	25 ^{hi}	28 ^{k1}	16 ^a	21be	24gh
2nd yaar						
N ₁ Mg ₀	22be	23cd	27et	20 ^b	24cd	26de
Mg ₁	24ed	25de	26 ^{de}	23cd	24ed	24cd
Mg_2	24cd	25de	26 ^{de}	23cd	24ed	25de
N ₂ Mg ₀	22bc	21bc	13ª	16 ^a	15 ^a	15ª
Mg_1	22be	27ef	29 ^t	19 ^b	24ed	28ef
Mg_2	19 ^b	28ef	28et	19b	23ed	28ef
3rd year						
N ₁ Mg ₀	17be	20 cd	16 ^{ab}	13a	-	_
Mg ₁	23de	23de	21ª	20ed	22de	25e
Mg2	20cd	23de	17be	21ª	21ª	24e

Meaning of index letters same as in Table 2.

		Ca ₁		Ca ₃			
	\mathbf{K}_{1}	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_4	K1	\mathbf{K}_{2}	${\rm K}_4$	
			Mg mg/100				
$N_2 Mg_0$	16 ^{ab}	16 ^{ab}	15 ^{ab}	11a	10ª	10 ^a	
Mg_1	100e	68d	72d	69d	36°	24bc	
Mg_2	194 ^h	160g	169g	171s	139 ^t	106e	
			Ca mg/100	g			
N2 Mg0	908a	940a	910a	2100 ^b	2172ъ	2253ъ	
Mg ₁	824a	819a	816 ^a	2205b	2220Ъ	2205b	
Mg ₂	809a	809a	830a	2217ь	2097ъ	2172ъ	
			K mg/100 g				
$N_2 Mg_0$	42a	68a	306°	47a	84a	222b	
Mg_1	42a	66 ^a	171ь	33a	50ª	66ª	
Mg_2	43a	62ª	200 ^b	33a	53a	77a	
		Cond	luctivity 10 \times	mmho/cm			
N2 Mg0	1.7ª	3.0abc	7.4gh	2.6ab	6.7fgh	7.6h	
Mg_1	2.9abc	3.2abcd	5.7efgh	2.9abc	3.0ac	5.6efg	
Mg_2	4.7 ^{cde}	5.4ef	7.6 ^h	4.4bcbe	5.1def	7.0fgh	
			m K/Mg				
N ₂ Mg ₀	0.81	1.32	6.37	1.33	2.62	6.93	
Mg ₁	0.13	0.30	0.74	0.15	0.43	0.86	
Mg ₂	0.07	0.12	0.37	0.06	0.09	0.23	

Table 5. Exchangeable cations and conductivity value in the growth base at the end of the second year $(N_a \text{ level})$.

Meaning of index letters same as in Table 2.

6). The peat, which served as the growth base, contained exchangeable magnesium 50 mg/100 g air-dry peat. At the end of the experiment the exchangeable magnesium content of the peat had, without magnesium fertilization, dropped as low as to 8 mg/100 g. The annually supplied magnesium fertilization of 200 mg/pot was not sufficient, in conjunction with the strong liming, to maintain the magnesium content of the growth base at the original level if there had been a simultaneous strong nitrogen fertilization. The differences in the magnesium contents of the peat at the two nitrogen fertilization.

The liming clearly increased the exchangeable calcium content of the peat, but the other test variables did not affect it.

The greatest potassium amount, supplied annually, has increased the exchangeable potassium content of the growth base significantly in comparation with other potassium treatments, in low limed pots at all the magnesium levels, but in the strongly limed cases only without magnesium fertilization. The great excess of exchangeable potassium in ratio to exchangeable magnesium (K/Mg) in the pots that had received no magnesium fertilization, but the greatest potassium rate, probably is one reason for the reduction in the

