# Studia Orientalia Electronica

# THE DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUN <u>DĀLIKA</u> AND ITS ANAPHORIC FUNCTION IN THE QUR'ĀN

#### Yehudit Dror

University of Haifa

Demonstrative pronouns in Arabic may function as deictic or anaphoric pronouns. The demonstrative pronoun  $d\bar{a}lika$  in the Qur'ān is of interest for two principal reasons: first, it is deictic only in three cases; secondly, it is marked for gender (M) and number (SG), yet it refers to a noun in feminine singular and in plural or even to a compound antecedent (e.g. a complete paragraph). Three parameters of  $d\bar{a}lika$  are addressed here: its antecedents' type, its context, and its function. Results show that  $d\bar{a}lika$  can refer to any antecedent that is a segment located within the sentence/verse boundaries of  $d\bar{a}lika$ , or its antecedent can be an extended paragraph that spans sentence or verse boundaries.  $d\bar{a}lika$  can function as subject, direct object, or indirect object, depending the structure in which it occurs. Additionally, each structure containing  $d\bar{a}lika$  has its own function in the discourse: indicating causal relation, specification and identification, similarity between two things, preventing repetition of the same segment, and intimating that what was previously said is evidence of God's might.

## 1. INTRODUCTION: DEMONSTRATIVES IN TRADITIONAL ARABIC THOUGHT

The traditional Arab grammarians classify demonstratives within the word class of *nouns*, under the title 'asmā' l-'išāra' reference nouns' or 'pointing/indication nouns'. They are also designated by Sībawayhi as al-'asmā' al-mubhama 'the ambiguous nouns' (Sībawayhi 1980 II: 77), insofar as these nouns have a generalized deictic function of reference because they may refer to many objects – both animate and inanimate entities (Mubarrad 1994 III: 186). Suhaylī explains this term as follows:

tasmiyatuhum hādihi l-`asmā`a l-mubhamata min `abhamtu l-bāba, `ay: `aġlaqtuhu. wa-stabhama ʿalayya l-ğawābu, `ay: `istaġlaqa

Naming these nouns as ambiguous nouns [is derived] from 'I closed the door' [which is synonym for] 'I closed it'. [A verb derived from the root *bhm* can also be found in the sentence] 'the answer was unclear to me' – namely, complicated, unclear. (Suhaylī 1984: 227)<sup>2</sup>

According to Ibn Ya'īš, the demonstratives are called *al-mubhamāt* because the speaker refers to items found in front of him as located in the speaker's *here-space*.<sup>3</sup> The demonstratives cannot be

Volume 4 (2016), pp. 131–149 http://ojs.tsv.fi/index.php/StOrE

Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

ISSN: 2323-5209



<sup>1</sup> Cf. Mubarrad 1994 III: 186; Ibn Abī al-Rabī 1986 I: 308; Versteegh 1977: 51.

<sup>2</sup> All translations from Arabic to English are by the author unless stated otherwise.

<sup>3</sup> The term *here-space* was offered by Lakoff (1974: 346).

used when the referenced item is hidden, that is, it cannot be seen. When the speaker uses one of the demonstratives, it could cause the addressee confusion or misunderstanding because he cannot identify the exact referent; therefore, in this case an adjective or other qualifier must follow the demonstrative. (Ibn Yaʿīš 1994 III: 126)

As for the functions of the demonstratives, according to Suhaylī they were originally used when the speaker did not know the name of the person to whom he was referring, or he did not want to mention his name explicitly, or when pointing at the person was clearer than mentioning his name. Every demonstrative has a referent (al-mušār 'ilayhi') and the speaker refers to him/her by his glance (bi-laḥzihi), by a pointing gesture (bi-yadihi), or with his tongue/orally (bi-lisānihi). The reference by the tongue can be achieved only when the speaker expresses a certain particle (harf), and this use of the tongue for expressing a word pointing or referring to the item helps the speaker in turning his emotions or thinking into something tangible, as Suhaylī explains it:

yušīru maʿa dālika bi-lisānihi li-ʾanna l-ǧawāriḥa ḥadamu l-qalbi, fa-ʾidā dahaba l-qalbu ʾilā šayʾin dahāban maʿqūlan dahaba l-ǧawāriḥu naḥwa dālika š-šayʾi dahāban maḥsūsan

The [speaker who uses a demonstrative] refers [to something or someone] by his tongue because the organs are the servants of the hearts. If the heart gives an account of a logical referent, the organs give an account of a tangible referent. (Suhaylī 1984: 227)

It should be mentioned that in this context the word *qalb* (literary) 'heart' signifies mind or secret thought (Lane 1968 VII: 2554).<sup>4</sup>

A similar explanation is given by Ibn Yaʿīš, who states that the definition of the term *al-ʾišāra* is 'pointing to or indicating an item by using one of the bodily organs (namely, finger or tongue); through the pointing action the referent becomes visible'. For this reason, traditional Arab grammarians consider the demonstratives more definite than other syntactic elements, such as the personal names (Ibn Yaʿīš 1994 III: 126).<sup>5</sup> According to the school of Kūfa, demonstratives are definite because of the eye and the heart (*yuʿarrafu bi-l-ʿayni wa-l-qalbi*) (Ibn Yaʿīš 1994 III: 126).<sup>6</sup> Personal names, however, are definite only in the mind.

As can be seen above, traditional Arab grammarians focus on the deictic function of the demonstratives – namely, the demonstrative as a pointing device that refers to items found in front of the speaker. Astarābādī explains that demonstratives point at tangible, touchable items, and therefore he calls this type *al-'išāra l-luġawiyya* 'literal pointing'. When they are used for pointing to intangible, abstract or imperceptible items, as, for example, *tilka l-ĕannatu* (Q 19:63) 'this heaven' or *dālikumu llāhu* (Q 10:3) 'this is (your) God', these items become tangible. The term *al-'išāra l-luġawiyya* is explained by him as follows:

mafhūmu l-'išārati l-luģawiyyati ġayru muḥtāğin 'ilā l-iktisābi, wa-lā tatawaqqafu ma rifatuhu 'alā ma rifati l-mahdūdi 'ay 'asmā'i l-'išārati s-stilāhiyyati

Understanding which item the literal reference denotes does not require acquisition [of previous knowledge], and it also [lit. understanding it] does not depend on knowing the circumscribed item [namely, knowing the antecedent], as is the case of the idiomatic reference. (Astrābādī 1998 III: 75)

Thus, a demonstrative is defined by traditional Arab grammarians as a grammatical word that can point to a visible object, near or far – this type is called 'išāra luġawiyya. The second type,

<sup>4</sup> Cf. Ibn Manzūr 1997 V: 306.

<sup>5</sup> Cf. Astrābādī 1998 III: 75.

<sup>6</sup> Cf. Ibn al-Ḥabbāz 2002: 314.

'išāra ṣṭilāḥiyya, is used in reference to the anaphoric function of demonstratives – that is, demonstratives can be interpreted only when they are associated with previous information or knowledge mentioned in the context.

The demonstratives have a grammatical function, as, for example,  $h\bar{a}\underline{d}a$  'Abdullāhi muntaliqan 'Here is 'Abdallah going!'  $h\bar{a}$  'ulā' i qawmuka muntaliqāna 'Here are your people going'. In his reference to the function of the demonstratives, Sībawayhi says:

hādā smun mubtada'un yubnā 'alayhi ma ba'dahu wa-huwa 'Abdullāhi. wa-lam yakun li-yakūna hādā ḥattā yubnā 'alayhi 'aw yubnā 'alā ma qablahu, fa-l-mubtada'u musnadun wa-l-mabniyyu 'alayhi musnadun 'iayhi

[The demonstrative]  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  is a noun that occurs as a subject (mubtada) [and is mentioned] in order that the following part of the sentence, which is 'Abdullāhi, will be assigned to it as a predicate. It is possible for this [i.e. for the sentence  $h\bar{a}da$  'Abdullāhi muntaliqan] to become a complete sentence up to the point when [the subject  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ ] takes 'Abdullāhi [as] its predicate or until the predicate 'Abdullāhi takes  $h\bar{a}da$  as its subject, as the mubtada' is a musnad [i.e. the first indispensable part of the sentence], and the predicate is a musnad 'ilayhi [i.e. the second indispensable part of the sentence]. (Sībawayhi 1980 II: 78)

