

# Coherence Markers: Conjunctive Personal Pronouns in Middle Welsh

Elena Parina

Welsh has a complicated personal pronoun system, which has been classified by scholars in a number of different ways. For example, D. Simon Evans in his *Middle Welsh Grammar* (1964, 49-58) makes the following classification, which is presented here in a slightly more formalized way:

1. Independent pronouns
  - 1.1. simple: *mi, ti, ef...*
  - 1.2. reduplicated: *miui, tidi, efo...*
  - 1.3. conjunctive: *minheu, titheu, ynteu...*
2. Dependent pronouns
  - 2.1. possessive pronouns
    - 2.1.1. unstressed possessive pronouns (with further subdivisions)
    - 2.1.2. stressed possessive pronouns: *meu, teu, eidaw...*
  - 2.2. infix pronoun object: *'m, 'th, 'y...*
  - 2.3. affixed pronouns
    - 2.3.1. simple: *ui, di, ef...*
    - 2.3.2. conjunctive: *inneu, ditheu, ynteu...*

(For a different classification see, for example, Watkins 1977, 146-165).

A number of theoretical arguments leads us to suggest that it is most reasonable to distinguish between clitics and independent pronouns, the first class being divided into three sub-classes, i.e. possessive and object proclitics and auxiliary postclitics. Both the auxiliary and independent forms have within them a morphologically distinctive class of pronouns, termed in Welsh *cysylltiol* (from *cysylltu* 'to bind'), and in English 'conjunctive'.<sup>3</sup>

The most important syntactic positions in which these pronouns are found in the classic Middle Welsh prose text *Pedeir Keinc y Mabinogi* (PKM) are listed below.<sup>4</sup>

## 1. topicalised subject:

(I)	Ynteu	d <sup>t</sup>	lunywys	yr	esgidyeu	[PKM 80.03]
	C:3SGM	P	fashion:PRT3SG	A	shoe:PL	

'He fashioned the shoes'

3 H. Pedersen uses the unsatisfactory term *zusammengesetzte* in his *Vergleichender Grammatik* (1909-13), which does not say anything about their function.

4 The PKM text is taken from Williams 1930, now available electronically at <http://titus.uni-frankfurt.de/texte/etcs/celt/mcymr/pkm/pkm.htm>

2. subject following a finite verb

- (2) *Yna y doethant wynteu attaw ef.* [PKM 74.22]  
 Then P come:PRT3PL C:3PL to:3SGM 3SGM

‘Then they came to him’

2.1 subject of an imperative

- (3) *A manac ditheu y mi pa furyf y gallwyf hynny.* [PKM 3.5-6]  
 And tell:IMP2SG C:2SG to ISG what form P can: PRSSJISG that:PL

‘But show me how I may do it’

3. complement of a conjugated preposition

- (4) *Ac yna ymellwng idaw ynteu* [PKM 90.08]  
 and then let down:VN to:3SG C:3SGM

‘And he let himself down’

4. after a possessive pronoun denoting

4.1. possessor

- (5) *mae yniuer y llys, ac yn anniuer ninheu namyn hynn?* [PKM 52.4]  
 be:PRS3SG host A court and POSS:IPL host C:IPL save this

‘Where is the host of the court and our host save this?’

4.2. patient (of a verbal noun)

- (6) *minheu a lallaf dy lrydhau ditheu o'r geireu* [PKM 69.25]  
 C:ISG P can:PRSISG POSS:2SG free:VN C:2SG to=A word:PL

‘I can free thee from those words’

4.3. agent (of a verbal noun)

- (7) A *ⁱphan* *wybuwyt* *eu* *medwi* *wynteu* [PKM 36.13]  
 and when know:PRTIMPERS POSS:3PL be.drunk:VN C:3PL  
 ‘And when it was known that they were drunk’

5. after an object clitic

- (8) E *brenhin* *a’e* *clywei* *wynteu.* [PKM 30.10]  
 A king P+O:3PL hear:IMF3SG C:3PL

‘The king could hear them’

One of the distinctive features of conjunctive pronouns is their frequent use in apposition to nouns, especially proper nouns, in preposition as well as in postposition. (Simple pronouns can be used in this way too, but only exceptionally: one example in PKM as opposed to nineteen examples of conjunctive pronouns).

6. in apposition to a noun

- (9) Ac *y* *gwledychwys* *ynteu* *Pryderi* *seith* *cantref* *Dyuet* [PKM 27.18]  
 And P rule:PRT3SG C:3SGM P. 7 cantref D.