		Ca ₁		Ca ₃			
	$\mathbf{K_1}$	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_4	$\mathbf{K_1}$	\mathbf{K}_{2}	\mathbf{K}_4	
			N====(100	1-2.5.5			
			Mg mg/100				
N ₁ Mg ₀	17a	18ª	17a	10 ^a	10 ^a	8ª	
Mg_1	119c	116 ^c	119c	108c	87 ^b	102bc	
Mg_2	238de	249e	235de	224ª	223d	255e	
			Ca mg/100	g			
N ₁ Mg ₀	948ъ	933ъ	814a	2340e	2050ed	2008c	
Mg1	858ab	831ab	705a	2237de	2213de	2260e	
Mg_2	797ab	810ab	691ª	1965°	2053cd	1968¢	
			K mg/100	g			
N ₁ Mg ₀	82ъ	141°	423e	89b	160°	446e	
Mg ₁	47a	57ab	288ª	33a	53ab	66ab	
Mg2	38a	93b	313d	35a	54ab	83b	
		Cond	luctivity 10 \times	mmho/cm			
N ₁ Mg ₀	2.8ª	3.8ab	6.5def	3.0ab	3.8ab	9.5g	
Mg ₁	3.1ab	4.2ab	6.6ef	4.2abe	5.0bcde	9.2g	
Mg_2	4.5abcd	6.6ef	7.9fg	4.7abcde	6.2cdef	9.7s	
			K/Mg				
N ₁ Mg ₀	1.50	2.64	7.73	2.78	4.99	17.29	
Mg ₁	0.12	0.15	0.75	0.09	0.19	0.20	
Mg ₁ Mg ₂	0.12	0.12	0.41	0.05	0.19	0.20	

Table 6. Exchangeable cations and conductivity value in the growth base at the end of the third year $(N_1 \text{ level})$.

Meaning of index letters same as in Table 2.

yield. The lowest potassium rate was quite deficient, since the plants had used up some of the potassium reserves of the peat itself.

The magnesium and potassium fertilizations, given as sulphates, increased together, and separately, the concentration of water soluble salts (the conductivity value) in the growth base. The high conductivity values were, perhaps, partially responsible for the decrease in the yield in the pots that had received the abundant magnesium and potassium fertilizations.

Discussion

The magnesium reserves in the peat (exchangeable magnesium 50 mg/100 g) were sufficient in the first year for the production of an ample grain and straw yield. The positive effect of the magnesium fertilization on the yields of the following years in this experiment is probably principally caused by the depletion of the magnesium reserves of the growth base. Without a magnesium fertilization there remained in the peat after the experiment on an average exchangeable magnesium 13 mg/100 g. Augmenting the potassium and the nitrogen fertilizations or the liming, without magnesium, did

not affect the yield. In a pot experiment on peat, of 5 years' duration, carried out in Norway (SORTEBERG 1974), magnesium fertilization (125, 250 and 500 mg Mg/5 1) did not affect the yield of oats. In the investigation it is mentioned that the peat contained little magnesium. In spite of that the plants grew well without magnesium fertilization. However, the magnesium content of the growth base was possibly greater than in the present investigation.

The slight reduction in the grain and the straw yields caused by the high magnesium rate, 400 mg/pot Mg, probably indicates that an excessive magnesium sulphate fertilization on an acid soil may impede the growth of plants (SCHREIBER 1950, KERÄNEN and JOKINEN 1964, JERLSTRÖM 1975). The yield decreased most clearly in pots that had received the high annual amounts of magnesium and potassium sulphate. At the end of the experiment the conductivity values of their growth bases were high, and this may have impeded the growth of oats at least to some extent.

In a magnesium deficiency situation a magnesium fertilization appeared to increase the grain yield more clearly than the straw yield. Results that point in the same direction have also been obtained on mineral soils (LEHNE and KOEPKE 1962, JERLSTRÖM 1975). The magnesium fertilization increased the weight of the grain (WIEHMANN 1967) and promoted the development of the grain yield. A magnesium deficiency causes growth delay (JERLSTRÖM 1975), with the consequence that the grain fraction in the total yield diminishes, and the maturing of the crop is delayed (SCHARRER and MENGEL 1959, KERÄNEN and JOKINEN 1964).

In pot experiments carried out on mineral soil (JERLSTRÖM 1975) and on peat (SORTEBERG 1974), as well as in solution-culture experiments (OMAR and EL KOBBIA 1966, FALADE 1973), it has been observed, corroborating the results of the present pot experiment, that the yields of various plants increase upon increasing the amount of potassium, if a sufficient amount of the other nutrients is present. In the solution experiments only saturations of potassium exceeding 48 me/l impeded growth. In the present investigation the annually supplied 1660 mg/pot K (8.5 me/l of peat) did not depress significantly the grain and the straw yields of oats before the third year, when such a depression occured.

