Molecular identification of *Trichogramma* species from South and South-East Asia and natural *Wolbachia* infection

Yu-Di Liu, Mao-Lin Hou & Kai Song

Liu, Y.-D., Hou, M.-L. & Song, K. 2017: Molecular identification of *Tricho-gramma* species from South and South-East Asia and natural *Wolbachia* infection. — Entomol. Fennica 28: 57–66.

Trichogramma wasps were collected from the parasitized eggs of lepidopteran pests from 21 sampling sites in East Asia and South-East Asia. Six *Trichogramma* species were identified based on the molecular identification method using the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) region of the rDNA of *Trichogramma chilonis*, *T. evanescens*, *T. ostriniae*, *T. embryophagum*, *T. dendrolimi* and *T. japonicum*. The results of molecular identification were confirmed by morphological identification. Additionally, natural populations were screened for the prevalence of *Wolbachia*. Five out of 21 populations were infected by the same *Wolbachia* strain, which was identified by using *Wolbachia wsp* gene and multilocus sequencing approach. The phylogenetic analysis of *Wolbachia wsp* sequences revealed that the *Wolbachia* strain was classified in the strain wEvaA in the group of EvA of the supergroup A.

Y.-D. Liu* & M.-L. Hou, State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, No. 2, West Yuan Ming Yuan Road, Beijing 100193, China; *Corresponding author's e-mail: ydliu@ippcaas.cn

K. Song, Dryland Farming Institute, Hebei Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Hengshui, China

Received 15 December 2015, accepted 11 November 2016

1. Introduction

There are about 650 species in the family Trichogrammatidae (Grissel & Schauff 1990), and they are the most widely used parasitoids in biological control programs (Kumar *et al.* 2009). For successful biological control purpose, the identification of *Trichogramma* species is the important first step (Hassan 1994). Unfortunately, species identification in this group is difficult due to their small size, the large number of species, and the lack of clear morphological characteristics. Identification is time consuming and requires specialized skills (Pinto *et al.* 1989, Pinto & Stouthamer 1994, Poorjavad *et al.* 2012). In order to be of economic importance in biological control projects, it is essential to be able to identify the Trichogrammatid species quickly, and the methods have to be simple and widely applicable.

Molecular approaches based on DNA sequences of the internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) have helped to solve the above difficulty (Stouthamer *et al.* 1999). Several studies have used the ITS region to identify the *Trichogramma* species occurring in different regions (Silva *et al.* 1999, Kumar *et al.* 2009, Sumer *et al.* 2009, Poorjavad *et al.* 2012, Nasir *et al.* 2013, Pino *et al.* 2013). The ITS2 region has been used to distinguish *Trichogramma* species collected from tomato fields in Portugal by sequencing and restriction analysis (Silva *et al.* 1999). Pino *et al.* (2013) rapidly identified five *Trichogramma* species occurring in the Canary Islands by using multiplex PCR method based on amplification of ITS2 region. Poorjavad *et al.* (2012) used PCR amplification of ITS2 region to identify seven Iranian *Trichogramma* species, which were identical in external morphology. Nasir *et al.* (2013) used the ITS2 region of rDNA to distinguish six *Trichogramma* species collected from different ecological zones of Pakistan.

In East Asia and South-East Asia, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a staple food source for more than half of the world's population (Gross & Zhao 2014). To reduce the application of pesticides in control of the rice pests, integrated pest management (IPM) based on biological control by *Trichogramma* releases was launched (Ko *et al.* 2014). Therefore, in this study, comprehensive field surveys were conducted in these regions to collect *Trichogramma* species. Finally, *Trichogramma* were collected from 21 sampling sites in East Asia and South-East Asia. The molecular method based on ITS2 region was used to identify these *Trichogramma* species.