‘And Pryderi ruled the seven cantrefs of Dyfed’.

- (10) Ynteu *Gronwy* *Pebyr* *a* *ⁱgyrchwys* *Penllyn* [PKM 91.15]  
 C:3SGM G. P. P make.for:PRT3SG P.

‘Gronw Pebyr made for Penllyn’

Many scholars have discussed these pronouns. There is the major issue of their origin, to which John Morris-Jones, Holger Pedersen, Pierre-Yves Lambert, Paul Russell and Peter Schrijver have contributed (Morris-Jones 1913, 274; Pedersen 1909-13, 184-5; Lambert 1984, 186; Russell 1982, 30-38; Schrijver 1997, 83-90). This discussion is not considered further in this paper, as I have analysed it elsewhere (Parina 2004, 200-209).

Sir John Morris-Jones describes them with the help of English equivalents *even*, *but*, *too*, *for my part*, but with the following reservation (1913, 273): ‘A pronoun of this series is always set against a noun or pronoun that goes before (or is implied). This series is in common use in Mn.W.; sometimes the added meaning is so subtle as to be untranslatable: *chwi a minnau* “you and I”, but as a rule *minnau* signifies “I too”, “even I”, “I for my part”, “but I”, “while I”. Proinsias Mac Cana (1990, 414) distinguishes sixteen different uses of conjunctive pronouns in Middle Welsh

prose, according to syntactic and semantic parameters and emphasizes that their use is ‘very much a matter of stylistic choice’.

Graham Isaac (1996, 53) suggests in his book *The Verb in the Book of Aneirin* that the main function of the conjunctive pronouns in Middle Welsh is coding a switch in the salience or topicality of an argument. He distinguishes between two types of use:

1. Syntagmatic: a topic is promoted from a previous low-topicality role to a high-topicality role (e.g. syntactic subject). Reference of the pronoun to a previous low-salience topic is established. He illustrates this rule with the following passage from *Culhwch ac Olwen*, given here with my glossing:

(11)	A	gwedy	disgynnu	Arthur	y’r	tir,		
	and after		descend:VN	A.	to=A	land		
	dyuot	seint	lwerddon	attaw	y	erchi	nawd	idaw.
	come:VN	saint:PL	Ireland	to:3SGM	to	ask:VN	protection to:3SGM	
	Ac	y	rodes	ynteu	nawd	udunt	hwy,	
	and P		give:PRT3SG	C:3SGM	protection	to:3PL	3PL	
	ac	y	rodassant	wynteu	eu	bendith	idaw	ef. [CO 1061-64]
	and P		give:PRT3PL	C:3PL	POSS:3PL	blessing	to:3SGM	3SGM

‘And after Arthur had landed, the saints of Ireland came to him to ask his protection. And he gave them his protection, and they gave him their blessing’

However, several instances contradicting this rule can be found in PKM. On the one hand, a conjunctive pronoun is used when it has the same syntactic position as its antecedent:

(12)	Yna	y	rodes	Arawn <sub>i</sub>	y <sub>j</sub>	furuf <sub>i</sub>	a’y <sub>j</sub>	<sup>l</sup> drych	e <sub>j</sub>	hun		
	then	P	give:PRT3SG	A.	POSS:3SGM	form	and =POSS:3SGM	semblance	POSS: INT	3SGM		
	y	Pwyll <sub>p</sub>	Pendeuic	Dyuet <sub>i</sub>	ac	y	kymerth	ynteu <sub>i</sub>	y <sub>i</sub>	furuf	e <sub>i</sub>	hun
	to	P.	chief	D.	and	P	take:PRT3SG	C:3SGM	POSS:3SGM	form	POSS:INT	3SGM
		a’y <sub>i</sub>		drych.	[PKM 6.23-25]							
		and=POSS:3SGM		semblance								

‘Then Arawn gave to Pwyll prince of Dyfed his proper form and semblance, and he himself took his proper form and semblance’.