Sībawayhi mentions that the demonstrative  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  (in  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  'Abd llāhi muntaliqan) is the 'āmil of the nouns that follow it – namely, the nominal predicate and the noun in the accusative, just as a preposition or a verb governs the constituents that follow it (Sībawayhi 1980 II: 77). Suhaylī opposed the argument that the demonstratives are governors, because if this had been allowed, then suffixed pronouns also could function as governors, because like the demonstratives, they also imply and refer to an antecedent. Thus, in sentences like  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  Zaydun  $q\bar{a}$  'iman 'this is Zayd and he is (standing)'8 and  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  ba 'lī šayhan (Q 11:72) 'this is my husband (and he is) an older man' the accusative case in  $q\bar{a}$  'iman and šayhan is not due to the effect of the demonstrative  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ , but it is the deleted verb 'unzur 'look!' that causes the accusative case. (Suhaylī 1984: 230)

According to Ibn Abī al-Rabī', the demonstrative has the same syntactic function ('i'rāb) as the noun that follows it and only the second noun can have case marks implying its syntactic function, as in, for example, darabtu hādā l-yawma 'I struck this day', darabtu hādā l-makāna 'I struck in this place', and darabtu hādā r-rağula 'I struck this man'. In the first example, the demonstrative functions as an adverb of time; in the second example, it functions as an adverb of place; and in the third example it is analyzed as a direct object. (Ibn Abī al-Rabī' 1986 I: 771)9

According to Ibn Yaʿīš, demonstratives are similar to verbs (Ibn Yaʿīš 1994 III: 126). This may be explained by the fact that grammarians believed that a nominative 3rd person pronoun is implied in the suffix of the verb and this pronoun must be preceded by its antecedent (Levin 1985: 119). Demonstratives, like the pronoun implied in the verb, refer to their antecedents. Furthermore, the demonstratives are usually compared to particles because they both are indeclinable (*mabnivya*) and because they share the same features:

1. Each particle has its meaning, as, for example, the particle 'a has the meaning of interrogative. Like particles, the demonstratives also indicate a meaning which is the reference (al-' $i\bar{s}\bar{a}ra$ ). (Ibn Ya' $\bar{i}$ s 1994 III: 126)<sup>10</sup>

<sup>7</sup> The translation of the paragraph is taken from Levin (1981: 146).

<sup>8</sup> Nöldeke (1963: 49) says that in classical Arabic the predicate of the demonstrative  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  can be followed by a clause or a noun expressing a state or condition, as, for example, in Q 11:72.

<sup>9</sup> It would be more accurate to say that the demonstrative pronoun and the noun that follows it compose a noun phrase which has a specific syntactic function.

<sup>10</sup> Cf. Astrābādī 1998 III: 74.

2. Particles indicate a meaning that is pertinent to something else (Levin 1987: 352) as, for example, the particle min has several meanings: it may indicate belonging ('some of, among'), direction ('from, away'), and it can be translated as 'of' or 'by'. min is also used in comparative structures such as huwa 'aqwā minnī' he is stronger than me', or in the expression min 'ağli' due to, because'. When it is followed by a noun, as in mina l-bayti, the meaning of min becomes specified. Demonstratives can be understood only when there are "clues" which remove the ambiguity, such as, for example, pointing at something tangible, or adding an adjective that may specify the demonstrative. For example, when one says  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ , it is not clear to what this demonstrative refers, or what the function of this demonstrative is. However, when saying  $h\bar{a}da$  'a $h\bar{i}$  'this is my brother', the interlocutor understands that  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  is used as a pointing device, indicating something in front of the speaker. Thus, the interpretation of both particles and demonstratives is dependent on the context. However, some grammarians argue that the demonstrative, like a noun that is apparent or manifest ('ism zāhir), does not always need a clarification. For example, in the sentence ra aytu hādā (literally) 'I saw this one/person', no noun, adjective, or any other qualifier follows the demonstrative. This is acceptable only when the statement is unambiguous – namely, the hearer knows to whom this demonstrative refers. (Ibn Ya'īš 1994 III: 127)

The demonstratives are also compared with personal pronouns, which, like the particles, are indeclinable. A proper name makes what it names absolutely specific: it denotes a specific individual because the name can be assigned to this individual alone (al-'alam yalzamu/yu'ayyinu musammāhu). Demonstratives and personal pronouns are ambiguous, because they can be assigned to different persons or things, unless their antecedent, namely, the noun which they replace, can be identified in the statement.<sup>11</sup>

Traditional Arab grammarians discuss exhaustively the morphological properties of the demonstratives. It is beyond the scope of this paper to summarize the morphological discussion. It only will be noted that demonstratives are classified into two main categories: the first takes into consideration the referent in terms of number (singular, dual, plural) and gender (masculine, feminine, and inanimate); the second takes into consideration the referent in terms of distance, that is, proximal and distal. (Zaki 2011: 34)

Finally, in addition to the nominal demonstratives, the traditional Arab grammarians refer to the adverbial demonstratives – whereas nominal demonstratives point to an object, local adverbial demonstratives point to a place, as  $hun\bar{a}$ , for referring to a proximal place,  $hun\bar{a}ka$ , for referring to medial place, and  $hun\bar{a}lika$  for referring to distant place. (Astrābādī 1998 III: 86–87)<sup>12</sup>

### 2. THE DEMONSTRATIVE <u>D</u>ĀLIKA IN THE QUR'ĀN

#### 2.1 Defining the problem: dālika vs. other demonstratives used in the Qur'ān

The demonstrative pronoun considered here is  $\underline{d}\bar{a}lika$ , but before turning to the discussion we should explain why we focus on this pronoun. First, the demonstrative used most frequently in the Qur'ān is clearly  $\underline{d}\bar{a}lika$  (426 occurrences). Despite this fact, there are various issues relating to the demonstrative  $\underline{d}\bar{a}lika$  that remain poorly described by traditional Arab grammarians,

<sup>11</sup> Carter (1981: 128, 260) defines the noun as follows: "It refers to a fixed referent at the time one uses the noun" (al-ismu mā kāna mustaqirran 'alā l-musammā waqta dikrika 'iyyāhu wa-lāziman lahu).

<sup>12</sup> Cf. Reckendorf 1921: 288; Fischer 2002: 131.

and they have not yet been extensively investigated in research literature, either. The central problem with this demonstrative is determining what serves as its antecedent. This problem arises due to the fact that *dālika* does not occur in a noun phrase and it might be considered as a neutral demonstrative; namely, it can be assigned to one person or more than one (both in M and F), to one or more than one noun (both in M and F) or to a section in the discourse; thus, it is difficult or problematic for the addressee to identify its antecedent. It is less complicated for the reader/listener to identify the referent of the other demonstratives used in the Qur'ān, particularly because these demonstratives agree in number and gender with their antecedent, as is shown in the following examples:

The demonstrative  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  (sg M) in example (1) refers to the book (sg M):

(1) wa-law nazzalnā 'alayka kitāban fī qirṭāsin fa-lamasūhu bi-'aydīhim la-qāla lladīna kafarū 'in hādā 'illā siḥrun mubīnun (Q 6:7)

Had we sent down to you a book on parchment and they touched it with their hands, yet the unbelievers would have said: **This** is nothing but manifest sorcery.<sup>14</sup>

The demonstrative  $h\bar{a}dihi$  (sG F) in example (2) refers to the signs of Judgment Day mentioned previously: the earth and the mountains will quake, the mountain will become a heap of sand poured up and heaven will be split. Q 73:19 is one of the few cases in which the demonstrative  $h\bar{a}dihi$  functions as an anaphoric pronoun, because  $h\bar{a}dihi$  is usually followed by a noun and functions as a deictic pronoun (see definition in section 2.2).<sup>15</sup>

(2) 'inna hādihi tadkiratun fa-man šā 'a ttaḥada 'ilā rabbihī sabīlan (Q 73:19) Surely **this** is a reminder; so let him who will take a path to his Lord.

In example (3) the demonstrative 'ulā'ika (PL M) refers to those who believe (PL M):

(3) 'inna lladīna 'āmanū wa-ʿamilū ṣ-ṣāliḥāti 'innā lā nudī 'u 'aǧra man 'aḥsana ʿamalan 'ulā 'ika lahum ǧannātu ʿadnin taǧrī min taḥtihimu l- 'anhāru (Q 18:30–31)

Those who believe, and do deeds of righteousness – surely we shall not waste the reward of those who do good deeds; **those** – theirs shall be gardens of Eden, underneath which rivers flow.

