STUDIA ORIENTALIA EDIDIT SOCIETAS ORIENTALIS FENNICA

V 3

NEW KIRKUK DOCUMENTS RELATING TO SLAVES

BY

AAPELI SAARISALO

HELSINGFORSIAE 1934 SOCIETAS ORIENTALIS FENNICA

Contents.

그 것 같은 그 이 시설으로 하는 사람들에서 다른 가는 그를 모르는 것이	Page
Preface	V
Thirty five documents relating to slaves, transliterated, translated and	
commented	1
Introductory	58
Habiru and N(L)ullu	61
Slave sales and other transfers	68
Adoption and marriage	73
Lawsuits and miscellaneous records	77
Names of slaves in the Kirkuk documents	81
Names of Habiru slaves	. 84
Names of the 35 documents	
a) Personal names	87
b) Topographical names	. 93
Terms and phrases concerning slaves and related subjects	93
Index	95
Bibliographical abbreviations and notes	. 98
Excursion: A family of scribes	. 100

Call of Killing backets

Preface.

perchaptually year in dialog control and the control of the se-

In connection with my Palestinian researches I spent two winter seasons in Mesopotamia (1930—1932). While working with Professor Speiser as an associate director in the excavations at Tell Billa and Tepe Gawra respectively (Pennsylvania Expedition, 1930—1931), I was fortunate enough to come into personal contact with our neighbours, the excavators in the Kirkuk (Nuzi) expedition. The cities of the Subareans (Hurrians) brought into light by the excavations at Nuzi and Tell Billa gave me the first incentive to study the social conditions of this newly-found nation.

In publishing this study I am bound to express my great obligation to the two Leipzig scholars, Koschaker and Landsberger. It is Prof. Paul Koschaker, who was the first to suggest to me this subject of investigation in the field of the social conditions revealed by the Kirkuk documents, and whose great authority in the history of Oriental laws has given me inspiring and effective guidance.

I wish too to express my respectful gratitude to Professor Benno Landsberger, Director of the Semitistic Institute in Leipzig, for many valuable suggestions and for the kind permission to use the library of his institute — also to Professor Tallqvist, the editor.

The Kirkuk tablets are written by two classes of scribes; those having Subarean names form a majority, while the scribes with Akkadian names are also not uncommon (see p. 100—101). It is plain that this involves more than a mere difference in name; actually the Akkadian written by the scribes bearing Akkadian names is more correct than that written by those with Subarean names. All of

the scribes bearing Akkadian names were not necessarily of Semitic origin. In several cases we see that they took to themselves Semitic names in place of their original Subarean ones (cf. the family of Taya, p. 94, and the names compounded with Adad, which is a translation of the Subarean national god Tesup).

While writing Akkadian words the Subarean scribes did not differentiate between the cases only (genitive pro nominative, one of the numerous instances is 35: 38, left edge, cf 10: 10) and genders 5: 11; 9: 15) but also between the persons (5: 5; 8: 8; 14: 5) and numbers (7: 13, note, and 16: 7). Further, they confused the voiced with the voiceless stop (ta-ma for dama, 2: 18) and the voiced with the voiceless sibilant (zu-ha-ru for suhāru, 21: 10; ru-pu-uz-zu, $\sqrt{rapāšu}$, 10: 7; i-sa-az-zi, 15: 12, cf a-za-az-zi, N II 106: 18, $\sqrt{šasū}$; zi and ši in the same name, 18: 15, 16) as well as the dental with the palatal sibilant (sa-ti-ir for šaṭir, 25: 31).

In the Subarean language all stops must have been voiceless according to the evidence hitherto available. It would seem at first sight that in our personal names, which are, roughly speaking, the only representatives of the Subarean language in these texts, the gi-sign forms an exception to the foregoing statement. In the writing of Subarean personal names gi is too consistently distinguished from ki to be merely accidental. While we cannot say with certainty what the phonetic value of the sign gi was, it is clear that it had a different value from that of ki and that also the gi-sign does not represent a voiced stop.

It is also scarcely possible that the Subareans possessed voiced or-palatal sibilants, or emphatic consonants (e. g. qa sign was preferred to the ka sign by Subarean scribes obviously as a simpler form of writing). In our transliteration, however, the palatal sibilant ξ is employed in the Subarean words in order to avoid the complications involved by the use of the extra numbers which are not to be found in the Thureau-Dangin system. In the rendering of Subarean personal names in the translation voiced stops and sibilants, palatal sibilants and emphatic consonants are not used.

In this study the Sumerian transcription is employed consistently in all the ideograms, owing to the fact that for the form of the Akkadian equivalents there is hardly any consistent guidance to be traced in those cases where the respective words and phrases are phonetically spelled out (Thureau-Dangin, Les Homophones Sumériens, Paris, 1929).

According to the frequent phonetical spelling of the ideogram IGI, it is pronounced in plural $\dot{s}i$ -bu-tu (10: 46), a-na pa-ni $\dot{s}i$ -bu-ti (10: 25). On the other hand, one would expect that this plural form $\dot{s}i$ -bu-tu (built according to the adjectives) means *elders* in the Kirkuk usage, while the ideogram IGI (12: 25; 14: 23) with the plural sign ought to be pronounced $\dot{s}ib\bar{u}$, $\dot{s}ib\bar{e}$ and translated *the witnesses*. But where the shorter plural form is spelled out it seems to mean *elders*, $\dot{s}i$ -bé $\dot{s}a$ u-pè-na-a \dot{s} , N II 202: 45.

Another difficulty lies in the rendering of the Akkadian correspondent of the partitive genitive. In the phonetic complements and in the phonetically spelled words, one finds both nominative, genitive and accusative.

Further one is perplexed in cases where the $ME\S$ sign seems to have been employed for other purposes than that of indicating the plural. (Cf. Chiera-Speiser JAOS, 1927, p. 47: "The plural sign to indicate the length of the final vowel, this secondary signification of the sign meets us in these documents time and again." Cf. also KDFL, p. 44 and GADD, p. 84, note 10.) It seems, however, that in the case of almost every noun the primary signification of this sign can be here understood. (Cf. the Assyrian influence in using terms of materials with the plural sign. Cases like 24: 13; 16: 7; 26: 8 can also be explained as scribal errors due to misplacement of the $ME\S$ sign, etc.).

In the transliteration of the syllabic signs the system of Thureau-Dangin, »Le Syllabaire Accadien», 1926, is employed with some additional symbols: (cf. NKRA p. VI) ga_5 for QA, $\S a_7$ for SA, $m \$ for ME (Hom. Sum.), ti_4 for DI (Hom. Sum.), UG_6 for TIL (13: 14) $\S uk$ for MUK.

The following parentheses are employed: () to indicate added words in the translation; [] for broken words and () for superfluous words in the tablets. The male determinative is suspended m, the female determinative is suspended f, and divinity is indicated by a suspended d. All the rest of the determinatives are given in Sumerian transcription. The determinatives are omitted in the translation,

Helsinki, Christmas, 1933. A. S-Lo.

1. HSS V35. A tablet concerning a stolen slave.

um-ma ^mMu-ša-pu DUMU Kuus-sà-ri-ia

IR ša ^mAk-ku-ia ^mMa-aš-ka₄-an-ta-ar DUMU Tup-ki-ia

iš-ta-ri-iq-ma

5) a-na ^{KUR}Ḥa-ni-gal-bat a-na ši-mi it-ta-dì-iš-šu

ab-bu-ta-šu ^mMu-ša-pu il-ta-pa-at

tup-pu an-nu-ú ša hu-uz-zu-ri

Thus (says) Musapu son of Kussariya:

the slave of Akkuya Maskantar son of Tupkiya

has stolen and

to the land of Hanigalbat for a price

has sold him.

His slave-mark Musapu

laid hold of.

This tablet concerns — —

(10) TAGŠID Ne-maš-še DUMU Ar-na-pu (11) TAGŠID Te-šu-ia DUMU LUGAL (12) TAGŠID Mu-uš-te-šup DUMU Pu-ķi-še-en-ni L. 10—12, three seals (Tesuya, the prince, l. 11).

- 1. Mu-ša-pu must have been an official, because employed twice in connection with the river ordeal (HSS V 45: 11; 50: 11). In the latter tablet there is an attribute attached to the name: mu-ri, which $(m\bar{u}ru)$ was possibly contracted from mu'irru, inspector (cf. KDFL, p. 29).
- 5. $extit{Ha-ni-gal-bat}$. The real name of the land seems to have been $extit{Hani}$, the latter part being only an attributive component, because $extit{Hani}$ also occurs alone. Cf. $extit{Haligalbat}$, HSS IX 17: 2 and $extit{Hali}$, HSS IX 34: 3, and the many personal names with $extit{Hani}$ or $extit{Hali}$ as their first component, e. g. $extit{Ha-ni-Ku-us-si}$ G. 1: 32, $extit{Ha-li-Pa-am-pa}$, G. 35: 6. Cf. Speiser, Mesop. Origins, p. 144.
- 7. abbuttašu iltapat. The slave-mark, according to the latest study of the subject (David, Adoption, 48 ff.) was usually placed on the head of the slave. But in the few references to it in the Kirkuk texts it seems to mean a metal chain placed on the foot (ankle) of the slave (ab-bu-ta-šu-nu i-na GIR-šu-nu i-na-an-di-nu HSS V 73: 23 KDFL 20). Cf. also abbutta ana X nadānu (HSS IX 12: 13). Cf. √lapātu »aufbewahren», OLZ XXVI p. 534. For additional material on the problem of the slave-mark see RSGH p. 303 ff.; EISLER, Die Gesetzestele Hammurapis, A.O. 31 (1932), in the alphab. index.; SAN NICOLÒ-UNGNAD, Neubab. Rechts- und Verwaltungsurkunden I, p. 100 f.
- 9. hu-uz-zu-ri: Landsberger has drawn my attention to the expression r
 i
 i
 i
 amta m
 i-uz-zi-ir, $\sqrt{\epsilon z \overline{\epsilon} r u}$ »to examine», VAB VI, p. 247. [Cf. ZA 31, p. 247]. Possibly this verb was pronounced with an h sound in the land of Arrapha. Thus tuppu
 i
 a
 i
 a
 i
 uzzuri would mean »a tablet concerning examination». Studia Orientalia V 3

2. HSS V43. A lawsuit against the wife of a slave.

mA-kap-še-en-ni DUMU Si-gi it-ti †Im-še-en-na-a-a i-na di-ni a-na pa-ni DI.KUD^{ME}Š i-te-lu-ma

um-ma ^mA-kap-še-en-ni-ma it-ti

- 5) ^mA-ri-ḥa-a-a (u) ti-du-ka₄am?-ma i-te-pu-uš
- ù [†]Im-še-en-na-a-a a-ḥi-ia qa-súma i-te-pu-uš
- ù DI.KUD^{MEŠ} ši-bu-ti-šu a-šar ^mA-kap-še-en-ni
- i-te-ir-šú ù ^mA-kap-še-en-ni šibu-tù
- ša ú-bi-la "LUGAL-dSIN DUMU Ar-ša-tù-ia
- 10) ^mÚ-ku-ia DUMU Gi-ešha-a-a
- ^mA-kip-til-la DUMU Ik-ki-ia ^mA-ri-ha-a-a DUMU Šu-rika₄-a-a
- IV LÚ^{MEŠ} ši-bu-tù ina pa-ni DI.KUD^{MEŠ} iq-ta-bu
- mA-kap-še-en-ni it-ti mA-ri-ha-a-a

Akapsenni son of Siki with Imsennaya

in a lawsuit before the judges appeared.

Thus said Akapsenni: with Arihaya I had fight

and Imsennaya laid her hand on my brother.

And the judges from Akapsenni demanded

witnesses. And concerning Akapsenni, the witnesses,

which he brought forward, (were) *Šarru-Sin*, son of Arsatuya

Ukuya son of Kieshaya,

Akiptilla son of Ikkiya, Arihaya son of Surikaya.

(These) four witnesses spoke in presence of the judges: Akapsenni with Arihaya

^{3.} ina dini: the literal meaning is not sin the courts, as is often given, but sin the case, process, lawsuits.

^{5.} The copula u is superfluous. Note $\bar{\imath} tepu\check{s}$ pro $\bar{e} tepu\check{s}$.

^{6.} qa-sú-ma i-te-pu-uš: One expects here a Subareo-Akkadian phrase in analogy with šaššumma epēšu (NKRA, p. 15) »to forfeit», šinamumma epēšu (KDFL, p. 17) »to renew», ewirumma epēšu (NKRA, p. 14) »to make or to become heir», etc. All the phrases of this category, hitherto explained, are of an abstract, legal character. But because of the concrete character of the context (tidūku, dāma muššurum, l. 17) we are bound to interpret this also in a concrete way. Note qa-sú-ma, masc. pro fem.

^{8.} i-te-ir- $\check{s}u$, i. e. $it\bar{e}r\check{s}\bar{u}$, $\sqrt{er\bar{e}\check{s}u}$, cf. H SS V 68: 16; IX 11: 14, 17; N II 191: 12; N III 289: 32; 299: 11; 318: 9.

ti-du- ka_4 -am?-ma i-te-pu-u* [erased] $\langle DU$ -u*u \rangle

15) ù ^fIm-še-en-na-a-a it-ta-alim-ma

ù a-ha-sú ša ^mA-kap-še-en-ni

i-na sú-qí-im-ma qa-sú-ma i-tepu-uš

ù dá-m[a] mu-uš-šu-rum

ù ni-nu ni-ta-mar-šu-nu-ti

20) ù DI.KUD^{MEŠ} a-na [†]Imše en-na-a-a iq-ta-bu-ú

ši-bu-ti-šu ša ^mA-kap-še-en-ni — DINGIR^{ME}Š i-ši-šu-mi ù ^fImše-en-na-a-a

a-šar DINGIR^{ME}Š it-tù-ra <m>A-kap-še-en-ni

ina di-ni il-te-ma ù DI . $KUD^{ME\check{S}}$

 fIm -še-en-na-a-a a-na $\langle I \rangle$ $GUD^{ME\check{S}}$

25) a-na I ANŠU^{MEŠ} ù a-na X UDU^{MEŠ} had a fight

hand.

and Imsennaya became excited

and on the brother of Akapsenni in the street she laid her

and blood flowed, and we saw them.

And the judges spoke to Imsennaya:

The witnesses of Akapsenni have sworn (in presence of) the gods, and Imsennaya

has turned from the place of the gods, Akapsenni

has prevailed in the lawsuit. And the judges

(fined that) Imsennaya one ox,

one ass and ten sheep

^{14.} The scribe wrote the ideogram $D\dot{U}$ ($ep\bar{e}su$) superfluously after the fully spelled $\bar{t}epu\bar{s}$. Note the frequency of the t-forms.

^{15.} it-ta-al-im-ma = ittalibma, $\sqrt{la'\bar{a}bu}$.

^{16.} a-ḥa-sú, i. e. »his sister», a scribal error for a-ḥa-šu, due to the Subarean (Nannatah, the scribe) confusion between š and s in the Akkadian words.

^{17.} sūqu, cf. HSS V 26: 4; 55: 10; 72: 16; N III 273: 6; G. 31: 12.

^{18.} For the expression $d\bar{a}ma$ muššurum \sqrt{wa} cf. HSS IX 10: 9.

^{21.} i- $\check{s}i$ - $\check{s}u$ -mi, acc. to the form $\sqrt{\check{s}as\bar{u}}$, »to cry», but in this context we expect a word meaning »to swear». Thus it may stand for $i\check{s}\check{s}\bar{u}$ ($\sqrt{na\check{s}\bar{u}}$; $n\check{i}\check{s}$ (ili) »oath»).

^{22.} it-tù-ra, i.e. Imsennaya refused to submit herself to a purification oath, thus showing herself guilty, VAB V, p. 348.

^{23.} il-te-ma, $\sqrt{le'\bar{u}}$.

^{25.} I $ANŠU^{MEŠ}$, here MEŠ superfluous, a scribal error due to the context.

a-na mA-kap-še-en-ni it-ta-du-uš DI KUDMEŠ mA-ni-na-pí DUMU Ar-šá-an-ta ù mHu-ti-ia DUMU Ú-ta-a-a a-šar ^mHa-iš-te-šup it-tap-ru-uš 30) um-ma ^mḤa-iš-te-šup-ma fIm-še-en-na-a-a aš-ša-at ÌR-ia ù pá-nu ki-i i-ka_-ša-du ù pu-ur-sà mAr-še-ih-li DUMU Ar-te-ia mTe-hi-ia DUMU Ú-ta-a-a 35) ù mŠi-mi-ia-nu-qa-ri-bu III LÚMEŠ ma-ha-at-tù-uh-li ki-me-e †Im-še-en-na-a-a a-šar DINGIR^{MEŠ} it-tù-ru

un-tù tup-pu i-[ša]-aţ-ţá-⟨ru⟩

ù mA-ri-ha-a-a i-za-az

to Akapsenni shall pay.

