AN ANALYSIS OF NORTH KOREA’S SATELLITE LAUNCHES

ABSTRACT
In December of 2012, North Korea successfully launched a satellite, after failures in 1998, 2009 and 2012. The carrier missiles used during the last three launches are of a new design. Computer simulations of different models for these missiles, using information on the satellite trajectories, show that they require more advanced technology than North Korea has demonstrated previously. This knowledge allows an estimate of the performance of two road mobile ballistic missiles shown during parades in 2010 and 2012. The first, known as the Musudan, theoretically has a range that is considerably larger than the currently operational North Korean missiles. The second, the KN-08, however, is too heavy to be based on the rocket engines used in the Unha-2 and 3, which means that it is either a mock-up or that North Korea is developing more powerful engines. The lack of a flight test program makes it unlikely that either of these missiles is close to being operational.

KEYWORDS
Ballistic missiles, North Korea, computer simulations, missile defence, rocket science

1 INTRODUCTION
On the 12th of December 2012 North Korea caused an international incident by successfully launching a satellite into orbit, in violation of UN Security Council resolutions (BBC, 2012). The satellite, known as the Kwangmyŏngsŏng 3-2, was launched into a polar orbit from a missile base in the northwest of the country, using a three-stage carrier missile known as the Unha-3-2 (see Fig. 1). This successful launch followed three earlier unsuccessful attempts to launch satellites. The first, in August 1998, used a three-stage missile. The ballistic missile that consists of the first two stages is known in the West as the Taepodong-1. During this attempt, the third stage of the missile failed (Postol, 2009). The second attempt, in April 2009, used a much larger three-stage missile known as the Unha-2. The satellite, named the Kwangmyŏngsŏng-2, was launched from the East-coast of North Korea in an easterly direction over Japan, towards the Pacific Ocean. It never reached its intended orbit, most likely also because the third stage of the missile failed to ignite (Covault, 2009). The successful December launch was a repeat of an attempted launch that took place on the 13th of April 2012, timed to celebrate Kim-Il-Sung’s 100th birthday. The 100 kg Kwangmyŏngsŏng 3 satellite was to be launched into a circular polar orbit at an altitude of 500 km. The Unha-3 carrier missile broke up in flight, due to an as yet unknown cause. There is conflicting information about the time at which the missile failed and about where the wreckage came down (Christy 2012a).
Figure 1: The Unha-2 and Unha-3/3-2 space launchers compared to the Soviet SS-N-6 medium-range ballistic missile and the North Korean Musudan and KN-08 missiles. The dimensions of the Unha-2 follow from Wright and Postol (2009), of the Unha 3 from Wright (2012) and of the SS-N-6 from Pike (2011). The Musudan’s dimensions follow from Lennox (2009) and the KN-08’s dimensions from Hansen (2012).

Officially the satellites were intended for Earth-observation (KCNA, 2012), but North Korea’s space program is widely seen as a cover for testing technology for intercontinental ballistic missiles (Pellerin, 2012). The first two stages of the Unha-2, Unha-3 and Unha-3-2 are associated with an intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) known as the Taepodong-2. This was unsuccessfully tested in July of 2006, exploding 40-42 s into its flight (Pinkerton, 2008). It does not seem likely that the North Koreans have mastered building miniaturized nuclear weapons, which are small and light enough to serve as the payload of their ballistic missiles. The country does have an active nuclear weapons program, however, and is suspected of collaborating with Iran in developing longer-range missiles (Hecker & Carlin, 2012). The threat of North Korea developing an ICBM or exporting the technology to countries such as Iran is a major motivation behind the US and Europe developing a missile defence system (Sessions, 2008). The successful launch in December 2012 shows that North Korea is making progress, although the flight test program is still very limited and may essentially be a bluff (Schiller, 2012).