In the first year, when there was a sufficient concentration of exchangeable magnesium and little potassium in the growth base, the effect of the magnesium fertilization on the yields did not depend on the potassium fertilization rate. In the second and the third years the magnesium fertilization increased the grain and straw yields the more the larger the potassium fertilization that had been supplied. An increase in the ratio of the annually supplied potassium and magnesium fertilizations increased the yields of oats. In a Polish pot experiment on a lowpotassium mineral soil, a magnesium fertilization increased the yield of spring wheat only when also potassium fertilizer was used abundantly (MERCIK et al. 1976). In solution-culture experiments (BENKO and FECENKO 1970) the yield of barley shoots did not decrease unless there was a great excess of either potassium or magnesium (K/Mg 59:1 or 1:59) in the solution. Liming promoted the growth of the roots of plants. However, the weights of the roots were not determined in this experiment. It was observed that the straw yield increased as a result of tripling the liming rate. At the same time the grain fraction in the total crop yield diminished. The yield of corn cut at the vegetative stage increased upon the adding of more calcium, both in solution-culture (FALADE 1973) and in pot experiments (HALL and HEGwood 1975). A high level of lime supply increased the yield of oats, and the uptake of magnesium by the yields, in every year (JOKINEN 1977). For that reason, with insufficient magnesium in the third year adding more lime depressed the straw yield.

REFERENCES

- BENKO, V. & FECENKO, J. 1970. Effect of various K: Mg ratios upon the formation of dry matter and the uptake of nutrients by spring barley. Acta Fytotechnica 21: 91-104.
- FALADE, J. A. 1973. Interrelationships between potassium, calcium and magnesium nutrition of Zea mays L. Ann. Bot. 37: 345-353.
- HALL, C. T. & HEGWOOD, D. A. 1975. Effect of soil calcium level in four soil pH-magnesium combinations on the calcium and magnecium level in sweet corn (Zea mays L.). Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 6: 555-570. (Ref. Fert. Abstr. 8: 310).
- HANSEN, E. M. 1972. Studies on the chemical composition of isolated soil solution and the cation absorption by plants. I. Relationship between form and amount of added nitrogen and absorption on N, K, Na, Ca and Mg by barley. Plant Soil 37: 589-607.
- JERLSTRÖM, H.-G. 1975. Studier över möjligheterna att med växt- och jordanalyser beskriva magnesiumsituationen i svensk växtodling. Summary: Studies on the magnesium situation in Swedish agriculture using soil and plant analysis. Inst. markvetenskap Avd. växtnäringslära Lantbrukshögskolan Uppsala. Diss. 197 p.
- JOKINEN, R. 1977. Effect of added magnesium, potassium, lime and nitrogen on oats. II. Nutrient contents, cation ratios and magnesium uptake. J. Scient. Agric. Soc. Finl. 00: 49: 296-314.
- KERÄNEN, T. & JOKINEN, R. 1964. Magnesiumin puutteen torjuminen magnesiumpitoisuudeltaan erilaisilla kalkkikivijauheilla. Referat: Bekämpfung von Magnesiummangel mit Kalksteinmehlen veschiedenen Magnesiumgehalts. Ann. Agric. Fenn. 3: 244-255.
- LEHNE, I. & KOEPKE, V. 1962. Die Wirkung einer Magnesiumdüngung magnesiumarmer Sandböden in Abhängigkeit von Kalk- und Kaligaben. Albrecht-Thaer-Archiv 6: 194-207.
- McLEAN, E. O. & CARBONELL, M. D. 1972. Calcium, magnesium and potassium saturation ratios in two soils and their effect upon yield and nutrient content of german millet and alfalfa. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 36: 927-930.
- MERCIK, S., GORALSKI, J. & GOZLINSKI, H. 1976. Wplyw wspoldzialania potasu z magnezem oraz potasu z sodem na plonowanie i skład chemiczny kilku roślin. Summary: Effect of potassium-magnesium and potassium-sodium interaction on yield and chemical composition of several crops. Polish Agric. Ann. 101, 3: 103-122. Serie A.
- OMAR, M. A. & EL KOBBIA, T. 1966. Some observations on the interrelationships of potassium and magnesium. Soil Sci. 101: 437-440.
- PUUSTJÄRVI, V. 1968. Cation exchange capacity in Sphagnum mosses and its effect on nutrient and water absorption. Peat Plant News 1: 54-58.
- » 1971. The activities of calcium and potassium on water and peat cultures. Acta Agr. Fenn. 123: 70-73.
- SCHARRER, K. & MENGEL, K. 1958. Über den Kalium-Magnesium-Antagonismus bei Mais und Sonnenblumen. Z. Pflanzenern. Düng. Bodenk. 83: 149-162.
- SCHREIBER, R. 1950. Über die Wirkung des Magnesiums auf den Ertrag und die N\u00e4hrstoffaufnahme von K₂O und MgO bei den Getreidearten. Z. Pflanzenern. D\u00fcng. 48: 37-64.

