Digital tools to support the implementation of self-care among people with problematic substance use: An integrative literature review
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Abstract

Problematic substance use causes significant harm to individuals, communities and society as a whole. Nowadays solutions to bring substance abuse under control and provide help are being sought from digital tools. This literature review scrutinizes digital applications and internet-based self-care programs from the perspective of providing services. The purpose is to ascertain how the preconditions for the implementation of self-care are understood in studies addressing digital tools for the substance abuse sector. The question posed concerns what features in digital applications supporting control of substance abuse, their users and operating environment influence the implementation of self-care. The research method is an integrative literature review. The data consist of peer-reviewed scientific publications from the period 2012–2022. Data were gathered from two databases (ProQuest Central, PubMed). The final corpus comprises 18 research articles. The analytical frame of reference is the definition by El-Osta and others of four main dimensions of self-care. The findings suggest that factors pertaining to applications in the implementation of self-care are the technical usability of the service, the option for anonymity, variation in content, active reminders and application feedback, gamification and ability to identify with the user. Factors pertaining to the users, in addition to age and gender, are mode of substance abuse, duration of using history, severity of the problem, readiness for change, possible concurrent diagnoses, ability to process own feelings, social relations and digital literacy. Factors pertaining to the operating environment are legislation governing substance abuse, national intoxicant culture, stigma attached to substance abuse treatment, availability of support outside the application and the pandemic situation restricting face-to-face interaction. The diverse nature of the group of individuals with problematic substance use will in the future increase the need for user profiling and more individualized tailoring of application content in keeping with their life situations and stages of recovery.
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Introduction

The problematic use of alcohol and other intoxicants causes our society numerous individual harms, human suffering for significant others and the immediate environment and a burden on public institutions [1,2]. In society the costs of substance abuse are considerable in health care, pensions and sickness benefits, in addition to which the costs incurred by social services and social security through the negative effects of alcohol consumption alone amounted in Finland to some 230–260 million euros in 2016 [3]. Political decision-makers have drawn attention to the need to improve the efficacy and productivity of services in social and health care, to curb rising costs and to develop digital and online services in order to make these services more readily accessible [4]. Keeping the costs of social and health care under control is vital and the aim to produce correctly tailored and effective services deserves support. At the same time, however, it is important to ensure the equitable availability of services and participation for clients [5,6].

As part of keeping costs under control and expanding the substance use treatment reach, digital services have increased. This change has been expedited in recent years by the restrictions imposed on face-to-face services by the COVID-19 pandemic [7-10]. Information technology makes it possible to contact clients with problematic substance use for whom the conventional face-to-face service is not feasible, due, for example, to long distances, multiple health conditions, stigma, or other treatment barriers [11-14]. The benefits of digital services are, however, not evenly dispersed among people with problematic substance use. Utilization of digital services requires users to possess sufficient technical equipment, sufficient expertise in their use and resources to take care of themselves. The further development of technology may improve the availability of services but at the same time it may create digital inequality, especially among those client groups in the most vulnerable position [15-20].

People with problematic substance use have varying service needs ranging from self-care to peer support and need for special support [21,22]. In recent years the advantages of client segmentation, that is identifying the severity of the client’s problem and the amount of their resources, have been acknowledged in improving the efficacy of social and health care services [23-25]. Earlier research has identified the appropriateness of various digital tools, notably for individuals allocated to the self-acting client segment, who have the resources to tackle the problem independently or with the help of peer support [26-28]. Self-care is a rarely used term in the discussion on substance abuse care and control of substance abuse. However, the importance of self-care is much discussed in connection with the recovery orientation [29] and in connection with mental health services [30-32]. Self-care can be defined as spontaneous action on the part of individuals, families and communities and as the ability to promote health, to prevent illnesses and maintain health. It is moreover the ability to come to terms with an illness or injury either utilizing healthcare services or without them [33,34].

Self-care can be deemed appropriate when considering the endeavours of a substance abusing individual towards a less deleterious lifestyle. Success with self-care can reduce the costs incurred through both in-patient treatment and primary and specialized social and health care. However, it must be noted that in the case of people with problematic substance use, getting rid of the habit is a long process with periods of being clean and relapses back into substance abuse [35,36]. Thus, the service needs also vary and the digital services in use should detect changes occurring. According to
previous studies [37-42] users of digital applications in substance abuse appreciate individuality and personal interactivity. The option to tailor applications exists, but this has not been utilized [43].