As part of an investigation of Iranian missile technology, Postol performed a detailed analysis of ballistic missiles developed by North Korea (Postol 2009). Most North Korean ballistic missiles are based on the technology of the Soviet Scud-B. North Korea has the ability to produce variants of the Scud and has developed and exported its own versions. The most advanced North Korean missile that is currently operational is the Nodong, which is also in service in Iran as the Shahab-3. Limitations of the technology
mean that, if one wanted to build an ICBM with a sufficient range to reach the United States from North Korea or the United States from Iran, the resulting missile would end up being very large and heavy. Such a missile would be difficult to transport and launch using a mobile installation. During a parade in Pyongyang in October 2010, a new missile was shown, carried by a transporter erector launcher vehicle (TEL). The missile is variously known as the Musudan, BM-25, Taepodong-X or Nodong-B. At a first glance, it closely resembles the Soviet SS-N-6 (Pollack, 2010). The SS-N-6 is a liquid-fuelled submarine-launched ballistic missile that is no longer in service with the Russian military, but that is still considerably more advanced than the Scud. It has a lighter structure and more energetic fuel (Pike, 2011, Wright, 2010). In April 2012, shortly after the failed launch of the Unha-3, a second new road mobile missile was shown in a parade in the North Korean capital (Lewis, 2012a; Richardson, 2012a). It is known to Western analysts as the KN-08. It appears to be a longer multi-stage missile with a similar diameter as the Musudan. Both missiles are smaller than a hypothetical ICBM based on technology of the Scud and Nodong, but if these missiles were to use the more advanced technology of the SS-N-6, they would represent a larger threat than North Korea’s current arsenal. The missile geometries are illustrated in Fig. 1.
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**Figure 2:** Data points show the specific impulse and the fuel-structure ratios for liquid-fuelled missiles: the Scud-B (Forden 2007), Nodong (Vick, 2012) and SS-N-6 (Pike, 2011), as well as the US Saturn-V (NASA, 1968) and Chinese LM-3A (CGWIC, 2011) space launchers, the Chinese DF-3 medium-range ballistic missile, the US Titan-II ICBM and Soviet SS-18 ICBM (Fetter, 1990). For multi-staged missiles, the numbers between parentheses indicate the stage number. The world's first operational ballistic missile, the German WW-II V-2, is also shown (Fetter, 1990).

Two parameters that indicate the technology level of the missiles, which are also used in the simulations, are the specific impulse of the propellant and the fuel-structure ratio. For a liquid-fuelled missile, the propellant is a combination of a fuel and an oxidizer. The
specific impulse is a measure of the amount of thrust that can be delivered per mass flow of the propellant. The fuel-structure ratio is the ratio of the propellant mass in a stage and the mass of the structure of the stage itself (excluding the payload). A more advanced missile will require less structural weight to carry a similar amount of propellant. This is illustrated in Fig. 2, which shows the specific impulse and fuel-structure ratios for a number of liquid-fuelled missiles. The figure clearly shows that parameters for the Scud-B and Nodong are closer to the V-2 than to any of the other missiles. They have a lower specific impulse and relatively low fuel-structure ratios. Only the fuel-structure ratios of the upper stages of the space launchers (LM-3A and Saturn-V) are lower than that of the Nodong, but these stages are designed to launch heavy payloads (of multiple tons). This requires a relatively heavy structure and their low structure factors are offset by the much larger specific impulse. The parameters for the SS-N-6 are much more in line with the more advanced missiles. There are indications that North Korea has access to the technology of the SS-N-6 (Postol, 2009; Lewis, 2012b), but there is disagreement on whether the Unha-2 and 3, the Musudan and the KN-08 are indeed based on this technology (Schiller & Schmucker, 2012a; Hansen, 2012). The intended trajectories of the Kwangmyŏngsŏng-2 and 3 satellites and the locations of the impact zones for the first two missile stages announced by North Korea before the launch are the key to answering the question whether or not North Korea indeed has access to the technology of the SS-N-6 or technology of a similar level.

In this paper the satellite orbits are compared to results of computer simulations of an Unha-2 missile model that is based either on the technology of the Scud or based on that of the SS-N-6. Section 2 describes the computer model used for these simulations and explains the parameters of the missiles required as inputs. In section 3 the ranges of North Korea’s existing ballistic missiles are presented and compared to the range of the SS-N-6, illustrating the performance gain that is possible with its more advanced technology and validating the computer model. In section 4, simulations of different computer models for the Unha-2 are compared to the planned orbit of the Kwangmyŏngsŏng-2 satellite. The results of the comparison are applied to the launches of the Unha-3 and Unha-3-2. In section 5, the results of the simulations are used to shed light on the theoretical performance of the Musudan and KN-08 ballistic missiles. The paper ends with a brief discussion of the results and conclusions.

