## The Authorial Version of the E-Verse iren(n)a cyst in Beowulf

ALFRED BAMMESBERGER

**Abstract** The half-line *īrena/īrenna cyst*, an E-verse in *Beowulf*, causes problems because *īrena* is prosodically too weak in the second syllable, but metrically correct *īrenna* is morphologically difficult to account for. Since there is good reason for assuming that the word for 'iron' was *īsern* at an early stage of Old English it may be suggested that, in the course of the textual history of *Beowulf*, *īsern* tended to be replaced by *īren*. In all its occurrences in *Beowulf*, *īren* can be replaced by *īsern* without harm to the metre. It is therefore thinkable that the authorial version of the formulaic E-verse was *īserna cyst*.

A formulaic E-verse meaning 'the best of swords' occurs thrice in Beowulf:2 *īrenna* cyst (with geminate -nn-) at line 802b and *īrena* cyst (with single -n-) at lines 673a and 1697a respectively. Evidently guided by metrical considerations, Klaeber4 (2011: 157) notes, 'īrena (...) stands for older īrenna (...)'; the formulation is taken over from Klaeber3 (1950: 153). With regard to the E-verse *īrenna cyst*, the prosodic length of the second syllable in the first foot is indicated by instances like weorðmyndum þah (Beowulf, 8b); see further Terasawa (2011: 42-43). From the metrical viewpoint, *īrenna* (cyst) is therefore regular. That the form *īrenna* is 'older' than *īrena* is held by a number of scholars. Thus Wrenn comments on trenna (802b), 'Here metre suggests that the spelling represents the older form with its double n'. Holthausen (1908: 22 and 54) and Trautmann 1904: 38 and 94), even emend *īrena to īren[n]a* at lines 673a and 1697a. With reference to *īrena* at 673a and 1697a, Klaeber<sup>3</sup> (1950: 153) remarks, "Even if the *n* was really meant to be single, this would not necessarily involve a gross violation of meter". The occurrence of both *īrena* and *īrenna* in the genitive plural definitely requires an explanation.

<sup>1</sup> Meaning 'the best of', the noun *cyst* with a preceding genitive is also found at 1232b (*bær wæs symbla cyst*), etc.

Beowulf quotations follow Fulk et al. (2008) (=  $Klaeber^4$ ). The third edition of Klaeber's Beowulf is referred to as  $Klaeber^3$ .

<sup>3</sup> C. L. Wrenn (1958: 268) slightly changed in the 1973 edition (p. 253). Hoops (1932: 88) also considers the genitive plural *īrenna* as the original form and attributes the single nasal in *īrena* to 'Verkürzung des *nn* zu *n*'.

In order to account for the geminate -nn- in forms of the word for 'iron', Campbell (1959: §647, fn. 2) posits a formation in -ja: 'īren 'iron' seems to be a ja-stem in OE as a noun, and a ja-,  $j\bar{o}$ -stem as an adj.; hence in Beow. g.p. *īrenna* (noun), a.s.n. *-īrenne* (adj.) have *-nn-* (sometimes graphically simplified), and so lWS a.p.m. yrenne (adj.).' There is no doubt that \*īranja-> \*īrannja-> īrenn- would represent a phonologically acceptable development. This interpretation raises serious problems, however. On the basis of a reconstructed form \*īranja-, we may expect OE īrenn-: īren could show simplification of -nn > -n in final position (e.g. cynn > cyn 'kin'), but the geminate -nn- would be preserved in intervocalic position (e.g. cynnes). Following an unstressed syllable, geminate -nn- is usually preserved intact, as can be seen from inflected infinitives like gefremmanne (174b and 2644a). Consequently, a genitive plural *īrena*, with single -n-, cannot be accounted for on the basis of a starting-point \**īranja-* > OE *īrenn-*. Furthermore, it must be stressed that a formation \*īranja- would be hard to justify in the morphological system of Pre-Old-English because no convincing reason can be provided for the derivation in -ja. The E-verse  $\bar{t}ren(n)a$  cyst is suspect within the metrical system of Beowulf: īrena cyst is weak in the first foot, on the other hand, *īrenna cyst* is correct from the prosodic point of view, but -nn- cannot be explained as due to gemination by -j-, because the gemination ought also to appear in  $\bar{t}$  rena, and the formation in -ja- can hardly be justified in the first place.4

