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The author proposes that future research work on crop production should concentrate on alleviating the problems associated with overwintering and frost, early summer drought and rainy autumn in order to enhance the competitiveness of field crop production in Finland. Further, more detailed knowledge on the crops is required to understand the genotypic differences in potential yield formation in order to optimize management practices. Increasing the nitrogen (N) fixation efficiency of leguminous species should be considered as an important target. Naked oats, sunflower, and leguminous plants might be advantageous species for future crop production in Finland. The bioenergetic implications of increasing crop productivity are discussed.
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Crop production forms the basis of agriculture, i.e., there would be no agriculture without crop production. Therefore, when discussing the future of Finnish agriculture, crop production research has a significant role in maintaining the competitive position of Finnish agriculture.

The competitive position could be achieved through sustaining environmentally sound agriculture featuring economic productivity while maintaining high yield and good quality. Furthermore, production should involve limited risks, taking into account the biological factors in addition to the environmental ones. Thus, an understanding of management practices as well as crop physiology has a key role in sustainable agriculture as defined above. Crop research should primarily be carried out in field experiments because the interactions between the phenomena studied and the environment are emphasized in northern latitudes.

Intensified productivity - a future prospect

When adjusting to European integration, Finnish agricultural productivity must be considerably increased in order to improve competitiveness (KOLA et al. 1992). Regarding crop production this can be attained either by breeding or more precise use of management practices. The improved productivity should result from optimization of inputs rather than increasing inputs (KURPPA 1992). The intensification of management practices by economical, technological and biological methods is efficient only if the genotype-dependent yield potential of a plant from sprouting to harvesting is known (PELTONEN and PELTONEN-SAINIO 1991). Thus, more precise information is needed on the critical plant growth stages in which the management practices are most favourable (PELTONEN 1992 b).
The ecological cropping systems probably have no future in Finland, because the use of inputs (no industrial inorganic fertilizers and pesticides allowed) in these cropping systems cannot be as controlled biologically as in the intensive cropping systems. Moreover, the quality of Finnish agricultural products is very high and they are free from pesticide residues according to international standards (Kumpulainen 1992). This is because only a limited number of pests and diseases are of importance in the marginal growing conditions prevailing in Finland (Karjalainen 1985). It is often claimed that yields cannot be sustained in monocultures based on repeated applications of inorganic fertilizers and pesticides. The Rothamsted long-term experiments in the U.K. during the period 1852 - 1986 showed, however, that they can (Jenkinson 1991), although these experiments also indicated the importance of crop rotation.

Within this context, resistance breeding in Finland has hardly ever resulted in significant yield improvements as has been the case in other European countries (Karjalainen 1985, Doodson 1981). The geographical location of Finland offers, however, special challenges for crop production research, alleviating the problems associated with overwintering and frost, early summer drought, and rainy autumn (Mukula and Rantanen 1989 a,b,c). Further, more precise information is needed on the physiological traits underlying a good crop ideotype (Hovinen 1988 a, Peltonen-Sainio 1991) and its adaptation to long day and low light intensity (Pulli 1988).

The yield potential of cultivated crops

In the present situation it is extremely important to identify which crop species can be economically produced in Finland in the future. The chemical composition of crop species varies greatly in their utility for either livestock, feedstock or “non-food” production. The amount of photosynthates needed by a crop is partly dependent on the chemical composition of the economic yield. In northern conditions, the ability of crops to convert light energy into biomass is, however, limited (Åkerberg and Haider 1976). Therefore, raising both quantity and quality simultaneously is increasingly difficult (Peltonen 1992 a).

In the following, the productivity of crop species is analyzed and drawn on the basis of their production related to the use of photosynthates. The results from the examination of the biochemical pathways (Penning de Vries et al. 1974) for the production of carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids from glucose were used as the basis. From 1 unit of glucose about 0.83 unit of carbohydrates, 0.40 unit of protein (assuming NO₃-N to be the N source), or 0.33 unit of lipid could be produced. Based on these values it has been calculated how much energy produced in photosynthesis is consumed in the formation of the economic yield of certain crop species (Table 1). The nitrogen requirement of the crop was estimated by calculating the protein produced from available photosynthate (Sinclair and de Wit 1975), in addition to N required for 1% increase in the protein concentration (Bhatia and Rabson 1976).

To visualize more easily the differences between the crops, N requirements per gram of photosynthates were plotted against economic yield per gram of photosynthate (Fig. 1). In the lower right-hand part of Fig. 1 there are the carbohydrate rich crops (cereals, potato, sugar beet). Of all the plant species examined, the productivity, defined as conversion of photosynthates into economic yield, is the highest in sugar beet and potato. In addition to their importance as food crops they have become increasingly important in “non-food” uses; starch is used for glue and as a binder in the paper industry. The alternative use of starch as a raw material in producing decomposed plastics is also increasing, especially as plastic mulch for agricultural purposes (Doane 1981, Galliard 1986). The portion of domestic starch used as raw material for decomposed plastics is, however, only about 50% (Erikoiskasvioimikunta 1987).