2 SIMULATING THE MISSILES AND THEIR TRAJECTORIES

The trajectory of a ballistic missile consists of three phases. The boost-phase is the time during which the rocket engines produce thrust. The missile continues its trajectory unpowered during the mid-course phase. For medium range ballistic missiles and ICBMs this phase will take place largely outside of the atmosphere. During the final phase, the so-called re-entry, the missile or its payload returns into the atmosphere. The impact point is mainly determined by the velocity, altitude and pitch angle (the angle between the trajectory and horizontal) at the end of the boost-phase. A larger range requires a
larger velocity. At the end of the boost-phase, the pitch angle for a ballistic missile is approximately 45°. A space launch requires a different trajectory during the boost-phase. North Korea has employed a so-called direct orbit insertion which requires the missile to fly horizontally at the end of the boost-phase, with a velocity that matches the orbital velocity at the altitude that has been reached.

To simulate the missile trajectories, a computer program has been written in MATLAB/Simulink that solves the equations of motion. This involves calculating the forces that act on the missile as a function of time and calculating how the mass of the missile changes as fuel is consumed and missile stages are discarded. The forces included in the model are gravity, aerodynamic drag and thrust, illustrated in Fig. 3.
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Figure 3: Forces on the missile modelled in the computer simulation. Drag is aligned with the missile axis, gravity points vertically down and the thrust, which is only present during the boost-phase, is offset from the vertical by an angle $\varphi$ that is a function of time.

The steering mechanism in the model is an approximation. During the boost-phase, the pitch of a real missile as a function of time –the so-called pitch-program– is determined by a balance between forces and moments. The thrust is angled away from the missile axis, which generates a moment. This moment results in an angle of attack between the missile axis and the direction of flight, causing aerodynamic lift and an associated aerodynamic force moment. The computer model does not take into account force moments, and the only aerodynamic force that is included is drag. In the model, steering the missile is done by changing the angle $\varphi$ between the thrust vector and the vertical direction in time, see Fig. 3.

Drag depends on the area of the cross-sectional area of each stage, the density of the atmosphere and the Mach-number, i.e. the ratio between the missile velocity and the
speed of sound. The density and the speed of sound depend on the altitude according to the so-called standard-atmosphere (Nelson, 1998). Further approximations in the model are that the effect of wind is not taken into account and that the Earth is modelled as a perfect sphere.

The missile data needed as input for the simulations are the payload and, for every stage \(i\), the structure mass \(m_{s,i}\), the propellant mass \(m_{p,i}\), the specific impulse of the propellant \(I_{sp,i}\), the burn time \(\Delta t_i\) and the stage diameter. Assuming that the mass flow \(\dot{m}_i\) through the engine is constant, it is determined by the propellant mass \(m_{p,i}\) and the duration of the boost phase

\[
\dot{m}_i = \frac{m_{p,i}}{\Delta t_i}.
\]

The total mass \(m_i\) of a stage decreases linearly during its burn time, as fuel and oxidizer are consumed

\[
m_i = m_{s,i} + m_{p,i} - \dot{m}_i \frac{t}{\Delta t_i},
\]

in which \(t\) is the time since ignition of the stage. The mass flow and the specific impulse of the propellant determine the thrust

\[
T_i = I_{sp,i} \dot{m}_i g,
\]

in which \(g\) is the gravitational acceleration. Obviously the mass flow should be large enough for the thrust of the missile to be larger than the missile’s weight. The nozzle of a rocket engine, which generates thrust by accelerating the exhaust gasses, is optimised for a particular range of altitudes and in reality the thrust therefore depends on altitude. For a given engine design, the \(I_{sp}\) at sea level will be a few percent less than the \(I_{sp}\) in space. In the program \(I_{sp}\) is fixed for a given stage, however. These approximations are the same as in an existing program by Forden (2007), with the exception of the atmosphere, which in Forden’s program has an exponential temperature profile.

All the parameters for the missile models used in the simulations are estimated using data from open sources. For a given liquid-fuelled rocket engine the mass flow is a constant. This means that increasing the amount of propellant in a missile stage will not change the mass flow, but instead will increase the duration of the boost-phase. The fuel-structure mass ratio for a missile stage is

\[
\mu_i = \frac{m_{i,p}}{m_{i,s}}.
\]

This is kept constant for a missile of a given technology level. In order to estimate the values of the parameters for a longer version of the Scud, for instance, the fuel mass, empty mass and duration of the boost-phase for the basic Scud-B are multiplied by the ratio of the booster lengths.