At this stage it is imperative to give an account of the substantive meaning 'iron, sword' as found in *Beowulf*. The nominative *īren* is attested four times (892, 989, 1848, 2586), twice *īren* functions as accusative (1809 and 2050). Apart from the three E-verses at 673, 802 (*īrenna*), and 1697, the genitive plural *īrena* occurs in the half-line bite *īrena* (2259), a D-verse. The compound *hringīren* (322) refers to the iron rings of the mail shirt. As first element, *īren* is

According to Hogg and Fulk (2011: §2.21, note 3) an underlying ja-stem \*īranja- is unlikely, but the suggestion that gen. pl. īrenna should represent 'an a-stem with assimilation of \*-zn- to \*-nn-' is not acceptable because the cluster \*-zn- would not develop in the paradigm of the word for 'iron'.

<sup>5</sup> The meaning 'sword' in poetic attestations may be understood metonymically as 'made of iron'.

found in the following compounds:  $\bar{\imath}$  renpreat (330), (dative plural)  $\bar{\imath}$  renbendum (774 and 998),  $\bar{\imath}$  renheard (1112),  $\bar{\imath}$  renbyrne (2986). The first element of the compound  $\bar{\imath}$  renbyrne is replaced by  $\bar{\imath}$  sern- in  $\bar{\imath}$  sernbyrne (671), and  $\bar{\imath}$  sern- is also found in  $\bar{\imath}$  sernscur (3116).

In Old English documents, the substantive for 'iron' appears in three different forms:  $\bar{t}ren - \bar{t}sern - \bar{t}sen$ . Gothic eisarn 'iron', Old Norse isarn, Old Saxon  $\bar{t}sarn$ , and Old High German  $\bar{t}sarn$  allow the reconstruction of a stem Gmc. \* $\bar{t}sarna$ -.6 OE  $\bar{t}sern$  probably represents the phonologically regular continuation of this starting-point.7 It may be further assumed that  $\bar{t}ren$  is due to an innovation in contrast to the inherited form  $\bar{t}sern$ . The main outline of the rather complex sound change was defined by Kluge:8  $\bar{t}sern$  led by metathesis to \* $\bar{t}sern$ , and the intervocalic cluster -sr- was assimilated to -rr-, but, following a long vowel, -rr- in \* $\bar{t}rren$  was simplified leading to  $\bar{t}ren$ .9 The distribution of  $\bar{t}sern$  and  $\bar{t}ren$  is analysed in detail by Kleinman, who notes that in Old English poetry we find altogether 43 instances of forms containing the

Orel (2003: 204) gives the main references. Kroonen (2013: 271) sets up *īsarna-~ īzarna-*, but there is no justification for positing an alternant \**īzarna-* supposedly showing the working of Verner's Law. The prehistory of this Germanic noun is disputed. Cowgill (2012: 68, fn. 10) thinks that Gmc. \**īsarna-* is due to borrowing from Celtic \**īsarno-*: IE \**ēṣṛno-*, the ancestral form, is interpreted as being derived from the word for 'blood', and Cowgill points out that 'rusty iron' is reddish like blood. A recent discussion is offered by Harðarson (2014: 103-112).

<sup>7</sup> The form *īsern* is found in early glossaries. In OE *īsen*, the prenasal -r- in *īsern* dropped out, a comparable development also occurred in OHG *īsan*, ultimately leading to German *Eisen*. OE *īsen* is found in the half-line *isen eall ŏurhgleded* (*Daniel*, 244a).

Kluge (1918: 516-517); see also Hirt (1931: 122, fn. 2). Very succinctly the development is accounted for by Campbell (1959: §459, fn. 3) as follows: "In *īrenn* iron, beside *īsern*, metathesis of r was followed by assimilation and simplification of the double consonant so produced: \* $\bar{\imath}$ sarn-> \* $\bar{\imath}$ srannj-> \* $\bar{\imath}$ rannj >  $\bar{\imath}$ renn." It should be noted that the form  $\bar{\imath}$ renn with geminate -nn in final position is not attested; the assumption of a derivation in -j- is unwarranted.