In example (4) the demonstrative  $h\bar{a}$  ' $ul\bar{a}$ ' i (PL M) refers in this context to the disbelievers (PL M), who were previously mentioned in verses 47–50:

(4) wa-lladīna zalamū min hā'ulā'i sa-yuşībuhum sayyi'ātu mā kasabū wa-mā hum bi-mu'ǧizīna (Q 39:51)

The evildoers of these men, they too shall be smitten by the evils of what they earned; they will not be able to frustrate it.

The demonstrative  $h\bar{a}$  ' $ul\bar{a}$ ' i in the Qur'ān is also usually followed by a noun; that is, it functions as a deictic pronoun, and it is required that the segment replaced by  $h\bar{a}$ '  $ul\bar{a}$ ' i and 'u

<sup>13</sup> Waltke & O'Connor (1990: 312) use the term *neutral pronoun* to indicate a demonstrative which refers to an action or circumstance vaguely defined. In the Old Testament the *neutrum pronoun* is usually in sG F, as in:  $b \partial - z \bar{\partial}' t \ t i b \bar{\partial} h \bar{e} n \hat{u}$  (Gen 42:15) 'and this how you will be tested'.

<sup>14</sup> The translations of the Qur'ānic verses are taken from Arberry (1964). Note that archaic translations of some verbs and words were replaced by me with a modern translation.

<sup>15</sup> The demonstrative *tilka* (SG F) is also always followed by a noun in SG F. There is one exceptional case (Q 20:17), where *tilka* is used as a cataphoric pronoun referring to the noun ' $a s \bar{a}$  'a staff', mentioned in verse 18.

can be touched physically.  $h\bar{a}$  ' $ul\bar{a}$ ' i cannot refer to an abstract property, as in the case of the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$ .

In examples (1–4), the identification of the antecedent is simple, particularly because the reference has the same inherent properties as the referent; there is agreement between the two elements in gender and number. In example (5), however, the reader needs to make an effort to retrieve the antecedent of the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$ , either because it refers to an extended passage of the text and cannot be fully understood without contextual information or because  $d\bar{a}lika$  is a generalized form that may have various potential referents:

(5) 'inna fī dālika la-'āyatan li-man ḫāfa 'adāba l-'āḫirati (Q 11:103)
Surely in **that** is a sign for him who fears the chastisement in the world to come.

 $d\bar{a}lika$  can refer to animate beings, things, abstract ideas, events, activities, times, places, causes, and so on, regardless of their number and gender, so it is difficult to link the demonstrative and its antecedent. The difference between the examples might be summarized as follows: the demonstratives  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ ,  $h\bar{a}dihi$ ,  $h\bar{a}$  ' $ul\bar{a}$ 'i, and ' $ul\bar{a}$ 'ika are grammatically coreferential with their antecedent, 17 while the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  is usually semantically coreferential with its antecedent. The identification of the referent of the demonstratives  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ ,  $h\bar{a}dihi$ ,  $h\bar{a}$  ' $ul\bar{a}$ 'i, and ' $ul\bar{a}$ 'ika is more simple because there is a syntactic mechanism that helps us in the reference tracking. 18 Alternately, and more precisely, the pronouns' gender and number exclude certain classes of possible referent.

The demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$ , like any other demonstrative pronoun, indicates a referent in the speech situation; however, there remain several issues regarding  $d\bar{a}lika$  that need further investigation and the current study attempts to answer them:

What is the nature of the antecedent of referential structures with *dālika*?

What are the contexts of sentences including *dālika*?

What is the syntactic function of <u>dālika</u>?

Why is there a need to replace a noun or a passage in the discourse with <u>dālika</u>?

Is there any connection between the syntactic function, the context, and the pragmatics of <code>dalika</code>?

#### 2.2 Two functions of dalika: deictic and anaphoric pronouns

We initially must distinguish between two functions of the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  in the Qur'ān. The less common function is the deictic function of  $d\bar{a}lika$ . However, this does not mean that  $d\bar{a}lika$  has a gestural usage here, because no finger-pointing indicating what is being referred

<sup>16</sup> One of the model references suggested by Bosch (1983: 40–41) is: "When there is a purely syntactic relation that links the pronoun to the syntactic position of its antecedent. This relation is a relation of congruence or agreement and is independent of whether or not the antecedent occurs referentially. It depends solely on syntactic properties of the antecedent (gender, number, syntactic position) and thus parallels the relation between the person-suffix in the verb and the subject." An example for this model is *Fred thinks he sick*, where the pronoun *he* agrees with its antecedent *Fred*, in gender and number.

<sup>17</sup> The term *coreference* means that two or more expressions in a text refer to the same person or thing – they have the same referent, as in *The Salinas Valley is in Northern California. It is a long narrow swale between two ranges of mountains*; the proper noun *Salinas Valley* and the pronoun *it* refer to the same thing, namely to the Salinas Valley (Birner 2013: 130).

<sup>18</sup> Huang (2000: 8) mentions the gender system as one of the mechanisms employed in different languages to keep track of the various entities referred to in an ongoing discourse.

to is involved in these cases. Rather, the term deixis is used here to describe cases in which the demonstrative  $\underline{d}alika$  is used as a device indicating some entity or property in the discourse. Additionally, it does not refer to an antecedent, but is linked to a noun that follows it and has not been mentioned in the discourse. The demonstrative in this case is connected to a new element in the discourse that was brought into the current focus or consideration. This function makes a specific element more salient and as a result, it becomes detached from the previous information or context. (Cornish 2006: 233)

This function is illustrated by the following verses:

(6) dālika l-kitābu lā rayba fīhi hudan li-l-muttaqīna (Q 2:2)

This is the Book, wherein no doubt, a guidance to the godfearing.

(7) <u>dālika</u> 'īsā bnu maryama (Q 19:34)

That is Jesus, son of Mary.

(8) dālika rabbu l- 'ālamīna (Q 41:9)

That is the Lord of all being.19

From a syntactic point of view, the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  in examples (6–8) functions not only as a deictic pronoun but also as the subject of the clause.<sup>20</sup>

Our study concerns the anaphoric function because <u>dālika</u> occurs extensively with this function. This usage can be found in written or spoken discourse, where it occasionally refers to earlier segments of the discourse, while the reference to the previous discourse can only be identified by knowing where and what the current coding or the receiving point is (Levinson 1995 II: 856). This usage of <u>dālika</u> may also be defined as *discourse anaphora*, which is employed for the recall of some item of information previously placed in discourse memory and already bearing at least a minimal level of attention activation. (Cornish 2006: 631)

The prominent relation playing a central role in the discussion of anaphora is *dependent identity* – namely, a linguistic expression A can only have its referential value determined as a function of the interpretive content of the linguistic expression B. Dependent identity can be achieved only when the identity of the antecedent and the dependent terms are understood to be the same. (Safir 2004: 24; cf. Halliday & Hasan 1976: 32; Birner 2013: 115)

Demonstratives are one type of *reference*, where *reference* is a particular type of cohesion, because (as explained in the previous paragraph), the addressee should be able to retrieve the identity of the particular thing that is referenced, whereby the same thing enters into the discourse a second time. For example, in the following sentences: *three blind mice, three blind mice. See how they run!* See how they run! the connection between the two sentences can be understood only when the referential meaning is clear, namely, the identity of the referent. Instead of mentioning or using for the second time the noun *mice*, the pronoun *they* is used as a substitute for this noun, and hence a direct repetition of the previous expression is avoided (Halliday &

<sup>19</sup> The demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  in these three verses can be replaced by the demonstrative  $h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ , which indicates a person or a thing that is located near the speaker. Astrābādī (1998 III: 75) explains that this usage is allowed when the intention of the speaker is to emphasize the greatness of the referent ('azamatu l-mušāri 'ilayhi).

<sup>20</sup> In the morpho-syntactic analysis of the Qur'ān, the demonstrative <u>dālika</u> in Q 2:2, 19:34, and 41:9 is analyzed as: 'ism'išāra fī maḥall raf' mubtada' 'a demonstrative pronoun which occupies the position of a noun in the nominative functioning as the subject' (Tanṭāwī 1999: 3, 399, 630).

Hasan 1976: 31, 36).<sup>21</sup> The situational reference existing between the noun *mice* and the pronoun *they* is considered to be *endnophoric*; namely, the information which is necessary for interpreting the reference is found within the passage in question (Halliday & Hasan 1976: 33).