The judges Aninapi son of Arsanta
and Hutiya son of Utaya
sent to Haistesup.

Thus says Haistesup: (concerning) Imsennaya,
the wife of my slave,
whether she shall be pardoned
decide! Arsehli son of Arteya,

Tehiya son of Utaya
35) and Simiya the gardener,
three men of masatuhlu (witness)
that
Imsennaya did turn from the
place of the gods.
When the tablet was being written
Arihaya was present.

(40) TÀGŠID mA-kap-tùk-ki (41) TÀGŠID Kur-ku-tar TÀGUt-ḥapta-e (42) ŠU mNa-an-na-taḥ tup-sar-rum

L. 40-42, three seals and signature of the scribe.

^{26.} it-ta-du-uš, $\sqrt{nad\bar{u}}$, »to fine». Cf. 20: 10; ana mull \bar{i} ittad \bar{u} š (HSS V 45; 50).

^{29.} it-tap-ru-uš, cf. iš-tap-ru-šu-nu-ti, G 35: 27.

^{31.} pānu kī ikaššadū (a subjunctive), i. e. »whether she shall obtain pardon», cf. pāni wabālu »to pardon» (Landsberger).

^{36.} LÚMEŠ ma-sà!-at-tù-uḥ-li (here ḥa could easily be a scribal error for sà) otherwise spelled phonetically LÚ massatuḥlu or mansatuḥlu, seems to be the Subarean equivalent of the Akkadian rābiṣu, rābiṣ daiānī (Koschaker, OLZ, 1931, p. 226). The Subarean element -(u)ḥlu (which is also to be found in ḥalsuḥlu, e.g. N II 106: 3, probably the Subarean equivalent of the Akkadian ḥazannu, N III 290: 41 [cf. Koschaker, OLZ, 1932, p. 402 f.], and in many personal names) seems to denote a vocation. A parallel to our case is HSS IX 12: 32—34.

^{37.} Cf. $i\check{s}$ -tu $DINGIR^{ME\check{S}}$ it-tu-ur, i.e. »shrank from the gods», G. 35: 29. 38. un-t $\check{u}=ultu$, $i\check{s}tu$, since, when (also in the Amarna letters from Mitanni and in Hittite contracts). Cf. i- $\check{s}a$ - $a\dot{t}$ -tar-ma i- $\check{s}a$ - $a\dot{t}$ -tar, TCL IX 41: 36.

3. HSS IX 6. An official letter concerning a handmaid.

a-na ^mPu-i-ta-e
qí-bí-ma
um-ma ^mḤa-ši-ip-til-la-ma
um-ma lu-ú LUGAL-ma-mi
5) ^{LÚ}NIGIR ^(a)
i-na ^{URU}An-zu-gal-lim
li-il-sí
ù ERIM^{MEŠ} ša ^{URU}An-zu-gal-lim
li-ip-ḥu-ur
10) ù ki-na-an-na

a-na GEME ša m Ši-il-wa-te-šup $K\dot{U}.BABBAR$ (b) [id-di-in] di-na $D\dot{U}^{U\dot{S}}$

qí-bi ma-an-nu-me-e

ha-mu-uţ-ţá šu-pur-šu-ma
15) ina UGU LUGAL
li-il-li-ka₄
šum-ma ia-nu I LÚ ša ki-i
ka₄-šá ma-aš-lu

ina UGU LUGAL li-li-ka₄ TÀG ^mḤa-ši-ip-til-la 20) ù te₄-ma li-li-[kam?] Unto Puitae
speak!
Thus says Hasiptilla:
Thus verily says the king:
The overseer of the palace
in the city of Anzugallim
he may call
and the people of the city of
Anzugallim
he may gather
and in the following way
give Thou a commandment:
whoever
for the handmaid of Silwatesup
[has given] money [and] brought

an action (against Silwatesup) so send him speedily, to the king he may come.

If there does not exist (such a man, then) one man who is like Thee

may come to the king.

Seal of Hasiptilla.

And a report shall com[e here].

⁽a) ŠL II 347: 11.

⁽b) The sign \dot{KU} is written in the cursive Babylonian script, cf. Fossey, Manuel, no. 30362.

^{1.} Cf. HSS V 104.

^{9.} liphur, a scribal error for lupahhir.

^{17. »}a man like Thee», i. e. »Thy deputy, representative».

4. HSS IX 7. A slave deputizes for his master in a lawsuit.

um-ma ^mKu-up-pá-ti-ia-ma ÌR ša ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGAL

šum-ma ^mŠu-ur-til-la DUMU E-en-na-ma-ti

i-na mu-ši i-na GIŠ . SAR ša mŠi-il-wa-te-šup

5) la it-ta-ra-ad-ma

ù 11 GIŠ^{MEŠ} ša-aš-šu-ku (ik-is)

lìb ša GIŠ. SAR la it-ta-ki-issú-nu-ti

ù šum-ma NAM.LÚ.LÚ^{MEŠ} ša-nu-tù ša i-na GIŠ^{MEŠ} ša ik-ki-sú

10) ^mŠu-ur-til-la la i-de₄-šu-nu-ti ù i-na-an-na la ik-ki-ru Thus says Kuppatiya slave of Silwatesup son of the king:

verily Surtilla son of Ennamati

in the night in the orchard of Silwatesup went down and two trees of šaššuku cut in the orchard

and verily the other people
who were under the trees which
they cut
were recognized by Surtilla
and now he has spoken a falsehood.

We read Ši-il-wa-te-šup (pro Ši-il-wi-te-šup), cf. mŠi-il-wa-a, HSS IX 20: 40, 56.

^{3.} šumma is used in oaths like the Hebrew im. In affirmative statements it is used with the negative $l\bar{a}$ and mutatis mutandis, when alone, the statement has a negative sense, cf. l. 7; VAB VI, no. 238, h; Holma, ZA 28, p. 112.

^{7.} ik-is, the first sign is badly defaced. It seems that the scribe wrote first ik-is (cf. ik-ki- $s\acute{u}$, l. 9 and 21) forgetting the negation $l\ddot{a}$, which he employed in the following line with the verb it-ta-ki-is- $s\acute{u}$ -nu-ti ($\sqrt{nak\ddot{a}su}$). Note the confusion of $s\acute{u}$ with $s\acute{u}$ when the same suffixed form is rendered again (l. 18) - $s\acute{u}$ -nu-ti.

um-ma ^mŠu-ur-til-la-ma DUMU E-en-na-ma-ti šum-ma a-na-ku i-na mu-ši i-na GIŠ . SAR ša ^mŠi-il-wa-tešup DUMU LUGAL

15) at-ta-ra-ad-ma šum-ma
II GIŠ^{MEŠ} an-nu-tù ša-aš-šu-ku
ša ^mKu-up-pa-ti-ia iq-bu-ú
[šum]-ma [lib] ša GIŠ.SAR
a-na-ku

 $[at-ta-ki-i\check{s}]-\check{s}u-nu-ti$

 $[\dot{u}\ \ \check{s}um\text{-}ma]\ \ NAM.L\acute{U}.L\acute{U}^{ME}\check{s}$

20) [ša-nu-tù ša] i-na GIŠ^{ME}Š

[ša i]k-ki-sú a-na-ku e-de₄-šunu-ti

[ù šu]m-ma i-na-an-na ak-ki-ru

25) [ma-an-nu i]k- $k\acute{a}l$ -lu LUGAL te_4 -e-ma [i- $\acute{s}a]$ -ak- ka_4 -an

Thus says Surtilla son of Ennamati:

Verily, I in the night
in the orchard of Silwatesup son
of the king
did not go down, verily
these two trees of šaššuku about
which Kuppatiya has spoken
[verilly [in] the orchard I

[have not cut] them,
[and verily] [the other] people,
[who] (were) under the trees
[which they] cut, I did not
recognize them,
[and ve]rily now I have not
spoken a falsehood.
[Because] of these statements
[to] the river of huršān they
shall go
(Concerning) [the one who shall

hold] back the king

shall decide.

(27) TÀGŠID mÚ-nap-ta-e DUMU Šuk-ri-ia (28) TÀG mNa-i-[te--šup] DUMU Te-eš-šu-ia (29) TÀGŠID mA-ki-ia DUMU [Sí-li]-pa-pu (30) TÀG mWa-qar-EN DUMU Ta-a-a (31) TÀG mŠa-ar-til-la DUB. SAR DUMU DINGIR-SUM

L. 27-31, five seals, among whom that of the scribe.

^{23.} aš-šum a-wa-ti, a fixed term of speech in connection with river ordeals (Ch. p. 55). VAB V does not give this.

^{24.} nārhur-ša-an (HUR.SAG) as the river of the water ordeal, HSS V 45: 11; 50: 12; N II 125: 23; Ch. 18: 19; G. 29: 42.

^{25.} šarru ṭēma [iš]akkan, lit. »the king shall set forth a report».

5. HSS IX 9. Document of a lawsuit, concerning a fugitive handmaid.

mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGAL it-ti ^mEn-na-ma-ti DUMU Purna-aš-šu-ka i-na di-ni a-na pa-ni $DI.KUD^{MEŠ}$ i-te-lu-ma um-ma mŠi-il-wa-tešup-ma 5) GEME-ia ih-ta-li-iq i-na Éti ša mEn-na-ma-ti a-na a-ša-bi iq-ta-bu-uš ù DI. KUDMEŠ mEn-na-ma-ti iš-ta-lu-uš ù iq-ta-bu-ú 10) GEME ša mŠi-il-wa-te-šup ša i-na É-ka, aš-bu a-dì-naa-mi ù EME-šu ša ^mEn-na-ma-ti a-na pa-ni DI.KUDMEŠ ig-ta-bi [a-a]n-ni-mi GEME ša m Ši-ilwa-te-šup 15) [----i]l-li-ku gap of several lines [----]..ma a-na [--[-- -] . šu-ma aš . . . [a-na mŠi-il-wa-te-šup it-ta-dú-uš

Silwatesup son of the king with Ennamati son of Purnassuka in a lawsuit before the judges appeared. Thus declares (the same) Silwatesup: »My handmaid ran away, in the house of Ennamati to live they permitted her.» And the judges Ennamati questioned and spoke: The handmaid of Silwatesup who is living in your house, (is she living there) till now? And the declaration of Ennamati, (which) he spoke before the judges: »Yes, the handmaid of Silwatesup - has come.

to Silwatesup they have delivered her.

(gap of several lines)

^{4.} $it\bar{e}l\bar{u}$. Cf. $u\bar{s}t\bar{e}lu\bar{s}$, Ch. 16: 307; $ult\bar{e}l\bar{i}$, N II 206: 18; $\bar{s}ut\bar{e}l\bar{u}$, HSS IX 11: 9, 29; $u\bar{s}ell\bar{u}$, HSS V 7: 24, 26.

^{5.} ihtaliq pro tahtaliq.

^{11.} aš-bu, ms. pro fem., note the subjunctive form, in a subordinate sentence; in l. 15, *il-li-ku*, the same grammatical correctness, which is rare in the Kirkuk texts.

(20) ŠU ^mTar-mi-te-šup (21) TÀG ^mA-ki-ia SUKAL (22) TÀG ^mIt-hi-til-la DUMU Še-ir-pa-taš-ši (23) TÀG ^mŠa-ar-te-šup DUMU Pa-i-til-la (24) TÀG ^mA-kip-ta-še-ni (25) DUMU Ḥu-ti-ip-LUGAL (26) [.....] lu-šá-kìn (27) TÀG ^mAr-ta-še-en-ni DI.KUD (28) TÀG ^mUr-hi-te-šup DUMU Ḥu-ti-[ia]

L. 20, signature of Tarmitesup. L. 21, seal of Akiya, the overseer. L. 22—26, five further seals. L. 27, seal of Artasenni, the judge. L. 28, one further seal.

6. HSS IX 10. An official inspection concerning a beaten slave.

mAt-ti-ia ÌR ša

mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGAL
a-na pa-ni DI. KUD^{ME}š i-te-li
um-ma šu-ma ^mTup-pt-ia ša
NIN. DINGIR. RA
5) ir-tap-sá-an-ni-mi

5) ir-tap-sá-an-ni-mi
ù DI.KUD^{MEŠ} mi-iḥ-ṣí-šu
ša ^mAt-ti-ia i-ta-am-ru
la-ḥu^{MEŠ}-šu i-na ^{GIŠ}ḤAD ma-hi-iṣ
ù dá-ma^{MEŠ} mu-uš-šur
10) a-aḥ-šu ù qí-in-ṣí-šu
i-na ^{GIŠ}ḤAD-ma ma-ḥi-iṣ
ù mi-ti-in-nu

Attiya slave of
Silwatesup son of the king
before the judges appeared
Thus says he: Tuppiya (slave)
of the high priestess
has beaten me.
And the judges the beatings
of Attiya have inspected.
His jaws were bruised with a
stick
and blood had been flowing.
His arm and shin-bone
with the stick were bruised
and mitinnu.

^{4.} NIN. DINGIR = ēntu (sister of God), refers to a high position among the priestesses, something like the virgins of Vesta in Rom. The scribe must have omitted a word after Tup-pi-ia, which was most probably ardu. The ēntu seems to have been ukkurtu, sterilized, and could also hardly have had an adopted son. Landsberger, Zu den Frauenklassen des Kodex Hammurabi, ZA 1915—16, p. 71—72, Koschaker, RSGH, p. 201—214.

^{9.} muššur, $\Pi_1 \sqrt{waš\bar{a}ru}$, permansive as also mahis.

^{12.} The meaning of mi-ti-in-nu is unknown.

7. HSS IX 13. Debtor's own person pledged on account of a borrowed boy servant.

EME-šu ša mAl-ki-til-la ù EME-šu ša ^mSú-un-na-a-a DUMU-šu ša mKa4-in-nu-ia a-na pa-ni ši-bu-ti 5) iq-ta-a-bi 1 sú-ha-ru-ú! 2 am-ma-ti ÌR^{du} a-bi-ni mKa,-in-nu-ia a-šar ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGALil!-te-qì ù i-na-an-na ni-i-nu ki-i sú-ha-ri 10) i-na Éti ša mŠi-il-wa-te-\sup\ ti-te-nu ti uš-te-ri-ib (aš-bu) ù im-ma-ti-me 2 am-ma-ti sú-ha-ru a-na mŠi-il-wa-te-šup

The declaration of Alkitilla
and the declaration of Sunnaya,
sons of Kainnuya,
before the witnesses
they spoke: one boy servant, a
slave of two cubits
our father Kainnuya
from Silwatesup son of the
king
borrowed and now us as boy
servants
in the house of Silwate \(sup \)

as security he caused to enter.

And when
a boy servant of two cubits
to Silwatesup

^{1.} Note the confusion of singular with plural: $m\bar{a}r$ - δu (l. 3), iq-ta-a-bi (l. 5), a-bi-ni (l. 6), u-ta-ar- δu (l. 15), u- δe -is- $s\dot{u}$ - \dot{u} (l. 17).

^{10.} The etymology of the technical term, which is here and in many other cases spelled ti-te-nu-ti and not seldom also tidennutu or ditennutu, is not yet certain. Speiser in his latest study (KDST) devotes much space in order to show that ditennūtu is of an Akkadian origin (√dnn). Because I incline to the Subarean origin of the word, the word is transcribed in this study with voiceless stops. Speiser arrives at the translation *possession* (KDST). Koschaker, however, after a systematic investigation interprets the word in most cases as *usufruct* (Nutzniessung, RORH). Here is one o he many examples in the development of the words from the concrete into abstract. From erēbu *to enter under one's authority*, we get finally the Hebrew 'ērābōn, pledge (San Nicolò, AO IV, 34 f.; KDST, 360; contrast Gesenius-Buhl, Wörterbuch. As to the pledging contrast Pfeiffer, p. VII. ina bīti uštērib (also HSS V 40: 10; N III 312: 7), cf. [ina É] MEŠ i-ru-[ub] (N. III 272: 23) or ina bīti īrub, N. 304: 6; 308: 6.