On the other hand, Wolbachia, as a symbiotic bacterium, plays important roles in evolution, ecology, and reproduction of their hosts (Werren 1997). They are extremely common, with 20-76% of insect species being infected (Harris et al. 2003). More than 20 Trichogramma species have been partly or completely infected by Wolbachia (Poorjavad et al. 2012). Because Wolbachia infection can affect the wasp's fitness, it is necessary to investigate the infection status of a population, which may give important aids for Trichogramma application in biological control programs (Stouthamer & Kazmer 1994, Horjus & Stouthamer 1995, Poorjavad et al. 2012). Therefore, in this study, the collected Trichogramma wasps were screened for the infection with Wolbachia, and the respective Wolbachia strain was identified by sequences of Wolbachia surface protein (wsp), Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit I (coxA) and Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (fbpA).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Trichogramma collection

Parasitized Trichogramma eggs were collected from 21 paddy fields in China and Korea in 2011 and 2012 (Table 1), and kept individually in glass tubes until adult emergence. In some fields, fresh sentinel eggs of Corcyra cephalonica (Stainton) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) were used to trap Trichogramma. Therefore, the originating host of Trichogramma for some sites is the sentinel host C. cephalonica. After collection, the adults were reared on eggs of the grain moth, Sitotroga cerealella Olivier (Lepidoptera: Gelenchiidae), in climate chambers at 25 ± 1 °C, $70 \pm 5\%$ RH with the photoperiod of 14:10 (L:D) h. Populations are defined as the progeny from one egg batch, which are collected from the same species at the same location on the same day.

2.2. DNA isolation

DNA was extracted using chelating agent Chelex–100 (5%) (Biorad) method according to Stouthamer *et al.* (1999). One to three wasps from the single parasitized egg were ground in 100 μ l 5% Chelex–100 (Biorad) and 3 μ l proteinase K (20 mg/ml) and incubated for 2 h at 56 °C, followed by 10 min at 95 °C. The supernatant was stored at –20 °C for subsequent molecular analysis.

2.3. PCR amplification

The ITS2 region was amplified using the following primers: forward, 5 –TGTGAACTGCAG-GACACATG–3, located in the 5.8S rDNA; and reverse, 5 –GTCTTGCCTGCTCTGAG–3, located in the 28S rDNA closer to the 3 end of the ITS2 (Stouthamer *et al.* 1999). Touchdown thermal cycling programs encompassing a 5 °C span of annealing temperatures at 55–50 °C were performed for the amplification using a S1000TM Thermal Cycler (Bio–Rad). After an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min, cycling parameters were 10 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, highest annealing temperature (decreased 0.5 °C per cycle) for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; and 30 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, lowest annealing temperature for 30 s, and

Sample no. and Code	Species	Population	Host	ITS2 and Acc. no.
1. Tc-CJ	T. chilonis	Cuijia, Xing'an, China 34°55'46"N, 109°37'51"F	Corcyra cephalonica	426 KR148947
2. Tc-WLP	T. chilonis	Wulipai, Xing'an, China 24°24'0"N. 120°37'58"E	C. cephalonica	426 KR148947
3. Tc-Lo	T. chilonis	Vientiane, Laos 17°58'0"N, 102°36'0"E	Chilo suppressalis	426 KR148948
4. Tc-NB	T. chilonis	Ningbo, China 29°52'48"N, 121°33'0"E	C. suppressalis	426 KR148948
5. Tc-MM	T. chilonis	Hmawbi, Myanmar 17°5'0"N, 95°57'0"E	Scirpophaga incertulas	426 KR148948
6. Tc-TW	T. chilonis	Taiwan, China 25°3'0"N, 121°31'0"E	C. suppressalis	426 KR148948
7. Tc-HS	T. chilonis	Hengshui, China 37°32'14"N, 115°28'59"E	C. suppressalis	426 KR148949
8. Te-HS	T. evanescens	Hengshui, China 37°32'14"N, 115°28'59"E	Ostrinia furnacalis	546 KR148950
9. Te-BJ	T. evanescens	Beijing, China 39°54'27"N, 116°23'17"E	C. cephalonica	546 KR148950
10. Te-CQ	T. evanescens	Chongqing, China 29°10'47"N, 106°9'36"E	C. cephalonica	546 KR148950
11. Te- K1	T. evanescens	Korea 37°28'N, 126°37'E	O. furnacalis	546 KR148950
12. Te- K2	T. evanescens	Korea 37°28'N, 126°37'E	O. furnacalis	546 KR148950
13. Te-Tconf	T. evanescens	Guangdong, China 23°4'48"N, 113°8'24"E	O. furnacalis	546 KR148951
14. Te-GD	T. evanescens	Guangdong, China 23°4'48"N, 113°8'24"E	O. furnacalis	544 KR148952
15. To-HBZ	T. ostriniae	Hebianzai, Dehong, China 24°16'57"N, 104°25'21"E	C. cephalonica	566 KR148945
16. To-MZ	T. ostriniae	Mangzai, Dehong, China 24°0'16"N, 101°6'26"E	C. cephalonica	566 KR148945
17. To-HS	T. ostriniae	Hengsui, China 37°32'14"N, 115°28'59"E	C. cephalonica	566 KR148946
18. Tem-Tcac	T. embryophagum	Husa, Dehong, China 24°27'48"N, 97°53'24"E	C. cephalonica	587 KR148953
19. Td-NJ	T. dendrolimi	Nanjing, China 32°3'0"N, 118°46'60"E	Cnaphalocrocis medinalis	520 KR148954
20. TJ-GD	T. japonicum	Guangdong, China 23°4'48"N, 113°8'24"E	C. suppressalis	432 KR148955
21. Tj-HS	T. japonicum	Husa, Dehong, China 24°27'48"N, 97°53'24"E	S. incertulas	432 KR148955