In this article, digital services refer to novel technological tools for clients to manage their addiction, such as internet-based interventions and smartphone applications [15]. Our literature review is concerned with the operational preconditions of digital tools to support self-care. Little research has been accomplished on the factors and mechanisms influencing the implementation of digital self-care. Because of this knowledge gap, we scrutinize digital applications and programs operating by internet for the intoxicant sector from the perspective of self-care. The analytical frame of reference is the four dimensions of self-care proposed by El-Osta and others and based on 32 different models of self-care and theory [44]. These dimensions are:

1) the dimension of an individual’s knowledge base, health awareness, mental and physical well-being, agency and risk avoidance;

2) the dimension of activation for self-care, motivation and change of behaviour;

3) support for self-care and service needs;

4) social, political, economic and cultural aspects which inhibit or enhance the implementation of self-care.

Successful self-care needs understanding of the background factors at work in different situations [30-32]. There are both client-related and contextual conditions that affect the decision to seek help in the first place [45,46]. There are core elements in breaking free from problematic substance use [21,47]. Treatment has an impact through its attraction; when the client believes in the treatment the results are better [48]. The same likely applies in the context of self-care; it is impossible to ignore the shame and stigma attached to problematic substance use at many levels [49,50], which may prevent the adoption of various self-care methods.

It is the aim of the present study to ascertain how the preconditions for the implementation of self-care are understood in research on digital tools in the substance abuse sector. We endeavour to create in our review article an extensive and many-sided account of the phenomenon. We ask in our review what factors in digital applications supporting control of substance abuse, their users and operating environments exert influence in the implementation of self-care.

Material and methods

As our research method, we use the integrative literature review, the aim of which is to generate a synthesis of the subject based on original research. This differs from a systematic review in the qualitative point of departure for its research setup and in the fact that it involves no meta-analysis [51-52]. It is very suitable for our research because the purpose of the review is to combine in a novel way the concept of self-care with digital applications for substance abuse treatment [51].

In order to gather our data, we conducted an index term search in two databases (ProQuest Central and PubMed) so as to include research in both social care and health care. The search strings combined the following search words: addiction* OR substance* AND recovery* OR treatment* AND app* OR web* OR mobile* OR internet*. The NOT operator was used to exclude articles on somatic illnesses with the following search term: diabetes* OR heart* OR cancer* OR hypertension* OR HIV* OR asthma* OR sleep* OR pain*. In ProQuest Central search, subject defining was used, and in PubMed, all fields defining was used. Preliminary inclusion and exclusion criteria for the articles were...
formed after some test searches in both databases. The criteria were specified after the first selection of articles. The final inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Table 1. In keeping with the nature of an integrative review studies using differing research methods were included [52].

The ProQuest Central database yielded 4167 hits and PubMed 2645. There were numerous duplications among these. The hits were arranged in order of relevance. From the research articles most relevant to our research task we selected 34 articles based on the titles and abstracts for closer scrutiny. Of these a further 16 were rejected due to the inappropriateness of the question formulation and failure to meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The research articles for the final review numbered 18 [53-70]. The PRISMA flowchart for literature search is presented in Figure 1.

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Search terms in databases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer-reviewed empirical research articles in English, published between 2012 and 2022, full text access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused on a specific (one or more) digital tool(s) supporting control of substance abuse and implementing self-care on a substance use sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articles that were not empirical research articles in English, published before 2012 or after 2022, full text access not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not focus on a specific (one or more) digital tool(s) supporting control of substance abuse and implementing self-care on a substance use sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. The PRISMA flowchart for literature search.