^{11.} When the scribe wrote $a\tilde{s}$ -bu in the beginning of the l. 11, he had the phrase $ina\ b\tilde{t}ti\ a\tilde{s}b\tilde{u}$ in his mind, which expression is out of place here.

mAl-ki-til-la ù mSú-un-na-a-a
15) ú-ta-ar-šu ù ra-ma-an-šu-nu i-na É^{ti} ša mŠi-il-wa-te-šup ú-še-iṣ-ṣú-ú KIN^{MEŠ}-šu-nu ù ša mSú-un-na-a-a aš-la aš-gi-im-ma-an-ni

Alkitilla and Sunnaya
return, then they themselves
from the house of Silwatesup
shall bring out. Their works
and that which belongs to Sunnaya — — —

(20) IGI Ta-a-a-[-]-ú-[-]-ki-[-] DUMU Sí-ka₄-a-a (21) IGI Nu-ul-te-šup DUMU Ut-ḥap-ta-e (22) IGI Ša-an-šá-pu DUMU Gi-el-gi (23) IGI Uh-li-pa-pu DUMU Ur-ḥi-te-šup (24) IGI Ta-e DUMU A-pí-pí-tù (25) IGI Ni-ik-ri-ia DUMU Tar-mu-LUGAL (26) IGI Aš-ta-ri DUMU Pa-pa-an-te (27) IGI Ḥu-ti-ip-til-la DUMU Ta-ú-ka₄ (28) IGI Tar-mi-ia DUMU Ḥa-ni-ú (29) IGI Ip-šá-ḥa-lu DUMU Al-pu-ia

(30) TÀG mḤu-ti-ip-til-la (31) TÀG mŠa-an-ša-pu (32) TÀG mTa-e (33) TÀG mNu-ul-te-šup (34) TÀG mUḥ-li-pa-pu (35) TÀG mTar-mi-ia (36) TÀG mIp-šá-ḥa-lu (37) TÀG mNi-ik-ri-ia tup-sar-rum

L. 20-29, nine witnesses and the scribe, Nikriya.

L. 30-37, seven seals and the scribe.

8. HSSIX14. A slave representing his master in a puhisarru-business.

EME-šu ša ^mŠe-ka₄-a-a DUMU Ur-ḥi-ia a-na pa-ni LÚ^{MEŚ} ši-bu-ti an-nu-ti ki-am-ma iq-ta-bi VIII ANŠU ŠE^{MEŚ} a-šar 5) ^mŠu-ši-ib-^dUTU ÌR ša Declaration of Sekaya son of Urhiya, before these witnesses he spoke as follows: 8 homers of barley from Šušib-Šamaš, slave of

^{18.} aš-la aš-gi-im-ma-an-ni: The meaning of these words is still doubtful. The word ašlu, occurs elsewhere in these texts as a name for a measure (G 26: 4; N II 126: 6; N III 297: 9).

⁽a) ŠL II 74: 170.

^{5.} Note a slave with a Semitic name, whose owner is a Subarean, see pref.

mŠi-il-wa-te-šup i-na URUPa-ha-ra-aš-wa pu!-zi-qaar-ri il-te-qì-mì VII ANŠU SE^{MEŠ} ^mŠe-ka₄-a-a 10) DUMU Ur-hi-ia pu-hi-iz-zaar-[ri]-šu i-na URUKip-ri a-na $m\check{S}u$ - $\check{s}i$ -ib-dUTU $SUM^{na-\{din?\}}$ šum-ma VII ANŠU ŠE^{ME}Š mŠe-ka,-a-a i-na 15) URUKip-ri la i-na-an-din mŠu-ši-ib-dUTU GUD-šu ša ^mŠe-ka₄-a-a ú-tar-ru XVI ANŠU ŠEMEŠ mŠu-ši-ibdUTUa-šar mŠe-ka4-a-a 20) i-na ^{URU}Pa-ha-ra-aš-wa i-liq-qì-e i-na GIŠBÁN (a) ša mŠi-il-wa-tešup

Silwatesup in the city of Paharas as puhisarru

I have received.
7 homers of barley Sekaya
son of Urhiya (as) his puhisarru

in the city of Kipri to

Šušib-Šamaš shall deliver.

If 7 homers of barley

Sekaya in

the city of Kipri should not give
(then) Šušib-Šamaš the ox
of Sekaya shall confiscate,
(moreover) 16 homers of barley

Šušib-Šamaš
from Sekaya
in the city of Paharas
shall receive
with the measure of Silwatesup.

(23) TAG Še- ka_4 -a-a DUMU Ur-hi-ia (24) IGI^{TAG} Šá-tu-gi-wi DUMU [———]-e-ta (25) IGI^{TAG} Ur-hi-te DUMU Ka_4 -na-a-a (26) IGI^{TAG} Še-hal-te-sup tup-sar-rum

L. 23-26, seals of Sekaya and of three witnesses, among whom the scribe.

^{6.} Pa-ḥa-ra-aš-wa, the last syllable wa a genitive termination, Вокк, op. cit. p. 46, Messerschmidt, op. cit. 97. pu-zi-qa-ar-ri pro pu-ḥi-za-ar-ri, cf. l. 10. From the material hitherto available this word means exchange business, barter. In it might be embedded the Akkadian pūḥu = exchange (Косснакев, OLZ, 1932, p. 404). Note puḥizarru concerning persons, N II 120.

^{7.} il-te-qi-mi pro al-te-qi-mi.

^{9.} On account of the transport of the barley to another (perhaps distant) city Sekaya is entitled to one homer as his provision: he receives 8 homers and is obliged to deliver 7 homers in the city of destination.

^{16.} alap-šu, note the poverty of Sekaya, who owes only one ox.

9. HSS IX 17. Part payment for handmaids.

III SAL^{MEŠ} ša ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGAL

ù ^mÚ-a-ma DUMU Ḥa-iš-te-šup ša ^{KUR}Ḥa-lì-gal-bat

il-te-qì-šu-nu-ti ù

 $^{m}\acute{U}$ -a-ma II SAL $^{\rm ME}$ Š I GUD I ANŠU a-na

5) ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup it-ta-din-šunu-ti

 $\grave{u} \ I \ SAL \ SIG_5 \ . \ GA \ \ na\text{-}si\text{-}iq\text{-}tu_4$

i-na muḥ-ḥi mÚ-a-ma ir-teiḥ [—]

šum-ma I SAL SIG₅. GA na-siiq-tu₄

ù šum-ma X SU GUŠKIN^{MEŠ} SIG₅.GA

 a-na ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup i-naan-din

 \hat{u} ^mMa-sí-ia DUMU A-bu-D $\hat{\mathbf{U}}(G)$. GA

a-na ^mÚ-a-ma ma-hi-iş pu-ti-šu šum-ma SAL^{ME}Š ša ^mÚ-a-ma id-dì-nu

pá-qí-ra-na TUGšu

Three women of Silwatesup son of the king

Uama son of Haistesup (who is) from the land of Haligalbat

has received and

Uama two women, one ox, one ass to

Silwatesup has given

and (moreover) one woman, healthy and selected remained (still) upon Uama (to pay)

until one woman, healthy and selected,

or until ten shekels of good gold

to Silwatesup he gives,

Masiya son of $Ab\bar{u}$ - $t\bar{a}b$ (being)

for Uama his guaranter.

If the women that Uama has given

have a claimant

^{12.} $m\bar{a}his$ $p\bar{u}ti$ seems to refer to a symbolic act in the matter of guaranteeing. It should be rendered literally *the one who strikes the forehead*. On the contrary, according to the old idea (BABR, p. 222—223), $p\bar{u}t$ serves in this phrase only as a preposition, cf. Bezold (Glossar, $mah\bar{a}su$): ** $mah\bar{a}su$ (sc. $q\bar{a}ta$) $p\bar{u}t$, jdm. (gegenüber) durch Handschlag bürgen*.

^{14.} $paqir\bar{a}nu$, »claimant», occurs in the Kirkuk texts parallel with pirqu (by metathesis, N II 113: 21) »claim». The formula of $paqir\bar{a}nu$ (pirqu) is here a more general form of the guarantee against claims than the formulae of $\sqrt{\check{s}as\bar{u}}$ (N II 113: 18; 118: 11); Cf. BABR, p. 176.

15) ^mÚ-a-ma ú-za-ak-ka₄-šu-numa

a-na ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup i-na-andin-šu-nu

ša $KI.BAL^{tu_4}$ III $SAL^{ME\check{S}}$ SA_5^{la} (a)

Uama shall clear them

and restore them to Silwatesup

The one who breaks the agreement shall pay three women as compensation.

- (18) IGI Ḥu-ut-te-šup DUMU Ar-rap-ḥa-ri (19) IGI Ḥu-ti-ia DUMU Ku-uš-ši-ia (20) IGI Ḥu-ti-ip-a-pu DUMU Ur-ḥi-te-šup (21) IGI Ta-i-ka4 DUMU Ḥa-na-a-a (22) IGI Ḥa-na-a-a DUMU It-ḥa-a-pu (23) IGI Ku-un-nu-un-na-a-a DUMU Ip-šá-a-a (24) IGI Tu-ra-ri DUB. SAR DUMU Ta-a-a
- (25) ${}^{T\grave{A}G}\check{S}ID$ $\check{H}u$ -ut-te-šup (26) ${}^{T\grave{A}G}\check{S}ID$ $\check{H}u$ -ti-ia (27) ${}^{T\grave{A}G}\check{S}ID$ Tu-ra-ri (28) ${}^{T\grave{A}G}\check{S}ID$ Ku-un-nu-na-a-a (29) $T\grave{A}G$ $\check{H}u$ -ti-ip-a-pu (30) $T\grave{A}G$ Ta-i-ka₄ (31) ${}^{T\grave{A}G}\check{S}ID$ $\check{H}a$ -na-a-a (32) ${}^{T\grave{A}G}\check{S}ID$ \acute{U} -a-ma

L. 18-24, seven witnesses, including Turari, the scribe.

L. 25-32, eight seals, including Turari and Uama.

⁽a) SA_5^{la} , not DIRIG. That the ideogram, composed of si+a, was read $s\bar{a}$ when meaning »to be full», is confirmed by CT XII, 8 f., Delitzsch, Sum. Glossar, p. 238. This is not to be found in ŠL.

^{15.} Note the pl. masc. suffix - $\check{s}unu$ instead of the fem. - $\check{s}ina$. For the use of $zukk\bar{u}$ (to declare free) in the Middle-Assyrian deeds of land sales in connection with the guarantee against legitimate claims, see NKRA. p. 32. Cf. HSS IX 19: 21; 25: 16 etc.

^{17.} According to the frequent phonetical spelling of this technical term (KI.BAL) it was pronounced ibbal(a)kat (N II 115: 13) or ittabalkatu (N II 118: 19). Ideographically it is written except KI. BAL, also in some cases BAL (N III 273: 15), often with phonetic complements ka or ka + tu or kat. Other words of the phrase, preceding (KI). BAL are: $\check{s}umma$ (N II 115: 13) or mannu $\check{s}a$ (N II 118: 19).

10. HSS IX 19. A slave buys real estate under the cover of a sale-adoption.

tup-pí ma-ru-ti

ša ^mTar-mi-te-šup DUMU Enna-ma-ti

^mPa-i-te-šup ÌR ša ^mŠi-il-wa-tešup DUMU LUGAL

a-na ma-ru-ti i-te-pu-uš

5) GIŠ. SAR i-na URUNu-si

1 ma-at i-na am-ma-ti mu-raak-šu

ù 40 i-na am-ma-ti ru-pu-us-sú i-na su-ta-na-an KAS ša URU DINGIR^[MEŠ]

i-na il-ta-na-an GIŠ.SAR ša ^mA-ri-ip-še-ri-iš

10) i-na KI. TA^{nu} GIŠ. SAR ša ^mTù-ra-ar-te-šup

ù i-na AN. TA^{nu} GIŠ. SAR ša ^mA-ri-ip še-ri-iš-ma

^mTar-mi-te-šup ki-ma ḤA.LA-šu a-na ^mPa-i-te-šup id-din

 \dot{u} ^mPa-i-te-šup V ANŠU V $B\acute{A}N$ Š $E^{ME}\check{s}$

15) ki-ma GAR.BA-šu a-na ^mTar-mi-te-šup id-din

šum-ma GIŠ .SAR ša-a-šu i-na mi-in-dá-dì

i-ra-ab-bu-ú i-na ŠAG GIŠ.SAR ša-a-šu Tablet of adoption of Tarmitesup son of Ennamati,

Paitesup slave of Silwatesup son of the king

he adopted.

An orchard in the city of Nusi, its length one hundred in cubits

and its width fourty in cubits
(situated) South of the road of
the city of God(s)

North of the orchard of Aripseris

West of the orchard of Turartesup

and East of the orchard of Aripseris.

(this) Tarmitesup as his portion to Paitesup has given.

And Paitesup 5 homers 5 sūtu of barlev

as his reward to Tarmitesup has given.

If that orchard in extent

is large in size that orchard

^{8.} URU.DINGIR, here the omission of $ME\check{S}$ sign is a scribal error. Note the inconsistency in the terminations of the phonetic spelling: i-na su-ta-na-an, contrast with i-na $\check{saplanu}^nu$, 1. 10. Note the rare form su-ta-na-an ($s\acute{u}$ -ta-an, HSS IX 20, \check{su} -ta-na-nu, N II 140: 7) for South, see the note to HSS IX 20: 10.

la i-na-ak-ki-is ù la ú-ra-ad-dá

šum-ma GIŠ. SAR pi-ir-qa irta-ši

 GIŠ. SAR ša-a-šu-ma ^mTarmi-te-šup

ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma a-na ^mPa-i-te-šup i-na-an-din il-ku ša GIŠ.SAR

^mTar-mi-te-šup-ma na-ši ù ^mPai-te-šup la na-ši

EME-šu ša ^mTar-mi-te-šup a-na pa-ni

25) LÚ^{ME}Š ši-bu-ti an-nu-ti iqta-bi

V ANŠU V BÁN $ŠE^{[MEŠ]}$ ki-ma $GAR.BA^{ti}$

[a-šar] ^mPa-i-te-šup el-te-qì [ù ap-la-ku]-mi ù ^mPa-i-te-šup EME-šu a-na pa-ni LÚ^{ME}Š šibu-ti an?-n[u-ti]

30) [— —]. na-...aš-ši ša KI.BAL^{tu}4

I MA.NA KÙ.BABBAR I MA.NA GUŠKIN SA₅ tup-pí ina EGIR šu-du-ti shall not be diminished and not enlarged.

If the orchard has a claim (against it)

that orchard Tarmitesup

shall clear (and) to Paitesup he shall restore (it). The feudal tasks of the orchard

Tarmitesup shall bear and Paitesup shall not bear.

Declaration of Tarmitesup, before

these witnesses he spoke:

5 homers 5 sūtu of barley as (my) reward

[from] Paitesup I received and am paid, and Paitesup his declaration before these witnesses

breaks (the agreement)
shall compensate with one mina
of silver and one mina of gold.
The tablet after the proclamation

^{22.} il-ku. Schott (OLZ, XXXII p. 854—5) does not accept Koschaker's view of ilku as *Lehenslast (NKRA, p. 60 f.), but translates it with *Dienst-barkeiten irgendwelcher Art*. Speiser (KDFL, p. 14) after comparing ilku with the Subarean synonym irwi + ssi (due to the king) arrives at the translation of ilku = *feudal tasks*.

^{28.} elteqi u aplākūmi, a technical expression for receipt, appears frequently in these tablets. The permansive apil of $\sqrt{ap\bar{a}lu}$ occurs in the Old Babylonian texts in the sense of »is satisfied», VAB V, p. 511. [Cf. SAN NICOLÒ, Die Schlussklauseln der altbabyl. Kauf- und Tauschverträge, p. 150 f.]

ina KÁ e-kál ti₄-iš-šá-e ša-ti-ir

in the gate of the palace of Tissae ša URUNu-sí ina ITUHu-ri-lì of the city of Nusí in the month of Hurili was written.