In the simulations the difference between a trajectory for a ballistic missile and the trajectory for a space launch is determined by the manner in which the angle \(\varphi\) changes
in time. For both trajectories, the thrust is pointed in the vertical direction for the first five seconds of flight. In the calculations of ballistic missile trajectories, after five seconds the angle \( \phi \) starts to increase linearly with time until it reaches a maximum value. This maximum value and the time at which the angle \( \phi \) reaches it, determine the velocity, pitch angle and altitude at the end of the boost-phase and the program varies them until the maximum range is reached. This is the same method as employed by Forden (2007). For direct orbit insertion the pitch-angle needs to be equal to zero at the end of the boost phase and \( \phi \) needs to be 90°. This requires a different pitch-program, with \( \phi \) as a function of time given by a third-order polynomial function

\[
0 < t < t_k \quad \rightarrow \quad \phi = 0^\circ \\
t \geq t_k \quad \rightarrow \quad \phi = 90^\circ \cdot \left( 1 - \left( \frac{t - t_b}{t_k - t_b} \right)^2 \right) + (t - t_k)(t - t_b)(At - B).
\]  

(5)

In this function, \( t_k \) is the time at which the pitch-over manoeuvre starts, i.e. 5 seconds, \( t_b \) is the end of the boost-phase and \( A \) and \( B \) are parameters that determine the shape of the curve. The polynomial is chosen such that at \( t = t_k, \phi = 0^\circ \) and at \( t = t_b, \phi = 90^\circ \), regardless of the values of \( A \) and \( B \). Their values determine the velocity, the altitude and the pitch-angle at the end of the boost-phase. For a circular orbit, the velocity needs to equal the orbital velocity

\[
V_{\text{orbit}} = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{h_{\text{orbit}} + R}}.
\]  

(6)

In this equation \( h_{\text{orbit}} \) is the altitude of the orbit, \( R \) is the radius of the Earth, \( G \) is the gravitational constant and \( M \) is the mass of the Earth. For a circular trajectory at an altitude of 500 km, \( V_{\text{orbit}} = 7.6 \text{ km/s} \). This is considerably larger than velocities reached by ballistic missiles. If the velocity at the end of the boost-phase is smaller than the orbital velocity, the missile will follow a sub-orbital trajectory and will return to Earth. If the velocity is larger, it will reach an elliptical orbit. The program has two different modes for calculating orbits. In the first mode, \( h_{\text{orbit}} \) is not pre-set. The values of \( A \) and \( B \) are varied until the pitch angle at the end of the boost-phase indeed is zero and the velocity equals \( V_{\text{orbit}} \) for the altitude reached. In the second mode, \( A \) and \( B \) are varied until the boost phase ends at a pre-set altitude, with pitch-angle equal to zero. In that case, however, the velocity may not match \( V_{\text{orbit}} \). In both modes, the simulation also calculates the trajectories followed by the first and second stages after they have been discarded, assuming that they do not tumble and that their drag-coefficient is the same as that of the complete missile.  

The equations of motion in the simulation are solved in Earth-fixed coordinates, including corrections to account for the rotation of the Earth. The effect of the rotation on the maximum range is that a missile launched towards the east will travel further than a similar missile launched west. The rotation also affects trajectories to orbit and a correction needs to be made to equation (6). Launching a satellite in an easterly direction, as in North Korea’s test in 2009, requires a smaller velocity from the missile.
than launching a satellite of the same weight south into a polar orbit, as in the tests of 2012.

3 The North-Korean Missile Program
Most of North Korean’s ballistic missiles are derived from the Scud-B, most likely because developing new rocket engines, structures, materials and more energetic missile fuel is complicated and requires extensive tests. For the North-Korean developed Scud-C, the basic Scud design was extended; increasing range by increasing the fuel mass, but sacrificing payload (see Table 1). This development did not require a new rocket engine. A different approach was used in the development of the Nodong. It is essentially an enlarged version of the Scud-B.

