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This article discusses two neologisms eaghtyrys ‘authority’ and clooisag ‘pillow’ introduced in the 1775 Manx New Testament, which incorporates a revision of the 1763 Gospels and Acts, adducing phonological, orthographical and circumstantial evidence to show that the revisers adapted these items from Scottish Gaelic ùghdarras and cluasaig in the corresponding passages in the 1767 Scottish Gaelic New Testament. This provides further evidence for the senior Manx clergy’s interest in the other Gaelic languages, as seen also in their contact with James McLagan (Ó Muircheartaigh 2016) and John Kelly’s pan-Gaelic lexicographical enterprises (Thomson 1990).
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1. Introduction

The first part of the Manx Bible to be published was St Matthew’s Gospel in 1748, in the final years of Bishop Thomas Wilson’s long reign as Bishop of Sodor and Man (1697–1755). The translation and publication project continued under Wilson’s successor, Mark Hildesley (bishop 1755–72), with the Gospels and Acts of the Apostles appearing in 1763, with financial assistance from the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge. The 1748 and 1763 texts were based on a now lost manuscript translation made in the 1720s by Vicar General William Walker (1679 –1729) (Stowell 1819: 171; MNHL MS 10537). In 1765 a translation of the Book of Common Prayer appeared, followed in 1767 by the second half of the New Testament (Epistles and Revelation), the same year as the publication of the first edition of the Scottish Gaelic New Testament. The Manx Old Testament appeared in two volumes in 1771 and 1772, followed in 1775 by both a single-volume New Testament and a complete Bible (Cubbon 1939: 764–6). The 1763 text of the Gospels and Acts was substantially revised for the 1775 editions by the clergymen Matthias Curghey (1699–1771) and James Wilks (1719–77) (Butler 1799: 238–9). The 1775 text was reproduced essentially unchanged in later editions, including the 1819 full Bible (reprinted in facsimile, 1979) which is the standard reference edition.
It is known that the translators and editors of the Manx Bible consulted the available translations in other Gaelic languages, but it has been assumed that the influence was slight, owing primarily to difficulties of comprehension (Butler 1799: 477; Kelly 1866: 89; Thomson 1969: 193–4). This note presents evidence specifically showing that the 1767 Scottish Gaelic New Testament was consulted and influenced the introduction of two lexical items, "eaghyrys ‘authority’" and "clooisag ‘pillow’" into the 1775 Gospels, which are not present in the 1763 version. It is evident from his extant manuscript book list (MNHL MS 00178A) that the general editor of the Manx Bible, Philip Moore (1705–83), possessed a copy of the Scottish Gaelic New Testament, which he lent to his amanuensis and assistant John Kelly (1750–1809) on 24 August 1773, and to another of the Bible translators, Henry Corlett, on 20 November 1780. Moore also possessed an Irish Bible, which he lent to the translator of Ecclesiastes, Charles Crebbin (1736–1817) in May 1767.

Both of the cases discussed below involve the misinterpretation of Gaelic orthography, and the borrowing and adaptation of items not present in vernacular Manx. That those involved in the translation were at least potentially open to such interventions in the Manx lexicon is evident in the following comments in a letter from Bishop Hildesley to Philip Moore:

We have some curious thoughts here, you must know, of attempting an English and Manks Dictionary; and thereby of recovering some of the many words that seem to have been lost in the latter tongue. The word chumhach, for power, is one: pooar is manifestly English. I have been teasing all our connoisseurs for a derivation of sushtal [soisceal ‘gospel’], which, at first, to a man, they were at a loss for: but we, (observe WE) are now agreed, that it must come from seose-skeall [suas-scéal or scaoil?].

The Manks is a very ancient language, beyond doubt; and could we but get such a thing as an Erse Dictionary, we should be capable of improving, or rather restoring it. We have been able, with a little study and attention, clearly to make out the sense of every word in the Erse Lord’s Prayer, baring two or three at the most and the specimen I sent you is, if possible, still more intelligible. (Bishop Hildesley > Philip Moore, 3 February 1764, in Butler 1799: 477; notes in square brackets by present author)

There are also numerous headwords adapted from Irish and Scottish Gaelic in John Kelly’s Manx–English dictionary (Thomson 1969: 186–7; 1990). The latter work was begun in 1766 (Kelly 1804: iv), apparently

---

1 I am grateful to Peadar Ó Muircheartaigh, University of Edinburgh, for supplying a transcription of this manuscript. See Ó Muircheartaigh (2020) for further details.