Table 1. Origins of *Trichogramma* species and strains with originating hosts, no. of base pairs in ITS2 (internal transcribed spacer 2 region of rDNA) sequences and GenBank accession numbers.

72 °C for 30 s. This was followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min. Each reaction was run in a volume of 30 μ L, containing 3 μ L (10×) Taq assay buffer, 300 μ M dNTP, 0.4 μ M of each primer, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Dalian, China), and 50–100 ng genomic DNA.

The primer used to amplify the wsp fragment

from *Trichogramma* samples was that described by Braig *et al.* (1998). We also used two conserved *Wolbachia* genes, *fbpA* and *coxA*, to characterize *Wolbachia* strain for *Trichogramma* samples, which were amplified based on the methods of Baldo *et al.* (2006). The PCR primers were designed also according to Baldo *et al.* (2006). The PCR reaction conditions and the

Wolbachia host species	Wolbachia	GenBank
	strain	Acc. no.
Drosophila sechella	<i>w</i> Ha	AF020073
Cadra cautella	<i>w</i> CauA	AF020075
Glossina austeni	<i>w</i> Aus	AF020077
Trichogramma ourarachae	<i>w</i> Bou	AF071913
Trichogramma evanescens	<i>w</i> EvaB	AY390280
Trichogramma kaykai	<i>w</i> KayA	AF071912
Drosophila simulans	wCof	AF020067
Amitus fuscipennis	<i>w</i> Fus	AF071909
Drosophila melanogaster	<i>w</i> Mel	AF020063
Trichogramma drosophilae	<i>w</i> Dro	AF071910
Trichogramma evanescens	<i>w</i> EvaA	AY390279
Phlebotomus papatasi	<i>w</i> Pap	AF020082
Muscidifurax uniraptor	<i>w</i> Uni	AF020071
Nasonia vitripennis	wVitA	AF020081
·		
Leptopilina australis	<i>w</i> Aus	AF071920
Cadra cautella	<i>w</i> CauB	AF020076
Spalangia fuscipes	<i>w</i> Fu	AF071921
Trichogramma bedeguaris	<i>w</i> /Bed	AF071915
Trichogramma deion	<i>w</i> Dei	AF020084
Armadillidium vulgare	wVul	AF071917
Trichogramma chilonis	<i>w</i> Chi	AY311486
Drosophila simulans	<i>w</i> Ma	AF020069
Culex pipiens	<i>w</i> Pip	AF020061
Spodoptera exigua	<i>w</i> ExiB	EU332344
Ostrinia furnacalis	<i>w</i> FurB	EU294312
Trichogramma kaykai	<i>w</i> KayB	AF071924
Trichogramma nubilale	<i>w</i> Nub	AF071926
-		
Brugia malayi		JX506736
-		
Cimex lectularius		DQ842459
	Wolbachia host species Drosophila sechella Cadra cautella Glossina austeni Trichogramma ourarachae Trichogramma evanescens Trichogramma kaykai Drosophila simulans Amitus fuscipennis Drosophila melanogaster Trichogramma drosophilae Trichogramma evanescens Phlebotomus papatasi Muscidifurax uniraptor Nasonia vitripennis Leptopilina australis Cadra cautella Spalangia fuscipes Trichogramma bedeguaris Trichogramma deion Armadillidium vulgare Trichogramma deion Armadillidium vulgare Trichogramma chilonis Drosophila simulans Culex pipiens Spodoptera exigua Ostrinia furnacalis Trichogramma nubilale Brugia malayi Cimex lectularius	Wolbachia host speciesWolbachia strainDrosophila sechellawHaCadra cautellawCauAGlossina austeniwAusTrichogramma ourarachaewBouTrichogramma evanescenswEvaBTrichogramma kaykaiwKayADrosophila simulanswCofAmitus fuscipenniswFusDrosophila melanogasterwMelTrichogramma evanescenswEvaAPhlebotomus papatasiwPapMuscidifurax uniraptorwUniNasonia vitripenniswFusSpalangia fuscipeswFuTrichogramma deionwDeiArmadillidium vulgarewVulTrichogramma chiloniswCauBSpalangia fuscipeswFuTrichogramma chiloniswChiDrosophila simulanswMaCulex pipienswFuBTrichogramma deionwDeiArmadillidium vulgarewVulTrichogramma chiloniswChiDrosophila simulanswMaCulex pipienswFuBTrichogramma kaykaiwKayBTrichogramma nubilalewNubBrugia malayiCimex lectularius