Seven of the studies had been accomplished in Europe and eight in North America. We also included one internationally implemented article and individual studies from South America and Australia. Eleven of the articles took a quantitative approach [54,56-59,62,63,65-68], four took a qualitative approach [60-61,64,69] and the remaining three used mixed methods [53,55,70]. In order to obtain a many-sided picture of factors related to users, application and operating environments where digitalization was utilized, we included the views on self-care of both user-clients and professionals of the various applications and online services. Table 2 presents the principal content and research objects of the applications scrutinized.
Table 2. Applications and research objects in the data articles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Name of app and function/content</th>
<th>Research object</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adams et al. 2021, USA</td>
<td>Bright Path app: didactic content, interactive games; survival skills, substance use, mental health, communication and decision-making</td>
<td>Problems with substances and mental health; developing a user-based application and test results; users’ and professionals’ perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augsburger et al. 2021, Switzerland</td>
<td>SELGE-net program: 8-week program, 10 care modules; cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) and motivational interview (MI) methods</td>
<td>Changes in alcohol use among app users and a control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosse et al. 2022, North America</td>
<td>Boulder Care app: chat options, audiovisual visits, appointment calendar</td>
<td>Perceived usability of existing and planned features of the app</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carswell et al. 2022, North America</td>
<td>Continuing Care app: personalized tools, didactic modules; recovery management, cravings, stress reduction and positive support</td>
<td>Feasibility and acceptability of the app to meet the recovery and personal support needs of individuals under community supervision with SUDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elison et al. 2014, United Kingdom</td>
<td>Breaking Free Online: adp-aided therapy in dual diagnoses, psychosocial interventions in various multimedia formats</td>
<td>Assessment of users’ clinical and psychometric results (work and social adjustment, health, anxiety, substance abuse, fears)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johansson et al. 2021, Sweden</td>
<td>eChange: program of at least 10 weeks; 8 modules CBT chapter and practice tasks</td>
<td>Users’ characteristics, way of using interventions and variables to reduce alcohol use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johansson et al. 2017, Sweden</td>
<td>Net-based CBT</td>
<td>Changes in alcohol use using net-based alcohol intervention app with or without therapist support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord et al. 2016, USA</td>
<td>A-CHESS (Addiction Comprehensive Health Enhancement Support System): therapeutic construction; information on relapses, desire to use, recovery; option to maintain contact with professional and peer support</td>
<td>Views of four treatment unit professionals on factors enhancing and inhibiting adoption of mobile app for people with problematic substance use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunde et al. 2022, Europe</td>
<td>A therapist-guided internet-delivered cognitive-behavioural intervention; MI principles and ICBT program</td>
<td>Participant experiences from the intervention programme for hazardous and harmful alcohol use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malte et al. 2021, USA</td>
<td>Step Away mobile app: teaching on getting drinking and harms of alcohol under control, advice, goal-setting</td>
<td>Usability and acceptability of mobile app among war veterans; changes in alcohol use, psychological stress and quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manning et al. 2021, Australia</td>
<td>SWIPE smartphone app: game approach; upload images connected to use of alcohol and its cessation to change attitudes</td>
<td>Users’ views on suitability, acceptability and efficacy of app, also changes in alcohol use and desire to drink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neale &amp; Bowen 2022, United Kingdom</td>
<td>SURE Recovery app: includes among other things monitoring of recovery and sleep, diary, recovery narratives</td>
<td>Users’ opinions of using the app, development suggestions on adoption and commitment to it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paquette et al. 2021, USA</td>
<td>LETS ACT app: based on activating behaviour; to activate behaviour utilizes brief therapy between group sessions</td>
<td>Using the app and combining with treatment: users’ views on usability and acceptability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaub et al. 2021, Switzerland</td>
<td>The Alcohol e-Health program: utilizes CBT, MI and principles of self-control; includes evaluation and psychoeducation</td>
<td>Effects of using the app for alcohol use in low-income countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article</td>
<td>Name of app and function/content</td>
<td>Research object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaub et al. 2015, Switzerland</td>
<td>Can Reduce: CBT, MI, behavioural control</td>
<td>Use of the app with and without support from chat consultation; effects on cannabis use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarman et al. 2020, USA</td>
<td>GSI (gender-specific intervention): app with psychoeducation for special needs of women, used in addition to conventional treatment intended for men and for women</td>
<td>Substance abusing women’s views on the gender-specific content of the app and its significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiburcio et al. 2016, Mexico</td>
<td>The PAADD: for risk-level drug users, takes account of depressive symptoms, based on CBT, setting 4-part treatment goal and contact to professional</td>
<td>Views of professionals and users of the usability of the app</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trudeau et al. 2012, USA</td>
<td>Relapse prevention program for juvenile intoxicant users</td>
<td>Views of professionals and juveniles on usability; opportunities to take (negative) account of effects of friendships at the beginning of recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis was conducted first by one of the authors and later it was confirmed by other authors. The analysis started by carefully reading through the selected articles multiple times. After that, as our analytic frame of reference we used the four main dimensions of self-care proposed by El-Osta and others: 1) the dimension of an individual’s knowledge base, health awareness, mental and physical condition, agency and risk avoidance; 2) the dimension of activation, motivation for self-care and change in behaviour; 3) the dimension of support for self-care and service needs; 4) social, political, economic and cultural considerations which challenge or promote the implementation of self-care [44]. From each article we identified 1 to 4 of these dimensions. Then we focused on each dimension and brought together information from articles they were identified from. The results section was constructed to adhere to the frame of reference.

**Results**

Through our review we sought answers to the question of what factors in digital applications supporting management of substance abuse, in their users or operating environments exert influence in the implementation and success of self-care. In the 18 articles included in our review there were various digital applications and online services intended for people with problematic substance use. Relevant data from the perspective of the research question was not only in the findings presented in the articles but also in the variables and descriptions of the structure of the empirical data pertaining to the individuals participating.