A parallel for the sound change -sr->-rr->-r- in medial position is perhaps available in the paradigm of the pronoun for first person plural: ūser 'of us', the genitive belonging to we 'we', was partly replaced by ūr/ūre. The possessive adjective ūser 'our' has regular adjectival inflection, the genitive can be expected as \*ūsres (< pre-OE \*ūsaras < \*unsaras) and could lead to \*ūrres > ūres; this may secondarily have brought about the replacement of ūser by ūr/ūre. With regard to īren and ūre, Ringe (2014: 339) notes: "In two words the sequence V:ser has become V:re, apparently without any intermediate stage". The assumed sound development is not credible.

word for 'iron'.¹¹ The prevailing form in the poetic corpus is  $\bar{\imath}$  sern. Beowulf, with only two attestations of  $\bar{\imath}$  sern- and twenty instances of  $\bar{\imath}$  ren(-),¹¹ deviates from this general pattern. The question is therefore permitted whether  $\bar{\imath}$  sern may have been more prevalent at an early stage of the Beowulf text. It is worth noting that  $\bar{\imath}$  serna cyst would be a perfectly regular E-verse. The form  $\bar{\imath}$  sern can be substituted for  $\bar{\imath}$  ren in all single instances in Beowulf without disturbing the metre. It can therefore be suggested that, in the course of the textual transmission, an authorial form  $\bar{\imath}$  sern tended to be replaced by  $\bar{\imath}$  ren, only isernbryne and isernscur resisted the modernising tendency of copyists.

Apart from substantival  $\bar{\imath}ren$  discussed so far, Beowulf also offers forms of  $\bar{\imath}ren$  that must be understood as adjectival. The geminate -nn- occurs in non-final position. In the following verse lines, the form  $\bar{\imath}renna$  functions as nominative plural of an adjective in the feminine:  $path him \bar{\imath}renna ecga$  mihton (2683),  $ac him \bar{\imath}renna ecga fornamon (2828)$ . An adjective is probably also found at 2338a:  $eall \bar{\imath}renne$  is likely to represent the accusative singular neuter in the weak adjective declension agreeing with wigbord (2339a). The half-line  $ecg was \bar{\imath}ren$  (1459 and 2778) probably shows simplification of the geminate -nn in word-final position. For the adjective we may posit the underlying form as  $\bar{\imath}renn$ -. Since the substantival forms offer no evidence of a formation in -ja-, we must still inquire how the geminate -nn- in the adjective can be accounted for.

On the basis of Gothic *eisarn-eins* 'made of iron', we may reconstruct an adjectival stem Gmc. \**īsarn-īna-*, a regular formation in \*-*īna-* on the pattern of OE *gylden* 'made of gold' (< Gmc. \**guld-īna-*), derived from the substantive for 'gold', OE *gold*, or OE *æren* 'made of brass' (< Gmc. \**aiz-īna-*), derived from OE *ār* 'brass, copper' (< Gmc. \**aiz-*).¹¹ Phonologically, \**īsarn-īna-* leadsto

**<sup>10</sup>** Kleinman (1997: 371-390).

Kleinman's (1997) count does not distinguish between the functions as substantives or adjectives. In addition to the 15 substantival forms of *īren*- already mentioned, the *Beowulf* text offers 5 instances of *īren*- in adjectival function to be considered presently.

<sup>12</sup> Mitchell and Robinson (2006: 254) posit a compound adjective ealliren 'all of iron'.

<sup>13</sup> The formation pattern is dealt with by Meid (1967: 112).

OE *īsern-en- > īsern-n- > īsern-.* When *īsern* was replaced by *īren*, the adjectival formation *īsern-en-* would follow suit and be reshaped to

*īren-en-*, resulting in *īrenn-*. A parallel development can be observed in the adjectival formation in *-īna-* drawn from the substantive OE *stān* 'stone' (< Gmc. \*staina-): *stēnen* 'made of stone' (< Gmc. \*stain-īna-) exhibits *-nn-* in *þam þe deorc gewit hæfdon on hreþre heortan stēnne.*<sup>15</sup> The adjectival stem *īrenn-* can therefore be explained without having recourse to a morphologically doubtful formation \**īranja-: -nn-* in *īrenn-* represents the final nasal of the word for 'iron' in contact with the nasal of the suffix *-en.*<sup>16</sup>