To conclude this section, we may consider the following definition introduced by Cornish (1999: 117):<sup>22</sup>

Deixis in its more cognitively oriented conception, has the effect, prototypically, of shifting the addressee's attention focus from an existing object of discourse to a new one derived via the situational context of utterance. Anaphora, on the other hand, is a signal to continue the existing attention focus already established.<sup>23</sup>

#### 2.3 The Anaphoric pronoun *dālika* in the Qur'ān: Its syntax, contexts, and pragmatics

After all occurrences of the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  in the Qur'ān were examined, they were classified in two categories according to the type of the antecedent:  $d\bar{a}lika$  is either used as a substitute for a specific segment,<sup>24</sup> or it refers to a longer section in the discourse. Each category was then further organized into subcategories according to the type of syntactic structure containing  $d\bar{a}lika$ , its position in the discourse, and its context, and pragmatics.

#### 2.3.1 The Demonstrative <u>d</u>ālika refers to a specific segment in the discourse

This group comprises a vast number of subcategories, but they all share several features: the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  refers to an antecedent which can be characterized as *local discourse*, <sup>25</sup> because a specific segment of text could be identified as the antecedent; additionally, both  $d\bar{a}lika$  and its antecedent are found in the same span sentence boundaries, or (in our case) verse boundaries, as the following examples illustrate:

#### Group I

The demonstrative  $\underline{d}\bar{a}lika$  in example (9a) substitutes for the noun  $m\bar{t}\underline{t}\bar{a}qakum$ , which was mentioned previously in the verse:

(9a) wa-'id 'aḥadānā mītāqakum wa-rafa 'nā fawqakumu ṭ-ṭūra ḥudū mā 'ātaynākum bi-quwwatin wa-dkurū mā fīhi la 'allakum tattaqūna tumma tawallaytum min ba 'di dālika (Q 2:63–64)<sup>26</sup>

And when we made a compact with you, and raised above you the mountain (saying): Hold fast to what we have given you, and remember what is in it so you shall be godfearing. Then you turned away **thereafter**.

The following example might be considered an exceptional case because the demonstratives  $d\bar{a}lika$  refer to a specific antecedent located at a distance (in verse 86) from  $d\bar{a}lika$ :

<sup>21</sup> Cf. Diessel 1999: 96; Garnham 2000: 40, 46–47; Christiansen 2011: 64–65.

<sup>22</sup> Cf. Christiansen 2011: 63. A similar distinction is made by Bosch (1983: 7, 56), who formulated it as follows: "Deixis is a reference to objects that are not yet known or not yet introduced into discourse. Anaphora is a reference to objects that have already previously figured in discourse or are generally known."

<sup>23</sup> In examples (6–8) the demonstrative *dālika* introduces a new object of the discourse, while in example (5) it substitutes an object which is already established in the discourse.

<sup>24</sup> The term *segment* is used in this paper to indicate a noun or a noun phrase.

<sup>25</sup> For the term local discourse, see Gray 2010: 173.

<sup>26</sup> This structure has more 12 occurrences: Q 2:52; 2:64; 3:82; 3:94; 5:12; 4:153; 5:32; 5:43; 5:60; 5:94; 12:48; 14:14.

(9b) 'illā lladīna tābū min ba 'di dālika wa-'aṣlaḥū fa-'inna llāha ġafūrun raḥīmun (Q 3:89) Except for those who repent **after that** and do righteous deeds. Verily, God is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

Verse 86 starts with the question of how Allāh will guide people who disbelieved after their belief, and after they bore witness that Muḥammad is true and after clear proofs were brought to them. Verses 87–88 describe their punishment; they are cursed and they will abide in Hell. In spite of the distance between <code>dālika</code> and its antecedent mentioned in verse 86, it is easy to identify that <code>dālika</code> refers to <code>kufrihim ba 'da 'īmanihim wa-šahādatuhum 'anna r-rasūla ḥaqqun (see verse 86) 'their disbelief after their belief and their witness that the Messenger is true'.</code>

In Q 2:85 (example 10a), the antecedent is found in the same verse, and thus this verse might have been pharaphrased as follows:  $fa-m\bar{a}$   $\check{g}az\bar{a}$ 'u man yu'minu bi-ba'di l-kitābi wa-yakfuru bi-ba'din 'What shall be the recompense of the one who believes in part of the book and disbelieves in part?':

(10a) 'a-fa-tu'minūna bi-ba'di l-kitābi wa-takfurūna bi-ba'din fa-mā ǧazā'u man yaf'alu dālika minkum 'illā ḫizyun fī l-ḥayāti d-dunyā wa-yawma l-qiyāmati yuraddūna (Q 2:85)²7

What, do you believe in part of the Book, and disbelieve in part? What shall be the recompense of those of you who do **that**, but degradation in the present life, and on the Day of Resurrection to be returned (unto the most terrible of chastisement).

The next example is also an exceptional case:

(10b) 'inna l-munāfiqīna yuḥādi 'ūna llāha wa-huwa ḥādi 'uhum wa-'idā qāmū 'ilā ṣ-ṣalāti qāmū kusālā yurā 'ūna n-nāsa wa-lā yadkurūna llāha 'illā qalīlan muḍabdabīna bayna dālika (Q 4:142–143)

The hypocrites seek to trick God, but God is tricking them. When they stand up to pray they stand up lazily, showing off to the people and remembering God but little; they are swaying between this and that, belonging neither to **this** nor to **that**.

The passages discuss the hypocrites who pretend to have the religious beliefs of Islam, when they do not actually possess them. Therefore, <u>dālika</u>'s referent is clear from the context, and the clause might also be expressed as <u>mudabdabīna bayna l-kufri wa-l-'īmāni</u> 'they are swaying between the belief and the disbelief'.<sup>28</sup>

The problem with this case lies in the fact that the antecedent l-kufr wa-l- $\tilde{l}m\bar{a}n$  is neither mentioned previously nor derived from a previous verb, as in the case of examples (9–10a). It might well be argued that in example (10b) the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  refers to a logical antecedent that is drawn from the context rather than an antecedent derived from one of the sections in the context, as in the following example:

(11) wa-man yaf'al dālika 'udwānan wa-zulman fa-sawfa nuṣlīhi nāran wa-kāna dālika 'alā llāhi yasīran (Q 4:30)<sup>29</sup>

And whoever commits that through aggression and injustice, we shall cast him into the Fire, and **that** is easy for God.

<sup>27</sup> This structure has more 28 occurrences: Q 2:68; 2:288; 3:28; 4:3; 4:12; 4:48; 7:168; 5:95; 10:5; 10:58; 10:61; 17:110; 18:64; 19:64; 21:82; 25:10; 25:38; 25:67; 39:15; 50:19; 48:27; 50:19; 58:7; 63:9; 65:1; 75:40; 76:11; 107:2.

<sup>28</sup> The antecedent *kufr wa-'īmān* is also mentioned in the commentary of Ğalālayn (Maḥallī & Suyūṭī 1994: 101).

<sup>29</sup> This structure has more 4 occurrences: Q 4:169; 4:133; 14:20; 33:19.

Here again it is easy to trace the antecedent wa-kāna l-'iṣlā' 'alā llāhi yasīran 'casting him into the Fire is easy for God'.

Three types of structures are classified in the first group:

- 1) The demonstrative appears in a prepositional phrase *min ba 'di dalika* (examples 9a and 9b).
- 2) The demonstrative appears in different sentences employing various syntactic functions as, for example, in example (10a), it functions as the direct object (Ṭanṭāwī 1999: 17).
- 3) The demonstrative appears in the structure *kāna dālika 'alā llāhi* (example 11).

In all these cases,  $d\bar{a}lika$  is positioned in the middle of the sentence, and never at the beginning or at the end of the clause.  $d\bar{a}lika$  is used in such cases to prevent the repetition of the same noun phrase twice in the same short passage. Taking into consideration Q 2:85, the function of  $d\bar{a}lika$  hinges on the question regarding how this verse would have been without this demonstrative: 'a-fa-tu'minūna bi-ba'di l-kitābi wa-takfurūna bi-ba'din fa-mā ǧazā'u man yu'minu bi-ba'di l-kitābi wa-yakfuru bi-ba'din. Such a repetition is semantically and stylistically unnecessary and is avoided by using the anaphoric pronoun  $d\bar{a}lik$ .

