EDWARD TRYJARSKI

THE CONFESSION OF ANTON VARTABED

In a precious and unique manuscript dating back to 1656 (1657) (Ms. No. 6 of the former Oriental Centre of the Polish Academy of Sciences), written in Armeno-Kipchak and containing the sermons of an Armenian vartabed, Anton of Poland, ${ }^{1}$ we find a remarkable passage which can be nothing else than a confession of the author of the manuscript himself. This fragment, contained in folios $41 r^{\circ}-41 v^{\circ}$, has been written with the same hand and the same way as the whole manuscript. It immediately follows a sermon on the dead, which ends with the remark in Armenian: mezabart antawn $v[a r] d$ $[a] p[e] t s$ višecc $\bar{e} k^{c} g r e c^{c} a w t^{c}[a] v[a g a n] \dot{\dot{r} c ̌ z}$ yunvari $i \underset{i}{i} e \quad$ "Remember me, a sinful Anton Vartabed. This was written (the date) on January 25 th, 1106 [= 1656 A.D.]". ${ }^{2}$ This being the case, the remark in question may also be regarded as an introduction to the next passage, i.e., the confession itself. Thus it seems clear that the author of the remark and the author of the confession were one and the same person.

Only a very few Turkic religious texts of this kind have been put at the disposal of Turkologists. Apart from some unpublished Karaim penitential prayers, we could mention but the celebrated Khuastuanift, a confession prayer of the Manichaean auditores, dating back as far as the 5 th century and written in Uygur and Manichaean scripts. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, for the first time we gain insight into a Christian text written in a Turkic language and of a penitential content. Apart from all chronological, cultural, religious and linguistic divergences, there are at least two main differences between the Khuastuanift and the confession of Anton Vartabed, viz., the first is a translation and the second seems to be the original text; the first is a collective prayer, recited by the members of the community on many occasions and aiming at propagating the Manichaean teaching, the other gives the impression of being a personal, concrete and rather detailed confession of an individual earnestly and honestly revealing his sins. If we accept this point of view, we must assume that we are con-
fronted by the most personal text ever written not only in Armeno-Kipchak or any other Kipchak language of that time, but, most probably, in any mediaeval Turkic language.

The confession of Anton Vartabed consists of six passages, marked by numbers, in which sins committed by the eyes, ears, mouth and tongue, heart, arms (hands) and legs are specified. We find here both active deeds along with deficiencies of human nature, and neglected duties (e.g. omission of some prayers) or evangelical counsels (e.g., not feeding the hungry and not giving drink to the thirsty). Each group of sins ends with the Armenian formula mieya (y) ay 'I sinned against God!' ('through my fault, oh God!'). At the very end of the confession, we find a prayer of contrition, inspired by the hope of benefitting from God's mercy.

There is no serious ground for questioning either the authenticity or the sincerity of the text under discussion. This singular document seems to enable us to reconstruct the moral profile of the erudite Armenian clergyman and eminent preacher, whose life-history has been unknown to us. While endowing him with profoundly human dimensions, it brings us closer to this interesting personage.
The chief point is why Anton Vartabed should have written down, in a lucid and pointed manner, his confession, an act which always involves humiliation and which, in written form, is rarely found even in purely intimate diaries. Although the real motive of his move will ever remain obscure, it would be reasonable to suppose that it was neither the intention of entrusting his confession to his audience as an edifying addition to his sermon, nor the wish to prepare an extemporaneous note which might be helpful in some future examination of his conscience (the text being quite carefully written, possibly copied, and showing no difference from other passages of the manuscript), but a genuine striving to manifest contrition and humility, probably, however, not without the well-known desire of many authors and scribes of mediaeval manuscripts to immortalize themselves in their pages. But do we actually have to do with a private confession of Anton Vartabed?
In spite of all, a certain margin of doubt remains. The confession is in fact, contrary to all indications, rather general and does not specify the circumstances or the number of the sins committed, which seems to have been, also at that time, a general obligation. True enough, some
typical duties of a monk are listed here, e.g., night prayers, but the text could also be destined for use by other clergymen. Also the repeated mentions of "beating, wounding, killing" seem to be exaggerated, being inconsistent with the character of the monk Anton was. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that we have to do with a model text serving a socalled "confessio generica publica", which the Armenian church countenanced, especially when one's life was in danger. ${ }^{4}$ The question should be examined by experts in the religious problems of the mediaeval Armenians.

