ARCTOS

ACTA PHILOLOGICA FENNICA

VOL. LII



ARCTOS – ACTA PHILOLOGICA FENNICA

Arctos has been published since 1954, annually from vol. 8 (1974). Arctos welcomes submissions dealing with any aspect of classical antiquity, and the reception of ancient cultures in mediaeval times and beyond. Arctos presents research articles and short notes in the fields of Greek and Latin languages, literatures, ancient history, philosophy, religions, archaeology, art, and society. Each volume also contains reviews of recent books. The web site is at www.journal.fi/arctos.

Publisher:

Klassillis-filologinen yhdistys – Klassisk-filologiska föreningen (The Classical Association of Finland), c/o House of Science and Letters, Kirkkokatu 6, FI – 00170 Helsinki, Finland.

Editors:

Martti Leiwo (Editor-in-Chief), Lassi Jakola (Executive Editor and Secretary), Anna-Maria Wilskman (Review Editor).

Editorial Advisory Board:

Øivind Andersen, Therese Fuhrer, Michel Gras, Gerd Haverling, Richard Hunter, Maijastina Kahlos, Mika Kajava, Jari Pakkanen, Pauliina Remes, Olli Salomies, Heikki Solin, Antero Tammisto, Kaius Tuori, Jyri Vaahtera, Marja Vierros.

Correspondence regarding the submission of articles and general enquiries should be addressed to the Executive Editor and Secretary at the following address (e-mail: arctos-secretary@helsinki.fi). Correspondence regarding book reviews should be addressed to the Review Editor at the following address (e-mail: arctos-reviews@helsinki.fi)

Note to Contributors:

Submissions, written in English, French, German, Italian, or Latin, should be sent by e-mail to the Executive Editor and Secretary (at arctos-secretary@helsinki.fi). The submissions should be sent in two copies; one text version (DOCX/RTF) and one PDF version. The e-mail should also contain the name, affiliation and postal address of the author and the title of the article. Further guidelines can be found at www.journal.fi/arctos/guidelines1.

Requests for Exchange:

Exchange Centre for Scientific Literature, Snellmaninkatu 13, FI – 00170 Helsinki, Finland. – e-mail: exchange.centre@tsv.fi

Sale:

Bookstore Tiedekirja, Snellmaninkatu 13, FI – 00170 Helsinki, Finland. – Tel. +358 9 635 177, fax +358 9 635 017, internet: www.tiedekirja.fi.

ISSN 0570-734-X

Layout by Maija Holappa

Printed by KTMP Group Oy, Mustasaari

INDEX

¥	MIREILLE CORBIER	Nouvelle lecture d'une inscription de Mâcon (Matisco) (Saône-et-Loire, France)	11
À	Gianluca De Martino	A Multicultural Approach to the Study of the Cult of Hera in Poseidonia/Paestum	17
À	RICHARD DUNCAN-JONES	The Antonine Plague Revisited	41
À	HILLA HALLA-AHO	Left-dislocation, Subordinate Clauses and the Stylistic Difference between Plautus and Terence	73
À	GEORGE HOLLENBACK	The Problems in the Vitruvian Hodometer Revisited	95
À	Mika Kajava & Urpo Kantola	A Funerary Inscription from Northern Mesopotamia	99
À	ARTHUR KEAVENEY	Notes on Plutarch: Comparison Lysander-Sulla 2,5–7 and 5,5	103
À	Georgios E. Mouratidis	The Political Vocabulary of the Imperial- period Greek Elite; Some Notes on the Title ἀξιολογώτατος	119
À	Tiziano Ottobrini	Cirillo di Gerusalemme e le catechesi 12 e 13 nel- la basilica costantiniana (348 p.Ch.): verso una mistagogia sindonica	137
À	Olli Salomies	A Fourth-Century Inscription from Abritus in Moesia Secunda	157
À	Kaj Sandberg & Jasmin Lukkari	Equestrian Fortunes and Roman Imperialism	167
	Heikki Solin	Analecta Epigraphica 322–326	191
À	Kaius Tuori	Pliny and the Uses of the Aerarium Saturni as an Administrative Space	199

De novis libris iudicia	231
Index librorum in hoc volumine recensorum	271
Libri nobis missi	273
Index scriptorum	277



A FUNERARY INSCRIPTION FROM NORTHERN MESOPOTAMIA

MIKA KAJAVA & URPO KANTOLA*

The following note concerns a seemingly unpublished inscription from northern Mesopotamia. The exact provenance of the monument is unknown, as are the conditions of its discovery, but it is said to come from somewhere in the borderlands between Greater Armenia, Gordyene and Sophene in the modern province of Batman in south-eastern Turkey. As the text is not completely without interest, it is perhaps worthwhile to record it briefly.

Funerary stele in limestone (reported height c. 50 cm) with bust in relief of a woman covered with a veiled headdress and holding a child in her arms. The text inscribed under the relief is as follows:

Μουμμηία Ἰουλία, φίλανδρε ἄλυπε χαΐρε.