#### Group II

As in group I, here, too, the addressee can easily identify the reference: in example (12a), <u>dālika</u> refers to <u>darbu d-dillati wa-l-maskanati wa-l-baw'u bi-ġaḍabin mina llāhi</u> 'covering with humiliation and misery and drawing on them the Wrath of God' and in example (12b) it refers to <u>la'na</u> 'curse':

(12a) wa-duribat 'alayhimu d-dillatu wa-l-maskanatu wa-bā 'ū bi-ġaḍabin mina llāhi dālika bi-ʾannahum kānū yakfurūna bi-ʾāyāti llāhi (Q 2:61)³0

And they were covered with humiliation and misery, and they drew on themselves the Wrath of God. **That** was because they used to disbelieve the proof of God.

(12b) luʻina lladīna kafarū min banī 'isrā'īla 'alā lisāni dāwūda wa-'īsā bni maryama dālika bi-mā 'aṣaw wa-kānū ya'tadūna (Q 5:78; Q 3:112)

The unbelievers of the Children of Israel were cursed by the tongue of David, and Jesus, Mary's son; **that**, for their rebelling and their transgression.

It also might be argued that as in the previous examples belonging to Group I, \$\dalla a \text{lika}\$ was used in order to prevent the repetition of the same expressions in a short passage. However, in Group II there is a causal relation, where the first part describes the event, that is, the effect or the result, and the second part preceded by \$\dalla a \text{lika}\$ expresses the reason for the described punishment or situation. Additionally, one cannot ignore the fact that these verses could have been structured differently, without \$\dalla a \text{lika}\$, with the particle \$li-'anna'\$ 'because' taking its position \$(wa-duribat 'alayhimu \dalla-dillatu wa-l-maskanatu wa-b\dalla' \dalla bi-'\dalla annahum k\dalla ni \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dalla a \text{liahi}\$ is possible to say that the expression \$\dal

<sup>30</sup> This structure has more 24 occurrences: Q 3:24; 3:75; 3:82; 3:182; 5:12; 5:97; 8:51; 5:58; 7:146; 8:13; 8:53; 9:6; 9:80; 9:120; 21:29; 22:10; 22:61-62; 40:22; 40:75; 47;3; 47:9; 47:11; 47:26; 47:28.

assume that through this structure the cause (disbelief) and the effect (God's punishment) become more salient, and hence, the representation of this idea becomes more intense.

#### Group III

The common feature that this group shares with the first and the second groups is that the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  easily can be replaced by a noun phrase; for example, Q 6:16 could have been expressed as wa-raḥmatu  $ll\bar{a}hi$  (huwa) l-fawzu l-mub $\bar{i}nu$  'God's mercy is the manifest triumph', and it is clear that such repetition is redundant, and thus rahmatu  $ll\bar{a}hi$  was replaced with  $d\bar{a}lika$ :

(13a) man yuṣraf 'anhu yawma 'idin fa-qad raḥimahū wa-dalika l-fawzu l-mubīnu (Q 6:16)<sup>31</sup> Whoever is spared of it on that day, He will have mercy on him; **that** is the manifest triumph.

(13b) zuyyina li-n-nāsi ḥubbu š-šahawāti mina n-nisā'i wa-l-banīna wa-l-qanāṭīri l-muqanṭarati mina d-dahabi wa-l-fiḍḍati wa-l-ḥayli l-musawwamati wa-l-'an'āmi wa-l-ḥarti dālika matā'u l-ḥayāti d-dunyā (Q 3:14)<sup>32</sup>

Decked out fair to men is the love of lusts – women, children, heaped-up heaps of gold and silver, branded horses, cattle, and tillage. **That** is the enjoyment of the present life.

(14) wa-ǧāʾat sakratu l-mawti bi-l-ḥaqqi ḏālika mā kunta minhu taḥīdu wa-nufiḥa fī ṣ-ṣūri ḏālika yawmu l-waʿīdi (Q 50:19–20)³³

And death agony will come in truth: This is what you have been avoiding! And the Trumpet will be blown, **that** will be the Day of Threat.

(15) wa-qtulūhum ḥaytu taqiftumūhum wa-ʾaḥriğūhum min ḥaytu ʾaḥraǧūkum wa-l-fitnatu ʾašaddu mina l-qatli wa-lā tuqātilūhum ʿinda l-masǧidi l-ḥarāmi ḥattā yuqātilūkum fīhi fa-ʾin qātalūkum fa-qtulūhum ka-dālika ǧazāʾu l-kāfirīna. (Q 2:191; Q 6:84)

And kill them wherever you find them, and expel them from where they expelled you. Persecution is worse than killing. And fight not with them at the Holy Mosque, unless they (first) fight you there. But if they attack you, then kill them. **Such** is the recompense of the disbelievers.

(16a) fa-nzur ʾilā ʾātāri raḥmati llāhi kayfa yuḥyi l-ʾarḍa baʿda mawtihā ʾinna ḏālika la-muḥyi l-mawtā wa-huwa ʿalā kulli šayʾin qadīrun (Q 30:50; Q 32:6)

Look then at the effects of God's Mercy, how He revives the earth after its death. Verily! **That** (God) who raises the dead (on the Day of Resurrection), and he is able to do all things.

(16b) wa-şbir ʿalā mā ʾaṣābaka ʾinna ḏālika min ʿazmi l-ʾumūri (Q 31:17)³⁴

And bear with patience whatever befalls you. Verily! **This** is from the most important commandments (ordered by God).

The third group represents the third function of the clauses including the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$ . These clauses are used in order to assert that the information mentioned previously in the verse

<sup>31</sup> This structure has 30 more occurrences: Q 4:13; 5:29; 5:54; 5:85; 5:119; 5:33; 6:88; 6:96; 9:26; 9:27; 9:63; 9:72; 9:89; 9:100; 9:111; 10:64; 17:98; 18:106; 22:12; 35:32; 40:9; 42:22; 44:57; 45:30; 48:5; 57:12; 59:17; 62:4; 64:9; 85:11.

<sup>32</sup> This structure has 12 more occurrences: Q 2:54; 2:232; 7:26; 58:12; 14:6; 14:18; 17:35; 24:27; 29:19; 30:38; 39:23; 46:28.

<sup>33</sup> This structure has more 7 occurrences: Q 11:103; 50:34; 50:42; 50:44; 64:9; 70:44; 78:39.

<sup>34</sup> This structure has more 14 occurrences: 3:13; 6:95; 8:18; 10:3; 10:32; 10:67; 11:114; 12:65; 13:4; 24:144; 35:13; 40:62; 40:64; 42:10.

was sufficiently identified by the addressee. Himmelmann calls this function *recognitional use*, explaining it as follows:

In the recognitional use, the intended referent is to be identified via specific, shared knowledge rather than through situational clues or reference to preceding segments of the ongoing discourse. A central feature of this use is that the speaker anticipates problems with respect to the information used in referring to a given referent. That is, the speaker is uncertain whether or not the kind of information he or she is giving is shared by the hearer or whether or not this information will be sufficient in allowing the hearer to identify the intended referent. (Himmelmann 1996: 230)

If we examine examples (13a-16b), we see that each verse comprises two parts, and the first part has a descriptive nature: in example (13a), the first part describes the features of the manifest triumph; in example (13b), it describes the present life; in example (14), it describes the Judgment Day; in example (15), the recompense of the disbelievers is described; and in example (16a), God's might is described. The speaker who intends to share this information with his audience wants to remove any doubt or misunderstanding concerning the information. For this reason, he summarizes his words by a clause which starts with  $d\bar{a}lika$  and hence he asserts that the information mentioned previously in the verse was sufficiently identified.

The findings of this section are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 The position of the demonstrative <u>dālika</u> referring to a specific segment and its pragmatics

Grammatical structure	Position of dālika in verse and its syntactic function	Coreference with antecedent	Pragmatics of clauses containing <i>dālika</i>	Examples
min baʿdi ḏālika	Middle of the clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as adverbial of time	Yes	Preventing repetition of the same segment	9a, 9b
Various structures	Middle of the clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as direct object <sup>35</sup> or as adverbial of place	Yes	Preventing repetition of the same segment	10a, 10b
wa-kāna <u>d</u> ālika 'alā llāhi	Middle of the clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as subject ('ism kāna) <sup>36</sup>	Yes	Preventing repetition of the same segment	11a
dālika bi-'annahum	Beginning of a new clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as subject ( <i>mubtada</i> ') <sup>37</sup>	Yes	Implying a causal relation (God's punishment due to the people's disbelief)	12a, 12b
<u>d</u> ālika + definite nominal predicate	Beginning of a new clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as subject <sup>38</sup>	Yes	Identification of God's reward, punishment and Judgment Day	13a, 13b, 14, 16b
ka- <u>d</u> ālika	Middle of the clause; ka-dālika functions as preposed predicate <sup>39</sup>	Yes	Identification of God's punishment	15

<sup>35</sup> Tantāwī 1999: 17, 127.