**Background situation, information and skills**

In many of the articles the background data collected included the age, gender, educational background and/or employment status of those using the application [56,58,61-64,68]. Rather than on changes in substance abuse, our research interest centred more on non-demographic factors predisposing to the use of the application even though research has shown that both gender [68] and age [53,70] frequently need to be considered regarding...
the content of applications. Present and previous modes of substance abuse may also be of significance in the successful adoption of the application and of self-care [53,57,58,63,64,67]. For example, the substances abused, the duration of the abuse and the severity of the problem may be significant aspects and affect a person’s avoidance of risk in substance abuse. Moreover, cognitive skills [53] and digital literacy [57,60,64] influence the use of digital applications. Mental health problems may also pose challenges to the implementation of digital self-care [53,57-59,62,67].

Activating, motivating and behavioural changes

Nine of the articles included in the data investigated changes in the substance abuse of individuals using the application [54,57-59,62,63,66,67]. In several of the applications scrutinized methods of cognitive behavioural therapy and/or motivational interview were applied [54,58,59,61,66,67,69]. These entail, as is general in substance abuse treatment, motivating, target setting, readiness for change and ensuring commitment. Processing of feelings is also important in identifying situations which increase the desire to resort to intoxicants, likewise ways of coping with these. Motivation and commitment to using the application are increased by the suitability of its content and its technical properties, which at their best support the fluency of use and user-friendliness. Expectations of the application [64] affect choices, especially in the initial phase. The content of the application may consist of various activities [66], and gaming and offering varying tasks increases teenagers’ commitment to using the application [53]. Identifying with the content of the application is likewise important [64]. The basis of successful self-care is the person’s own activity and readiness to change their behaviour. External factors may have both positive and negative influence on the implementation of self-care.

The need for services and support

The role of the service organization and of peers in the use of digital application varies, likewise the users’ need for support. Applications vary as to whether they are used entirely independently, with the support of a professional, or whether they include the option to be in contact with peers and/or professionals [54-61,64,65,67-69]. Availability of other support and integration of the application into work accomplished in professional consultation may improve the prognosis for getting clean [58]. Automatic reminders, information and feedback [55,56,64,65,70] are technical properties which introduce greater interactivity into use. Trust in the application is strengthened by evidence-based knowledge of the usefulness of the application and of its acceptability among professionals [60,64] while credible assessments by users, professionals or social media [64] also serve to enhance faith in the application. The application being easy and convenient to use supports digitally supported self-care. Several of the articles [53,55,56,60-63,65,68-70] focused on the usability and user-friendliness of the application. Convenience of use is affected by the technical properties of the application, user instructions and technical support [53,60,64,69] are important to users of the application. The opportunity to test the application [60] may lower the threshold to adopting it.

The social, political, economic and cultural environment

The life situations of those using digital applications include several factors affecting self-care and how it succeeds. The ability to balance different social roles may promote or inhibit attending to different treatment goals [55]. Correspondingly social relationships [53] may support or undermine self-care. Limiting the circle of friends may serve to reduce substance abuse, which applies especially to young
people in the early stages of recovery [70]. Some people, due to their position in society, may not want to make use of public substance abuse services [67]. For the same reason the option for anonymity among those using the application [55,56,61,62,69] is important; seeking help through public services may be considered stigmatizing. Long distances or a hectic life stage may also be reasons for using digital applications to limit problematic substance use [55,62,69]. The extensive availability of applications, their cost-efficiency and their being independent of time and place are among their positive characteristics [61,69].

Digital applications developed to promote the recovery of individuals with problematic substance use may be governed by national and cultural norms or then by legislation. For example, the level of affluence in a state and the prevailing culture of substance abuse [66] constitute their own challenges to the implementation of digital applications. In some eastern European countries even heavy consumption of intoxicants is very rarely deemed problematic [66]. On the other hand, in low and middle-income states digital alternatives are a good option due to their low cost [69] and not all such countries even have a public system for the treatment of substance abuse. At the level of society, the options for and ways of using technology are influenced by the legislation [60], and this may be connected, for example, to data protection issues. In times of societal disruption, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the adoption of digital tools also affects vulnerable client groups [54] increasing the value of self-care.