This short text which, on the whole, does not differ from other parts of the manuscript offers rather little material for new linguistic observation. Some new words and expressions, like érikcla-, suc̣̆lu bérin-, $t^{c} u m$ haybat‘ $\ddot{i}$, zündangi, etc., should, however, be noted. The text is rich in special terms which of ten are equivalents of Armenian words, e. g., sėzik‘likc, tėpraniš.

The fact that Anton Vartabed chose Armeno-Kipchak as the language of his confession seems to indicate that he was closely connected with it, maybe from his very birth.

## $T e x t$

$\left[\begin{array}{ll}F^{\circ} & 4 l r^{\circ}\end{array}\right]$
mén $\underset{\sim}{a z x}$ lï da arzanisiz xnniel bolïyrmèn barc̣̣a iazxlarïmnï mėnim awal biy teèngrining alnïna da barẹ̆a arilarnng íazxlarïmnï mėnim xaysi $k^{c} i$
x ̈̈linnïp mèn fik‘ixim bila ioman sarïš bila soz bila xaysi barẹ̆a iáazïxlarïmdan mènim suc̣̆lu bériniyrmèn biy t‘èngriga awal béš sėzikclikcim bila
56 turlu tépraniši bila on ekci góvdam bila 365 boyunlarm bila mieya $a[s d u d z \dot{o}] y$
1 iazïxlï men $k^{c}$ ozlarïm bila tamaša baxïp ozgalarnng suratïna suxlanїp mén xatunga $x \ddot{z}$ z oy langa ozganng tirlikcina kozum bila k‘omp èsim
 $a[s d u d z \dot{o}] y$ 2 iazïxlï mén xulaxlarm bila tcèngrinin buymuxun išitma érinip mén iox 10 èsa xula[x] xoyup mèn tiyišsiz sozlarga iaman ogutk‘a pambas ètmaxnï ب̣̆̈̌ara bèrmaxnї iergasiz galadžilarnï ioman sozlarnï x[u]la[x] x[o]yup men
 3 iazxlï mèn ayzïm u tilim bila boš sozlamï sozlap mén ialyannï aytip men
pambas étiṕp mèn sok‘unẹ̆ bèrip mén xarrap mèn érik‘lap mén kufur u iaman
 akah iẹ̣ip mèn bos galadžilar bila ozgalarnï say fikcirindan iaman sa-
 mén mieya a[sdudzó]y 4 iazïxlï mèn iurak‘ïm bila iaman sarïš ètip mén itlikck ${ }^{c} a$ börniglxk $a$ damahlikck‘a zrgiel ètmaxk‘a iurakcim bila kèk saxlap mèn urmagay 20 xanatmagay oldurmagay da teengrining xorxulu iair $\gamma$ usun èsima kieltirmiyrmèn mėnim ulu [in]azxlarïmnï xoyup ozganïn kiẹ̆i iazxlarnï sayïšlap mèn mieyay a[sdudzóly
5 iazxlï mén xollarïm bila artx alïp è $k^{c} \operatorname{sikc}$ berip mén alïp inašïrïp mén kišinink‘ina xüyïp mėn alïp inaširüp mén sadaүay bérmiyrmen aldap mén 25 zrgiel étip mèn ump mén xanatïp mèn iazx da uyat ierga xol uza-
tïp mén né xadar bolup mén dinsizlike ď̌̆anszlx étma étip men ol xadar džanïma ẍ̈yïp mèn $k^{c} i$ bir bóyumnu sar da iazxsz tcèngriga sax Zamiyrmén mieya a[sdudzò]y
6 iazxlï mén ayaxlarïm bila ï̈yovuma tugal bolmiyrmen saүmȯsuma èrtagi [alyï]skca tus alyïsinna tcum haybatcinna da $k^{c} i e ̣ ̆ a-$
5 gi alץšk $a$ xastalarnï sovma da zïndangilarga barmiyrmen $x$ a-
riblarnï ovuma tïndrmiyrmen ialanaب̣̂larnï kiydirmiyrmen ặ̣larnï susamixlarnï iédirip iẹ̣irmiyrmen da barẹ̆a tcengrining iollarndan îirrax-

 imni ia-

 ning xor-
xulu iarंүusuna da hali umsanïp tcèngriga $k^{c} i$ ol mėnim iazxlarïma bošatr da iarүulamasn ménim iazxlarïma kcora mieya sana biyim mènim miera sana xolarmèn sèni ari atc a $k^{c} i$ ب̣̣ès $k^{c}$ aysèn méni iaman iazxlarïmda mėnim