On the other hand, a topic can be promoted to a high-topicality role but still be coded with a simple pronoun:

(13) *Ac un dyrnaut a rodych di idaw ef;*  
 and one blow P give:PRSSJ2SG 2SG to:3SGM 3SGM  
*ny byd byw ef o hwnnw.* [PKM 3.18-19]  
 NEG be:FUT3SG alive 3SGM from that

‘And one blow only thou art to give him, that he will not survive’

2. Paradigmatic: a topic is promoted to high topicality in a prototypically low-topicality role (e.g. syntactic object, genitive or complement of preposition). Reference of the pronoun to a previous high-salience topic is established. This is illustrated in example 14:

(14) *Ac y nessawys y gwyr attunt, ual yd ymglywynt ymdidan.*  
 and P approach:PRT3SG A man:PL to:3PL as P hear:PRS3PL conversation  
*Bwrw badeu allan a ‘wnaethont wynteu, a nessau parth a’r tir,*  
 throw:VN boat:PL out P do:PRT3PL C:3PL and approach:VN towards=A land  
*a chyuarth guell y’r brenhin.*  
 and wish:VN better to=A king  
*E brenhin a’e clywei wynteu o’r lle yd oed...* [PKM 30.7-10]  
 A king P=O:3PL hear:IMF3SG C:3PL from=A place RP be:IMF3SG

‘And the men drew near them that they might hear each other’s discourse. They put out boats and came towards the land, and they greeted the king. For the king could hear them from the place where he was...’

Here the second occurrence of a conjunctive pronoun fits the second rule suggested by Graham Isaac, whereas the first occurrence is necessary because of the possible ambiguity of the sentence. The use of a conjunctive pronoun assumes that the referent it codes is unambiguously non-coreferent to the subject of the first clause (this is related to rule 1).

As shown, the rules formulated by Isaac do not have a predictive force, but the whole corpus of PKM reveals that conjunctive pronouns are really most often used in cases when two clauses have the same participant set and the syntactic role of a particular participant changes from one clause to another.

In the course of my research I have tried several parameters to help predict occurrences of conjunctive rather than simple pronouns in Middle Welsh texts. One theory was that the difference might be due to the number of clauses separating the referent and its anaphor (this is a parameter which seems to apply to many languages in their referential choice, as shown in Givón 1983), but a rough analysis showed that the average distance between the full noun phrase and both the simple and the conjunctive pronoun is about two clauses. Thus further study of the parameters determining pronoun choice is required.

Meanwhile, there is further information to be drawn from equivalents in other languages. First, the work of translators rather than scholars was considered. Two authoritative translations of PKM were chosen, into English by Jones and Jones (1949), and into German by Maier (1999), and all the occurrences of conjunctive pronouns in the First Branch were collected (approximately one hundred examples). One task which proved particularly difficult for the translators was the quotation formula *heb ynteu*, which is so widely used in this text, in interchange with *heb ef*. Jones and Jones translate it ‘said he’, but also very often ‘he replied’ or ‘he answered’, thus rendering this dialogue structure by means of a verb. In contrast, Bernard Meier deliberately translates the verb throughout the text by *sagen* only, so that his variants are *sagte er* or *sagte der*. In the narrative parts of the text, the conjunctive pronouns are most often translated by mere personal pronouns. This is true particularly for those pronouns that are used after possessive clitics, but there are also several other methods that the translators use to render additional meanings.

1. The most common semantic function is contrast, rendered in English by *but, for his part, yet* and in German by *aber, seinerseits, für sein Teil, doch*:

(15) {What is left of the feast, said Pryderi, do you continue with it}

<i>a</i>	<i>minheu</i>	A	<i>af</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>hebrwng</i>	<i>uy</i>	<i>gwrogaeth</i>
and	C:ISG	P	go:PRSISG	to	bring:VN	POSS:ISG	homage
<i>y<sup>l</sup></i>	<i>Gaswallawn</i>		<i>‘uab</i>	<i>Beli”</i>	[PKM 51.1]		
to	C.		son	B.			

Ich aber will nach England gehen, um Caswallawn, Belis Sohn, meinen Gehorsam zu bezeigen. [Maier 57]

And I will go to tender my homage to Caswallawn son of Beli, to Lloegyr [Jones&Jones 42] <sup>5</sup>.

2. Another sense conjunctive pronouns can convey is addition.

This is most often translated by English *too*, German *auch*. Several examples of it are found in PKM:

(16) {When the brothers came, these brothers took council on where to wait for Pryderi and his men }

<sup>5</sup> As we have mentioned above, the most common way to translate a conjunctive pronoun is to substitute it with a personal pronoun, so it was quite difficult to find an example where both translations choose to convey the additional meaning by means of a lexical item, therefore sometimes we give examples where only one of translators makes an attempt to convey this extra semantics the pronouns discussed.