<sup>36</sup> Țanțāwī 1999: 105.

<sup>37</sup> Tantāwī 1999: 12, 153.

<sup>38</sup> Tantāwī 1999: 164, 65, 690, 37, 537.

<sup>39</sup> Yāqūt 1998 II: 128; cf. Ṣāliḥ 1993 I: 249.

#### 2.3.2 The Demonstrative dālika refers to an extended passage in the discourse

In this group are classified cases in which the demonstrative  $\underline{d}\bar{a}lika$  refers to a longer section of discourse, and thus it is more difficult to select a single noun or noun phrase that can replace or summarize the extended unit of discourse.

#### Group IV

When the addressee hears or reads the following verses, s/he could understand to what the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  refers. The main problem is that when one tries to replace the antecedent with one word or one short sentence, the new "placeholder" will not be sufficiently clear. For example, it would be wrong to replace  $(ka-) d\bar{a}lika$  in example (17a) with the noun phrase (ka-) 'irsāli r-riyāḥa 'similar to sending the wind (we shall raise up the dead)' because it does not summarize the entire idea of the passage. The reference of  $(ka-) d\bar{a}lika$  can be understood only when all the information mentioned previously is taken into consideration.

(17a) wa-huwa lladī yursilu r-riyāḥa bušran bayna yaday raḥmatihi ḥattā 'idā 'aqallat saḥāban tiqālan suqnāhu li-baladin mayyitin fa-'anzalnā bihi l-mā'a fa-'aḥraǧnā bihī min kulli t-tamarāti ka-dālika nuḥriǧu l-mawtā la 'allakum taḍakkarūna (Q 7:57)<sup>40</sup>

It is He who sends forth the winds, bearing good tidings before his mercy, and when they are charged with heavy clouds we drive them to a dead land and with them send down water, and bring forth with them all the fruits. **Similarly**, we shall raise up the dead, so that you may remember or take heed.

In the example of Q 7:57, the idea of raising the dead is beyond the understanding of men simply because they have not witnessed such a scene in their lives. This idea is therefore illustrated through a scene taken from the natural world which the people have already witnessed. Thus, the idea of raising the dead becomes more vivid, more concrete and understandable.

The demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$ , which is prefixed with the particle ka ( $k\bar{a}f$  t- $ta\bar{s}b\bar{t}h$  'indicating simile'), is used to link two parts of a statement in a relationship of similarity (Zaki 2011: 191). In our case, however, there is a similarity between two events: X presents the event described before ka- $d\bar{a}lika$  and this event is usually completed; Y presents the event described after ka- $d\bar{a}lika$ , an event which has not yet been performed. Thus our argument starts from the assumption that this constellation displays an abstract idea in a way that the addressee could grasp. This function can be clarified by the example of Q 21:88:

(17b) *fa-stağabnā lahū wa-nağğaynāhu mina l-ġammi wa-ka-dālika nunğī l-mu'minīna* (Q 21:88)<sup>41</sup> We answered his call, and delivered him from the distress. And **thus** we do deliver the believers.

God wants to demonstrate or explain to the people that if they will believe in God he will deliver them. In order to do that, the story of Jonah ( $d\bar{u} n-n\bar{u}n$ ) is told: Jonah went off in anger, and imagined that God would not punish him, but he cried through the darkness (saying): "none has the right to be worshipped but you. Truly, I have been of the wrong-doers." For this reason,

<sup>40</sup> This structure has more 98 occurrences: Q 2:73; 2:187; 2:242; 2:266; 4:94; 5:89; 3:103; 6:53; 6:55; 6:57; 6:105; 6:108; 6:112; 6:122; 6:125; 6:129; 6:137; 6:148; 7:32; 7:58; 7:101; 7:152; 7:163; 7:174; 10:12; 10:13; 10:24; 10:33; 10:39; 10:74; 10:103; 11:102; 12:6; 12:21; 12:22; 12:24; 12:56; 12:75; 12:76; 13:17; 13:30; 13:37; 16:31; 16:33; 16:81; 18:23; 18:82; 18:91; 20:87; 20:96; 20:113; 20:126; 20:127; 21:88; 22:16; 22:36; 22:37; 24:59; 24:61; 25:31; 25:32; 26:59; 27:34; 29:47; 30:19; 30:28; 30:55; 30:59; 35:9; 35:32; 35:36; 37:34; 37:80; 37:110; 37:121; 37:131; 40:6; 40:34; 40:35; 40:37; 40:63; 40:74; 42:3; 42:7; 42:52; 43:11; 43:23; 44:28; 44:54; 46:25; 47:3; 50:11; 51:52; 54:35; 68:33; 74:31; 77:18; 77:44.

<sup>41</sup> For an extensive explanation of the morphology of *ka-dālika*, see Zaki 2011: 190–196.

God answered his call, and delivered him from his distress. This story was told so that the people could draw inspiration and grasp what is meant by God's delivery/rescue.

#### Group V

In the following examples, the demonstrative  $d\bar{a}lika$  appears in passages describing a religious law, where  $d\bar{a}lika$  can be replaced with the abbreviated form  $al-hukm\ l-madk\bar{u}r$  'the mentioned law'. <sup>42</sup> It is impossible in this context for  $d\bar{a}lika$  to refer to one section or even one clause in the paragraph, because each law consists of set principles, practices and regulations, as the following example illustrates:

(18a) fa-man kāna minkum marīḍan 'aw bihī 'adan min ra 'sihī fa-fidyatun min ṣiyāmin 'aw ṣadaqatin 'aw nusukin fa-'idā 'amintum fa-man tamatta 'a bi-l-'umrati 'ilā l-ḥaǧǧi fa-mā staysara mina l-hadyi fa-man lam yaǧid fa-ṣiyāmu talātati 'ayyāmin fī l-ḥaǧǧi wa-sab 'atin 'idā raǧa 'tum tilka 'ašaratun kāmilatun dālika li-man lam yakun 'ahluhū ḥāḍirī l-masǧidi l-ḥarāmi wa-ttaqū llāha wa-'lamū 'anna llāha šadīdun l-'iqābi (Q 2:196)43

And whosoever of you is ill or injured in his head, he must pay a ransom either by fasts or giving charity or offering sacrifice. Then if you are in safety and whosoever enjoys the visitation until the pilgrimage, let his offering be such as may be feasible, but if he cannot afford it, he should fast three days during the pilgrimage and seven days after his return (to his home), making ten days in all. **This** is for him whose family is not present at the Holy Mosque.

(18b) wa-l-wālidātu yurdi 'na 'awlādahunna ḥawlayni kāmilayni li-man 'arāda 'an yutimma r-raḍā 'ata wa- 'alā l-mawlūdi lahū rizquhunna wa-kiswatuhunna bi-l-ma 'rūfi lā tukallafu nafsun 'illā wus 'ahā lā tuḍārra wālidatun bi-waladihā wa-lā mawlūdun lahū bi-waladihī wa- 'alā l-wāriti mitlu dālika (Q 2:233)

The mothers shall give suck to their children for two whole years, for those (parents) who desire to complete the term of suckling, but the father of the child provides the mother's food and clothing honorably. No person shall have a burden laid on him greater than his capacity. No mother shall be treated unfairly on account of her child, nor father on account of his child. And on the (father's) heir is incumbent the like of **that** (which was incumbent on the father).

In both examples (18a) and (18b), the clause that begins with  $d\bar{a}lika$  is essential for completing the rule, because it adds a further regulation that must be taken into consideration. For example, Q 2:233 discusses the obligation of the father to support both the mother and the child. The clause in which  $d\bar{a}lika$  appears completes this law by adding that the same support described previously in the verse remains a responsibility for the heir of the father.

#### Group VI

In this group, the demonstrative appears in the structure of 'inna fī dālika/dālikum la-'āyatan lakum, which is one type of the so-called fawāṣil – namely, the 'āya-final words. This clause suggests that the signs mentioned previously and referred to by the demonstrative dālika are sufficient proof for those who believe.  $d\bar{a}lika$  simply functions as an anaphoric pronoun referring to the previous descriptions.