Table 1: Data of North Korean missiles and the Soviet SS-N-6 for comparison. Data for the Scud-C were derived from data from the Scud-B, by keeping the total mass constant, but adding the payload mass saved to the booster mass while keeping the fuel-structure ratio constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>payload [kg]</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter [m]</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty mass [kg]</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>1350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fuel mass [kg]</td>
<td>3699</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>12913</td>
<td>12200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{sp}$ [s]</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>274-290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burn time [s]</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>128.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_p/m_s$</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simulation result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (without Earth rotation) [km]</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>2339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increasing the size increased the propellant mass. It also increased the structural mass, but, overall, the fuel-structure ratio was improved. The take-off weight of the Nodong exceeds the thrust of a Scud-engine and to provide sufficient thrust, a scaled-up Scud engine was developed that can accommodate a larger mass flow. It is not clear whether the Nodong is an indigenous North Korean development of the Scud or whether its design was imported from the (former) Soviet-Union (Schiller 2012).

To validate the results of the computer simulations and to illustrate how modifying the Scud-design has increased the performance of North Korea’s missiles, maximum ranges have been calculated for the basic Scud-B, the North Korean version of the Scud-C, and the Nodong. The data that are needed to calculate the ranges of these different ballistic missiles, the fuel-structure factors and the ranges that follow from the simulation are listed in Table 1. The calculated ranges are all within a few per cent of the published
ranges of these missiles. For comparison purposes, the range for the more advanced Soviet SS-N-6 was also calculated. The results are shown graphically in Fig. 4.

Figure 4: Missile ranges based on computer simulations. In the simulations, the missiles were launched from the Musudan-Ri missile site in the northeast of North Korea, but when launched from sites close to the border, the Scud-C can reach all of South Korea. The Nodong can reach most of Japan. A missile with the performance of the SS-N-6 can reach Okinawa and Taiwan. The visualisation was done using Google Earth.

The increased range of the Scud-C allows it to reach all of South Korea from launch sites near the border, while the larger Nodong can reach most of Japan. An SS-N-6 would be able to reach Okinawa and Taiwan. Its range is almost twice the range of the Nodong, despite their similar take-off masses. This clearly shows the advantage of the higher $I_{sp}$ and the larger fuel-structure ratio, shown in Fig. 2.

4 The Satellite Launches

In an attempt to convince the world that the satellite launch in April 2012 served peaceful purposes, North Korea gave unprecedented access to the base before the launch. Based on their photographs, the Unha-3 appears to be essentially the same missile as the Unha-2, except with a slightly longer third stage (Wright, 2012). Footage of the Unha-3-2 shows that it is a copy of the Unha-3. The satellite orbits were announced in advance. The Uhna-2 was to launch a satellite into a circular orbit towards
the east at an altitude of 500 km. The satellites launched with the Unha-3 and Unha-3-2 were to reach a circular polar orbit at 500 km. Before the satellite launch in April 2009, North Korea published a NOTAM message, giving coordinates of the expected impact zones of the first and second stages (Global Security, 2009). Even though the launch failed, the second stage indeed did impact in the announced hazard zone (Covault, 2009). North Korea again announced hazard zones for the first and second stages of the Unha-3 (Williams, 2012) and the Unha-3-2 (Christy, 2012b). The hazard zones and the orbits offer crucial clues to the nature of the missile.

The question whether or not the Unha-2 is based on the SS-N-6 or the Scud/Nodong can be answered by calculating the trajectories for different missile models, based on the Nodong or the SS-N-6. Three different models of the Unha-2, listed in Table 2, are used in the simulations.

Table 2: Data for different models for the Unha-2 and the Unha-3 missile used in the simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missile name</th>
<th>Unha-2</th>
<th>Unha-2</th>
<th>Unha-2</th>
<th>Unha-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model name</td>
<td>U2a</td>
<td>U2b</td>
<td>U2c</td>
<td>U3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd stage</td>
<td>SS-N-6</td>
<td>Nodong</td>
<td>Nodong</td>
<td>SS-N-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd stage</td>
<td>SS-N-6</td>
<td>SS-N-6</td>
<td>Nodong</td>
<td>SS-N-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>payload [kg]</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diameter [m]</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty mass [kg]</td>
<td>8649</td>
<td>8649</td>
<td>8649</td>
<td>8649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fuel mass [kg]</td>
<td>65401</td>
<td>65401</td>
<td>65401</td>
<td>65401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{sp}$ [s]</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burn time [s]</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{p,1}/m_{s,1}$</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter [m]</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty mass [kg]</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>1655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fuel mass [kg]</td>
<td>11895</td>
<td>12542</td>
<td>12542</td>
<td>11895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{sp}$ [s]</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burn time [s]</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{p,2}/m_{s,2}$</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter [m]</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty mass [kg]</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fuel mass [kg]</td>
<td>2635</td>
<td>2635</td>
<td>2646</td>
<td>2952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{sp}$ [s]</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burn time [s]</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{p,3}/m_{s,3}$</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the most accurate missile model, the first and second stages end up in, or closest to the hazard zones, and the satellite reaches a circular orbit at the desired altitude.