2 Early drafts of Kelly’s Manx–English dictionary as well as his grammar survive in MNHL MS 01477, with a later draft of the Manx–English dictionary in MNHL MS 01045–01047A. The grammar was printed towards the end of its author’s life (Kelly 1804), but the Manx–English dictionary did not appear until it was published posthumously in 1866 (Kelly et al. 1866). Kelly also authored a ‘triglott’ dictionary of English to Manx, Scottish Gaelic and Irish (Thomson 1969: 205–6). Printing of
inspired by the ‘curious thoughts’ recounted by Hildesley (cf. Thomson 1969: 186).³

It may be no coincidence that Hildesley mentions the example of *chumhach* [leg. *cumhacht*] in the above passage, and that this word occurs in the Irish translation of Luke 9:1, as discussed below. Even though these revisions would not bear fruit until 1775,⁴ Hildesley was eager that work on revising the text of the Gospels and Acts should begin as soon as the 1763 edition was printed, as he explains in a letter to Thomas Wilson, the son of the late Bishop Wilson and owner of the manuscript of the Manx translation, a couple of weeks before the date of the above letter to Moore:

> The reason of my printing so few of these [the Manx *Christian Monitor* (Thomson 1998)] & the Gospels was, that we might have another Edition still more correct; for I think the Sacred Text cannot be too carefully translated.

(Bishop Hildesley > Thomas Wilson, 18 January 1764, MNHL MS 10537)

Indeed, special interleaved copies of the 1763 volume were printed to accommodate the clergy’s suggestions for corrections (MNHL 08059). It is conceivable that the matter of *pooar ‘power*, ‘authority* was discussed in this context. It would not be until 1767 at the earliest that *úghdarras* could enter the discussion, however.

In any case, the excerpt above from Hildesley’s letter to Moore would suggest that a group of senior clergy around Hildesley were actively interested in the Gaelic sister languages of Manx and in etymological speculation. They also had direct help from at least one Gaelic speaker, the Scot James McLagan (cf. Ó Muircheartaigh 2016), as John Kelly relates:

> When the Rev. Philip Moore and myself were engaged in preparing the Manks translation of the Holy Scriptures for the press, the Rev. — Mc. Laggan, [sic]

³ There was also financial inducement: according to Philip Moore in a letter to the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge ‘the late good bishop had engaged him [Kelly] in forming a Vocabulary or Dictionary of the language, with a promise of ten guineas, when finished’; upon considering the matter, the SPCK resolved to give Kelly ‘one hundred pounds, or guineas, as Mr. Moore should think proper’ (Butler 1799: 234).

chaplain to his Majesty’s 42nd Regiment of Highlanders, frequently visited us and often assisted us in the recovery or the application of obsolete words, and assured us that he made use of no other Bible in his regiment but the Irish Bible, which had been published by Bishop Bedell, from whence I conclude that these three languages are the same, though I must observe that the Manks tongue is more corrupt than theirs, having a mixture of Danish and English words, in consequence of this island having been so long under the government of Norway. (Kelly 1866: 89)

Despite McLagan’s reported loyalty to the Irish Bible, he had a close connection to the Scottish Gaelic New Testament, later marrying the daughter of the translator (Thomson 2004). It is plausible that it was via McLagan that a copy or copies of the Gaelic translation reached Man. A further example of influence from the pre-existing Gaelic translations is the notorious example of muc-awin (as if muc abhann ‘river pig’) for ‘bear’, as Kelly reports:

MUC-AWIN. s. a bear. (Ir. magh-ghamhuin which see.) This word was used in the translation of the Bible for bear, from supposing that the Irish word gamhuin signified a river; but it means gauin, a heifer, or the young of any large quadruped (Kelly 1866: 136)

The examples analysed in this article demonstrate that the Manx clergy responsible for the Bible translation showed more interest in the other Gaelic versions than has hitherto been appreciated, and provide further illustration of the network of intellectual exchange across the Gaelic world to which certain of the Manx clergy belonged in the 1760s and 70s (Ó Muircheartaigh 2016; 2022). The present discussion also shows that interest in inter-Gaelic etymological speculation, however misguided by the standards of modern linguistics, was by no means restricted to John Kelly’s lexicographical projects, and that he likely took his cue from the more senior figures with whom he worked, including Bishop Hildesley himself.