(19) wa-huwa lladī 'anzala mina s-samā'i mā'an fa-'aḥraǧnā bihī nabāta kulli šay'in fa-'aḥraǧnā minhu hadiran nuḥriǧu minhu ḥabban mutarākiban wa-mina n-nahli min ṭal'ihā qinwānun

<sup>42</sup> In the commentary of Ğalālayni (Maḥallī & Suyūṭī 1994)  $\underline{d}$ ālika is often replaced by  $al-\underline{h}ukm\ l-ma\underline{d}k\overline{u}r$ , as, for example, in Q 2:196.

<sup>43</sup> This structure has 11 additional occurrences: Q 2:178; 2:232; 4:25; 5:89; 5:108; 6:146; 6:151; 9:36; 17:38; 17:58; 58:4.

dāniyatun wa-gannātin min 'a 'nābin wa-z-zaytūna wa-r-rummāna muštabihan wa-ġayra mutašābihin-i nzurū 'ilā tamarihi 'idā 'atmara wa-yan 'ihī 'inna fī dālikum la-'āyātin li-qawmin yu 'minūna (Q 6:99)<sup>44</sup>

It is He Who sends down water (rain) from the sky, and with it we bring forth the shoot of every plant, and out of it we bring forth the green leaf, from which we bring forth thick clustered grain. And out of the date-palm and its spathe come forth clusters of dates ready to the hand, and gardens of grapes, olives and pomegranates, each similar (in kind) yet different (in variety and taste). Look at their fruits when they fructify and ripen. Surely, in all **these things** there are signs for people who believe.

#### Group VII

The final group consists of various structures. According to the commentary of Ğalālayn, <code>dālika</code> in Q 3:58 (example 20a) refers to <code>al-madkūr min 'amri 'īsā</code> '[what was previously] mentioned concerning Jesus' (Maḥallī & Suyūṭī 1994: 57). The story of Jesus starts in verse 35 and ends with verses 58–59 and it is possible to say that verses 58–59 are the end of a narrative section. Summarizing the referent of <code>dālika</code> by the abbreviated form <code>al-madkūr min 'amri 'īsā</code> is too general and does not include all the details of the narrative:

(20a) dālika natlūhu 'alayka mina l-'āyāti wa-d-dikri l-ḥakīmi (Q 3:58)<sup>45</sup>

This is what We recite to you of the Verses and the Wise Reminder.

In Q 5:32 (example 20b) and in examples (20c) and (21) there is a causative relation between the previous verses and the sentence which starts with  $\underline{d}\bar{a}lika$ . Q 5:32 explains the reason for mentioning the story of Adam's two sons, one of whom killed the other after God's refusal to accept their sacrifice (verses 27–31). Because of this event the children of Israel were ordered not to kill a soul without any reason:

(20b) min 'ağli dālika katabnā 'alā banī 'isrā'īla 'annahu man qatala nafsan bi-ġayri nafsin 'aw fasādin fī l-'arḍi fa-ka-'annamā qatala n-nāsa ǧamī 'an (Q 5:32)46

**Therefore** [for **this** reason] we prescribed for the Children of Israel that whoever kills a soul without (its being guilty of) a slaughter or corruption on the earth, shall be as if he had killed mankind altogether.

In Q 12:51 it is said that the king asked the women what their intention was when they claimed that Joseph was the one who seduced 'Azīz's wife. In response, they said that God preserve them, they knew no evil against him. And 'Azīz's wife admitted that the truth was now revealed: it was she who sought to seduce Joseph from his (true) self. This scene occurred so that 'Azīz will know that Joseph did not betray him behind his back. *dālika* in Q 12:52 refers back to the scene described in verse 51:

(20c) dālika li-ya lama 'annī lam 'ahunhu bi-l-ģaybi wa-'anna llāha lā yahdī kayda l-hā'inīna (Q 12:52)

**This** is in order that he (al-'Azīz) will know that I betrayed him not in secret. And, verily! God guides not the plot of the betrayers.

<sup>44</sup> This structure has an additional 20 occurrences: Q 2:248; 3:13; 3:49; 14:5; 15:75; 16:11–13; 16:65, 67, 69, 79; 31:31; 32:26; 34:9; 34:19; 39:42; 39:52; 42:33; 45:13; 50:37; 50:47.

<sup>45</sup> This structure has 34 additional occurrences: 12:102; 18:17; 22:11; 22:70; 23:7; 23:15; 24:3; 24:5; 24:30; 24:47; 24:55; 25:15; 25:28; 26:8, 67, 103, 121, 139, 158, 174, 190; 27:52; 29:19; 29:24; 29:44; 29:51; 33:4; 33:51; 33:59; 34:16; 47:4; 47:5; 48:29; 49:29.

<sup>46</sup> This structure has 25 additional occurrences: Q 6:163; 12:38; 12:40; 7:176; 11:119; 28:28; 36:38; 37:62; 38:25; 38:27; 38:64; 41:12; 41:28; 42:15; 42:43; 43:20; 43:35; 45:1; 48:12; 50:3; 50:44; 51:16; 70:31; 79:26; 83:26.

In Q 22:5 (example 21), the creation of human beings is described. God created them from dust, then from a sperm, then from a leech-like mass, then from a morsel of flesh, partly-formed and partly-unformed. God causes human beings to remain in the womb and then God brings them forth as infants; then God nourishes them so that they may reach their age of full strength. Like bringing forth the sperm so it becomes an infant, God revives a dry and barren land by pouring down rain upon it and then it begins to stir and swell, and he puts forth every kind of plant. All this, that is, the creation of human beings and plants and animals, as it is described in verse 5, is a result of God's might:

(21) <u>d</u>ālika bi-'anna llāha huwa l-ḥaqqu wa-'annahū yuḥyi l-mawtā wa-'annahū 'alā kulli šay'in qadīrun (Q 22:6)<sup>47</sup>

**That** is because God, he is the Truth, and it is he who gives life to the dead, and it is he who is able to do all things.

The findings of this section are presented in Table 2.

Table 2 The position of the demonstrative dālika referring to an extended passage and its pragmatics

Grammatical structure	Position of <u>dālika</u> in the verse and its syntactic function	Coreference with antecedent	Pragmatics of clauses containing dālika	Examples
ka- <u>d</u> ālika	Beginning of the clause; ka-dālika functions as nā'ib maf'ūl muṭlaq 'supplying the place of the absolute object' <sup>48</sup>	No	Indicating similarity between two events, where the initial demon- strates the latter event	17a, 17b
no specific structure	Beginning/end of the clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as subject (mubtada ') <sup>49</sup> or as (postposed) subject <sup>50</sup>	No	Adding an additional information/aspect to the described law	18a, 18b
'inna fī <u>d</u> ālika	Beginning of the clause; <u>dālika</u> (together with fī) func- tions as (preposed) predicate <sup>51</sup>	No	Emphasizing that what previously was said are miracles/proofs performed by God	19
Various structures	Beginning of a new clause; dālika functions as subject (mubtada'), or as direct object (maf'ūl bihi in 20c)	No	Marking the end of narrative section	20a, 20b, <sup>52</sup> 20e <sup>53</sup>
dālika bi-'anna	Beginning of a new clause; <u>dālika</u> functions as subject (mubtada ') <sup>54</sup>	No	Implying a causal relation	21

<sup>47</sup> This structure has 10 additional occurrences: Q 31:30; 47:11; 59:4; 59:13; 59:14; 63:3; 74:9; 83:26; 98:8; 100:7.

<sup>48</sup> Q 7:57 is reconstructed as: *nuḥriğu l-mawtā 'iḥrāğan miṯla dālika l-'iḥrāği* 'we shall bring forth the dead like the bringing of [the fruits]'. Yāqūt 1998 XII: 82; cf. Ṣāliḥ 1993 VII: 80.

<sup>49</sup> Țanțāwī 1999: 39, 47.

<sup>50</sup> In example 18b, the statement *mi<u>t</u>lu dālika* functions as *mubtada' mu'aḥḥar*, where *dālika*, according to the morpho-syntactic analysis, is in the genitive case because it is serves as *nomen regens* (Yāqūt 1998 VI: 142; cf. Ṣāliḥ 1993 III: 287).

<sup>51</sup> Yāqūt 1998 XII: 82; cf. Sālih 1993 VII: 80.

<sup>52</sup> In example 20b,  $\underline{d}\underline{a}$  lika appears in the expression min 'ağli  $\underline{d}$  alika, indicating a cause and it has no specific syntactic function, but  $\underline{d}$  alika is in the genitive case because it is serves as nomen regens.

<sup>53</sup> Tantāwī 1999: 72, 142, 311.

<sup>54</sup> Țanțāwī 1999: 311.