The notable characteristics of digital applications intended for problematic substance abuse users are linked to the users’ demographic backgrounds, their histories of substance abuse and mental well-being, and their abilities. The heterogeneous nature of people with problematic substance use puts them in differing positions in the implementation of self-care and creates a need for the segmentation of clients as the basis for the various contents of the application. Some application users need guidance in implementing self-care, which may to varying degrees be obtainable from professionals, peers or in the form of technical support. In any case, sufficient motivation to reduce substance abuse is decisive, also in the use of digital applications. The immediate social network, the support service system and societal factors may, however, contribute to the implementation of self-care when digital tools are involved.

**Discussion**

In this literature review we considered what factors make a difference to the implementation of self-care in the control of substance abuse, among their users and operating environments. The findings suggest that the factors with bearing on applications are the technical usability of the service, the option for anonymity, the variation in content, active reminders and application feedback, gamification and identifying with others. Factors related to users were, alongside age and gender, the type of substance abuse, duration of history of substance abuse, severity of the problem, readiness to change, possible concomitant diagnoses, the ability to process one’s own feelings, social relationships and digital literacy. According to the data, factors related to the operating environment included legislation governing substance abuse services, national culture relating to intoxicants, stigma attaching to substance abuse treatment, availability of support apart from the application and the restrictions imposed on face-to-face activity by the pandemic.
Those most likely to derive benefit from digital services are those whose problems with intoxicants are not yet very severe [58,61,62]. The significance of the applications may be considerable in preventing the need for services as they can help to reach large numbers of people in need of less intense support. However, this entails a commitment to the application [71]. When the substance abuse problems are minor it is important for professionals in social and health care to identify who is capable of digitally aided self-care and provide guidance in using applications [60]. An essential premise to the commitment to use the application is that it is sufficiently appealing and easy to use [53,55,64].

In cases of more serious substance abuse the share of self-care is initially less but increases as the client, after a period of inpatient treatment, transfers, for example, back to care in the community and to more independent coping. Digital application can support these transitions and the continuity of the recovery process [56,72]. The self-care approach and keeping problematic substance use under control through digitalization merits attention regardless of the stage of the problem evolving or when the client is recovering from addiction. For example, during inpatient treatment client’s subjective well-being will improve, when the chances to take advantage of various self-care elements may be better than before the treatment [73].

It is important for those who develop applications to be conversant with the ways in which substances are abused and with the wide range of users [53]. In order to accommodate the diversity of needs and the changes in the recovery process, various user profiles should be utilized comprehensively. Through background questions users could be segmented to be part of optimally appropriate user groups. Each user’s resources for self-care, their objectives, substance abuse history, state of health and social situation can serve as bases for segmentation in addition to demographic variables. As the life situation or stage of demographic variables changes [35,36] user profiles could be updated.

In the pursuit of well-being, efficacy and cost control, digital innovations are a good option to support self-care due to their wide range and low costs [69,74]. To increase their use and acceptability, the app development needs to be constant, and it should be aiming for user-centeredness, thereby advancing the tailoring of content according to variations in the user group. In order to increase users’ interest there should be versions of applications with content geared to various situations and objectives [53,56,60-62,64]. Yet so far there has been very little tailoring of applications although the technology for this is in place [43].

Digital applications will not resolve the problem of availability of substance abuse services, nor the lack of resources or the problem per se. At their best they can, however, serve importantly to prevent further harm or as an action model reducing harm when transferring between services, as a regular support for care or in those situations in which personal substance abuse service is no longer, or not yet, available and as a support in abandoning the use of intoxicants. In the assessment of the efficacy of applications attention needs to be paid not only to costs or changes in substance abuse habits but also to the wide significance of applications as a social technology processing the recovering individual’s feelings and identity [64]. Similar observations have been made in several other studies [26-28].

The findings of this review emphasize paying attention to the diversity of people with problematic substance use and to individual needs, which is an important issue in the construction of new or the further development of existing substance abuse services, including digital applications. Research is
needed on the extent to which digital applications are used and what the advantages are in various groups of problem users. More also needs to be known about how social and health care personnel perceive the prospects of digital applications and their usability as a support in substance abuse work or when transferring between services. In future it will be necessary to ensure that the technological development does not lead to digital inequality among those in especially vulnerable groups [15-20].

**Limitations**

The study was conducted as an integrative literature review, which has been subjected to criticism for its potential for bias and lack of rigour [52]. Effort was invested in minimizing these methodological deficiencies by ensuring that the inclusion criteria and analytical process were reported openly. The study was conducted in such a way that the dimensions of self-care proposed by El-Osta and others [44] achieved new and qualitatively significant knowledge about the border conditions for and the acceptability of the use of digital tools in connection with substance abuse problems. The findings are not quantitatively generalizable, but they do have scientific and practical value for professionals and researchers in both substance abuse work and application development.
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