- (35) IGI Ha-ši-ip-til-la DUMU Ar-ši-mi-ka₄ (36) IGI Ur-hi-til-la DUMU Ta-hi-ri-iš-ti (37) IGI Tù-ra-ar-te-šup DUMU A-bu- $D\dot{U}(G)$. GA (a) (38) IGI A-kip-še-en-ni DUMU Ni-nu-a-ri (39) IV LÚMEŠ an-nu-tu4 il-mu-ú ša GIŠ. SAR (40) IGI Tù-ra-ri DUMU Pu-hi-ia (41) IGI Ku-us-sú DUMU A-ri-gi-el-pì (42) IGI E-ip!-še-en-ni DUMU Wi-ir-ri-ka, (43) IGI An-ni-šu DUMU Ha-ma-an-na $L\dot{U}.EN.NU$ -UN [KÁ].GAL (44) IGI $T\dot{u}$ -ra-a-a DUMU Ša-li- $p\dot{e}$ -li(45) IGI A-bi-DINGIR DUMU dAG-DINGIR-RA DUB.SAR (46) an-nu-tu₄ ši-bu-tu₄ na-di-na-nu ša ŠE^{ME}Š
- (47) TÀG mA-bi-DINGIR DUB. SAR (48) TÀGŠID mKu-us-sú (49) $T \stackrel{\lambda}{G} \stackrel{\circ}{S} ID \stackrel{m}{H} a - \stackrel{\circ}{S} i - ip - til - la$ (50) $T \stackrel{\lambda}{G} \stackrel{\circ}{S} ID \stackrel{m}{T} u - ra - ar - te - \stackrel{\circ}{S} up$ (51) TÀG mA-kip-še-en-ni (52) TÀG mUr-hi-til-la (53) TÀG mE-ip!-šeen-ni (54) TAGŠID mTar-mi-te-šup EN GIŠ. SAR (55) TAG mAnni-šu (56) TÀG mTù-ra-a-a (57) TÀG mTù-ra-ri
- L. 35-38, seals of four witnesses, among whom (l. 37) Turartesup, son of Abū-tāb.
 - L. 39 These (where the) four men, who fixed the boundaries of the orchard.
 - L. 40-45, seals of five witnesses, among whom (l. 45) the scribe.
 - L. 46 These witnesses (were) those that gave the barley.
 - L. 54, seal of Tarmitesup, owner of the orchard.

- 34. The year is hardly ever given in the Kirkuk tablets (HSS IX 98: 29), the month comparatively often. I have counted 21 different names, among which Šehali (ša Adad) occurs the greatest number of times (19 times). The month of Hu-ri-il-li was the month of shearing, i. e. New Year (ba-aq-nu, HSS V 82: 10), see also HSS V 95: 7, N II 128: 7. Cf. the month of Kurilli, of the city of Sissa, HSS V 20: 13; Kirilli of Nusi, HSS IX 95: 7. Each important city had its own nomenclature for the months.
- 39. il-mu-ú pro the usual mušelwū (Šafel √πιςΣ); Koschaker (NKRA, p. 68-72) after a thorough discussion, arrives at the conclusion that the mušelwū were »Publizitätszeugen bei Veräusserung von Liegenschaften». Cf. also HSS V 61: 14.
- 46. na-di-na-nu, Koschaker (NKRA, p. 69) translates this word with »Treuhänder», who was also a $mu\check{s}elw\tilde{u}$, but every $nadin\bar{a}nu$ was not a $mu\check{s}elw\tilde{u}$. nadinānu occurs e.g. N I 15: 20; 22: 25.

⁽a) BI, a scribal error for GA, cf. HSS IX 17: 11.

HSS IX 20. A sale-adoption of a slave concerning a mortgaged field.

tup-pi ma-ru-ti ša mŠuk-ri-tešup DUMU Ar-ru-um-ti ù mPá-i-te-šup ÌR ša

mŠi-il-wa-te-šup a-na ma-ru-ti

(i-te-pu-uš) 5) ù ki-ma ḤA.LA-šú VIII GIŠAPIN A.ŠÀ

i-na A. GÀR URUNU-sí

i-na šu-pa-al A.ŠÀ ša ^mNu-lasà-hi

i-na il-ta-an A.ŠÀ ša ^mTi-e-ešwa-nu-uh-ni

i-na ŠADdá-an A.ŠÀ ša mA-riip-še-ri-iš

10) ù i-na sú-ta-an A . ŠÀ ša mŠi-il-wa-te-šup

a-na ^mPá-i-te-šup SUM^{din} ù ^mPá-i-te-šup III ANŠU II BÁN (a) ŠE[MEŠ]

Tablet of adoption belonging to Sukritesup, son of Arrumti.

Paitesup, slave of Silwatesup he adopted.

And as his portion, eight aweharu of field in the land of the city of Nusi, West of the field of Nulasahi,

North of the field of Tieswanuhni,

East of the field of Aripseris

and South of the field of Silwate-

(this) to Paitesup he has given. And Paitesup, three homers, two sūtu of barley

⁽a) BANLIMMU, ŠL II 295: 60.

The spelling of GIŠAPIN (aweharu) is established by a-me-ḥa-ri, which shows that the PI sign in the frequently written A-PI-HA-RI is to be pronounced we (N III 273: 11). Cf. APN, p. 24.

^{10.} Here the points of the compass are given more fully than is usual in the boundary descriptions. Note that the West and East (ina šupal — ina šadan, lit. »downward — upward, to the mountain») are local names for the points of the compass. In the district of Kirkuk the ground slopes gently to the West. In IX 21: 15-20 all four points of the compass are mentioned. ina elīni is used instead of ina šadan. Cf. Tallqvist, Himmelsgegenden und Winde, Studia Orientalia II, p. 112-115. Contrast Weidner, Inschr. d. altass. Könige, p. 101, n. 9 ($el\bar{u}$ — $\check{s}apl\bar{u}$ = North—South). Cf. G. 2, 34, 47, 48, 50, 62, 69.

ki-ma GAR. BA-šu a-na ^mŠukri-te-šup SUM^{din}
um-ma ^mŠuk-ri-te-šup-ma
15) A.ŠÀ šá-a-šu ù ^mA-ki-ia
DUMU ^mGi-e-li-ia ki-ma V
UDU^{ME}Š
a-na ti₄-te-en-nu-ti ú-ka₄-al
ù ^mPá-i-te-šup V UDU^{ME}Š

ša KAi tup-pí-šu ša mA-ki-ia

20) a-na mA-ki-ia ú-ta-ar-ma ù A.ŠÀ i-lia-aì šum-ma A.ŠÀ šá-a-šu i-na miin-dá-dì i-ra-ab-bi la a-na-ak-ki-is šum-ma A.ŠA pa-gi-ra-na 25) TUGši ù A.ŠÀ šá-a-šu-ma a-za-ak-ka_-ma a-na ^mPá-i-te-šup a-na-an-din il-ku ša A.ŠĀ a-na-ku na-a-ši ù ^mPá-i-te-šup 30) [la] na-a-ši [.....] ni 2 lines destroyed [---] ki-ma [GAR.BA-ia]a-na ^mPá-i-te-šup el-[te]-qì 35) \dot{u} [ap-la-ku]-mi \dot{u} ^mPá-i-tešup qa-[an-na- $\check{s}u$ im]-ta- $[\check{s}ar]$

as his reward to Sukritesup has given.

Thus said Sukritesup:
That field Akiya
son of Gieliya in exchange for
five sheep

for security has been holding.

And Paitesup the five sheep
in accordance with the agreement of Akiya
to Akiya shall return,
and the field he shall take.

If that field in area

is large, I will not curtail it.
if the field has a claimant
that field
I will free, to
Paitesup I will give (it).
The feudal tasks of the field I
will bear and Paitesup
shall not bear — —
(2 lines destroyed)

[—————] as my reward from Paitesup I have received and am paid, and Paitesup

made the impression with his hem.

^{34.} a-na pro a-šar, cf. HSS IX 21: 28.

^{36.} qannašu imtašar; qannu (hem of a garment) was used in the Old Babylonia (VAB V, p. XL. f.) for the act of certifying a tablet by means of stamping it with a cloth, while it was still in an unbaked state. According to Koschaker (NKRA, p. 20) it was not a mere substitute for a seal because of the fact that it was never used by the party, who drew up the tablet. Therefore it must have had a special legal significance.

ma-an-nu ša i-na be-[ri-šu nu] K1.BAL^{at}

Whoever among them breaks the agreement,

one mina of silver, one mina of gold he shall pay as compensation.

- (39) IGI [———] DUMU Ar-te-še (40) IGI Ki-ip-ta-li-li DUMU Šu-ru-uk-ka₄ (41) IGI Ši-il-wa-a-a DUMU Ḥap-tu₄ (42) III LÚMEŠ mu-še-el-wu ša A .ŠÀ (43) One line destroyed (44) IGI Ar-šá-a-pu DUMU Ip-šá-a a (45) IGI Ku-uš-ši-ia DUMU Ḥa-na-a-a (46) ma-ṣar KÁ .GAL (47) IGI Ši-ma-dIš-tar DUB .SAR DUMU dAG-DINGIR-RA (48) tup-pu i-na EGIR^{ki} šu-du-ti (49) ina URUNu-sí a-šar KÁ .GAL šá-tì-ir
- (50) $T\mathring{A}G$ mKu -uŠ- $\check{s}i$ -ia (51) $T\mathring{A}G$ mKi -ip-ta-li-li (52) $T\mathring{A}G$ mSi -ik-ni-ia (53) $T\mathring{A}G$ ${}^m\check{S}uk$ -ri-te- $\check{s}up$ (54) EN^{el} A . $\check{S}\mathring{A}$ (55) $T\mathring{A}G$ mAr - $\check{s}\acute{a}$ -a-pu (56) $T\mathring{A}G$ ${}^m\check{S}i$ -il-wa-a-a

L. 39-41, three witnesses.

L. 42 The three men are the surveyors of the land.

L. 43, one line defaced.

L. 44-46, four further witnesses, among whom the gate-keeper and the scribe.

L. 48 The tablet after the proclamation in the city of Nusi, at the gate, was written.

L. 50-56, six seals, among whom Sukritesup, owner of the field.

12. HSS IX 21. A sale-adoption of a slave concerning houses.

tup-pí ma-ru-ti ša mPí-ri-a-sà-na DUMU Pa-i-til-la

mKu-ú-pá-a-sa ÌR mŠi-il-wa-tešup [DUMU LUGAL] a-na ma-ru-ti i-te-pu-uš

5) ki-ma ḤA . LA-šu ÉḤI. A. MEŠ i-na lib-bi ^{URU}Nu-si it-ti Tablet of adoption of Piriasana son of Paitilla (whereby)

Kupasa, slave of Silwatesup [son of the king]

into sonship he adopted.

As his portion: houses in the heart of the city of Nusi, together with na-ak-ka₄-ti-šu-nu 92 i-na amma-ti [mu-ra-ak-šu-nu] ù ma-la Ú^{ti (a)} mi-in-dá-sú-nu

hu-up-pal-la ^mPí-ri-a-sà-na a-na ^mKu-ú-pá-a-sa it-ta-din 10) ù ^mKu-ú-pá-a-sa I ANŠU TÚG I sí-a-na-tum X MA.NA AN. NA I MA.NA UD.KA.BAR IV BÁN ^(b) ŠE [—] ki-ma GAR. BA-šu a-na ^mPí-ri-a-sà-na it-ta-din šum-ma É^{HI.A.MEŠ} pí-

ir-qa ir-ta-šu-ú É^{HI.A.ME}Š ša-šu-nu-ma mP{-ri-a-sà-na

15) ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma a-na ^mKu-páa-sa

i-na-an-din ù É^{ḤI.A.MEŠ} ša-ašu-nu

i]-na il-ta-na-nu $\acute{E}^{H1.A.ME\check{S}}$ $\check{s}a$ ^{m}I -ri-ri-t[il-la]

their building grounds, with their [length] of 92 cubits

and just as much with their extent (in width)

as huppalla Piriasana

to Kupasa has given.

And Kupasa one homer of cloth,

one headdress, ten minas of lead, one mina of bronze

four *sūtu* of barley as his reward to Piriasana

has given. If there is a claim against the houses,

these houses Piriasana

shall clear, to Kupasa

shall restore (them). And (concerning) those houses North of the houses of Iriritilla

⁽a) (ŠL II 318: 6).

⁽b) ŠL II 341: 2; cf. HSS IX 20: 12.

^{6.} na-ak-ka₄-ti-šu-nu, a plural form of nagū, f. nagītu. Due to the small extent of the area given (92 cubits in square) the word must refer to a building ground, cf. l. 18. Cf. 10 i-na am-ma-ti mu-ra-ak-šu 20 i-na am-ma-ti ru-pu-šu (N 76: 6); also N 19: 6; 42: 7; 46: 5; HSS V 36: 9. ina burīti murākšu etc. N 74: 7.

^{8.} hu-up-pal-la, this obscure word occurs in another case also in connection with ina ammāti. In G. 31: 5 we read: XC i-na am-ma- ti^{ME} Š li-me-iz-zu hu-ub-bal-la. Gadd (p. 110, n. 3) attempts to derive paihu from the Akkadian root $\sqrt{peh}\bar{u}$, *to enclose* and also this huppalla from the Akkadian $\sqrt{hab}\bar{a}lu$. *to destroy*. Most probably, however, both of the words are of a Subarean origin.

sianātum corresponds to the Aramaic s^ayānā', »a kind of headdress»,
 KDFL, p. 37.

DUMU] Tu-li-ia i-na e-li-ni naak-ka₄-ti

ša] ^mPí-ri-a-sà-na-ma

20) i-na] sú-ta-nu BÀT i-na šupa-al É^{HI}. A. MEŠ

ša m] A-ri-wa-al-ti-ú

il-ku] ša É^{HI.A.ME}š mPi-ri-a-sà--na-ma

na-a]-ši ù ^mKu-ú-pá-a-sa la na]-a-ši EME-šu ša 25) ^m]Pí-ri-a-sà-na a-na pa-ni LÚ IGI^{ME}Š

an-nu-ti iq-ta-bi É^{HI.A.ME}Š

ša pí-i tup-pí an-ni-i

a-šar ^mKu-ú-pá-sa al-te-qì-mi ù ap-la-ku-mi

30) ša KI.BAL I MA.NA KÙ.BABBAR I MA.NA GUŠKIN SA₅

tup-pu $EGIR^{hi}$ šu-du₄-ti i-na pa-ni $K\acute{A}$. GAL Sí-is-sà-e ^{URU}Nu -sí

šá-ţì-ir

son of Tuliya, East of the building grounds

of Piriasana

South of the wall, West of the houses

of Ariwaltiu,

the feudal tasks of the houses Piriasana

shall bear and Kupasa

shall not bear. The declaration of Piriasana, in the presence of

these witnesses

he spoke: <the reward for> the houses

according to the wording of this tablet

from Kupasa I have received and am paid.

Who breaks the agreement shall pay as compensation one mina of silver and one mina of gold. The tablet, after the proclamation before the gate Sissae of the city of Nusi,

was written.

(34) IGI $\Hag{H}a-\Si-pa-pu$ DUMU $Ta-hi-ri-i\S-ti$ (35) IGI $K\`ar-ra-te$ [.....] (36) IGI Si-gi DUMU $\Si-ir-wi-ia$ (37) IGI Ah-li-pa-pu DUMU $Ur-hi-te-\Sup$ (38) IGI $Ta-i-ka_4$ DUMU $I-na-ap-[\S e^{-L\'u}ma-\S ar]$ K'A . GAL (39) IGI $\Hag{H}a-na-a-a$ DUMU Ta-a-a-ni [....] (40) $\S U$ [.....] $-te-\S up$ DUB . SAR (41) DUMU [.....]