Table 2. Information of wsp gene for constructing the phylogenic tree of Wolbachia strains in Fig 1.

thermal cycling protocol were identical to those described above.

After purified with PCR Cleanup Kit (Axygen, USA), the PCR products were directly sequenced on an Automated DNA Sequencer (ABIPRISMTM 3730XL, APPLIEDBIOSYS-TEMS, INC. Foster City, CA). All sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL_X (Thompson *et al.* 1997) and rechecked by eye to verify for accuracy.

2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

The *wsp*, *cox*A, and *fbp*A sequences of *Wolbachia* from the different *Trichogramma* populations were first blasted in NCBI, then analyzed and

aligned with Clustal X1.83 (www.clustal.org). Some reference sequences of Wolbachia wsp, coxA, and fbpA sequences of other species were downloaded from GenBank for the phylogenetic analysis of Wolbachia (Tables 2 and 3). In order to verify the consistency of the tree, phylogenetic trees were constructed using two methods, neighbor joining (NJ) and maximum parsimony (MP) (Mega 4.0 software, MEGA, www.megasoftware.net). Bootstrap analysis was done with 1,000 replications, and bootstrap values were calculated using a 50% majority rule. Two reference sequences belonging to the Wolbachia D and F supergroups were used as the outgroups in the phylogenetic trees of wsp, coxA, and fbpA; Brugia malavi (Brug, 1927) (wsp JX506736,

Host species	Supergroup	coxA	fbpA
or subspecies		Accession no.	Accession no.
Brugia malayi	D	DQ842273	DQ842347
Cimex lectularius	F	DQ842275	DQ842349
Acromis sparsa	А	DQ842271	DQ842345
Aedes albopictus	Α	DQ842268	DQ842342
Camponotus pennsylvanicus	Α	DQ842276	DQ842350
Drosophila bifasciata	Α	DQ842279	DQ842353
Drosophila innubila	Α	DQ842280	DQ842354
Drosophila melanogaster	Α	DQ842304	DQ842378
Drosophila neotestacea	А	DQ842281	EU126408
Drosophila orientacea	Α	DQ842282	EU126398
Drosophila recens	А	DQ842283	DQ842357
Ephestia kuehniella	А	DQ842289	DQ842363
Incisitermes snyderi	А	DQ842292	DQ842366
Muscidifurax uniraptor	А	DQ842293	DQ842367
Nasonia giraulti	А	DQ842294	DQ842368
Nasonia longicornis	А	DQ842295	DQ842369
Nasonia vitripennis	A	FJ390240	DQ842370
Solenopsis invicta	А	DQ842300	DQ842374
Acraea encedon	В	DQ842269	DQ842343
Acraea eponina	В	DQ842270	DQ842344
Armadillidium vulgare	В	FJ390241	EF451552
Chelymorpha alternans	В	DQ842274	DQ842348
Culex pipiens pipiens	В	DQ842277	DQ842351
Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus	В	DQ842278	DQ842352
Drosophila simulans	В	KF987018	KF987033
Encarsia formosa	В	DQ842288	DQ842362
Gryllus firmus	В	DQ842291	DQ842365
Nasonia vitripennis	В	DQ842297	DQ842371
Ostrinia scapulalis	В	DQ842298	DQ842372
Protocalliphora sialia	В	DQ842299	DQ842373
Teleogryllus taiwanemma	В	DQ842303	DQ842377
Tribolium confusum	В	DQ842301	DQ842375
Trichogramma deion	В	DQ842302	DQ842376

Table 3. Host species, supergroups and GenBank accession numbers of *coxA* and *fbpA* genes for constructing the phylogenic tree (Fig. 2) of *Wolbachia* strains.