The fate of cereals in future Finnish crop production has received most attention because cereals are “bulk” products and over-produced in the world...
market. In addition, it has been indicated that cereal production in particular suffers from the high production costs characteristic to of Finnish agriculture (Kola et al. 1992). Milk production has a better chance to adjust to European integration, but not without problems, either. As an alternative, the production of naked cereals such as wheat, rye and naked oats for feed (Rekunen 1990) is suggested, because their feeding value is higher than that of ordinary hulled oats or barley. Naked oats is cultivated relatively little in the world. The probable reason for this is its poor yielding ability as compared with other cereals. The yield advantage of hulled oats without husks over the yield of naked oats is still approximately 600 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Peltonen-Sainio et al. 1992, manuscript). The limiting factor for the yield formation of naked oats is evidently the lower number of spikelets per panicle as compared with hulled oats (Peltonen-Sainio 1992, personal communication). Naked oats has, however, a clear advantage in industrial processing by saving the cost of hulling. Due to the "nakedness" of the grain the risk of harvesting damage increases (Rekunen 1990). Lipid and protein contents are also high in naked oats (Table 1). Therefore, its yield formation requires more N fertilization than that of other cereals. The biological value of the protein in oats is not reduced by N fertilization as is the case in other cereals (Lasztity 1984). Naked oats may be an alternative for cereal production in Finland following the advances in breeding for better yields.

In the lower left-hand part of Fig. 1 there are the oil crops (turnip rape, rape, flax, sun flower). Sunflower has the most effective yield formation of all of the oil crops. The reason for this may be its high potential maximum rate of leaf photosynthesis as compared to the other crops (Penning de Vries et al. 1989). Moreover, it requires less N for yield formation than turnip rape, rape or flax (Table 1). The high amount (57%) of polyunsaturated fatty acids

![Fig. 1. The requirement of nitrogen (mg) for yield per gram of available photosynhathe (g) for 19 crop species. Regression functions for protein crops $Y = 80.85 - 82.38X$ ($R^2=0.95''$), and for carbohydrate crops $Y = 54.49 - 55.26X$ ($R^2=0.96''$). There is no significant relationship between oil crop species.](image-url)
Table 1. Chemical composition, yield productivity (grams of biomass per gram of photosynthate), and nitrogen requirements (milligrams of N per gram of photosynthate) for crop yield of 19 crop species. Nitrogen requirement is calculated by assuming that protein is 16% nitrogen by weight. The last column gives the percentage increase in nitrogen requirement for a 1% increase in protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition*</th>
<th>Nitrogen requirement</th>
<th>Increase in nitrogen requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( % of dry weight)</td>
<td>With standard protein</td>
<td>With 1% increase in protein (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Lipid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley (<em>Hordeum vulgare</em>)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulled oats (<em>Avena sativa</em>)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naked oats (<em>Avena sativa</em>)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato (<em>Solanum tuberosum</em>)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rye (<em>Secale cereale</em>)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-beet (<em>Beta vulgaris</em>)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat (<em>Triticum aestivum</em>)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa (<em>Medicago sativa</em>)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field bean (<em>Vicia faba</em>)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupine (<em>Lupinus sp.</em>)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pea (<em>Pisum sativum</em>)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red clover (<em>Trifolium pratense</em>)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocksfoot (<em>Dactylis glomerata</em>)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadow fescue (<em>Festuca pratensis</em>)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy (<em>Phleum pratense</em>)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flax (<em>Linum usitatissimum</em>)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape (<em>Brassica napus</em>)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower (<em>Helianthus annuus</em>)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnip rape (<em>Brassica rapa</em>)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Information taken from SALO et al. (1990), naked oats from PELTONEN-SAINIO et al. (1992), manuscript.

In sunflower seed oil is an indicator of its good quality for human consumption. In contrast, rape seed oil contains some 25% of polyunsaturated fatty acids (WEISS, 1983). The rhizosphere pattern of sunflower is strong and deep. It can efficiently take up nutrients which may enable production even without application of chemical fertilizers. Harvesting of sunflower may be difficult because the moisture content of the seed seldom falls below 20% (FAO 1985). The inclusion of hybrids in crossing programs has been shown to lead to positive results with early maturity, good oil content, disease resistance and lodging resistance in breeding sunflower cultivars for northern latitudes (DEDIO 1988).

In the upper right-hand part of Fig. 1 there are the protein rich crops (lupine, pea, field bean, red clover, alfalfa, and grasses). The productivity of lupine is lower than that of pea and field bean. Furthermore, the high content of alkaloids in lupine lessens its use as feed (ALAVIUHKOLA 1986). Due to the high lysine content of pea and field bean (SALO et al. 1990), they have a high value in feeding. In breeding, more stable yield formation is obtained with the help of the af- and def-gene in pea and the ti-gene in field bean (HOVINEN 1988 a,b). The
increase of pea and field bean cultivation is thus recommended to substitute the imported soyabean for industry. Owing to their capacity for biological N fixation, leguminous plants such as pea, field bean, red clover and alfalfa are independent of inorganic N fertilizer. For this reason red clover and alfalfa have higher productivity than meadow fescue, cocksfoot, and timothy (Fig. 1). Many efforts have been made to improve the N fixation ability of *Rhizobium* bacteria (UOMALA 1986), but more detailed studies from this research area are still needed. A research priority could be to attempt to increase the resistance of N fixation bacteria to soil acidity and early summer drought.
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