## Transiation

[ $F^{\rho} 41 r^{\circ}$ ] Sinful and unworthy, I confess all my sins, first of all before God and all the Saints: my sins which I have committed by (my) thought, by bad intention, by word, all my sins on account of which I surrender as guilty to God. First of all by my five senses, by my six different movements, ${ }^{5}$ by my twelve bodies, ${ }^{6}$ by my three hundred and sixty-five limbs. ${ }^{7}$ Through my fault, oh God! First, I have sinned by my eyes, watching and staring in the faces of other people, lusting after a woman, a maiden, after the life of the other people. Having seen with my eyes, I lusted with my mind, and thus I have committed everything that is a sin of the eyes. Through my fault, oh God! Second, I have sinned by my ears, being lazy about obeying the orders of God, on the other hand lending ear to wrong words, a bad piece of advice; I put into my ears a slander, a betrayal, indiscriminate idle talk, wrong words, and thus I have committed everything that is a sin of the ears. Through my fault, oh God! Third, I have sinned by my mouth and my tongue, saying empty words, telling lies, speaking ill of others and vituperating, scoffing, teasing, swearing and cursing, laughing too much and making other people laugh, being gluttonous, drinking greedily, leading other people by empty words from sound ideas to wrong intentions, and I have committed everything that is a sin of the mouth and tongue. Through my fault, oh God! Fourth, I have sinned by my heart, having wrong thoughts of [lit. to] adultery, debauchery, greed, wrong-doing, - and having hidden an animosity in my heart - of beating, wounding, killing. And I have become insensible to the terrible judgement of God. Indulging in my great sins, I would examine the little sins of other people. Through my fault, oh God! Fifth, I have sinned by my hands, having taken more and given less, having taken and hidden away, not sparing things [?] that belonged to other persons, taking (them) and hiding. I do not give alms. I was cheating, doing wrong, beating, wounding, holding out my hand to reach a sinful and shameful spot, $\left[F^{\circ} 4 l v^{\circ}\right]$ becoming so (vile), having shown such a great impiety and being so spiritless I have tortured my soul to such a degree that I have left none of my limbs sound and sinless for God. Through my fault, oh God! Sixth, I have sinned by my legs: I am not perfect towards my church, I do not go to [recite] my psalm, to morning prayers, to noon prayers, to the exposition of the Blessed Sacrament and to night prayers (as well). I go neither to nurse sick people nor to
visit prisoners, I do not shelter strangers in my house, I do not clothe the naked, I neither feed the hungry nor give drink to the thirsty, and I have strayed far away from all the paths of God. Through my fault, oh God! I am not perfect towards the Christian order [rite?] which has neither end nor limit. I have obscured (dimmed) my soul and my body with sins and I am insensible to the terrible judgement (tribunal) of God. Woe to me, the most sinful man, what answer shall I give and what shall I confess before the terrible tribunal (judgement) of God? And now, I hope in God that He will forgive my sins and will not judge me according to my sins. Have mercy on me, oh my Lord, I implore Thy mercy, oh Holy Father, that Thou might deliver me from my grievous sins.