Ac ar y kynghor y doethant wynteu. [PKM 72.8-9]  
 and to A council P come:PRT3PL C:3PL

Und auch sie nahmen an der Beratung teil. [Maier 77]  
 And they too joined in council. [Jones&Jones 59]

A temporal addition, that is the addition of a subsequent event, can be rendered by conjunctive pronouns too (rendered by English *then*, German *dann*):

(17) {The young man mounted his horse, but before he had settled himself in his saddle the lady passed him by }

Ynteu a <sup>l</sup>gymerth rygyng y gan y <sup>l</sup>uarch [PKM 10.29]  
 C:3SGM P took:PRT3SG amble from POSS:3SGM horse

Da liess er sein Pferd in den Passgang fallen [Maier 18]  
 Then he took his horse into an amble...[Jones&Jones 11]

A particular instance of this contrast can be seen in examples where a third singular masculine conjunctive pronoun could be interpreted either as a pronoun with contrast semantic function or as a conjunction:

(18) {it is a peculiarity of the mound that whatever high-born man sits upon it}

Nat a odyno heb un o'r deupeth, ay kymriw neu archolleu,  
 NEG go:PRS3SG from without one ofA 2 things or wound or blows  
 there  
 neu ynteu a welei rywedawt [PKM 9.5-7]  
 or C:3SGM P see:IMF3SG wonder

geht nicht von dort hinweg, ohne daß eines von zwei Dingen passiert. Entweder es gibt Schlaege und Wunder, oder er schaut ein Wunder.[Maier 16-17]  
 will not go thence without one of two things: wounds or blows or else his seeing a wonder. [Jones&Jones 9]

This is an important example, as some cases can be found in PKM of conjunctive pronouns 3SGM already losing their anaphoric function and being used as particles. This is probably the way to analyse the cases of appositional use with proper nouns:

(19) {Context: Gwawl set off to his domain}

Pwyll ynteu a doeth y Dyuet. [15.26]  
 P. C:3SGM P come: to D.  
 PRT3SG

Pwyll aber ging nach Dyfed [Maier 23]

But Pwyll came to Dyfed [Jones&Jones 14]

The same process is probably reflected in examples where there is no agreement between the conjunctive pronoun and the noun with which it is used in apposition:

(20) Y neuad ynteu a <sup>l</sup>gyweirwyt y Pwyll a'e niuer  
 [PKM 18.16]  
 A hall:F C:3SGM P prepare:PRT. to P. and= host  
 IMPERS POSS:3SGM

Dann wurde für Pwyll , sein Gefolge...die Halle hergerichtet [Maier 25]

Then the hall was made for Pwyll and his retinue [Jones&Jones 16]

These examples show how different lexemes came to be formed in Modern Welsh, one : the 3SGM pronoun *yntau* and the conjunction and adverb *ynteu*, *yntau* (analysed thus in *Geiriadur Prifysgol Cymru*: 3818). In Russian these examples are best translated by the particle *же*, which is extremely polysemantic and can have both contrastive and additional meanings (for a very detailed description see Bonno & Kodzasov 1998).

It has thus been demonstrated the various meanings the conjunctive pronouns in PKM can have. The works of Russian typologists can help to place this polysemy into the context of world languages. Their attention has recently been focused on markers of discourse coherence with a wide range of usage. Such elements were described for North Caucasian Tsakhur (Kibrik 1999), Uralic Mari (Khitrov 2002), Turkic Chuvash and Tatar (Pazelskaja 2002) languages. The table gives a rough outline of various meanings of these coherence markers:

Tsakhur (Kibrik 1999)	Tatar (Pazelskaja 2002)	Chuvash (Pazelskaja 2002)	Middle Welsh
and or – or but because so also even	also so and even	even also so and	and (me, you, he...) but (me, you, he...) also (me, you, he...) even (me, you, he...) then (me, you, he...)



The translations of the elements analysed in these papers shows that the vast majority of them correspond to the additional meanings of the Welsh conjunctive pronouns. It can therefore be assumed that the conjunctive personal pronouns in Middle Welsh are there to ensure discourse cohesion, so that it is possible to say that their different uses are manifestations of meanings in one single field of contrast and addition.