*cox*A DQ842273, *fbp*A DQ842347) and *Cimex lectularius* (Latreille, 1802) (*wsp* DQ842459, *cox*A DQ842275, *fbp*A DQ842349).

3. Results

3.1. *Trichogramma* identification and distribution

The ITS2 sequences that were obtained from each population were compared with the identified ITS2 sequences of rDNA from GenBank to confirm the identification of *Trichogramma* species (Table 4). Six *Trichogramma* species were identified: *T. chilonis* (Ishii, 1941) (seven populations), *T. evanescens* (Westwood, 1833) (seven populations), *T. ostriniae* (Pang & Chen, 1974) (three populations), *T. embryophagum* (Hartig, 1838) (one population), *T. dendrolimi* (Matsumura, 1926) (one population) and *T. japonicum* (Ashmead, 1904) (two populations). The ITS2 sequences obtained from each species were (97– 100% Max Ident score in BLAST) similar to those present in GenBank. In this study, a total of eleven ITS2 sequences were deposited in Gen-Bank (accession numbers KR148945–KR14-8955) (Table 1), and these sequences were complete ITS2 sequences plus flanking sequences of 5.8S and 28S. Although these sequences display

Sample no. and spp.	Sequence	Base pairs	Accession no. similarity (%)	Sequence
1. T. chilonis	partial ITS-2	1,155	AY167415	99
2. T. chilonis	partial ITS-2	1,158	AY167418	99
3. T. chilonis	Complete ITS-2	560	DQ088055	99
4. T. chilonis	Complete ITS-2	538	GU562445	99
5. T. evanescens	Complete ITS-2	559	DQ088059	99
6. T. evanescens	Complete ITS-2	546	FJ436332	99
7. T. evanescens	Complete ITS-2	546	JN315373	99
8. T. evanescens	Complete ITS-2	546	JN315380	99
9. T. ostriniae	Complete ITS-2	446	AY244463	98
10. T. ostriniae	Complete ITS-2	447	AY518695	99
11. T. ostriniae	Complete ITS-2	491	GQ324625	98
12. T. embryophagum	Complete ITS-2	479	AF453562	100
13. T. embryophagum	Complete ITS-2	474	AY244465	100
14. T. embryophagum	Complete ITS-2	593	DQ344044	97
15. T. embryophagum	Complete ITS-2	530	JF920430	97
16. T. dendrolimi	partial ITS-2	510	AB094398	98
17. T. dendrolimi	Complete ITS-2	412	AF453555	99
18. T. dendrolimi	Complete ITS-2	540	AF517576	98
19. T. dendrolimi	Complete ITS-2	465	DQ344045	97
20. T. japonicum	Complete ITS-2	578	DQ471294	98
21. T. japonicum	partial ITS-2	436	FN822756	98
22. T. japonicum	partial ITS-2	438	FN822758	98
23. T. japonicum	partial ITS-2	436	FN822759	98

Table 4. Sequences used from GenBank for comparison of the Trichogramma species in this study.

significant interspecies differences, they showed low intraspecific variability in length (2–10 bases).

The comparison showed that *T. chilonis* was found from seven populations with three different sequences, Tc–CJ and Tc–WLP (KR148947); Tc–Lo, Tc–NB, Tc–MM, Tc–TW (KR148948); Tc–HS (KR148949) (Table 1). The three sequences had only three mutation sites, and the sequences were closely similar (99%) to *T. chilonis* in GenBank accession numbers AY167415, AY167418, DQ088055 and GU562445 (Table 4).

The *T. evanescens* specimens from seven populations had three different sequences: Te–HS, Te–BJ, Te–CQ, Te–K1 and Te–K2 (KR148950); Te–Tconf (KR148951); Te–GD (KR148952) (Table 1). The three sequences had 10 mutation sites, and the sequences were closely similar (99%) to *T. evanescens* in GenBank accession numbers DQ088059, FJ436332, JN315373 and JN315380 (Table 4).