2. EAGTYRYS ‘AUTHORITY’

This word, ostensibly a derivative of uachtar (Manx eaghtyr) ‘surface, upper part’, occurs only once in the corpus of Manx texts, in the 1775 revision of Luke 9:1:

Eisht deïe eh er y daa ostyl yeig cooidjagh, as hug eh daue poor as eaghtyrys harrish dy chooilley ghoagh-sprryd, as dy lheihys doghanyn.

Then he called his twelve disciples together, and gave them power and authority over all devils, and to cure diseases. (Luke 9:1, 1775 Manx New Testament)

The 1763 edition has reill lit. ‘rule’ instead of eaghtyrys:
Eisht deïe Eh er y daa ostyl yeig cooidjagh, as hug Eh daue poor as reill harrish dy chooilley ghoorgh-spyrryd, as dy lheihys doghanyn. (Luke 9:1, 1763 Manx Gospels and Acts)

Elsewhere in the Manx scriptures, ‘authority’ is rendered by a loose equivalent, most usually pooar ‘power’, and twice by the loanword ‘torrity’, which is also attested in the wider corpus. There is no evidence for eaghtyrys in the wider corpus, beyond Kelly’s dictionary (1866 [1766–]), who cites the above biblical passage; it is not in Cregeen (1835). It seems likely, then, that this item derives from an attempt to interpret Scottish Gaelic ùghdarras in the 1767 New Testament:

Agus ghairm sè a dhà-dheisciobul-deug ann ceann a chéile, agus thug è cumhachd agus ùghdarras doibh air na h uile dheamhnaibh, agus chum easlaintidh a leigheas. (Luke 9:1, 1767 Scottish Gaelic New Testament)

This would give something like *oodyrys in Manx orthography, but the reviser of the Manx text evidently interpreted <gh> with its Manx value in this position, namely /x/. The resultant form, even if a ghost word in origin, is quite appropriate semantically, and may have been easily accepted by Manx readers and listeners: compare the familiar phrase laue yn eaghtyr (Ir. lámh in uachtar) ‘the upper hand’. It is clear that the influence is from the Scottish New Testament, as the earlier Irish translation has neart agus cumhachda ‘strength and power’ here:

Agus ar ngairm a dhá dhisgiobal dég dhó, tug sé neart agus cumhachda doibh ar na huile dheamhnuibh, agus ar easláintibh do leigheas (Luke 9:1, 1601 Irish New Testament)

The base form ughdar ‘author’ is similarly unattested in the Manx corpus, but is adapted into Manx as *ughtar by Kelly in his dictionary. The assumption that eaghtyr (uachtar) is identical with ughdar is apparent in the entry for eaghtyr in the dictionary:

EAGHTYR s. pl. YN. the surface, the scum, the cream of milk, &c.; a superior; also, an author. (Kelly 1866: 70)
UGHTRAR s. an author. (Kelly 1866: 187)

Neither ughtar nor the addition of ‘author’ to the definition of eaghtyr are found in the earliest manuscript of Kelly’s Manx–English dictionary (MNHL MS 01477), which generally excludes Kelly’s later Gaelic borrowings and speculations.5

5 For discussion of these, see Thomson (1990).
3. **CLOOISAG ‘PILLOW’**

This is a near _hapax legomenon_ in the 1775 revision of Mark 4:38:

As v’esyn ayns jerrey ny lhuingey ny chadley er _clooisag_

*And he was in the hinder part of the ship, asleep on a pillow* (Mark 4:38; 1775 Manx New Testament)

The 1763 version of the above passage has the loanword _pillow_, which also occurs elsewhere in the Bible (also pl. _pillaghyn_):

As ve eshyn ayns jerrey ny luingey ny chadley er _pillow_ (Mark 4:38, 1763 Manx Gospels and Acts)

The word _clooi(e)sag_ appears in Cregeen’s dictionary and in a nineteenth century religious tract _Joseph Boght_ (c. 1822) (Wheeler 2021a) translated from an English original, as well as in a translated text from 1913 by revivalist H. Percy Kelly (1880–1938). However, these seem likely to derive from the Biblical example, and there is no evidence for vernacular use of the word.