## Abbreviations

A	article
C	conjunctive pronoun
F	feminine
IMF	imperfect
IMP	imperative
IMPERS	impersonal
INT	intensifier
M	masculine
NEG	negation
O	object pronoun
P	particle
PLPF	pluperfect
POSS	possessive pronoun
PRS	present
PRT	preterite
R	reduplicated pronoun
RP	relative particle
SJ	subjunctive
VN	verbal noun

## Bibliography

### Primary sources

- PKM: Williams I. 1930. *Pedeir Keinc y Mabinogi*. Caerdydd: Gwasg Prifysgol Cymru. Available electronically at <http://titus.uni-frankfurt.de/texte/etcs/celt/mcymr/pkm/pkm.htm> (accessed 6/03/2007).
- CO: Bromwich R. & Evans D.S (ed.) 1992. *Culhwch ac Olwen*. Caerdydd: Gwasg Prifysgol Cymru.
- Jones, G. & T. Jones. 1949. *The Mabinogion*. London: J.M. Dent & Sons Ltd.
- Maier, B. 1999. *Das Sagenbuch der walisischen Kelten. Die Vier Zweige des Mabinogi*. München: Deutscher Taschenbuch Verlag.

### Secondary sources

- Evans, D.S. 1964. *A Grammar of Middle Welsh*. Dublin: Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.
- Geiriadur Prifysgol Cymru 1950–2002*. Caerdydd: Gwasg Prifysgol Cymru.
- Givón, T. 1993. 'Topic Continuity in Discourse: An Introduction'. In T. Givón (ed.) *Topic Continuity in Discourse: A Quantitative Cross-language Study*. (Typological Studies in

- Language 3). Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 1–41.
- Isaac, G. R. 1996. *The Verb in the Book of Aneirin. Studies in Syntax, Morphology and Etymology*. Tübingen: Niemeyer.
- Lambert, P.-Y. 1984. 'Les commentaires celtiques à Bède le Vénérable (suite)'. *Études celtiques* 21, 185-206.
- Mac Cana, P. 1990. 'On the Uses of the Conjunctive Pronouns in Middle Welsh'. In M. J. Ball et al. (eds.) *Celtic Linguistics: Ieithyddiaeth Geltaidd. Readings in the Brythonic Languages. Festschrift for T.A. Watkins*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 411-433.
- Morris-Jones, J. 1913. *A Welsh Grammar*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pedersen, H. 1909-1913. *Vergleichende Grammatik der keltischen Sprachen*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht.
- Russell, P. 1982. 'The Origin of the Welsh Conjunctive Pronouns'. *The Bulletin of the Board of Celtic Studies* 30, 30-38.
- Schrijver, P. 1997. *Studies in the History of Celtic Pronouns and Particles*. Maynooth: The Department of Old Irish, National University of Ireland.
- Watkins, T. A. 1977. 'The Welsh Personal Pronoun'. *Word* XXVIII / 1–2, 146–165
- Bonno, K. & S. Kodzasov. 1998. 'Семантическое вариирование дискурсивных слов и его влияние на линейризацию и интонирование (на примере частиц *же* и *ведь*)' (Semantic variation of discourse markers and their influence on linearisation and intonation [through the example of particles *zhe* and *ved*]). *Дискурсивные слова русского языка: опыт контекстно-семантического описания (Discourse markers in Russian: an attempt at context-semantic description)*. Moscow: Pomovsky i partnery, 382-443.
- Khitrov, A. 2002. 'Типологический подход к изучению марийских частиц' (A typological perspective on Mari particles). *Третья зимняя типологическая школа (Third Winter Conference on Typology)*. Moscow: RSUH, 272-273.
- Kibrik, A.E. 1999. 'Дискурсивная частица когерентности' (Discourse coherence marker). In Kibrik A. E. (ed.) *Элементы цахурского языка в типологическом освещении (Studies in Tsakhur: a typological perspective)*. Moscow: Nasledije, 608-620.
- Parina E. 2004. 'Рефлексы и.-е. местоимения \*swe в британских языках' (Reflexes of IE \*swe in British languages). *Индоевропейское языкознание и классическая филология – VIII. Материалы чтений, посвященных памяти профессора И.М. Тронского (Indoeuropean Linguistics and Classical Philology – VIII. Proceedings of the conference in memory of Prof. I.M. Tronsky)*. Saint Petersburg: Nauka, 200-209.
- Pazelskaja, A. 2002. 'Дискурсивный маркер или средство синтаксической связи: частица *ta* в чувашском и татарском языке' (Discourse marker or syntactic device: *ta*-particle in Chuvash and Tatar). *Proceedings of the Dialog 2002 Conference* <http://www.dialog-21.ru/materials/archive.asp?id=7363&y=2002&vol=6077> (accessed 6/03/2007).