(42) TAG mKar -ra-te (43) TAG mPi -ri-a-sà-na (44) TAG mTa -i-ka₄ (45) TAG mHa -na-a-a (46) TAG mSi -gi (47) TAG mAh -li-pa-pu (48) TAG SID DUB SAR

L. 34-39, six witnesses, among whom Taika son of Inapse, the gate-keeper (l. 38).

L. 40-41, signature of [.]-tesup, the scribe.

L. 42-48, seals of Piriasana (l. 43), of five witnesses and of the scribe.

13. HSS IX 22. Real adoption of a slave.

tup-pi ma-ru-ti ša ^mT[up-ki-ia DUMU Sur-ki-til-la ^mPa-[i-tešup IR] ša ^mŠi-il-wa-te-[šup DUMU LUGAL a-na] ma-ru-ti i-t[e-pu-uš-ma um-ma]

5) mTup-ki-ia-[ma mPa-i-te-šup a-na]
ma-ru-ti [e-te-pu-uš-ma]
A.ŠĀMEŠ-ia É|MEŠ-ia ù]
mi-im-mu-ia [—
ha-aṣ-bu ša i-šá-[——— ki-ma]
10) ḤA. LA-šu a-na mPá-[i-tešup a-na-an-din-mi]
a-di-i mTup-ki-i[a ba-al-ṭú]
ù mPa-i-te-šup [ep-ra ù TUGḤI-A]
i-na-an-din-aš-šu ù [i-pa-al-laah-šu]

e-nu-ma BA.UG₆^(a) i-ba-[ak-ki-šu 15) ù i-qì-ib-bi-ir-šu DUMU^{ra} ša-na-am i-na muh-

ša ^mPa-i-te-šup la i-pu-u[š

 $A . \check{S} \grave{A}^{ME\check{S}} \ \hat{u} \ \acute{E}^{ME\check{S}} \ a{ ext{-}na} \ \check{s}i{ ext{-}mi}$

la i-na-an-din il-ki-šu

[hi-šu]

20) ša ^mTup-ki-ia ù ^mTup-ki-iama Tablet of adoption of T[upkiya] son of Surkitilla. Pa[itesup slave]

of Silwate[sup son of the king into]

sonship he [received and thus (says)]

Tupkiya: [Paitesup into]

sonship [I have received]

My fields, my [buildings and]

anything [— — —]

even to the smallest things [as]

his portion to Pa[itesup I shall

give]

As long Tupkiy[a is alive]
Paitesup [food and clothing]
shall give him and [shall serve
him],

when he dies he shall [mourn him] and bury him.

Another son in addittion to him],

to Paitesup he shall not adopt. Fields and buildings he shall not sell. The feudal task of Tupkiya Tupkiya

⁽a) ŠL II 69: 34; cf. POEBEL, Sum. Gramm., p. 246 BA.TIL.

^{9.} ha-as-bu, lit. »a pot, potsherd».

^{12.} The phrase epra u lubušta (TUGHI.A) inandin occurs in G. 51: 6; N I 18: 11; N III 319: 12.

^{14.} Cf. šumma imāt, Ch. 6: 12 immatimī imtūt, Ch. 3: 20.

na-ši ù ^mPa-i-te-šup la na-ši um-ma ^mTup-ki-ia-ma

mi-nu-ит-те-в KÙ.ВАВВАR šа DUMU. SALMEŠ-ia i-na bá-a-bi 25) ša aš-bu ù ^mPa-i-te-šup ú-ša-ad-dá-an ù i-lig-qì

ma-an-nu i-na be-ri-šu-nu ib-balkat

I MA.NA KÙ.BABBAR I MA.NA GUŠKIN SA5la tup-pí an-ni-i i-na EGIR^{ki} šudu-ti

30) i-na lìb-bi ^{URU}Nu-si i-na KÁ.GAL

ti-iš-šá-e šá-tì-ir

shall bear and Paitesup shall not bear. Thus (says) this Tupkiya:

All the money of my daughters, who in the gate are living, Paitesup

shall cause to be given (to himself) and he shall take (it).

Whoever among them breaks the agreement

shall compensate with one mina of silver, one mina of gold. This tablet after the proclamation

in the city of Nusi, at the gate

Tissae, was written

- (32) IGI Tù-ra-ri DUMU Pu-hi-ia (33) IGI Ta-i-te-a DUMU Ta-an-te-e-a (34) IGI Ur-hi-til-la DUMU Ta-hi-ri-iš-ti (35) IGI A-ki-ia DUMU Ti-ri-ku (36) IGI Si-li-im-ha-ma-an-na DUMU [......] (37) IGI $DINGIR-TUG^{\delta u}$ DUMU Ha-ma-an-na (38) LÚma-sar KÁ.GAL (39) IGI dSIN-KUR-ni DUB.SAR DUMU A-mur-LUGAL
- (40) TÀG ^mTa-i-te-a (41) TÀG ^mTù-ra-ri (42) TÀG ^mDINGIR. TUG^{šu} (43) TÀG ^mSi-li-im-[ha-ma-an-na] (44) TÀG ^mUr-hi-til-la (45) TÀG DUB.SAR (46) TÀG mA-ki-ia

L. 32-39, five witnesses, among whom the gate-keeper and the scribe. L. 40-46, seven seals.

^{24.} mārātiya ina bābi ša ašbū refers to the daughters as »living in the gate» either as prostitutes or in order to be sold as wife to the highest bidder (see Gadd, p. 60 and 114; Koschaker, NKRA, p. 79. The former alternative is more probable in this case because the dowry of the daughters is not mentioned.

14. HSS IX 25. Sale of a handmaid.

um-ma ^mPa-e-ma DUMU Sa-riik-[ki]

[†]Ku-uk-ku-ú-ra-aš-še GEME-ia a-na ši-mi ki-ma XX SU KÙ. BABBAR^{MEŠ} ḥa-ša-ḥu-še-en-ni a-na [†]Ša-šu-ri DAM-šu ša

5) ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup it-ta-din-immi

ù fŠa-šu-ri

I $T\acute{U}G$ I^{en} -nu- tu_4 na-ah-la-ap- tu_4 \grave{u}

I TÚG eš-šu I! TÚG na-áš-ku

ki-ma XII SU KÙ.BABBAR 10) IV MA.NA XXX SU UD.KA.BAR XIV MA.NA AN.NA^{MEŠ} II O A(3) IÀ ša ŠAH ki-ma VIII

II QA^(a) IÀ ša ŠÁḤ ki-ma VIII SU KÙ,BABBAR Thus says Pae son of Sarik[ki]

Kukkurasse my handmaid for the price of 20 shekels hasahusennu silver to Sasuri wife of Silwatesup I shall give.

And Sasuri one garment, one mantle,

one new garment, one selected garment

equal (to) 12 shekels of silver, (further) 4 minas 30 shekels of bronze, 14 minas of lead

2 qa swine fat equal (to) 8 shekels of silver,

⁽a) QA or SILA, ŠL II 62: 2.

^{3.} The hašahušennu silver was assumed in the KDFL, p. 25, to mean brothership moneys, i. e. the bridal price, paid to the bride's brother, the father being no longer alive. This theory was based on the seeming evidence provided by the materials of HSS V, where hašahušennu occurs only in relation with the purchase of a bride, and esp. on the observation of the fact that -šennu, which happens to be a component in the word in question, means brothers in Subarean. But in this tablet as well as in several other tablets (G. 54: 11), N 290: 6, 40, 22 there is hardly any connection with bridal price. Cf. N II 120, where the brother of the bride is concerned with the bridal price, no hašahušennu is even mentioned. Another more probable interpretation is that hašahušennu is the name of a kind of silver, of a good quality. Cf. sarpu, another (Akkadian) qualification of silver, spurifieds (Ch. 4: 23; HSS V 62: 11). The average bridal price is 40 SU hašah. in HSS V, the same value as is usual in the slave contracts, see above.

ittadin pro attadin.

ŠU. NIGIN XX SU KÙ. BAB-BAR^{MEŠ} an-nu-ú ḥa-ša-ḥu-šeen-ni

[†]Ša-šu-ri a-na ^mPa-e i-dinⁱⁿ šum-ma [†]Ku-uk-ku-ú-ra-aš-še 15) GEME^{tu}4 pí-ir-qa ir-ta-ši

 ^{m}Pa -e ú-za-ak-k a_{4} -ma a-na t Ša-šu-ri i-na-an-din ma-an-nu $KI.\,BAL^{tu_{4}}$ $II.\,\,GEME^{tu_{4}}\,\,SA_{5}^{la}$

20) tup-pu i-na KÁ.GAL
ti-iš-šá-e i-na ^{URU}[Nu-sí]
ša₇-ţì-ir EME-šu ša
^mPa-e a-na pa-ni LÚ^{MEŠ}
IGI^{MEŠ}
an-nu-ti iq-ta-bi XX SU KÙ.
BABBAR [el]-te-[qì] ap-la-ku-mi

total 20 shekels of this hasahusennu silver

Sasuri gave to Pae.

If (against) Kukkurasse,
the handmaid, there exists a
claim,

Pae shall clear (her) and hand (her) over to Sasuri. Whoever breaks the agreement shall compensate with two handmaids.

The tablet at the gate
Tissae, in the city of Nusi
was written. The declaration of
Pae, before these witnesses

he spoke: 20 shekels of silver I have received and am paid.

(25) IGI Ḥu-pi-ta DUMU Si-ku-ra (26) IGI Ut-ḥap-ta-e DUMU Ar-tù-ra (27) IGI Ut-pu-ia DUMU Še-ḥal-te-šup (28) IGI A-ka₄-a-a DUMU El-[li] (29) IGI Ḥé-ir-ši DUMU Na-ni-[ia] (30) IGI dUTU-ri-sú-ia DUMU Tù-ra-ar-te-šup DUB. SAR

(31) TÀG mUt-ḥap-ta-e (32) TÀG mḤé-ir-ši (33) TÀG mUt-pu-ia (34) TÀG mA-ka₄-a-a (35) TÀG mdUTU-ri-sú-ia DUB.SAR

L. 25—50, six witnesses, including Šamaš-risuya, son of Turartesup, the scribe.

L. 31-35, five seals, including the scribe.

^{23.} See 9: 18.

^{24.} SU is part of a shekel, which as such $(TU \text{ or } \dot{s}i\text{-}iq\text{-}lu)$ does not occur in the Kirkuk tablets. Since SU is the only subdivision of the mina the value shekel is retained in the translation, see KDST, introd. Cf. SU pro TU in the Amarna letters, Knudtzon, Die el-Amarna Tafeln (1915), p. 1522.

 HSS IX 29. A slave appointed as guardian over a legacy.

um-ma fŠa-wa-a-a-ma aš-ša-at ša mA-ka,-wa-til Ien ru-uq-qú ša XXX MA. NA UD. KA. BAR XC MA. NA UD. KA. BAR 5) 3 ni-im-sí-tu, ša VII MA. NA XXX SU UD.KA.BAR i-na ši-it-ri a-na ma-ri-ia a-na mTa-mar-ta-e at-ta-din ma-an-nu-um-me-e i-na lìb-bi DUMUMEŠ-ia 10) i-na aš-šum an-nu-ti UD. $KA \cdot BAR$ i-na EGIR ša ^mTa-mar-ta-e i-šaz-as-si III TÚR UD.KA. BARa-na mTa-mar-ta-e SA₅ an-nu-tum

15) a-na ŠU ^mPa-i-te-šup ^{LÚ}šeel-li-ţá-nu ša ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup Thus says Sawaya
wife of Akawatil;
one cauldron of 30 minas of
bronze,
90 minas of (unworked) bronze,
3 wash basins of 7 minas 30
shekels of bronze
as a gift for my son,
to Tamartae
I have given. Whoever
among my sons
for these bronzes

behind the back of Tamartae disputes, 3 talents of bronze

to Tamartae
he shall pay as compensation.
These
into the hand of Paitosup, the

into the hand of Paitesup, the plenipotentiary of Silwatesup

^{3.} ruqqum, ein Metallgefäss: ru-qi-im, Kraus, Altbabyl. Briefe 86₁₆. [Cf. ZA 31, p. 55.]

^{6.} i-na \check{si} -it-ri. We prefer to read \check{si} as a scribal error for ki. It is probable that the scribe omitted to complete the sign ki, and so we have as a result \check{si} . Thus $ina\ qitr\~i=$ as a gift $(qatr\~u)$, cf. i-na qi-it- $ri\ na$ -ad-nu, HSS V 74: 18, 23.

^{10.} Note the superfluous ina in the expression ina aššum (aššum contracted from $an(a) + \delta um$):

^{15.} &ellitanu, plenipotentiary. Note the termination $-\bar{a}n$ which has a functional significance, (cf. $nadin\bar{a}nu$, N I 15: 20; 22: 25 etc.). This $-\bar{a}n$ is primitive Semitic. As to &ellitanu it has a close parallel in the Arabic &ellitanu and in the Hebrew &ellitanu it has a close parallel in the Arabic &ellitanu and in the Hebrew &ellitanu (Gen. 42: 6, Joseph) is without $-\bar{a}n$. Cf. the defaced &ellitanu (FCL IX 10 = NKRA 28).

na-ad-nu a-na mTa -mar-ta-e i-na-an-din an-nu-tum i-na \acute{E}^{it} na-ak- ka_4 -am-ti GAR^{nu}

[- -] ^fŠá-wa-a-a ú-ka₄-lu

are given, to
Tamartae he shall deliver (them).
These (things) are kept in the
storehouse.

[——] Sawaya shall use (them).

(20) ${}^{TAG}\check{S}ID$ ${}^{t}\check{S}a$ -wa-a-a (21) ${}^{TAG}\check{S}ID$ \acute{U} [—]-ni-(erasure) (22) ${}^{TAG}\check{S}ID$ Pa-i-te- $\check{S}up$ (23) ${}^{TAG}\check{S}ID$ Ni-ra-ri DUMU Ta-a-a DUB.SAR

L. 20-23 seals of Sawaya, Paitesup and of the scribe.

16. HSS IX 34. Appointment of representatives for a slave.

Case: tup-pu A $.\check{S}\check{A}^{ME\check{S}-ti}$ $\check{s}a$ ${}^{URU}A-ka_4-a-a-[\check{s}e]$ Tablet: um-ma ${}^{m}Ha-na-a-a-ma$ ${}^{L\check{U}}\check{I}R$ $\check{s}a$ ${}^{m}P\grave{e}-en-ti$ \grave{u} $\check{s}a$ ${}^{m}A-pu-u\check{s}-ka$ $\check{s}a$ ${}^{KUR}Ha-l\grave{u}$

A.ŠÀ^{MEŠ} ša ^{URU}A-ka-a-aše^{MEŠ}

5) a-na ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGAL

it-ta-ad-nu um-ma ^mḤa-na-a-ama

ù a-na A . ŠÀ^{ME}Š ša-a-šu ^(a)

Tablet of fields of the city of Akaya[se].

Thus says Hanaya

slave of Penti

and of Apuska from the land of Hali:

(Concerning) the fields, which the people of the city of Akayase to Silwatesup son of the king

have given — thus says Hanaya for that field

⁽a) Note the eqlu with the plural sign $ME\mathring{S}$ and the singular form $\mathring{s}\tilde{a}\mathring{s}u$ (l. 20).

^{19.} The defaced portion before the word ${}^f\!Sa\text{-}wa\text{-}a\text{-}a$ might be read with $r\bar{e}\check{s}a$ (Landsberger). Thus $r\bar{e}\check{s}a$ \check{S} . $uk\bar{a}l\bar{u}$ ($\sqrt{k\bar{a}lu}$ II_1), lit. »(these vessels) shall hold the head of »S.», i. e. the vessels may be used by her. The idea is that (though the vessels are theoretically given to the heir) the mother is still entitled to use them as long she lives. For the expression $r\bar{e}\check{s}a$ kullu, see Landsberger, ZDMG, 69 (1915), p. 505, note 2.

^{3.} Hanaya is a slave of two owners; ${}^{KUR}Ha-li$ probably identical with ${}^{KUR}Ha-li-gal-bat$, HSS V 35.

te₄-e-ma a-ša-ak-ka₄-an-mi ù i-na-an-na a-na-ku al-ti-ib 10) ki-ma pu-ḥi-ia mPu-ḥi-še-en-ni DUMU Ša-attù-ia

mA-bi-DINGIR DUMU EN-ŠEŠ-šu

ŠEŠ-šu

mšal-li-ia DUMU Pu-ú-ia

mša-ar-te DUMU A-ki-ia

mA-wi-i-lu DUMU Nu-ri-ia

V LÚMEŠ an-nu-ti

ša URUPa-ḥa-ar-ri-wa

ù a-na ša-ma-al-lu-ti

a-na ŠU mḤa-na-a-a

20) it-ta-din ù A . ŠÀMEŠ

ša-a-šu te4-e-ma

i-ša-ak- ka_4 -nu um-ma m Ši-il-wa-te-šup DUMULUGAL

mi-nu-um-me-e ša É-šu 25) ša ^mḤa-na-a-a GUD^{ME}Š-šú UDU^{ME}Š-šu ka-lu-um-ma-ni-šu-

ša ^mḤa+na-a-a ù a-na ŠU^{ti} V LÚ^{MEŠ} an-nu-ti na-din 30) šum-ma mi-im-mu-šu ša ^mḤa-na-a-a- ša i-hal-li-qú

ma

I give information.

And now I have become old.