Neither nominal nor adjectival forms of the word for 'iron' require the assumption of a ja-formation \* $\bar{\imath}ranja$ - for a Pre-Old-English stage. The inherited form of the substantive is likely to have been OE  $\bar{\imath}sern$ , gradually supplanted by  $\bar{\imath}ren$ . Geminated -nn- originated in the adjectival stem \* $\bar{\imath}sarn$ - $\bar{\imath}na$ - > OE  $\bar{\imath}sern$ -en-, replaced by  $\bar{\imath}ren$ -en- and resulting in  $\bar{\imath}renn$ -. Therefore the E-verse  $\bar{\imath}rena/\bar{\imath}renna$  cyst is unlikely to belong to the original layer of the Beowulf text:  $\bar{\imath}serna$  cyst, metrically regular, may be assumed to have been the authorial version of the half-line.  $\blacksquare$ 

ALFRED BAMMESBERGER

KATHOLISCHE UNIVERSITÄT EICHSTÄTT

This adjective is attested twice in *Daniel*: wall on stealle iserne (247b) and ærenum clammum and isernum (519); the interpretation of line 247b is dealt with by Farrell (1974: 138).

**<sup>15</sup>** *Christ*, 640b-641, translated by Gollancz (1893: 40-41) as 'from those who in their breasts had understanding dark, a stony heart'.

Apart from the Beowulf passages mentioned above, the geminate -nn- in the adjective meaning 'made of iron' is found in scyttelas yrenne glossing vectes ferreos; see Kimmens (1969: 353) (Psalm 106,16).

## References

- CAMPBELL, A. 1959. *Old English Grammar*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- COWGILL, W. 2012. *Indogermanische Grammatik: Einleitung*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- FARRELL, R. T. 1974. *Daniel and Azarias*. London: Methuen.
- FULK, R. D., R. E. Bjork and J. D. Niles. 2008. *Klaeber's Beowulf. Fourth Edition*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press (= *Klaeber*<sup>4</sup>).
- GOLLANCZ, Israel. 1893. *The Exeter Book*. London: Kegan Paul.
- HARĐARSON, J. A. 2014. Das Wort für Eisen im Keltischen und Germanischen und die indogermanischen -erno-Bildungen. N. Oettinger and T. Steer, Das Nomen im Indogermanischen, 103-112. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- HIRT, H. 1931. Handbuch des Urgermanischen: Laut- und Akzentlehre. Heidelberg: Winter.
- HOGG, Richard M. and R. D. Fulk. 2011. *A Grammar of Old English. 2. Morphology*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- HOLTHAUSEN, F. 1908. Beowulf nebst den kleineren Denkmälern der Heldensage, I. Teil: Texte und Namenverzeichnis. Heidelberg: Winter.
- HOOPS, J. 1932. *Kommentar zum Beowulf.* Heidelberg: Winter.
- KIMMENS, Charles. 1969. An Edition of British Museum Ms. Stowe 2: The Stowe Psalter. Princeton University: Unpublished dissertation.
- KLAEBER, F. 1950. *Beowulf and the Fight at Finnsburg*. Third edition. Boston: Heath (= *Klaeber*<sup>3</sup>).

- KLEINMAN, Scott. 1997. Iron-clad evidence in early medieval dialectology. Old English 'īsern', 'īsen', and 'īren'. Neuphilologische Mitteilungen 98: 371-390.
- KLUGE, F. 1918. Ags. íren = ahd. îsan. Paul und Braunes Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur 43: 516-517.
- KROONEN, Guus. 2013. Etymological
  Dictionary of Proto-Germanic. Leiden:
  Brill.
- MEID, W. 1967. Germanische Sprachwissenschaft. iii Wortbildungslehre. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- MITCHELL, B. and F. C. Robinson. 2006. Beowulf. An Edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- OREL, V. 2003. *A Handbook of Germanic Etymology*. Leiden: Brill.
- RINGE, Don. 2014. *The Development of Old English*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- TERASAWA, Jun. 2011. *Old English Metre. An Introduction*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- TRAUTMANN, M. 1904. Das Beowulflied. Als Anhang das Finn-Bruchstück und die Waldhere-Bruchstücke. Bonn: P. Hanstein's Verlag.
- WRENN, C. L. 1958. *Beowulf. With the Finnesburg Fragment*. London: Harrap.
- WRENN, C. L. 1973. *Beowulf. With the Finnesburg Fragment*. Fully revised by W. F. Bolton. London: Harrap.