**clooiesag** s. f. a bolster of feathers; pl. -yn. (Cregeen 1835)

_Hug eh eisht e laue fo yn _clooiosag_, as ghow eh shenn chlout, ayn va kianlt seose queig guinneyn as hug eh eh ayns laue yn Taggyrt_

*He then put his hand under the pillow, and took an old rag, in which were tied up five guineas, and putting it into the Doctor’s hand* (Joseph Boght, Wheeler 2021a)

As eisht she “Hoie Vie, as cur lhiu ta mee guee, / Meer y verreen dy chur fo yn _clooiosag_ ’syn oie”

*And then it’s “Good-night,” and “I hope you will take, / For under the pillow, a bit of the cake.”* (‘Mr. Gill’s “Manx Wedding” translated into Manx Gaelic’, H. Percy Kelly, _Isle of Man Examiner_, 3 May 1913)

The word would appear to be cognate with Scottish Gaelic _cluasag_ (G. _cluasóg_), a derivative of _clus_ ‘ear’ (cf. Welsh _clustog_, perhaps modelled on French _oreiller_). The word form in the Manx text poses a number of phonological problems, however, which suggest it may have in fact been consciously adapted from the Scottish form. Firstly, a long vowel or diphthong in the first syllable usually correlates with stress shift and length maintenance in the second syllable (Lewin 2020: 362); and secondly, we would expect a fronted vowel as the usual reflex of G. /uə/, as in _cleaysh ‘ear’_/klɨəʃ, kləːʃ/ (Lewin 2020: 252–3), giving *cleaysage_/klɪəˈsɛːɡ/ as the expected form. The spelling <ooi> (usu. = /u/ or /u:/ preceding a palatalized consonant) is also odd, since in cases where the back value of /uə/ is maintained the usual spelling is <ooa> or <ua>; there is no merger with /u:/ in eighteenth-century Manx.
It seems more likely that the insertion of *clooisag* into the revised text of Mark 4:38 represents an attempt to interpret and adapt the Scottish form *cluasag*. It would appear the Manx editors failed to recognize *cleaysh* (*cluais*) here, and instead made an association with *clooie* /*kluːi*/ ‘down, feathers’ (*clúmh*, ScG. *clòimh*), a folk etymology also seen in Cregeen’s definition. It is not impossible that this is an inherited Manx word after all, and that such a folk etymology served to inhibit the usual development, but the lack of wider attestation and the evidence of a willingness to adapt Gaelic forms in the Manx text would seem to tilt the balance of probability in favour of the latter option. Again, the Irish translation has a different word here, so the Scottish origins of the loan are clear:

Agus bha eisean ann an deireadh na luinge, ’n a chodal air **cluasaig** (Mark 4:38, 1767 Scottish Gaelic New Testament)

Agus dobhí seisean ar an dtileadh ana chodladh ar **chearchaill** (Mark 4:38, 1601 Irish New Testament)

Kelly (1866: 45) gives a form *cleyshteig* ‘a pillow, a cushion’, otherwise unattested. This would appear to represent the regular expected development with fronting of *ua* and stress shift outlined above, albeit with palatalized medial consonants and added *t*. These idiosyncrasies might suggest this is a genuine form, although it may simply be that Kelly at some point realized, or guessed, the true etymology of *cluasag* and created this form accordingly. In favour of its genuineness, it appears in the earlier manuscript of Kelly’s dictionary (MNHL MS 01477), although it seems to have been added later.

Figure 1. Items in <cle->, John Kelly’s draft dictionary (c. 1766–) (MNHL MS 01477)
Abbreviations

MNHL  Manx National Heritage Library (Manx Museum, Douglas, Isle of Man)

List of References

Primary sources

Isle of Man Examiner.

*Conaant Noa nyn Jiarn as Saualtagh Yeese Y creest*. 1763. Lunnyng: Ean as W. Oliver.

*Conaant Noa nyn Jiarn as Saualtagh Yeese Y creest*. 1767. Whitehaven: Juan Ware as e Vac.

Douglas, MNHL MS 00051, draft of John Kelly’s Triglott dictionary.


Douglas, MNHL MS 02045, draft of John Kelly’s Triglott dictionary.

Douglas, MNHL MS 10537, papers of Reverend Dr Thomas Wilson, extracted from the collection at Keble College, Oxford.


Secondary sources