- The first, called U2a in Table 2, is a missile model by Wright and Postol (2009). Based on photographs of the Unha-2 missile and data from existing missiles, they concluded that its first stage used a cluster of four Nodong motors and that the second and third stages were based on the Soviet SS-N-6 medium range ballistic missile. The SS-N-6 has a main engine, flanked by two smaller Vernier thrusters used for attitude control. In this model, the second stage of the Unha-2 uses the main engine and the thrusters of the SS-N-6, while the third stage uses only a set of thrusters.

- For missile model U2b, Wright and Postol’s data are used for the first and third stages, but the second stage is different. Schiller and Schmucker (2012b) conclude, based on analysing photographs, that the second stage of the unha-2 is based on technology of the Scud/Nodong and carries 6.2 m$^2$ of oxidizer and 3.6 m$^2$ of fuel. Using the respective densities of the fuel and oxidizer and by assuming that the fuel-structure ratio of his stage is the same as for the Nodong, the total mass for the second stage can be estimated. The duration of the boost-phase is found by assuming that the engine is the same as that of the Nodong, with an identical mass flow rate.

- Missile model U2c combines Postol and Wright’s data for the first stage (from model U2a), the modified Nodong-based second stage (from model U2b) and a Nodong-based third stage. Data for this latter stage follows from Postol (2009).

The payload for all versions is set to 300 kg. This includes the mass of the satellite, the aerodynamic shroud that protects the satellite during the launch and the mechanism to detach the satellite from the third stage (Wright & Postol, 2009).

![Simulation results for the Unha-2 with second stages based on the SS-N-6 (missile model U2a, dotted lines) and the Nodong (missile model U2b, solid lines). (a) Both missiles can achieve circular orbits, but at altitudes higher than 500 km. (b) If the boost-phase forcibly ends at an altitude of 500 km, elliptical orbits are reached. In neither of these cases do the 1st and 2nd stages impact in the hazard zones.](image)
In the simulations, missile model U2c, with Nodong-based second and third stages, cannot achieve an altitude of 500 km at the end of its boost-phase with a pitch-angle of 0 degrees. Missile model U2a and U2b are sufficiently powerful to reach a circular orbit at a higher altitude than 500 km; missile model U2b achieves a circular orbit at an altitude of 532 km, whilst the missile based on the SS-N-6, U2a, reaches an orbit at an altitude of 638 km. These results are shown in Fig. 5 (a). For both missiles, however, the first and second stages fall far short of the announced hazard zones. The results for simulations in which the end of the boost-phase is set to 500 km, in Fig. 5 (b), show that both missiles can also achieve this, although the resulting orbits are now elliptical. The first stages for both missiles fall closer to the announced hazard zone, although still short. The second stages fall short of the hazard zone, but much closer to it, and U2a, with an SS-N-6 based second stage falls closest. From these results it would appear that Wright and Postol’s original model is the most accurate.

The fourth missile listed in Table 2 is an Unha-3, with second and third stages based on the SS-N-6. The Unha-3 has a longer third stage, see Fig. 1. This requires a change in the missile parameters. The parameters are estimated by multiplying with the ratio of the respective lengths of the third stages, so 2.75 m vs. 2.45 m. When launched to the south, as in the 2012 satellite launch, this missile can achieve a circular orbit at an altitude of 626 km and an elliptical orbit with a boost-phase ending at 500 km. The results are shown in Fig. 6 (a).