As my substitute(s):

Puhisenni son of Sattuya

Abi-ilu son of Bēl-ahišu

Salliya son of Puya
Sarte son of Akiya
Awilu son of Nuriya,
these five men
of the city of Paharri
for assistance
into the hand of Hanaya
he gave. And (about) those fields
information
they shall give.
Thus says Silwatesup son of the
king:
anything belonging to the house of
Hanaya, his oxen,
his sheep, the domestics

of Hanaya,
to the hand of
these five men is entrusted.
If any movable property
belonging to Hanaya that escapes

^{9.} altib pro aštib, √šību, I₂.

^{18.} $\S{amall}\bar{u}$, apprentice, retailer, a Sum. loanword. Probably $\S{AMAN-L}\hat{A}$ is a dialect form of $\S{AGAN-LAL}$, \S{AGAN} meaning a »vessel» and the participle LAL »weighing», contrast Muss-Arnolt, $\S{A-KAN-LAL} = n\bar{a}\S{BKAL}$.

^{29.} nadin rather than attadin.

Contrast Pfeiffer, according to whom the tablet concerns a receipt of a field, the property of Hanaya is put up as security with five men.

i-bá-aš-ši

ù ^{URU}Pa-ha-ar-ra-aš-wa ú-ma-al-la shall be found (in the city of Paharras) the city of Paharras shall pay as compensation.

(35) TÀG ^mŠi-il-wa-te-šup DUMU LUGAL (36) TÀG ^mḤa-na-a-a ÌR ša ^mPè-en-ti (37) ù ša ^mA-pu-uš-ka₄

L. 35-37, seals of Silwatesup, Hanaya and Apuska.

17. HSS IX 94. Record of a lawsuit against slaves.

mNa-hi-ia DUMU Ak-ku-ia
it-ti mTù-ul-tu-uk-kà ù
it-ti mḤa-ni-ú-ia ÌR^{MEŠ}
ša mḤi-iš-mi-te-šup DUMU
LUGAL

5) i-na di-ni a-na pa-ni DI. $KUD^{\text{ME}}\check{s}$

aš-šum GUD hal-qú i-te-lu-ú
um-ma ^mA-kap-še-en-ni-im-ma
DUMU Ḥu-ti-ia a-na-ku . . [. . .
GUD ša ^mNa-hi-ia ^mTù-ul-tuuk-kà

10) ù m Ḥa-ni-ú-ia iš-ri-qú EME-šu ša m Ḥa-ni-ú-ia ù EME-šu ša ${}^{m}Tu$ -ul-tù-uk-ka₄ i-n[a? -- -] . . az a-ni-mi GUD ša ${}^{m}Na$ -ḥi-ia ni-iš-ri-qú-mi 15) tup-pí ta-aḥ-sí-il-ti

Nahiya son of Akkuya with Tultukka and with Haniuya, slaves of Hismitesup son of the king,

in a case before the judges

for a lost ox they appeared. Thus says Akapsenni son of Hutiya: I [have seen that] the ox of Nahiya — Tultukka

and Haniuya stole.

The declaration of Haniuya
and the declaration of Tultukka
[————]: Yes,
the ox of Nahiya we stole.
A memorandum note.

(16) ŠU ^mI-ni-ia DUB.SAR (17) TÀG ^mPa-a-a (18) TÀG ^mÚ-ta-a-a (19) TÀG ^mAr-te-eṣ-ṣe (20) ^TÀGŠID ^mḤa-ma-an-na (21) TÀG ^mAr-ku (22) TÀG ^mḤa-ṣi-pa-a-a Left edge: di-nu DI. KUDMEš a-na ^mḤi-is-mi-te-ṣup

L. 16, signature of the scribe.

L. 17-22, six seals.

Left edge of the tablet: The judgment of the judges on Hismitesup.

18. HSS IX 96. Sale of a son into temporary servitude.

Several lines destroyed [———] na [— [____]MEŠ-ti [_ [---b]i[---] $\hat{I}R^{ti}$ 5) ša ^mHi-iš-mi-te-šup DUMU LUGAL $\dots [---] \dots [--]$ a-na-ku ki-i [----]-ia mŠi-mi-ka-ri DUMU-ia a-na ^mHi-iš-mi-te-šup at-ta-din mi-nu-um-me-e še-ir-ru-šu 10) ša mŠi-mi-ka,-ri ša ú-ul-laù mHi-iš-mi-te-šup 1 sú-ha-ru ù 1 sú-ha-ar-tù ki-i li-iq-ti i-na-as-sà-aq-ma ù i-li!-iq-qì ù ri-hu-tu4 še-ir-ru-šu ša 15) mŠi-mi-ka₄-ri a-na mŠi-mika_ri-ma mu-uš-šu-ru šum-ma mSi-mi-[-KI. BALkat II LÚMEŠ ÌRMEŠ a-na

of Hismitesup, son of the king

[—————] I
as my [———] Simikari my
son
to Hismitesup I have given.
(From among) all the offspring
that is born to Simikari
Hismitesup one boy servant

and one girl servant as *liqtu* shall choose and take.

And the rest of the offspring of Simikari to the same Simikari

is released. If Simi[
breaks the agreement, two slaves to
Hismitesup he shall pay as compensation

 ^{m}Hi -iš-mi-te-šup ú-ma-al-la

^{9.} The offspring of slaves is called $\check{s}erru$, $\check{s}\bar{e}ru$, Ch. 5: 13; 11: 9, 26; 20; 53; H SS V 57: 9, 13; 67: 22; 73: 33.

^{11.} For suḥāru meaning »boy servant» cf. N II 113: 10; 139: 7; N III 312: 1, 11; 317: 10 and suḥartu, girl servant H SS 37: 10, 17; 68: 35; N III 312: 1, 12; G 82: 24, 26, 29.

^{12.} ki-i li-iq-ti, meaning obscure.

^{13.} inassaq u iliqqī, cf. NKRA, p. 38-41.

ù šu-ú ki-i ÌR-ma

20) ù ÌR tup-pu an-ni-tu $_4$ i-na EGIR

šu-du-ti ša É . GAL^{lim} i-na bá-ab

KÁ.GAL ša ^{URU}Nu-sí ša-ţì-ir

or he (himself) in exchange for a slave,

and he a slave (shall be). This tablet after

the proclamation of the palace, at the entrance

of the gate of the city of Nusi was written.

(23) IGI Ut-hap-ta-e DUMU Si-[———] (24) IGI Tu-ra-ri DUMU Ši-[——] (25) IGI A-ki-ia DUMU Na-i-[te-šup] (26) IGI A-mu-ur-ra-bi DUMU Ḥu-[ti-ia] (27) IGI Ni-iḥ-ri-ia DUMU A-kap-[——] (28) IGI I-ru-ia DUMU Si-ka₄-a-a (29) IGI It-ḥi-ip-LUGAL DUMU Ka₄-ru-as-sà (30) IGI Gi-li-ip-til-la DUMU Ša-at-tù-[gi-wi] (31) IGI Pu-ḥi-še-en-ni DUMU Si-gi (32) IGI MAR. TU-ia DUB.SAR DUMU dUTU-MA.AN.SUM

(33) TAG mA -ki-ia (34) TAG mGi -li-ip-til-la (35) TAG mTu -ra-ri (36) TAG mIt -hi-ip-LUGAL (37) TAG $^m[...]$ (38) TAG mNi -ih-ri-ia (39) TAG $^mMAR.TU$ -ia DUB.SAR (40) TAG mI -ru-ia

L. 23-32, nine witnesses and the scribe.

L. 33-40, eight seals.

19. NI50. Document of a daughter sold for marriage to a slave.

tup-pi DUMU. SAL^{MEŠ-ti} ù kálla-tu₄-ti †Ḥa-ta-me-ir-ša DUMU. SAL-sú [ša?] ^mA-ri-iḥ-ḥa DUMU Stna-pu a-[na DUMU]. SAL^{MEŠ-ti} ù kál-

la-tu4-ti

Tablet of daughtership and daughter-in-law-ship of Hatamersa daughter [of] Arihha son of Sinapu.

As [daughter] and daughter-inlaw

^{26.} Cf. dAmurru-abi, HSS IX, 88: 3, IX 119: 20; 120: 6.

^{39.} For $MAR.TU = amurr\bar{u}$ in personal names cf. G 5: 48; 14: 3; HSS IX 88: 3; 119: 20, ia being a nisbe termination.

5) ^m[A-ri]-ih-ha DUMU Si-napu

a-na [^mT]e-hi-ip-til-la DUMU Pu-hi-še-en-ni i-din

ù [mTe]-hi-ip-til-la [†]Ḥa-ta-meir-ša

a-na [aš-šu]-ti a-na ^mI-ri-ša-pa ÌR-šu i-din

šum-ma [mI]-ri-ša-pa i-ma-at ù [a-šar b]a-a- de_4 -e

10) [†]Ḥa-ta-me-i[r]-ša ^mTe-ḥi-iptil-la [i-na]-di-iš-ši

ù EGIR-sú ša [f]Ḥa-ta-me-ir-ša[

ša mTe -hi-ip-ti[l-la]-ma šum-ma ${}^f[H]a$ -ta-[me-ir]-ša

pa-qí-ra-na i-r[a-aš]-ši ^mA-riih-ha

ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma a-[na mT]e-hi-ip-til-la i-[na-a]n-din

15) $\hat{u}^{m}Te-hi-ip-til-[la...-$ SU] $K\hat{U}.BABBAR^{ME\hat{S}}$

a-na ${}^m\!A$ -ri-iḥ-[ha i-din-na-aš-šu šum]-ma ${}^m\!A$ -r[i-ih]-ha KI. $BAL[{}^{at}$ aš-šum] DUMU.SAL-šu i-ša-sí

^mA-ri-iḥ-[ḥa] a-na ^mTe-ḥi-iptil-la [i-na]-an-din [Ari]hha son of Sinapu

gave (her) to Tehiptilla son of Puhisenni.

And Tehiptilla Hatamersa

as [wife] to Irisapa his slave has given.

If Irisapa dies, then to whom he pleases

Tehiptilla shall give Hatamersa.

And after him the (property) of Hatamersa

shall be of Tehiptilla. If (against) Hatamersa

there is a claimant, Arihha

shall clear (her), [to T]ehiptilla shall [gi]ve (her).

And Tehiptilla [— —] of silver

to Arihha [has given. If] Arihha breaks the agreement [(and) for] his daughter disputes,

one mina [of gold] and one mina of silver

Arihha shall pay to Tehiptilla.

(19) IGI Ki-me-la-ta DUMU Sú-ú-mi (20) IGI Ḥu-i-te DUMU Ma-li-ia (21) [IGI] A-ri-ip-pa-ap-ni DUMU Še-pí-ia (22) [IGI

^{9.} Cf. N I 26: 11—13. In case of death a-na ša-ni-im-ma ÌR-šu i-na-din ù a-di-i bal-ṭá-at ù i-na É la ú-[ṣi]. Cf. ašar ḥadū (here ḥadē ana aššūti inandin (HSS V 17: 18 and N I 78: 7).

Ha-na]-ak-ka, DUMU Še-ka,-rù (23) IGI [......] DUMU Sú-ú-mi (24) IGI Hu-lu-uk-[ka,] DUMU Im-pur-tum (25) IGI E-ni-iš-ta-e DUMU Ak-ka,-pa (26) IGI Wu-un-nu-ki-ia DUMU Tarmi-ia (27) IGI Hu-ti-ia DUMU A-ri-ia NANGAR (28) IGI Ur-hi-ia DUMU Ki-li-ia tup-sar-rum (29) IGI [.....]-ta-e (30) TAGŠID mHé-sal-la DUMU Sú-ú-mi (31) TAGŠID mA-ri-ip-

(30) TĀGŠID mHé-sal-la DUMU Sú-ú-mı (31) TĀGSID mA-rı-ıp-pa-ap-ni DUMU Še-pi-ia (32) TĀGŠID [mHu-i-te] DUMU Ma-li-ia (33) TĀGŠID mHa-na-ak-ka₄ DUMU Še-ka₄-rù (34) [TĀGŠID mUr]-hi-ia tup-sar-rum

L. 19-29, eleven witnesses, l. 28, Urhiya son of Kiliya, the scribe.

L. 30-34, five seals, l. 34 of Urhiya, the scribe.

20. NII 111. A handmaid sold to cover a debt (DATIO IN SOLUTUM).

um-ma ^m[— — —]-ri-ma DUMU A-kip-ta-še-en-ni VII ANŠU A.ŠÀ-ia i-na A.GÀR ša [— — —]-wa

5) ^mGi-el-te-šup DUMU Ḥu-ti-ia at-ta-din-mi ù a-na-ku A .ŠÀ^{MEŠ} a-šar ^mGi-el-te-šup ak-ta-la-mi ù DI . KUD^{MEŠ}

a-na XXI ANŠU ŠE^{MEŠ} a-na XXI šá-hi-ir-ru IN.NU 10) a-na ^mGi-el-te-šup it-ta-duun-na-ši Thus says [———]ri
son of Akiptasenni:
seven homers of my field in the
municipal land of [the city
of ————]

for security for 21 [homers of barley and 22 minas] of lead, two sheep <to>

Gieltesup son of Hutiya
I have given and I
the field from Gieltesup
have been keeping. And the
judges

for 21 homers of barley, for 21 sahirru of straw

to Gieltesup (to pay) have sentenced me

^{9.} Cf. G. 37: 8; 59: 8 (šaharratu, »a vessel»).

^{10.} $\sqrt{nad\bar{u}}$, here »to give judgment»; $ittad\bar{u}nn\bar{a}si$ pro $ittad\bar{u}ninni$) perhaps an attempt to combine $ittad\bar{u}$ and $i\bar{a}si$, cf. 2: 26.

ù i-na-an-na [†]Uš-ku-te GEME-ia ki-i XXI ANŠU ŠE^{MEŠ} ki-i XXI šá-hi-ir-ru IN.NU

- ù ki-i XXII MA.NA AN.NA^{MEŠ}
- ù II UDU a-na ^mGi-el-te-šup at-ta-din A . ŠÀ-ia
- 15) e-liq-qì šum-ma †Uš-ku-te GEME-ia!

pí-ir-qa ir-ta-ši a-na-ku ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma ma-an-nu ša KI.BAL^{kat-tu}4

I MA.NA KÙ.BABBAR I MA.NA GUŠKIN 20) ú-ma-al-la And now Uskute, my handmaid in exchange for 21 homers of barley and for 21 sahirru of straw

and for 22 minas of lead

and two sheep to Gieltesup I gave, my field

I (shall) take. If (against) Uskute my handmaid

there exists a claim

I shall clear (her).

The one who breaks the agreement

one mina of silver, one mina of gold

he shall give as compensation.

- (21) IGI mary-mi-te-šup DUMU Wa-qar-EN (22) IGI marup-ki-til-la DUMU Uḥ-li-te-šup (23) IGI mar-kap-tuk-ki ama-ṣar> KÁ. GAL (24) IGI mu-a-te DUMU Pì-ri-at-ti (25) IGI mari-is-te-[e] DUMU Ta-in-si (26) IGI mar-hi-ip-LUGAL DUMU Si-li-ip-kur-na (27) IGI marup-ki-til-la tup-sar-rum
- (28) $TAG \ ^mKi$ -eš-te-e $[TAG \ ^m]Tup$ -ki-til-la (29) $TAG \ ^m\langle Tar \rangle$ -mi-te-šup $TAG \ ^mA$ -kap-tuk-[ki] (30) $TAG \ ^m\acute{U}$ -a-te.
 - L. 21-27, six witnesses and the scribe.
 - L. 28-30, three seals.

^{20.} D (= the debtor) promised (*gave*) a part of his field to C (= Gieltesup, the creditor) as security for a loan (i. e. in case D can not pay back the loan at the end of the period, then C is entitled to take the field). Thus D continued to keep his field until the fixed time for payment came. When now D could neither pay nor give the field C went to court. The judges sentenced D to pay the loan with a fine. D being unable to pay gave his handmaid to cover the obligation. Cf. Koschaker, (RORH, p. 84): For the understanding of this procedure one must assume apparently that the creditor leases the field, which had been entrusted to him for titennutu, to the debtor — line 6 f. must refer to this — and the latter had not fulfilled his lease obligation.