Regarding the nomenclature of the deceased, one may observe that while the second element with its derivatives is well known all over the ancient world, there seems to be only one further attestation of the gentile name *Mummeius* in the eastern Mediterranean.³ The final phrase, $\mathring{\alpha}\lambda\omega\pi\epsilon \chi\alpha\hat{\imath}\rho\epsilon$ ("causing no grief, etc.",

^{*} Our thanks are due to an anonymous reader.

¹ The present whereabouts of the object is unknown. As the author of the photograph we have is anonymous, we enclose a drawing from it.

² This provenance is possible, though one feels that the formula used in the inscription might rather point to a more western region like that around Zeugma in Commagene, cf. nn. 4–5.

³ L. Mummeius Ingenuos in a Severan dedication from Berytus (CIL III 158 = 6668 = 12095a).



frequently preceded by χρηστέ/ἡ καὶ), is well attested in the language of Greek epitaphs, especially in the Aegean and, even more, in Greater Syria and elsewhere in the East, the augmented version φίλανδρε ἄλυπε χαῖρε (or a variation) for deceased wives being hitherto documented only a couple of times, in Syria in particular. 5

As for chronology, the double gentile name, with Ἰουλία probably functioning as the woman's cognomen, suggests the earlier part of the Principate, perhaps the latter half of the first through the second century AD, hardly much later. Unfortunate-

There is one Μάνιος Μούμμειος Ῥοῦφος from the late first century AD in $IAlexImp\ 25$, Il. 20–21 (= Bernand, *Prose sur pierre* no. 60), but the presence of both Γέμεινος and of the names Πομπηΐα and Πομπηΐου in the same inscription suggests that the grapheme ει here probably stands for short /i/ (note that J. and L. Robert [$Bull.\acute{e}p$. 1962, no. 353], and some others, have taken the gentile name as Mummeius; Kayser [IAlexImp] has both "Mummius" and "Mummeius").

⁴ The geographical diffusion of the formula is discussed by J.-B. Yon, *Syria* 80 (2003) 151–59. For more recent evidence from Zeugma, see R. Ergeç & J.-B. Yon, in C. Abadie-Reynal (ed.), *Zeugma* III. *Les fouilles de l'habitat. Fouilles de l'habitat* (2): *la maison des Synaristôsai / Nouvelles inscriptions*, Lyon 2012, 159–90 (*passim*). Some examples are included in M. Blömer, *Steindenkmäler römischer Zeit aus Nordsyrien. Identität und kulturelle Tradition in Kyrrhestike und Kommagene*, Bonn 2014, 191–295 (Katalog, *passim*).

⁵ Φίλανδρε ἄλυπε χαῖρε: IGLS V 2671 (Emesene); SEG XXXII 1466 (Hierapolis, inscr. χῆρε); SEG XXVI 1533 (= J. Wagner, Seleukeia am Euphrat/Zeugma, Wiesbaden 1976, 196, no. 41: φίλανδρος ἄλυπε χαῖρε). Cf. SEG LIII 1773 and LXII 1543 (= Ergeç & Yon [above n. 4], nos. 22, 25; Zeugma): σώφρων καὶ φίλανδρε ἄλυπε χαῖρε; SEG LXII 1544 (= Ergeç & Yon [above n. 4], no. 26; Zeugma): ἀγαθὴ καὶ φίλανδρε ἄλυπε χαῖρε; SEG XXVI 1538 (Zeugma): φίλανδρε εὐσεβὴς ἄλυπε χῆρε (= Wagner, ibid. 199–200, no. 47); IGLS V 2371 (Emesa): σώφρο[να] καὶ φίλ[α]⟨ν⟩δρον, [ἄλυ]πε χαῖρε; IPortes 110 (Apollonopolis Magna): ἄλυπε, χρηστή, φίλανδρε, φιλό[τεκνε (ἐτῶν)] κγ; IG XII 3, 318 (Anaphe): χαῖρε ἄλυπε καὶ φίλανδρε. One may note, incidentally, that the omission of the copula between the vocatives (χρηστὲ/ὴ, ἄλυπε, etc.) preceding γαῖρε, is perhaps another typical feature of Syrian epitaphs.

ly, other features of the inscription do not offer much to narrow down the date any further. First, even though the use of Gr. ov to render Latin short /u/ (as in Movµµηία) is the typical alternative during the second century AD, it becomes quite common already in the previous century and accordingly may not be used as effective evidence for excluding a first-century AD date. Second, considering the probability of local variation in contemporary letter styles, palaeography does not help very much here either, as the stele lacks an exact provenance and thus allows little more than vague comparisons. Moreover, a palaeographic feature like the omicron written in a square form, well attested in the East, could occur locally in one period and be replaced by the round version in another, only to re-emerge some decades or a century later. This is what seems to have happened in Zeugma in the first and second centuries AD, for example. However, the upsilon with a horizontal bar might point to a date not earlier than the second century AD. In sum, considering that the style of the relief also seems assignable to the second century AD, this is altogether the most likely date for the stele.

University of Helsinki

⁶ Wagner (above n. 5) 166.