Glossary of less common words
akahiep (Arm.) 'gluttonous' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 15$
$a \imath_{\gamma} \ddot{s} \times, a Z_{\gamma} \check{s}$ 'benediction, prayer'. See értagi, k'inec̣agi, tuš.
arzanisiz (Arm. + Tur.) 'unworthy, shameful, discreditable' 41r ${ }^{0}, 1$
berin- 'surrender; to confess (one's guilt)' $41 r^{\circ}, 4$. See also suc̣̆てu.
boyun, bóyum 'limb' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 5,41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 2$. See note 7 .
bórniglx (Arm. + Tur.) 'debauchery, sensual pleasure, dissipation' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 18$
bošat- 'forgive (sins)' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{o}}, 13$
ب̣és- 'deliver' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 14$
c̣̈̈xara bėrmax 'betrayal, denunciation, disloyalty' $41 r^{\circ}, 11$
damahlik' 'greed, craving' 41r ${ }^{\circ}$, 19
džanszlХ 'spiritlessness, lifelessness' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 1$
$\dot{e} r i k<$ la- 'to tease, to deride, to sneer' $41 r^{\circ}, 14$
èrtagi [alÿ̈]š 'morning prayer' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 4$
góvda 'body' $41 r^{\circ}, 5$. See note 6 .
itlikc 'bestiality, inhuman behaviour; adultery' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 18$
ialanac̣ 'naked; poor' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{o}}, 6$
$k^{\prime}$ ieec̣agi alץs 'night prayer' 41v${ }^{\circ}, 4-5$
$k^{\prime} u Z d u r-$ 'to make (sb.) laugh' $41 r^{\circ}, 15$
xanat- 'to bleed, to set bleeding, to wound' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 20,25$
xaramrulat- 'to obscure, to dim' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 10$
xïy- 'not to spare, to torture' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 24 ; 41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 2$
xnniel bol- (Arm. + Tur.) 'to confess' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 1$
$x u Z a[x] x o y-, x[u] Z a[x] x[0] y-$ 'to lend ear, to put into one's ear' $41 r^{o}$, 10,11
mievay $a[$ sdudzóly (Arm.) 'I sinned before God; through my fault, oh God!' passim
pambas et- (Arm. + Tur.) 'to vituperate, to abuse' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 14$
sadaray 'alms' $41 r^{\circ}, 24$
suc̣̆lu bérin- 'to surrender as guilty; to confess (one's guilt)' $41 r^{\circ}, 4$ tépraniš( $i$ ) 'movement' $41 \mathrm{r}{ }^{\circ}$,5. See note 5 .
tiyišsiz 'wrong; improper' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 10$
tïndr- 'to shelter, to offer a rest' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 6$
$t^{c} u m$ haybat< $\ddot{i}$ 'exposition of the Blessed Sacrament'(?) $41 v^{\circ}, 4$
tuš alyïš(ï) 'noon prayer' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 4$
uyat ier 'shameful spot' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\circ}, 25$
vay 'woe!' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{o}}, 11$
zïndangi 'prisoner' $41 \mathrm{v}^{\circ}, 5$
zrgiel etmax (Arm. + Tur.) 'doing wrong, oppressing' $41 \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{o}}, 19$

Notes
${ }^{1}$ Cf. E. Tryjarski, An Armeno-Kipchak Sermon by Anton Vartabed from the 17th Century, [in:] Tractata Altaica (Denis Sinor sexagenario optime de rebus Altaicis merito dedicata redigerunt Walther Heissig, John R. Krueger \& al.), Wiesbaden 1976, pp. 647-674; The same, A Fragment of an Unknown Armeno-Kipchak Text from Polish Collections, RO, XXXVIII - J. Reychman Mémorial (1910-1975), pp. 291-302.
${ }^{2}$ According to Toumanian's tables (B. E. Tcumanyan, Arjern tomarac ${ }^{c}$ uyc $^{c}$, Erevan 1965, p. 25) this abbreviation corresponds to "08.10.1656 18.10". The front page of the manuscript bears, however, the date "1657".
${ }^{3}$ Cf. the editions by W. Radloff, A. v. Le Coq, S. E. Malov and L. V. Dmitreva.
${ }^{4}$ I am greatly indebted for this information, as well as for that contained in notes 5, 6, 7, to Rev. Prof. Dr. Gregorio Petrowicz.
${ }^{5}$ According to Philon, there are six kinds of movement (motions): up, down, to the right, to the left, forwards and backwards. Each movement has a meaning of its own. Thus, we rise as a result of our pride; we sink by seeking worldly pleasure; we move to the right by following good impulses, otherwise we move to the left; we step forwards - into eternal life - if we bear in mind the Day of Judgement, paradise and hell; we draw back when neglecting instructions laid down by Holy counci1s, M. Arcrunean, Bakatrut ${ }^{\text {c iwn hražarman ew złj̆man banik Srbok Harg, }}$ Yerusałēm 1854. See note 4.
${ }^{6}$ I give here the basic sense of govda 'body', but in this text the word has a special meaning. According to information given by M. Arcrunean in the booklet cited, on $i k^{c} i$ govda means here 'twelve sins concerning the upper part of the body, i.e., head, or mind (senses), which a man follows in his doing'. The first series: conceit or pride, jealousy, anger, laziness, avarice, gluttony, lust, while the second: transgres-
sion of God's commandments, disrespect of human rights, internal negligence, a voluntary surrender to damnation, and renunciation of good deeds. See note 4.
${ }^{7}$ According to the mediaeval science of anatomy, the human body is composed of 360 limbs. This was already mentioned by Saint Gregory of Narek ( $945-1003$ ), who added the five senses to the 360 limbs, by analogy with the year of 365 days, I. Kéchichian, Grégoire de Narek, Le livre de prières, Paris 1961, pp. 254, note 4, 255. See note 4.
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