![Figure 6: Direct injection to orbit using the Unha-3. (a) The Unha-3 in Table 2, missile model U3, with a 2nd stage based on the SS-N-6 and a lengthened 3rd stage reaches a circular orbit at an altitude that is too high or an elliptical orbit at h_{orbit} = 500 km. (b) The Unha-3, with a larger I_{sp} for the first stage and smaller I_{sp} for the 2nd and 3rd stages reaches a circular orbit at the desired altitude of 500 km.](image)

For both the circular and the elliptical trajectories, the second stage falls into the second hazard zone and the first stage falls slightly short. It is possible to fine-tune the missile model such that the agreement is better, with a lighter or more powerful first stage and a slightly less powerful second stage. For instance, modelling the Unha-3 with an
increase in the $I_{sp}$ for the first stage from 220 s to 230 s and a decrease for the second and third stages from 300 s to 274 s, which is the value for the SS-N-6 from Pike (2011), results in a circular orbit at 500 km, with the first stage impacting very close to the first hazard zone and a second stage impacting in the second hazard zone. The result is shown in Fig. 6 (b).

The Kwangmyŏngsŏng 3-2 satellite was placed in an elliptical orbit between 500 and 584 km. Wreckage of the first stage, including the complete oxidizer tank and parts of the engines, was recovered by the South Korean navy, 430 km south of the launch site just inside the hazard zone (Blau, 2012). The wreckage confirms that the first stage indeed uses four engines and the size of the tank is similar to the model by Wright and Postol (2009). Regardless of the exact specifications, it is clear that the Unha-2 and 3 have a higher performance than a missile based solely on the technology of the Nodong. The third stage of the Unha-2 is based on the SS-N-6, or at least on missile technology with a similar performance and, likely, the second stage as well.

5 NORTH KOREA’S MEDIUM RANGE BALLISTIC MISSILES
That the Unha-2 requires a more powerful engine or has a lighter structure than a missile based on the technology of the Nodong has direct implications for the theoretical performance for the Musudan and KN-08.

![Figure 7: Ranges for the SS-N-6 (solid lines) and a Musudan missile (dashed lines) modelled as a lengthened SS-N-6 as a function of the payload. The gain in range over the SS-N-6, for the same payload, is less than 200 km.](image)

If the Musudan were a development of the Nodong, their ranges would likely be similar, but it now seems more likely that the Musudan indeed is a stretched SS-N-6, or, at least, could have a performance similar to a stretched SS-N-6. With $I_{sp} = 274$ s, the range of the SS-N-6 that follows from the simulations is 2339 km, with a payload of 650 kg. Using
the higher value for vacuum, $I_{sp} = 290$ s, the range goes up to 2756 km. Since the $I_{sp}$ increases as the missile gains altitude, the actual range of the missile will lie somewhere between these two values. Finding the approximate parameters for the Musudan can be done in a similar manner as was done for the third stage of the Unha-2, by multiplying the mass and the duration of the boost time for the SS-N-6 (listed in Table 1) by the ratio of the lengths of the boosters, i.e. 9.65/7.86. In simulations the range was calculated as a function of the payload, for both the SS-N-6 and the derived Musudan, for both values of the $I_{sp}$. The results, in Fig. 7, show that the performance gain achieved by lengthening the SS-N-6 is only a few hundred kilometres, unless payload is sacrificed to accommodate the mass of the extra fuel as was done to increase the range of the Scud-C. The calculated ranges are consistent with the results of similar calculations by Wright (2010). Even for the highest $I_{sp}$, with a 650 kg payload the maximum range is still considerably less than the 4000 km range quoted by South Korean news sources (Pollack, 2010).

The Musudan closely resembles the SS-N-6, but the structure of the KN-08 is a mystery. The TEL that carried the missile during the parade in Pyongyang has been identified as being of Chinese origin. Its length is known and provides an essential clue to the length of the missile. Nonetheless, different analysts come up with different dimensions for the KN-08 (Richardson, 2012a). Hansen, whose data was used for drawing Fig. 1, estimates that the diameter of the KN-08 is the same as that of the SS-N-6 and assumes that the third stage is powered by the Vernier thrusters of the SS-N-6 (Hansen, 2012). Other analysts find larger dimensions (Schiller & Schmucker, 2012b). By appropriately scaling the SS-N-6 data, as listed in Table 1, using the lengths of the first two stages and by using Postol’s data for a third stage based on the SS-N-6, the total mass of the KN-08 is approximately 27.4 tons, excluding the payload. The launch weight therefore is 270 kN. The SS-N-6 engines deliver a thrust of 260 kN with $I_{sp} = 274$ s and a thrust of 275 kN for $I_{sp} = 290$ s. Even the higher thrust is barely sufficient to lift the missile. The only known rocket engine available to North Korea that delivers a higher thrust is the cluster of four Nodong engines used for the first stage of the Unha-2 and 3. The diameter of the KN-08 seems too small to be able to accommodate this, however. For the missile to be able to fly, it would need a new, as yet unknown engine. Without knowledge of this engine, it is not possible to derive accurate parameters for simulating the missile’s trajectory and calculating its maximum range.