#### CONCLUSIONS

This paper examines four issues relating to the demonstrative *dālika* in the Qur'ān:

- 1) the nature of the antecedent of *dālika*
- 2) the contexts of sentences including dālika
- 3) the syntactic function of *dālika*
- 4) the pragmatics of *dālika*.

As for the first issue, the demonstrative <u>dālika</u> is primarily used in the Qur'ān as an anaphoric pronoun, referring to two types of antecedents. <u>dālika</u> is used as a substitute or as a placeholder for a specific entity (nouns, phrase, or statement) that does not exceed the verse boundaries and therefore can be easily identified. In this case, it would be correct to say that the demonstrative is coreferential with its antecedent, because both refer to the same section of the discourse. <u>dālika</u>, however, may also refer to a longer portion of discourse that may be extended over verses. Thus, it would be more difficult to pick out a single word that would present fully the content of the antecedent. Even when the Qur'ānic commentator indicates the antecedent by using a general expression such us <u>al-ḥukm l-madkūr</u> 'the mentioned regulation/law' or <u>al-madkūr min</u> 'amri 'what is mentioned regarding', these expressions do not refer to the full content of the antecedent, which typically consists of many important details that cannot be ignored. For this reason, we argue that the demonstrative <u>dālika</u> is not coreferential with its antecedent.

In the discussion of  $d\bar{a}lika$  it would be incorrect to separate its context, structure, and pragmatics.  $d\bar{a}lika$  in itself is merely an anaphoric pronoun, yet in a specific context and structure it has a significant function in the discourse. Therefore, we argue that one cannot consider  $d\bar{a}lika$  as a discourse marker that signals (for example) introduction, development, or return to the main topic, or continuation of the sequenced events or conclusions. Instead,  $d\bar{a}lika$  usually sets up four types of relations between several sentences or clauses:

- 1) A causal relation marked by the structure <u>dālika bi-'anna/ bi-mā/ dālika li-'ağl</u>. The clause that is preceded by <u>dālika</u> typically explains the cause for God's punishment or reward, or the reason for telling a specific narrative mentioned previously.
- 2) Relation of identification or specification. This relation is usually achieved by structures in which <u>dālika</u> functions as the subject followed by a definite nominal predicate indicating God's reward and punishment, hell and paradise. This structure keeps that what previously has been said will be correctly identified by the addressee.
- 3) Relation of similarity for illustrating an abstract idea. Typically, the first sentence describes a concrete and completed event that demonstrates the abstract event or idea expressed in the second sentence preceded by *ka-dālika*.
- 4) Additive relation. This relation is demonstrated when <u>dālika</u> is used in passages describing a law, where the clause starting with <u>dālika</u> adds important information, such as (for example) indicating that this law also applies to other members of the family, or the reason for establishing this rule.

#### REFERENCES

ARBERRY, Arthur 1964. The Koran Interpreted. London: OUP.

AL-ASTARĀBĀṇĪ Raḍī al-Dīn Muḥammad Ibn al-Ḥasan 1998. Šarḥ kāfiyat Ibn al-Ḥāğib, III. Beirut: Dar al-kutub al-ʿilmiyya.

BIRNER, Betty 2013. Introduction to Pragmatics. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Bosch, Peter 1983. Agreement and Anaphora: A Study of the role of pronouns in syntax and discourse. London: Academic Press.

CARTER, Michael 1981. Arab Linguistics: An Introductory classical text with translation and notes. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

CORNISH, Francis 1999. Anaphora, Discourse, and Understanding: Evidence from English and French. Oxford: Clarendon.

CORNISH, Francis 2006. Discourse Anaphora. In: K. Brown (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*: 631–638. Oxford: Elsevier. 2nd edn.

Christiansen, Thomas 2011. Cohesion: A Discourse perspective. Bern: Peter Lang.

DIESSEL, Holger 1999. Demonstratives: Form, function and grammaticalization. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

FISCHER, Wolfdietrich 2002. Grammatik des klassischen Arabisch. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

GARNHAM, Alan 2000. Mental Models and the Interpretation of Anaphora. Philadelphia: Psychology Press.

GRAY, Bethany 2010. On the Use of Demonstrative Pronouns and Determiners as Cohesive Devices: A Focus on sentence-initial this/these in academic prose. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes* 9: 167–183.

HALLIDAY, Michael & Ruqaiya HASAN 1976. Cohesion in English. London: Longman.

HIMMELMANN, Nikolaus 1996. Demonstratives in Narrative Discourse: A Taxonomy of universal uses. In: B. Fox (ed.), *Studies in Anaphora*: 205–255. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

HUANG, Yan 2000. Anaphora: A Cross-Linguistic study. Oxford: OUP.

IBN ABĪ AL-RABĪ al-Išbīlī al-Sabatī Ubayd Allāh Ibn Aḥmad 1986. *Al-Basīṭ fī šarḥ ǧumal al-Zaǧǧāǧī*, I. Beirut: Dār al-ġarb al-ʾislāmī.

IBN AL-ḤABBĀZ Aḥmad al-Ḥusayn 2002. Tawǧīh al-lumaʿ: Šarḥ kitāb al-lumaʿ li-Ibn Ğinnī. Cairo: Dār al-salām.

IBN Manzūr Abū al-Faḍl Ğamāl al-Dīn Muḥammad Ibn Mukrim 1997. *Lisān al-ʿarab*, V. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyya.

IBN YA'īš Muwaffaq al-Dīn 1994. Šarḥ al-mufaṣṣal, III. Beirut: 'Ālam al-kutub.

LAKOFF, Robin 1974. Remarks on This and That. In: M.W. La Galy, R.A. Fox & A. Bruck (eds), *Papers from the tenth Regional Meeting Chicago Linguistic Society*: 345–356. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.

LANE, Edward William 1968. An Arabic-English Lexicon, VII. Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society.

LEVIN, Aryeh 1981. The Grammatical Terms *al-musnad*, *al-musnad* 'ilayhi and *al-* 'isnād. Journal of the American Oriental Society 101(2): 145–165.

LEVIN, Aryeh 1985. The Distinction between Nominal and Verbal Sentences According to the Arab Grammarians. *Zeitschrift für arabische Linguistik* 15: 118–127.

Levin, Aryeh 1987. The Views of the Arab Grammarian on the Classification and Syntactic Function of Prepositions. Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 10: 342–367.

LEVINSON, Stephen 1995. Deixis. In: R.E. ASHER (ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*: 853–857. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

AL-Maḥallī Ğalāl al-Dīn Muḥammad Ibn Aḥmad & Suyūṭī Ğalāl al-Dīn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān 1994. *Tafsīr al- Ğalālayn*. Beirut: Dār Ibn Katīr.

AL-MUBARRAD Abū al-ʿAbbās Muḥammad Ibn Yazīd 1994. Al-Muqtaḍab, III. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-ʿilmiyya.

NÖLDEKE, Theodor 1963. Zur Grammatik des Klassischen Arabisch. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

RECKENDORF, Hermann 1921. Arabische Syntax. Heidelberg: C. Winter's universitatsbuchhandlung.

SAFIR, Ken 2004. The Syntax of Anaphora. Oxford: OUP.

ŞĀLIḤ, Bahğat ʿAbd al-Wāḥid 1993. Al-ʾIʿrāb al-mufaṣṣal li-kitāb Allāh l-murattal. ʿAmmān: Dār al-fikr li-n-našr wa-t-tawzīʿ.

Sīвawayнı Abū Bišr 'Umar Ibn 'Utmān 1980. Al-Kitāb, II. Cairo: Maktabat al-Ḥānǧī.

аL-Suhaylī Abū al-Qāsim 1984. *Natā ʾiğ al-fikr fī n-naḥw*. Cairo: Dār al-iʿtiṣām.

Ţantāwī Muḥammad Sayyid 1999. *Mu'ğam 'i'rāb al-'alfāz wa-l-ğumal fī l-Qur'ān al-karīm*. Beirut: Maktabat lubnān nāširūna.

VERSTEEGH, Kees 1977. Greek Elements in Arabic Linguistic Thinking. Leiden: Brill.

WALTKE, Bruce & Michael O'CONNOR 1990. An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns.

YĀQŪT, Maḥmūd Sulaymān. 1998. 'I'rāb al-Qur'ān al-karīm. Dār al-ma'rifa al-ğami'iyya.

ZAKI, Mai 2011. The Semantics and Pragmatics of Demonstratives in English and Arabic. PhD dissertation, Middlesex University.