21. NII113. A document concerning the right of pledging children.

um-ma ^mE-na-ma-ti-ma ^fPu-ku-li DUMU. SAL-^{ti} ^mḤulu-uk-ka a-na mHu-lu-uk-ka4 a-bi-šá ù a-na †Pu-hu-ia AMA-šá um-teeš-še-ir 5) ù ^mḤa-ši-ip-til-la DUMU-šu ša ^mḤu-lu-uk-ka₄ a-na ^mḤu-luuk-ka ù a-na †Pu-hu-ia um-te-eš-še-ir $\hat{u}^{m}Hu$ -lu-uk-k a_{4} $\hat{u}^{f}Pu$ -hu-ia ki-ma pu-hi-i "Ha-ši-ip-til-la 10) I sú-ha-ru ša II [MA.] NA $[GU\check{S}KIN]$ — MA.NA $[K\dot{U}.BABBAR]$ [---] ú-ba-ni [--] ^mE-nai-na-an-din-nu-ma ù šu-u[r]-ruum-[ma]mHa-ši-ip (a)-til-la DUMU-šu-nu i-liq(b)-qu-u ù iš-tu UDmi an-ni-i 15) "Hu-lu-uk-ka4 ù 'Pu-hu-ia i-na EGIR ^mE-na-ma-ti aš-šum mHa -na-tum

Thus says Enamati: Pukuli, daughter of Hulukka

to her father Hulukka and to her mother Puhuya I (herewith) released (her). And Hasiptilla son of Hulukka to Hulukka

and to Puhuya I released.

In exchange for Hasiptilla
one boy-servant of (i.e. worth)
two [minas] of gold [——]
minas of silver
and of [——] finger measures
to Enamati
they shall give and immediately

they shall take (back) their son
Hasiptilla.
And from this day
Hulukka and Puhuya
behind the back of Enamati for
Hanatu
for Satumenni
and for Yarelli, for Pukuli shall
not dispute.

aš-šum fŠa-tù-mi-en-ni

ù aš-šum †Ya-ri-el-li aš-šum

†Pu-ku-li la i-šá-as-sú-ú

⁽a) ur. — (b) ip.

^{2.} Here is presupposed a form $m\bar{a}rti$, instead of the usual st. cstr. $m\bar{a}rat$. 12. $\check{s}urru + umma$, note the \check{s} pro s (surru = a moment), perhaps due to Assyrian influence.

šum-ma mHa-na-tum šum-ma

†Ša-tù-mi-ni

20) ù šum-ma †Ya-ri-el-li šumma †Pu-ku-li
pt-ir-qa ir-ta-šu-ú
mHu-lu-uk-ka4 ù †Pu-hu-ia
ú-za-ak-ku-ma a-na mE-na-ma-ti
i-na-an-din-nu ù mHu-lu-uk-ka4

25) ù [†]Pu-ḥu-ia ^mḤa-na-tum
DUMU-šu-nu [DAM-sú] iš-tu
BIT-šu-nu-ma
ú-ša-ḥa-zu-uš ša i-na

bi-ri-šu-nu III LÚ^{MEŠ} an-nu-ti KI. BAL^{MEŠ-tu}4 V MA. NA KÙ. BABBAR 30) V MA. NA GUŠKIN ú-maal-lu

If against Hanatu, if against Satumenni and if against Yarelli and Pukuli there is a claim, Hulukka and Puhuya shall clear (them), to Enamati shall hand (them) over and Hulukka and Puhuya to Hanatu their son [(his) wife] at their own expense shall cause him to take. Whoever among these three men breaks the agreement, five minas of silver five minas of gold shall pay as compensation.

(31) IGI An-ni-šu Lúa-bu-ul-ta-nu (32) IGI Ḥa-na-ak-ka₄ DUMU Še-ka₄-rù (33) IGI Tar-mi-te-šup DUMU Sí-gi (34) IGI Pá-i-it-ta DUMU ÌR-dU + DAR (35) IGI Ka₄-ar-sí-ia DUMU Un-nu-ka₄-a-a (36) IGI Ar-ši-mi-ka₄ DUMU Ú-nap-še (37) IGI DUMU-U+DAR DUMU A-ta-a-a (38) IGI dUTU-da-am-mi-iq (39) DUMU It-ha-pí-hi tup-sar-rum (40) tup-pu an-nu-ú i-na EGIR šu-du-ti [i-na] KÁ .GAL ša-ti-ir

(42) TÀGŠID tup-sar-ri TÀG mḤa-na-ak-ka4 (43) TÀG mDUMU-U+DAR TÀG mŠi-il-wa-te-šup (44) TÀG mTar-mi-te-šup TÀG mE-na-ma-ti (45) TÀG mAn-ni-šu

L. 31—38, eight witnesses, l. 31, Annisu, the gate-keeper, l. 39 the scribe.

L. 40 This tablet was written after the proclamation at the gate.

L. 42—45, seven seals.

^{26.} ištu etc., lit. »from their house». See under Marriage of slaves.

^{32.} Še-ka₄-ru, N II 118: 25.

^{36.} Unapše, an abbreviation for Unapšenni, senni = brother in Subarean.

22. NII 115. Sale of a slave.

EME-šu-nu ša mT ù-ra-ar-[te]šup

ù ša mWu -ur-te-šup $DUMU^{ME}$ š ${}^m[A]$ -kip-ta-še-en-ni

a-na pa-ni $L\acute{U}^{ME\check{S}}$ $\check{s}i$ -bu-ti annu-ti

ki-am iq-ta-bu XXX SU $K\dot{U}$. $BABBAR^{ME\dot{S}}$

5) a-na ši-im I ÎR ^mMu-ša-lien-ni ÎR-ni

a-šar ^mTar-mi-til-la DUMU Sur-ki-til-la

ni-il-te-qì-mi ù ni-i-nu

mMu-ša-li-en-ni ÌR-ni

a-na [$\dot{I}R$] ti a-na mTar -mi-til-la

10) ni-it-ta-din-mi šum-ma ^mMuša-li-en-ni

 $p\acute{a}$ -q \acute{a} -ra-na $TUG^{\acute{s}\acute{i}}$ nu-za-ak- ka_4 -ma

a-na ^mTar-mi-til-la ni-na-an-dinmi

šum-ma ni-i-nu ni-ib-bá-la-ka₄-at ù ^mMu-ša-li-en-ni

15) a-šar ^mTar-mi-til-la ni-il-^(a) qì-ma

ù II Ì $R^{ME\check{S}}$ ša ^{KUR}Nu -ul-lu-a-i-ú-tum SIG_5 -tum

ana ^mTar-mi-til-la nu-ma-al-lami The declaration of Turartesup

and of Wurtesup sons of Akiptasenni.

In presence of these witnesses

they spoke as follows: thirty shekels of silver

for the sale of one slave, Musalenni our slave,

from Tarmitilla son of Surkitilla

we received and (as a result) our slave Musalenni to Tarmitilla into slavery we give. If Musalenni

has a claimant we will clear him free,

to Tarmitilla we will give (him).

If we break the agreement and Musalenni from Tarmitilla we take (back),

(then) two healthy slaves from the Nulluites

to Tarmitilla we shall pay as compensation.

⁽a) Written li.

^{22.} E-en-šuk-ru. Note that EN as the first component in several personal names is not to be read $B\bar{e}l$. Contrast G 53: 16 according to which the same name is rendered Bel-muk-rum. The reading of šuk instead of muk is established by ${}^m\check{S}u$ -uk-ri-ia (N III 303: 3) — ${}^m\check{S}uk$ -ri-ia (l. 9, 11, 13, 15 etc). As to ru cf. N II 118: 25.

- (18) IGI A-ka₄-wa-til DUMU It-ḥi-til-la (19) IGI Ḥa-na-ka₄ DUMU Ša-tù-ni (20) IGI Na-i-še-ri DUMU A-ru-ma-ri (21) IGI A-ba-DINGIR IGI Ta-i-til-la (22) DUMU^{MEŠ} ^mE-en-šuk-rù (23) IGI Ši-ma-an-ni DUB. SAR (24) DUMU ^dAG-DINGIR. RA (25) tup-pu ina ^{URU}Nu-sí šá-tì-⟨ir⟩
- (26) TÀG ^mA-ba-DINGIR TÀG Wu-ur-te-šup (27) TÀG ^mNa-iše-ri TÀG ^mTù-ra-ar-te-šup (28) TÀG ^mTa-i-til-la TÀG ^mḤa-na-ka₄
 - L. 18-24, five witnesses and the scribe.
 - L. 25 The tablet was written in the city of Nusi.
 - L. 26-28, six seals.
- 23. N II 118. A sold slave restored to the first owner.

um-ma mTe-hi-ip-til-la-ma
DUMU Ḥa-ni-ú-ia mi-nu-umme-e
KÙ.BABBAR^{MEŠ} GUŠKIN
†Pu-ur-hu-un-ni
ša a-na mEn-na-ma-ti
5) DUMU Te-hi-ip-til-la
ad-di-nu ù aš-šum-mi-šu-nu
i-na EGIR^{hi} mEn-na-ma-ti
la a-ša-as-si
šum-ma mi-im-ma šu-um-šu
10) ša a-na mEn-na-ma-ti
ad-dì-nu la a-ša-si
ù a-na-ku [—] VI ANŠU ŠE

ši-ma ša KÙ.BABBAR ša GUŠKIN Thus says Tehiptilla, son of Haniuya: All

Purhunni
which to Ennamati
son of Tehiptilla
I have given, for these
behind the back of Ennamati
I shall not dispute.
Verily (over) anything,
which to Ennamati
I have given, I shall not dispute.
And I (shall also not dispute
over the) [——] 6 homers of
barley
(instead of) the price in silver
(and) in gold

^{12.} Meanwhile Purhunni, the handmaid, must have been given back. Her price paid in silver and gold shall be returned in naturalia.

ša mPur-hu-un-ni
15) ša mi-im-ma šu-um-šu šá
a-šar mEn-na-ma-ti
el-te-qí ma-an-nu
ša i-na be-ri-šu-nu
it-ta-bal-ka-tu₄
20) III [MA.NA KÙ.]BAB-BAR III MA.[NA] GUŠKIN
[ú-ma]-al-la

of Purhunni, (and)
over anything, which
from Ennamati
I have received. The one who
among them
breaks the agreement
3 [minas of sil]ver, 3 mi[nas] of
gold
shall pay as compensation.

- (22) IGI Šuk-ri-ia DUMU Wa! (a)-qar-EN (23) IGI Ḥu-ti-ia DUMU Ku-uš-ši-i (24) IGI Ki-ir-ka₄ DUMU Gi-ni-ia (25) IGI Ur-ḥi-ia DUMU Še-ka₄-ru (26) IGI E-na-pi DUMU Ar-wi-ia (27) IGI Mu-uš-te-šup DUMU Šu-mu-li-ib (b)-ši (28) IGI En-na-ma-ti DUMU A-ri-ḥa (29) IGI Ki-in-ni-ia DUB. SAR
- (30) TÀG mŠuk-ri-ia TÀG mKi-ir-ka₄ (31) TÀG mUr-hi-ia TÀG mE-na-pu (32) TÀG mMu-uš-te-šup. Left edge: tup-pu an-ni-tu₄ ina URUÚ-lam-me

L. 22-29, seven witnesses and the scribe.

L. 30-32, five seals.

Left edge: This tablet in the city of Ulamme (was written).

24. N II 119. A handmaid given to meet a debt (DATIO IN SOLUTUM).

li-ša-an-šu ša m Šu-um-ka $_4$ -ti-tum DUMU A-kam-na-ni i-na pa-ni $L\acute{U}$ ši-bu-ti an-nu-ti ki-a-am iq-ta-bi 5) 36 en- zu^{ME} ša mHu -ti-ia DUMU Ku-uš-ši-ia

The declaration of Sumkatitu son of Akamnani,

in presence of these witnesses he spoke as follows: 36 goats to Hutiya son of Kussiya

⁽a) The w and m sounds are not infrequently interchanged.

⁽b) Written ur.

^{14.} Contrast 1. 3, according to which Purhunni is a woman.

hu-um-[ba]-la-ku-mi
ù i-na-an-na-ma

†Ta-a-pu-ú-ri-tum GEME^{MEŠ}
10) ki-ma 36 en-zu
a-na ^mḤu-ti-ia i-din
šum-ma [†]Ta-a-pu-ú-ri-tum
pí-ir-qa ir-ta-ši
ù ^mŠu-um-ka₄-ti-tum
15) ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma
a-na ^mḤu-ti-a
ina-an-dì-in
ša i-bá-la-qa-tu₄ ina bi-ri-šu-nu

V GEME ú-ma-al-la

I am indebted and now my handmaid Tapuritu in exchange of the 36 goats to Hutiya I have given. If (against) Tapuritu there is a claim then Sumkatitu shall clear her to Hutiya shall give (her). (The one) who breaks the agreement between them shall pay five handmaids as compensation.

- (20) IGI mBe-lum-ni-ra-ri DUMU Ni-ki-a (21) IGI mIp!-šá-a-a DUMU El-ḥi-ip!-til-la (22) IGI m[Še]-kàr-til-la DUMU Ki-pi-ia (23) IGI mḤu-[up-til]-la DUMU Še-ir-ši-a (24) IGI mŠe-ri-šá-ri DUMU Ki-i-ri-[ip]-til-la (25) IGI mll-la-mi-ni-[pu]-uš DUMU Ma-la-ḥi-[ma- . . .] (26) IGI mḤu-[tar]-ma-ti DUMU dUTU-DINGIR AŠ.KUR (27) IGI mTa-a-e
- (28) TÀG mŠe-ri-šá-ri TÀG mḤu-i-til-la (29) TÀG mIp-šá-a-a TÀG ⟨Ta⟩-a-e (30) TÀG m[Il-la]-mi-ni-pu-uš
 - L. 20-27, eight witnesses.
 - L. 28-30, five seals.

^{7.} humbalakumi, dissimilation (pro hubbulakumi N II 192: 3). This form is rare in the Kirkuk tablets. Cf. hubullu, very frequent; in some cases hubultu (HSS V 58: 12; N II 147: 8).

^{25.} Tallqvist has drawn my attention to $illamm\bar{e} = *twins (youths)*,$ thus this personal name = *We have produced twins (two youths)*. Thus $illamm\bar{e}$ is probably a dual (cf. \sqrt{r} כלם). See Tallqvist, Maqlû, p. 96 and other provenances given in KAT^3 (1903) p. 363 and KB VI (2) p. 95, n. 8. [dEl - lami - e = Sin K 4559, 3 (CT 25, 42).]

25. NII 120. A mother provides a wife for a slave of her son.

Thus says Hasiya

um-ma ^mHa-ši-ia-ma DUMU A-ri-gi-mar um-ma mEn -na-pa-li DUMUfdU + DAR - AMAum-ma f dU + DAR-AMA-maDUMU.SAL Sí-li-ia 5) ^fA-ka₄-pí-el-li DUMU . SAL dU + DAR - AMAa-na aš-šu-ti a-na mDUMU- $^dU + DAR$ ÌR ša ^mTa-ak-ku DUMU Enna-ma-ti it-ta-dì-šu-nu-ti ù fÜ-us-na DAMat 10) ša ^mEn-na-ma-ti III ANŠU $\check{S}E^{MES}$ ki-ma X UDUMEŠ a-na mHaši-ia a-na ^mEn-na-pa-li ù a-na $fdU + DAR - AMA SUM^{nu}$ ù $\langle \hat{u} \rangle r[i-i]h-tu_4 f \hat{U}-u[s-na]$ 15) i-na-an-[di]n šum-ma fA -ka₄p[i-el-li]pa-qí-ra-na TUGši m Ha-ši-ia m En-na-pa- $l[i \ \hat{u}]$ $\int dU + DAR - AMA$ ú-za-ak-ka₄m[a]a-na $^{m}DUMU$ - $^{d}U+DAR$ i-naan-d[in-nu]20) um-ma †Ú-us-na-ma

son of Arikimar, thus Ennapali son of Ištarummi, thus says Ištar-ummi, daughter of Siliya: Akapielli daughter of Ištarummi, as wife to Mār-Ištar slave of Takku son of Ennamati we have given and Usna, wife of Ennamati, three homers of barley for the ten sheep to Hasiya, to Ennapali and to Ištar-ummi has given and the rest Usna shall give, If against Akap[ielli] there is a claimant, Hasiya, Ennapali and Ištar-ummi shall free (her),

to Mār-Ištar they shall gi[ve

(her)].

Thus (says) Usna:

^{14.} $r[i-i]h-tu_4$, cf. kasap-šú ri-ih-tù (HSS 80: 12), also HSS 21: 9; 68: 15; 71: 12, 38; 80: 12; HSS IX 96: 14.

