Additional File 1 – Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES)

Sheree Lloyd¹, Abraham Oshni Alvandi², Yasmine Probst³, Jeremy Roach⁴, Richard Olley⁵, Chris Bain ⁶

Corresponding Author

Sheree Lloyd, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

Sheree.lloyd@utas.edu.au

CHERRIES Checklist E	CHERRIES Checklist EMR Usability Study Australia			
Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions	
Design	Describe survey design	Describe target population, sample frame. Is the sample a convenience sample? (In "open" surveys this is most likely.)	Purposive sample Obtained from invitations sent to professional bodies and social media platform.	
IRB (Institutional Review Board) approval and informed consent process	IRB approval	Mention whether the study has been approved by an IRB	University of Tasmania Human Research Ethics Committ (Project id : 28113)	
	Informed consent	Describe the informed consent process. Where were the participants told the length of time of the survey, which data were stored and where and for how long, who the	Survey detailed, time to complete study, investigator details, included participant information sheet and consent form. Purpose of study outlined. Participant information advised data storage protocols.	

¹ Sheree Lloyd, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

² Abraham Oshni Alvandi, No affiliation

³ Yasmine Probst, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

⁴ Jeremy Roach, Alphalake Ai, London, United Kingdome

⁵ Richard Olley, Griffith University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

⁶ Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Item Category	EMR Usability Study Au Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
category	Great term	investigator was, and the purpose of the study?	, reneme
	Data protection	If any personal information was collected or stored, describe what mechanisms were used to protect unauthorized access.	Responses anonymized. Data access limited to research team and documents stored behind University firewall.
Development and pretesting	Development and testing	State how the survey was developed, including whether the usability and technical functionality of the electronic questionnaire had been tested before fielding the questionnaire.	Validated survey used. Initial testing by convenience sample.
Recruitment process and description of the sample having access to the questionnaire	Open survey versus closed survey	An "open survey" is a survey open for each visitor of a site, while a closed survey is only open to a sample which the investigator knows (password-protected survey).	Open survey distributed in newsletters and circulars of professional bodies. Survey invitation circulated on Social media channels
	Advertising the survey	How/where was the survey announced or advertised? Some examples are offline media (newspapers), or online (mailing lists – If yes, which ones?) or banner ads (Where were these banner ads posted and what did they look like?). It is important to know the wording of the announcement as it will heavily influence who	Professional bodies used various print and electronic communication circulars to distribute the electronic link and invitation to the survey - Social media post by investigators using personal or professional social media channels.

Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
		chooses to participate.	
		Ideally the survey	
		announcement should	
		be published as an	
		appendix.	
Survey	Web/E-mail	State the type of e-	LimeSurvey was used. As the web-
administration	,	survey (eg, one posted	based survey tool. Respondents input
		on a Web site, or one	directly to platform from survey link.
		sent out through e-mail).	Unique identifiers assigned to survey
		If it is an e-mail survey,	responses as a means of
		were the responses	anonymization. Chief Investigator only
		entered manually into a	team member with access to
		· ·	
		database, or was there	responses.
		an automatic method for	
	_	capturing responses?	
	Context	Describe the Web site	36 professional bodies representing
		(for mailing	clinicians in Australia invited by email
		list/newsgroup) in which	to circulate survey to their
		the survey was posted.	constituents.
		What is the Web site	
		about, who is visiting it,	Chosen as the most representative
		what are visitors	bodies for disciplines.
		normally looking for?	
		Discuss to what degree	The Australian Institute of Digital
		the content of the Web	Health circulated to their members.
		site could pre-select the	
		sample or influence the	
		results. For example, a	
		survey about vaccination	
		on an anti-immunization	
		Web site will have	
		different results from a	
		Web survey conducted	
		on a government Web	
		site	
	Mandaton/volunta	Was it a mandatory	Survey was voluntary
	Mandatory/volunta	survey to be filled in by	Survey was voluntary
	ry	1	
		every visitor who	
		wanted to enter the	
		Web site, or was it a	
		voluntary survey?	
	Incentives	Were any incentives	No Incentives offered.
		offered (eg, monetary,	

Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
		prizes, or non-monetary	
		incentives such as an	
		offer to provide the	
		survey results)?	
	Timeframe	In what timeframe were	May – Oct 2023
	Timename	the data collected?	Long time period due to workforce
		the data confected:	shortages.
	Randomization of	To prevent biases items	No as questions progressed in a logica
	items or	can be randomized or	fashion
	questionnaires	alternated	i dasinion
	Adaptive	Use adaptive	No branching logic used
	questioning	questioning (certain	No branching logic used
	questioning	= -	
		items, or	
		only conditionally	
		displayed based on	
	Number of items	What was the number of	Determined by the device respondent
		questionnaire items per	accessed the survey from and
		page? The number of	depended on Laptop, Computer or
		items is an important	Mobile screen.
		factor for the completion	
		rate	
	Number of	Over how many pages	Questions presented by group.
	screens/pages	was the questionnaire	Progress bar turned on.
		distributed? The number	
		of items is an important	
		factor for the completion	
		rate.	
	Completeness	It is technically possible	Seven mandatory questions relating to
	check	to do consistency or	demographics.
		completeness checks	No other completeness checks or
		before the questionnaire	consistency checks included. Only
		is submitted. Was this	valid options could be chosen using a
		done, and if "yes", how	button.
		(usually JAVAScript)? An	All items included a non-response item
		alternative is to check	but was not enforced.
		for completeness after	Sat was not emoreed.
		the questionnaire has	
		been submitted (and	
		· ·	
		highlight mandatory	
		items). If this has been	
		done, it should be	
		reported. All items	
		should provide a non-	

Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
<u> </u>		response option such as	
		"not applicable" or	
		"rather not say", and	
		selection of one	
		response option should	
		be enforced.	
	Review step	State whether	Yes - backward navigation was enabled
		respondents were able	in LimeSurvey
		to review and change	
		their answers (eg,	
		through a Back button or	
		a Review step which	
		displays a summary of	
		the responses	
		and asks the	
		respondents if they are	
		correct).	
Response rates	Unique site visitor	If you provide view rates	Survey was not listed publicly
	1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	or participation rates,	,
		you need to define how	
		you determined a	
		unique visitor. There are	
		different techniques	
		available, based on IP	
		addresses or cookies or	
	View water (Daties	both.	Net and Carlo
	View rate (Ration	Requires counting	Not applicable.
	unique site	unique site visitors	Invitation only
	visitors/unique	divided by the number	
	survey visitors)	of unique visitors to the	
		first page of the survey.	
		It is not	
		unusual to have view	
		rates of less than 0.1 % if	
		the survey is voluntary.	
	Participation rate	Count the unique	Opened rate
	(Ratio unique	number of visitors who	First page rate
	survey page	visit the first page of the	Completion
	visitors/agreed to	survey (or the informed	
	participate	consents page, if	
		present) divided by the	
		number of people who	
		filled in the first survey	

Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
		page (or agreed to participate). This can also be called	
		"recruitment" rate.	
	Completion rate	The number of people	Attrition rate = 20%
	(Ratio of users who	agreeing to participate	Consented – 668
	finished the	(or submitting the first	Submitted final page - 534
	survey/users who	survey page) is divided	
	agreed to	by the number of people	
	participate)	submitting the last	
		questionnaire page. This	
		is only relevant if there is	
		a separate "informed	
		consent" page or if the	
		survey goes over several	
		pages. This is a measure	
		for attrition. Note that	
		"completion" can involve	
		leaving questionnaire	
		items blank. This is not a	
		measure for how	
		completely	
		questionnaires were	
		filled in. (If you need a	
		measure for this, use the	
		word "completeness	
		rate".)	
Preventing multiple	Cookies used	Indicate whether cookies	Yes – IP address protection
entries from the		were used to assign	
same individual		a unique user identifier	
		to each client computer.	
		If so, mention the page on which the cookie was	
		set and read, and how	
		long the cookie was	
		valid. Were duplicate	
		entries avoided by	
		preventing users access	
		to the survey twice; or	
		were duplicate database	
		entries having the same	
		user ID eliminated	
		before analysis? In the	

Hom Catagorie	Chaslist it	Australia	Actions
Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
		latter case, which entries	
		were kept for analysis	
		(eg, the first entry or the	
		most recent)?	
	IP check	Indicate whether the IP	LimeSurvey prevented duplicate
		address of the client	entries.
		computer was used to	
		identify potential	
		duplicate entries from	
		the same user. If so,	
		mention the period of	
		time for which no two	
		entries from the same IP	
		address were allowed	
		(eg, 24 hours). Were	
		duplicate entries	
		avoided by preventing	
		users with the same IP	
		address access to the	
		survey twice; or were	
		duplicate database	
		entries having the same	
		IP address within a given	
		period of time	
		eliminated before	
		analysis? If the latter,	
		which entries were kept	
		for analysis (eg, the first	
		entry or the most	
		recent)?	
	Log file analysis	Indicate whether other	No additional checks
	,	techniques to analyze	
		the log file for	
		identification of multiple	
		entries were used. If so,	
		please describe	
	Registration	In "closed" (non-open)	No registration required
		surveys, users need to	
		login first and it is easier	
		to prevent duplicate	
		entries from the same	
		user. Describe how this	
		was done. For example,	

Item Category	Checklist item	Explanation	Actions
		was the survey never	
		displayed a second time	
		once the user had filled	
		it in, or was the	
		username stored	
		together with the survey	
		results and later	
		eliminated? If the latter,	
		which entries were kept	
		for analysis (eg, the first	
		entry or the	
		most recent)?	
Analysis	Handling of	Were only completed	Yes, only submitted surveys included in
,	incomplete	questionnaires	analysis.
	questionnaires	analyzed? Were	,
	'	questionnaires which	
		terminated early (where,	
		for example, users did	
		not go through all	
		questionnaire pages)	
		also analyzed?	
	Questionnaires	Some investigators may	Not examined
	submitted with an	measure the time people	
	atypical timestamp	needed to fill in a	
	· · · /	questionnaire and	
		exclude questionnaires	
		that were submitted too	
		soon. Specify the	
		timeframe that was used	
		as a cut-off point, and	
		describe how this point	
		was determined.	
	Statistical	Indicate whether any	No weightings or adjustment applied.
	correction	methods such as	
		weighting of items or	
		propensity scores have	
		been used to adjust for	
		the non- representative	
		sample; if so, please	
		describe the methods	