## **ARCTOS**

## ACTA PHILOLOGICA FENNICA VOL. XXIV

HELSINKI 1990 HELSINGFORS

## **INDEX**

CHRISTER BRUUN	
Die Historia Augusta, die Proskriptionen des Severus und die curatores operum publicorum	5
ANNE HELTTULA Portuensia. Nove iscrizioni sepolcrali dell'Isola Sacra (Porto)	15
TUIJA JATAKARI Der jüngere Sokrates	29
MAARIT KAIMIO et alii Comic Violence in Aristophanes	47
IIRO KAJANTO Johannes Scheffer on the imitatio veterum	73
KLAUS KARTTUNEN  Taxila – Indian City and a Stronghold of Hellenism	85
BENGT LÖFSTEDT  Zum Latein des Humanisten Otto Melander	97
OLLI SALOMIES  A Note on the Establishment of the Date of the Rain Miracle under Marcus Aurelius	107
TIMO SIRONEN Oscan VAAMUNIM	-113
HEIKKI SOLIN Analecta epigraphica CXXXIII-CXXXIX	121
MICHAEL P. SPEIDEL  The Names of Legionary Centuriae	135

LEENA TALVIO  Les citations bibliques dans le Morale Somnium Pharaonis	139
HOLGER THESLEFF Theaitetos and Theodoros	147
JYRI VAAHTERA Pebbles, Points, or Ballots: The Emergence of the Individual Vote in Rome	161
ROLF WESTMAN  Das Adespoton TrGF II F 123 b identifiziert	179
De novis libris iudicia	183
Index librorum in hoc volumine recensorum	219
Libri nobis missi	223

## The Names of Legionary Centuriae

M.P. SPEIDEL

Most legionary centuriae were named after their commander. A few however, especially in the third century, were named after their cohort and their rank. When reading the abbreviated names of such centuriae, most scholars add the word cohors. Hence names of centuriae like 7 VIIII h. pr. and 7 VIIII p. poster. were read 7(centuria) (cohortis) VIIII(nonae) h(astati) pr(ioris) and 7(centuria) (cohortis) VIIII(nonae) p(rincipis) posterior(is). 1

Having shown that the old-fashioned centurions' titles like *quintus princeps* posterior or sextus hastatus prior were still in use during the empire, I concluded in an earlier paper that there was no need to insert the word cohors in the names of the centuriae.<sup>2</sup> I still think this is correct. However, in a well-researched, useful article in Arctos 22 (1988) Christer Bruun pointed out a newly found career of a centurion of whom it is said fuit ordine in sexta hastatus posterior. Bruun suggested that in this one case and two other, similar ones, one should insert cohors, after all, and read fuit ordine in sexta (cohorte) hastatus posterior.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See M.P. Speidel, The Centurions' Titles, Epigr.Studien 13 (1983) 43-61, nos. 27 and 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid. The use of the old centurions' titles shows up again in an inscription from Satala: T.B. Mitford, Further Inscriptions from the Cappadocian Limes, ZPE 71 (1988) 167-178, no. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Chr. Bruun, Caligatus, tubicen, optio carceris and the Centurions' positions; Some Remarks on an Inscription in ZPE 71 (1988), Arctos 22 (1988) 23-40. The inscription is published by Mitford 1988, no. 12.

No doubt, Bruun is right in that the feminine ending of *sexta* implies a feminine noun to be understood. That word, however, should be centuria rather than *cohors*, to judge from a papyrus published not long ago, mentioning in 248 a soldier of the Egyptian legion II Traiana ἑκατονταρχίας  $\delta[\epsilon]$ υτέρας ἁστάτου πρώτ[oυ], i.e. *centuria secunda hastati prioris*.

Likewise, Prof. J.-Ch. Balty, Brussels, informs me that the correct text of an inscription from Apamea/Syria is 7 NONA PRI[N] POSTERI which surely is to be read: 7(centuria) nona pri[n](cipis) posteri(oris).<sup>5</sup>

No doubt, this was a pattern,<sup>6</sup> and it becomes clear that during the third century, an increasing number of legionary centuriae were identified by their number and place in one of the six battle lines (*ordines*):<sup>7</sup>

Battle lines	Centuriae					
	5	4	3	2	1	
pili	pilus prior pilus posterior	pilus prior pilus posterior	pilus prior pilus posterior	pilus prior pilus posterior	pilus prior	
principes	princeps prior princeps posterior					
hastati	hastatus prior hastatus posterior					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> K.A. Worp, SPP XXII 80: Revidiert, Papyrus Erzherzog Rainer, Wien 1983, 368ff. The editor, following the traditional scheme, thinks centuria was written for cohors by mistake.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> CIL III 187 = IGLS 1372. See my article, quoted above, no. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> It includes, as the Apamea inscription suggests, all centuriae of legio II Parthica. In my paper, quoted above, the ordinal numbers should thus be put in the feminine, in all cases save nos. 4, 6, 22, 25, 26, where centurions themselves are meant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For battle lines as ordines see Veg. mil. 3,14. From the point of view of the 'Rangordnung' these lines are 'Staffeln' (A. v.Domaszewski, Die Rangordnung des römischen Heeres, Köln 1967<sup>2</sup>, 90ff.) or 'Rangklassen' (K. Strobel, Bemerkungen zur Laufbahn des Ti. Claudius Vitalis, Tyche 2 [1987] 203-209; Idem, Ein neues Zeugnis zur Rangordnung im römischen Legionszenturionat der Kaiserzeit, Epigr. Anat., forthcoming).

Since the cohorts were lined up next to each other, the class and number of the centuriae stayed the same: the second centuria of the hastatus posterior is the same as the hastatus posterior centuria of the second cohort. It was the point of view that changed: the battle line mattered now more than the cohort. The reason for this change must have been the increasing specialization of the lines as each came to wield weapons different from those of the others.<sup>8</sup>

An interesting sidelight is shed thereby on the origin of legion II Parthica. When drafts from various legions were called up for campaigns and had to fight together, the different vexillationes needed to be classed by their battle field role. They thus referred to themselves in terms like 7(centuriae) VI(sextae) ha[st(ati) pr(ioris)], or 7(centuria) VIIII(nona) h(astati) pr(ioris). Hence legion II Parthica which named all its centuriae by number and line, is likely to have been raised from such a mixed field army during Septimius Severus' first Parthian war. 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Thus Hadrian in his speech at Lambaesis adressed first the two battle lines of the pili (Dessau 9133; the men, not the centurions are meant). Even greater specialization: Veg. mil. 2,15: 3,14; E. v.Nischer, in: J. Kromayer, G. Veith, Heerwesen und Kriegführung der Griechen und Römer, München 1928, 523; E. Wheeler, The Legion as Phalanx, Chiron 9 (1979) 303-318; Speidel 1983, 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> IDR II 327 and 328; Speidel 1984, nos. 23 and 5. Compare the identification of vexillations by cohorts: M.P. Speidel, Roman Army Studies I, Amsterdam 1984, 65-75. For field armies put together from drafts see E. Ritterling, s.v. legio, RE XII (1924) 1211-1829, esp. col. 1303ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For the origin of legion II Parthica see now D. Kennedy, The Garrisoning of Mesopotamia, Antichthon 21 (1987) 57-66. Legion II Traiana may also have been raised thus: see Speidel 1984, 47 for its pattern in naming centuriae; see further CIL III 151 and J.C. Mann, The Raising of New Legions, Hermes 91 (1963) 483-489, esp. 485; G. Forni, ANRW II:1 (1974) 380ff. and 388.