The *T. ostriniae* specimens from three populations had two different sequences: To–HBZ and To–MZ (KR148945); To–HS (KR148946), which had two mutation sites (Table 1). The two sequences were closely similar (98–99%) to *T. ostriniae* in GenBank accession numbers AY244463, AY518695 and GQ324625 (Table 4).

The sequence of *T. embryophagum*, Tem– Tcac (KR148953), was closely similar (97– 100%) to GenBank accession numbers AF-453562, AY244465, DQ344044 and JF920430 (Table 4).

The sequence of *T. dendrolimi* (Td–NJ, KR148954) was closely similar (97–99%) to that of *T. dendrolimi* available in GenBank (AB-094398, AF453555, AF517576 and DQ344045) (Table 4).

The sequence of *T. japonicum* (Tj–GD and Tj–HS KR148955) was closely similar (98%) to that of *T. japonicum* available in GenBank (DQ-471294, FN822756, FN822758 and FN822759) (Table 4).



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic neighbor joining tree of *Wolbachia* based on *wsp* sequences. For information of *wsp* gene, see Table 2. Sequences of *Brugia malayi* and *Cimex lectularius* were used as outgroups. Names of host species and their related groups are listed on the right side of the figure. Supergroups of *Wolbachia* are shown as uppercase letters. The infected strain of *Trichogramma japonicum* clustered together with that of *Trichogramma evanescens*, which were the strain Eva. They are highlighted by shaded area. Bootstrap values >50% are shown above branches.

3.2. Identification of the associated *Wolbachia* strain

The detection of *Wolbachia* was performed for *Trichogramma* species from 21 sites. The infection existed in only five populations, which were Tc-TW, Te-BJ, Te-K1, Tem-Tcac and Tj-GD, and all tested individuals of these five populations were infected. Furthermore, all of the five populations were found to have identical sequences of the *wsp*, *cox*A and *fbp*A genes. As these five populations were infected with the same *Wolbachia* strain, only one of them (*Trichogramma japonicum*) was used for the phylogenetic analysis based on *wsp*, *cox*A and *fbp*A genes.

The phylogenetic analysis was performed for the Trichogramma Wolbachia wsp sequences and 29 reference sequences. The tree has two major branches, corresponding to supergroups A and B (Fig. 1). The wsp sequence in Trichogramma samples shared 100% identity with the sequences from T. evanescens (AY390279). This Wolbachia strain was defined in the strain wEvaA in the group of Eva of the supergroup A (Fig. 1). The phylogenetic tree for the concatenated sequences of Wolbachia coxA and fbpA is shown in Fig. 2. Similar to the wsp tree, the concatenated sequences were first clustered into supergroup A branch. The concatenated sequences were clustered into a subclade with Aedes albopictus (Skuse, 1894) (coxA DO842268 and fbpA DQ842342), sharing 99% identity (Fig. 2). As the topologies of the trees inferred from neighbor joining and maximum parsimony were similar based on wsp and concatenated coxA and fbpA sequences, we only displayed the trees constructed by neighbor joining (Figs 1 and 2). The sequences for the *wsp*, *fbp*A and *cox*A genes were deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers KR906068, KR906069 and KR906070.

4. Discussion

ITS2 provides an excellent method for separating closely related species of *Trichogramma*. The main advantage of the DNA identification system over the morphological system is that it is fast and requires few specialized skills, and can work well on the dried or 100% alcohol stored specimen(s).



More and more resources of *Trichogramma* ITS2 genes are becoming publicly available from NCBI database, which provides a rich source of

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic neighbor joining tree of *Wol-bachia* based on concatenated sequences of *coxA* and *fbpA*. For information of *coxA* and *fbpA* genes, see Table 3. Sequences of *Brugia malayi* and *Cimex lectularius* were used as outgroups. Names of host species and their related groups are listed on the right side of the figure. Supergroups of *Wolbachia* are shown as uppercase letters. Population of *Tricho-gramma japonicum* is highlighted by boldface in the figure. Bootstrap values >50% are shown above branches.

information for the identification of *Trichogram-ma* species.