- SORTEBERG, A. 1974. Virkningen av magnesium på avlingsstørrelse og magnesiuminnhold ved olike kalking og olike nitrogenforbindelser. Summary: The effect of magnesium application on yield and magnesium content as influenced by liming and different nitrogen sources. Forkn. Forsøk Landbr. 25: 537-558.
- STEEL, R. G. D. & TORRIE, J. H. 1960. Principles and procedures of statistics. New York, Toronto, London 481 p.
- WIEMANN, H. 1967. Die Wirkung von Mangan, Bor und Magnesium auf Weizen besonderer Berücksichtigung der Kornproteine und der Stickstoffspätdüngung. Agrikulturchem. Inst. Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms Univ. Bonn. Diss. 122 p.

Ms received November 9, 1977.

SELOSTUS

Magnesium-, kalium- ja typpilannoituksen sekä kalkituksen vaikutus I. Kauran satoon

RAILI JOKINEN

Maatalouden tutkimuskeskus, Maanviljelyskemian ja -fysiikan laitos, 01300 Vantaa 30

Rahkaturve kasvualustana tutkittiin astioissa (5 l) kolmen magnesium- (Mg₀ = 0, Mg₁ = 200 ja Mg₂ = 400 mg/ast Mg), kolmen kalium- (K₁ = 415, K₂ = 830 ja K₄ = 1660 mg/ast K), kahden kalkki- (Ca₁ = 2400 ja Ca₃ = 7200 mg/ast Ca) ja kahden typpimäärän (N₁ = 1000 ja N₂ = 2000 mg/ast N) eri yhdistelmien vaikutusta kauran jyvä- ja olkisatoon.

Kolme vuotta jatkuneessa kokeessa magnesiumlannoitus ei ensimmäisenä vuonna vaikuttanut jyvä- ja olkisatoon, jyvän kokoon ja sadon tuleentumiseen, koska kasvualustan sisältämä vaihtuvan magnesiumin määrä (50 mg/100 g ilmakuivaa turvetta) oli riittävä. Magnesium varojen loputtua 200 mg/ast Mg vuosittaisena lannoituksena riitti runsaan sadon muodostumiseen. Suurin kaliummäärä yhdessä kaksinkertaisen magnesiumlannoituksen kanssa aiheutti lievän sadon alenemisen, sillä veteen liukenevien suolojen määrä nousi kasvualustassa korkeaksi. Magnesiumin puute vaikeutti voimakkaammin jyvä- kuin olkisadon muodostumista, sadon tuleentuminen viivästyi ja jyvät olivat pieniä. Kaliumin ja magnesiumin suhde vuosittain uusitussa lannoituksessa, kun kumpaakin ravinnetta käytettiin, ei vaikuttanut kauran satoon. Ravinteiden määrällä oli tärkeämpi merkitys kuin ravinteiden suhteella. Kalium-, kalkki- tai typpimäärän lisäykset pienensivät kukin satoja, jos kasvit kärsivät magnesiumin puutetta. Yhdessä magnesiumin kanssa nämä käsittelyt lisäsivät sekä jyvä- että olkisatoa. Ilman magnesiumlannoitusta suurin kaliummäärä kohotti selvästi vaihtuvan kaliumin ja magnesiumin suhdetta (K/Mg) kasvualustassa ja aiheutti sadon alenemisen.