6 DISCUSSION

The accuracy of the results is limited by the accuracy of the available data on the missiles, as well as the approximations that were made in the simulations and in modelling the missiles. Scaling the masses of the missile stages with their lengths introduces an error. Since the length of the rocket engine does not change when the length of a stage is changed, changing the length will probably affect the fuel quantity most. This means that the amount of fuel in a shortened stage is probably overestimated,
while the amount of fuel in a lengthened stage is underestimated. However, better estimates require more detailed knowledge of the interior configuration of the missile. Uncertainty about the details of the missile also affects the calculation of the thrust. Calculating how it changes with altitude would require detailed information about the engine and the nozzle geometry. An alternative approach would be to use data from a known missile to model how the thrust should gradually increase as the missile travels from sea level up to high altitude.

The limitations of the method used to change the direction of the thrust as a function of time do not have a large effect on the range (Forde, 2007) and the ranges that were calculated for known missiles agree with published values. The pitch program used for the space launches, using a polynomial function for the angle $\varphi$ as a function of time (Eq. 5), results in the missiles reaching orbit, with discarded stages ending up close to the appropriate hazard zones, but the calculated trajectories may differ in detail from the actual trajectories. An analysis of a satellite photograph of the contrail left by the Unha-2’s launch suggests that the missile followed a shallower trajectory during the first part of its flight than in the simulations presented here (Forde, 2009a). It also suggests that the actual pitch program limited the angle of attack whenever a stage was due to separate and when the missile passed through the sound barrier (Forde, 2009b). Constraints such as these are not included in the model presented here, but unfortunately, few details of the analysis were published. Researchers from Stanford University, who have done a similar analysis using trajectory data derived from graphs shown in North Korean footage of the Unha-3 launch, reached the conclusion that parameters of the Unha-3 missile needed to be modified relative to Postol’s model and they also had to fine-tune their pitch program (38 North, 2012). However, they too have not yet published details of the analysis. A more comprehensive model of the behaviour of a missile during its boost-phase requires more detailed information of the shape and the structure of the missile, in order to calculate the location of the centre of gravity, moments of inertia, aerodynamic coefficients and how they change as the Mach number changes and propellant is consumed. It appears that the consequence of the approximations is that the performance in the simulations presented here is overestimated. The simulations show that a missile model based on Postol’s data can reach an orbit that was too high. Only by lowering the specific impulse of the 2nd and 3rd stages did it reach an orbit at 500 km. In any case, however, the simulations the Scud-based model failed to reach orbit.

7 Conclusion

Based on the (intended) satellite trajectories and the announced impact zones, the second stage of the Unha-2 and the Unha-3/3-2 indeed seems to be more advanced than Scud-derivatives. This represents a technological leap forward for North Korea. The Musudan missile, when modelled as a lengthened SS-N-6, offers a slightly larger range than the SS-N-6 and, as such, has the potential to be a much bigger threat to the region
than the Nodong. However, despite the successful launch of the Unha-3-2, the failure of the launches of the Taepodong-2, the Unha-2 and Unha-3 and the apparent lack of flight tests of the Musudan missile itself, indicate that it is far from an operation system. The rocket engine used in the second stage of the Unha-2 and Unha-3 does not deliver enough thrust to power the KN-08. For this missile to be anything other than an elaborate propaganda tool, it would need a new higher thrust engine. Developing it into an operational system may exceed North-Korea’s ability and, in any case, would require an extensive test program.

---

1 The North Korean designation of the missile is unknown, but in this article it will be referred to as the Musudan.
2 The drag of the discarded stages is likely larger than that of the complete missile, because of their much blunter front ends. If the stages tumble, drag is increased even further. This means that the simulation will likely overestimate how far they travel.
3 At a first glance, the area of the Earth surface that the missile can reach from a given launch site is a circle, but it is slightly deformed due to the rotation of the Earth.
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