In this study, the sequences of the tested *Trichogramma* samples were blasted in NCBI and the species with 98–100% identity were identified as the same species. Finally, the identification results of molecular methods and morphological characters were identical. Therefore, it can be deduced that the results of the molecular identification based on ITS2 genes are reliable.

The phylogeny of *Wolbachia* has been studied extensively based on different gene sequences (Rousset *et al.* 1992, Braig *et al.* 1998). As the extensive recombination and strong diversifying selection affect the *wsp* gene, it is an unreliable tool for the characterization of *Wolbachia* (Werren & Bartos 2001, Baldo *et al.* 2002, Jiggins *et al.* 2002, Baldo *et al.* 2005). Therefore, another two *Wolbachia* genes, *coxA* and *fbpA*, were also applied.

In this study, *Wolbachia* infection was found only in five populations of *Trichogramma* from 21 sites. The phylogenetic analysis of the *Wolbachia wsp* sequences revealed that the *Wolbachia* strain belonged to the strain *wEva*A in the group of Eva of the supergroup A. The phylogenetic tree of also the concatenated *cox*A and *fbp*A revealed that the *Wolbachia* strain of *Trichogramma* was defined as supergroup A, and it was closely, with 83% identity, related to the *Wolbachia* of *Aedes albopictus*.

In a recent study using the *wsp* gene and five genes in multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (Poorjavad *et al.* 2012), only two populations of *Trichogramma brassicae* (Bezdenko, 1968) from 34 tested *Trichogramma* populations were infected by *Wolbachia*. The two populations were infected with the same *Wolbachia* strain, which was defined in supergroup B in that study.

Although the *Wolbachia* infection rates were low in both our current study and in that of Poorjavad *et al.* (2012), the *Wolbachia* strains were different. The two *T. brassicae* populations were from Iran, i.e. Western Asia, whereas the five infected populations in our study were from East Asia and South-East Asia. It is thus possible that the *Trichogramma* populations in Western Asia are infected with different *Wolbachia* strains than those in East Asia and South-East Asia.

The molecular identification and *Wolbachia* infection test for the *Trichogramma* species from 21 populations can provide an important aid for biological control programs using *Trichogramma* spp.

Acknowledgments. We thank two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments to improve the manuscript. We also thank Prof. Hongying Hu from Xinjiang University for *Trichogramma* morphological identification. This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31370439) and a EuropeAid project (DCIFood/2010/230–238).

References

- Baldo, L., Bartos, J. D., Werren, J. H., Bazzocchi, C., Casiraghi, M. & Panelli, S. 2002: Different rates of nucleotide substitutions in *Wolbachia* endosymbionts of arthropods and nematodes: arms race or host shifts? — Experimental parasitology 44: 179–187.
- Baldo, L., Dunning Hotopp, J. C., Jolley, K. A., Bordenstein, S. R., Biber, S. A., Choudhury, R. R., Hayashi, C., Maiden, M. C. J., Tettelin, H. & Werren, J. H. 2006: Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. — Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72: 7098–7110.
- Baldo, L., Lo, N. & Werren, J. H. 2005: Mosaic nature of the *Wolbachia* surface protein. — Journal of Bacteriology 187: 5406–5418.
- Braig, H. R., Zhou, W., Dobson, S. L. & O'Neill, S. L. 1998: Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. — Journal of Bacteriology 180: 2373–2378.
- Grissel, E. E. & Schauff, M. E. 1990: A handbook of the families of Nearctic Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). — Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington. 85 pp.
- Gross, B. L. & Zhao, Z. J. 2014: Archaeological and genetic insights into the origins of domesticated rice. — Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111: 6190–6197.

- Guo, Z., Ruan, C. C., Zang, L. S., Zhang, F. & Jin, F. Y. 2011: The new record of *Trichogramma japonicum* ashmead, an egg parasitoid of *Chilo suppressalis* (Walker) in Jilin, China. — Biological Control 27: 276–279.
- Harris, H. L., Braig, H. R. & Journal, A. 2003: Sperm chromatin remodelling and *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila*. — Biochemistry and Cell Biology 81: 229–240.
- Hassan, S. A. 1994: Strategies to select *Trichogramma* species for use in biological control. – In: Wajnberg, E. & Hassan, S. A. (eds.), Biological control with egg parasitoids: 55–71. CAB International, Wallingford (UK). 304 pp.
- Horjus, M. & Stouthamer, R. 1995: Does infection with thelytoky causing *Wolbachia* in the pre-adult and adult life stages influence the adult fecundity of *Trichogramma deion* and *Muscidifurax uniraptor*? — Proceedings Experimental and Applied Entomology 6: 35–40.
- Jiggins, F. M., Hurst, G. D. D. & Yang, Z. H. 2002: Hostsymbiont conflicts: positive selection on an outer membrane protein of parasitic but not mutualistic Rickettsiaceae. — Molecular Biology and Evolution 19: 1341–1349.
- Ko, K., Liu, Y. D., Hou, M. L., Babendreier, D., Zhang, F. & Song, K. 2014: Evaluation for potential *Trichogramma* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) strains for control of the Striped Stem Borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in the Greater Mekong Subregion. — Journal of Economic Entomology 107: 955–963.
- Kumar, A. G., Jalali, S. K., Venkatesan, T., Stouthamer, R., Niranjana, P. & Lalitha, Y. 2009: Internal transcribed spacer-2 restriction fragment length polymorphism (ITS-2-RFLP) tool to differentiate some exotic and indigenous Trichogrammatid egg parasitoids in India. — Biological Control 49: 207–213.
- Nasir, M. F., Hagedorn, G., Büttner, C., Reichmuth, C. & Schöller, M. 2013: Molecular identification of *Trichogramma* species from Pakistan, using ITS-2 region of rDNA. — Biological Control 58: 483–491.
- Pino, M. D., Rugman-Jones, P., Herna'ndez-Sua'rez, E., Polaszek, A. & Stouthamer, R. 2013: Rapid molecular identification of five species of *Trichogramma* occurring in the Canary Islands with notes on their distribution in banana groves. — Biological Control 58: 515– 524.
- Pinto, J. D., Velten, R. K., Platner, G. R. & Oatman, E. R. 1989: Phenotypic plasticity and taxonomic characters in *Trichogramma* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). — Annals of the Entomological Society of America 82: 414–425.
- Pinto, J. H. & Stouthamer, R. 1994: Systematics of the Trichogrammatidae with emphasis on *Trichogramma*. — In: Wajnberg, E. & Hassan, S. A. (eds), Biological Control with Egg Parasitoids: 1–35. CAB International, Wallingford (UK). 304 pp.
- Poorjavad, N., Goldansaz, S. H., Machtelinckx, T., Tirry, L., Stouthamer, R. & Van Leeuwen, T. 2012: Iranian *Trichogramma*: ITS2 DNA characterization and natu-

ral *Wolbachia* infection. — Biological Control 57: 361–374.

- Rousset, F., Bouchon, D., Pintureau, B., Juchault, P. & Solignac, M. 1992: *Wolbachia* endosymbionts responsible for various alterations of sexuality in arthropods. — Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences 250: 91–98.
- Silva, I. M. M. S., Honda, J., van Kan, F., Hu, J. G., Neto, L., Pintureau, B. & Stouthamer, R. 1999: Molecular differentiation of five *Trichogramma* species occurring in Portugal. — Biological Control 16: 177–184.
 Stouthamer, R., Hu, J. G., van Kan, F. J. P. M., Platner, G.
- Stouthamer, R., Hu, J. G., van Kan, F. J. P. M., Platner, G. R. & Pinto, J. D. 1999: The utility of internally transcribed spacer 2 DNA sequences of the nuclear ribosomal gene for distinguishing sibling species of *Trichogramma*. — Biological Control 43: 421–440.

Stouthamer, R. & Kazmer, D. J. 1994: Cytogenetics of mi-

crobe-associated parthenogenesis and its consequences for gene flow in *Trichogramma* wasps. — Heredity 73: 317–327.

- Sumer, F., Tuncbilek, A. S., Oztemiz, S., Pintureau, B., Rugman-Jones, P. & Stouthamer, R. 2009: A molecular key to the common species of *Trichogramma* of the Mediterranean region. — BioControl 54: 617–624.
- Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D. G. 1997: The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. — Nucleic Acids Research 25: 4876–4882.
- Werren, J. H. 1997: Biology of *Wolbachia.* Annual Review of Entomology 42: 587–609.
- Werren, J. H. & Bartos, J. D. 2001: Recombination in Wolbachia. — Current Biology 11: 431–435.