DUMU. SAL-sú ša mDUMU - ^dU+DAR .. [—

 $pu^{(a)}$ -hi-za-ar-ru i-li-qì [— $\check{s}a$ -a- $\check{s}u$ um-te- $e\check{s}$ -ir-mi mi-nu-um-me-e $DUMU^{ME}\check{s}$ \grave{u} DUMU, $SAL^{ME}\check{s}$

25) ša †A-ka₄-pí-el-li ša ina lìb-bi

 $\hat{I}R^{ME\check{S}}$ \hat{u} $GEME^{ME\check{S}}$ a-na mTa -ak-[ku]

ma-an-nu-um-me-e ina be-ri-šu-nu $KI.BAL^{ka$ - tu_4} I MA.NA $K\dot{U}$. BABBAR I [MA.NA $GU\check{S}KIN]$

 SA_5 tup-pu ina EGIR [šu]-du-ti

30) i-na ^{URU}Nu-sí a-na p[a]-ni KÁ . GAL ša Ti-iš-šá ša₇-ti-ir the daughter of $M\bar{a}r$ -Ištar

in exchange I have taken [—himself I have released.

All the sons and daughters

of Akapielli, which (shall be) in the category

of slaves and handmaids, to Tak[ku (shall belong)].

Whoever among them breaks the agreement, one mina of silver, one mina of gold

shall pay as compensation. The tablet, after the proclamation in the city of Nusi, before the gate of Tissa, was written.

- (32) IGI Pal-te-e-a DUMU A-li-ip-[.... (33) IGI It-ḥa-a-pu DUMU Nu-us-sà (34) IGI Ma-li-ik-na-kúr DUMU A-bu-DU(G).
 [GA] (35) IGI Ut-túl-ḥa-a-a DUMU Uḥ-li-te-šup (36) IGI Ḥu-ti-ia DUMU An-ni-šu (37) [IGI...]-li-ia DUMU Ḥa-na-ak-ka4 (38) [IGI...]-til DUMU Mu-uš-te-šup (39) [IGI....]-te-šup tup-sar-rum
- (40) TÀG mḤu-ti-ia TÀG mMa-li-ia (41) TÀG mMa-li-ik-nakúr-ru DAM.QAR (42) TÀG mIt-ḥa-a-pu TÀG mPal-te-e-a (43) TÀG mA-ka₄-wa-til TÀG mUt-túl-ḥa-a-a

L. 32—39, seven witnesses, among whom Malik-nakur son of Abu-ṭāb
—] [— — —] tesup, the scribe. Seals of the seven witnesses.

L. 40-43, among whom Malik-nakurru, the merchant.

⁽a) Written GAL.

^{22.} See above 8: 6 and Koschaker, OLZ XXXV (1932) p. 404.

^{30.} a-na p[a]-ni (also ina pa-ni (N III 317) a rare form instead of the usual i-na $b\acute{a}$ -ab (HSS 60: 22 etc.).

^{25.} Cf. minummē šerrašu ša uštu libbi ša B ša ussū ana A lū amātu ū lū ardū (Ch. 5: 13—16) and minummē mārū ša ištu, etc. G. 12: 12.

26. NII 140. Declaration of a slave, who transfers a field on the basis of an agreement.

[EME-šu ša m.]

ÌR ša m[.]-tu- [.]

a-na pa-ni ši-bu-ti

an-nu-ti ki-na-an-na

5) iq-ta-bi aš-šum I ANŠU

A.ŠÀ

ša AN.ZA.QAR šu-ma-aš-šawa-al-li

i-na šu-ta-na-nu ša AN.ZA.

QAR ša A-we-lu

i-na GAB^{ME}Š ti-la-li

it-ti mWa-an-ti-iš-še

10) DUMU Tù-ra-ri

at-ta-am-gàr ù A.ŠÀ ša-a-šu

a-na ^mWa-an-ti-iš-[še] un-te-eš-ši-ir ma-an-nu ša i-na 15) be-ri-šu-nu [KI.BAL^{hat}]

[—] MA.NA KÙ.[BABBAR — MA.NA GUŠKIN] ú-ma-al-[la] [Declaration of m ——] slave of [———] in presence of these witnesses in the following way he spoke: For the one homer of field of the estate sumassawalli, (situated)

South of the estate of Awelu,

opposite the tilali
with Wantisse
son of Turari
I have come to an agreement
and that field
to Wantisse
I have released.
The one who

among them [breaks the agreement]

[×] minas of silver [× minas of gold]he shall pay as compensation.

6. Note dimtu (id. AN.ZA.QAR) originally »a pillar, post», then »a boundary-mark» and at last a district bounded by the boundary-marks, G_{ADD} , p. 84. Cf. a parallel case in Hebrew, where $g^{\partial}b\bar{u}l$ originally means a boundary, then a district, $S_{AARISALO}$, The Boundary betw. Issachar and Naphtali, p. 131—132.

^{7.} a-we-lu is employed as a personal name, A-wi-i-lu mār Nu-ri-ia, HSS IX 34: 15.

^{8.} ti-la-li, an unknown word, probably a Subarean topographical term, as also the šu-ma-aš-ša-wa-al-li above.

(18) IGI A-ri-il-lu DUMU Ḥa-li-[———] (19) IGI Kà-ti-ri DUMU Ḥa-si-ia (20) IGI Ḥa-na-ak-ka DUMU [———] (21) IGI E-gi-gi DUMU Sà-nu-nu (22) IGI Aḥ-ḥi-ia DUMU E-ra-ti (23) IGI Ḥu-ti-ia DUB.SAR (24) DUMU dUTU-MA.AN.SUM

(25) ${}^{T}\lambda G \check{S}ID {}^{m}\check{H}u\text{-}ti\text{-}ia [DUB.SAR] (26) {}^{T}\lambda G \check{S}ID {}^{m}E\text{-}gi\text{-}gi (27)$

TÀGŠID mKa-ti-ri TÀG [...

L. 18-24, five witnesses and the scribe.

L. 25-27, three seals.

27. N II 163. A declaration concerning a dispute over the inheriting of a slave.

um-ma ^mEn-na-ma-ti-ma I ^{LÚ}ÌR ^mSí-ku-ia il-qí

šum-ma LÚÌR ša †S4-li-im-na-a-a

ù ⟨mSi!-ku-ú-ia⟩
5) LÚÌR šu-ú mSi-ku-ú-ia
a-šar †Si-li-im-na-a-a
ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma [ù i]-li-qí ù
šum-ma
LÚÌR ša um-mi-ia [DUMU.
SAL] Ḥi-in-sú-ri
im-ma-ti-me-e a-na-ku
10) a-šar mSi-ku-ia i-ri-šu-uš

Thus says Ennamati: Sikuya borrowed (formerly) a slave.

If the slave belongs to Silimnaya

then
Sikuya that slave
in respect of Silimnaya
shall clear and take. And if

the slave belongs to my mother, daughter of Hinsuri, then at any time I may demand him from Sikuya,

^{1.} All the document is a declaration of Ennamati ($ilq\bar{\iota}$ of 1. 14 repeats the $ilq\bar{\iota}$ of the second line). Here it is a matter of dispute between two women concerning a slave to be inherited, Ennamati being the son of one of the women. According to the declaration of E. he agrees that the slave can remain with its present master. If we take the text as it stands, then Silimnaya and Sikuya must have been a married couple, but there are two difficulties: 1) the wife is mentioned before the husband, 2) the husband frees the slave from his wife, i.e. they have properties apart.

^{8.} Hi-in-sú-ri, female name in HSS IX 145: 5.

ù ^mSí-ku-ia a-na ^mEn-na-ma-ti i-na-din

ù ^{LÚ}ÌR a-na pa-ni LÚ^[MEŠ] ši-bu-ti il-qí then Sikuya to
Ennamati (myself) shall deliver
(him).

And the slave in presence of the witnesses he borrowed.

- (15) IGI [———]-ia DUMU Te-ḥi-ia (16) IGI ŠU-dIM DUMU Sú-me (17) IGI A-ḥu-um-mi-ša DUMU Ti-iš-ši-mi-ka₄ (18) IGI Ip-ša-ḥa-lu DUMU Ku-sà-ri-ia (19) IGI Tar-mi-ia DUMU Palte-ia (20) IGI Te-ḥi-še-en-ni DUMU Šu-ru-ia (21) IGI Pal-te-ia DUMU E-te-ia
- (22) TÀG ^mTe-hi-še-en-ni (23) TÀG ^mMu-uš-te-ia (24) TÀG ^mPal-te-ia (25) TÀG ^mA-hu-um-mi-ša (26) TÀG ^mEn-na-ma-ti (27) TÀG ^m[———]

L. 15-21, seven witnesses.

L. 22-27, six seals.

um-ma ^mAš-tar-te-šup

28. N II 179. Sale of a handmaid taken as a booty.

DUMU I-ri-ri-til-[la]

†Ĥa-lu-ia ša K[UR]Ar-ra-ap-he
iš-tu

KURKu-kap-šu-hé-na a-na
5) ú-a-an-ta a-na GIŠGIGIR-ia
el-te-qì ù a-na
ši-mi a-na mḤu-tar-ra-ap-he
DUMU Ti-šá-am-mu-uš-ni
at-ta-din ù a-na-ku
II GUDMEŠ SIG5qú-tù I ANŠU.
NITA SIG5qû

Thus says Astartesup,
son of Iriritil[la]:
Haluya of the [land of] Arraphe
from
the land of Kukapsuhena
as a booty on my chariot I have
taken and for
a price to Hutarraphe
son of Tisammusni
I have sold, and I
two sound oxen, one sound ass

⁽a) $\check{S}U^{-d}IM = Q\bar{a}t^{-d}Adad$ or $Gimil^{-d}Adad$, (HSS V 11: 31 = KDFL 31: 31). 5. \acute{u} -a-an-ta = v vielleicht als Beutev, Koschaker, OLZ, XXXV (1932) p. 404. $[\acute{u}$ -a-il-ta = \acute{u} -il-ta?

10) X UDU^{MEŠ} ŠU.NIGIN
XL SU KÙ.BABBAR ši-mi
ša †Ḥa-lu-ia a-šar
^mḤu-tar-ra-ap-h[é]
el-te-qì-mi [—
qa-an-na [—
15) a-na pa-ni [ši-bu-ti]
im ta š[ar]
šum-ma †Ḥa-lu-[ia]
pí-ir-qa ir-ta-[ši]
ù mAš-tar-te-šup
20) ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma a-na ^mḤut[ar-ra-ap-ḥé]

i-na-an-din ma-an-nu-um-me-e

i-na be-ri-šu-nu KI . BALkat

II SAL^{MEŠ} ú-ma-al-la

ten sheep, total fourty shekels of silver, (as) the price of Haluya from Hutarraphe I received, with [his] hem before [the witnesses] he made the impression. If (against) Haluya there exists a claim, Astartesup shall clear (her) and to Hutarraphe he shall give (her). Whoever among them breaks the agreement shall compensate with two women.

(24) TÀG mAš-tar-te-šup TÀG mTar-mi-te-šup DUB . SAR (25) TÀG mE-ri-ma DUMU Un-ku-pí-sí (26) TÀG mḤa-i-ra-an-nu DUMU Ú-na-a-a (27) TÀG mŠu-ur-te-e-a DUMU Ta-i (28) TÀG mŠá-ta-am-mu-uš-ni DUMU Ú-na-a-a (29) TÀG mŠá-ar-te-šup DUMU E-ḥi-el-te-šup

L. 24—29, six seals.

29. NII 192. A slave given as part payment of a debt consisting of slaves.

um-ma mAm -ka $_4$ DUMU Am-pí-sí-na a-na ${}^f[U]$ s-na [----] II $L\acute{U}$ hu-ub-bu-la!-ku-mi i i-na-[a]n-na I $L\acute{U}$ ša ${}^{KUR}Nu$ -ul-lu-i

Thus (says) Amka son of Ampisina:

to Usna

[— —] two men I am indebted

and now one man of the Nullu land

5) a-na ${}^{\dagger}Us$ -[na] a-n[a] a[r-?]du-šu at-ta-din šum-ma LÚ ša-a-šu pí-ir-qa TIIGsi mAm - ka_4 ú-za- ka_4 -maa-na [†]Us-na i-na-an-din \hat{u} ša-na [LÚ] ${}^{m}Am$ -ka₄ 10) a-na †Us-na ki-ma ša-ni-i LÚ a-na ti-te-en-nu-ti a-na fUs-na it-ta-din ù mAm-ka, I LÚ SIG5qá a-na fUs-na i-na-an-din-ma 15) ù LÚ-šu i-liq-qì ù šum-ma LÚ ti-te-en-nu ša-a-šu i-ma-at ù i-hal-liq ù in-na-am-bi-it a-na ^{m}Am - ka_{4} -ma20) mi-it ha-li-iq ù it-ta-bi-it

to Usna as her slave I have given.

If (against) that man there is a claim

Amka shall clear him, and give (him) to Usna.
And another man Amka to Usna for the second man for a security to Usna has given.
And Amka one sound man shall give to Usna and take back his man.
And if that man of security should die or get lost or flee away, at (the expense of) Amka he dies, gets lost or flees away.

- (21) IGI Te-hu-up-pí DUMU Še-ir-ši-ia (22) IGI Sí-ir-ra-mi-ni DUMU I-ri-šu (23) IGI Sí-li-pa-pu DUMU Sur-ku-ma-ri (24) IGI Ku-ú-pá-a-ti DUMU Ú-na-a-a (25) IGI E-ni-iš-ta-e DUMU An-ni-šu (26) IGI Sí-gi DUMU E-na-ma-ti (27) IGI dUTU-da-am-mi-iq DUMU It-ha-pí-hi tup-sar-rum (28) IGI An-ni-šu DUMU Ha-ma-[an-n]a LÚ-a-bu-ul-ta-nu
- (29) TAG $^mAn-ni-\check{s}u$ $^{L\acute{U}}a-bu-ul-ta-[a]-nu$ (30) TAG $^mKu-s\acute{u}-ti$ TAG $^mE-ni-i\check{s}-ta-e$ (31) TAG $^mS\acute{t}-ir-ra-mi-ni$ TAG $^mAm-ka_4$ (32) TAG $^mPu-hu-up-p\acute{t}$ (33) TAG $^mS\acute{t}-li-pa-a-pu$

L. 21—28, eight witnesses, among whom Šamaš-dāmiq, son of Ithapihi, the scribe (l. 27) and Annisu son of Hamanna, the gardener (l. 28).

L. 29-33, seven seals.

^{5.} Note ardušu written without an ideogram.

^{18.} innambit and ittabit (1. 20) √abātu.

^{20.} Note the two forms of permansivum followed with one of punctualis (ittabit), i.e. the fugitive slave is not absolutely, permanently lost.

30. N II 195. A lawsuit concerning the price of a slave.

 m Ip-šá-ha-lu [— — —] šá 5) iš- tu_4 ^{KUR}Nu -ul-lu-[a-i]a-na ši-mi il-qì ù a-na ia-ši il-te-qà-aš-šum-ma ù ig-ta-bi a-na šu-ši KÙ.BABBAR^{ME}Š 10) li-gì-šu-mi um-ma a-na-ku-ma LUGAL ul-te-dì-mi ù iq-ta-bi-mi. šum-ma LÚ ša ^{KUR}Ar-ra-ap-he</sup> 15) a-na ši-mi iš-tu, KURNu-ullu-a-iDAM . QAR a-na ši-mi il-te-qi-šu-ma ù i-na KURAr-ra-ap-he il-te-gà-aš-šu 20) ù XXX SU KÙ.BAB-BARMES li-il-qì ит-та ўи-и-та a-na šu-ši KÙ. BABBAR [a-na-an]-din-mitup-pí t[a]h-sí-il-ti

Ipsahalu [————] whom from the land of the Nulluites he purchased, (indeed) for me he purchased him and said:

»For sixty (shekels of) silver take him!»

Thus I said:
The king has proclaimed and spoken:
If a man of the land of Arrapha from the land of the Nulluites

(through) a merchant
has purchased him (the slave)
and to the land of Arrapha
has brought him,
then thirty shekels of silver he
(the merchant) should receive.
Thus he says:
»For sixty (shekels of) silver
I give (him).»
Memorandum note.

^{4.} Three initial lines destroyed. This tablet is one example of the documents, marked at the end tuppi tahsisti (with the confusion of s with tahsilti) at tablet pro memoria. Because of the fact that these memorandum notes give the speeches of the parties and of the judges like stenographic reports, these tablets are especially vivid. [See KOSCHAKER, OLZ 1931, p. 225.]

^{12.} ultēdī, cf. šūdūtu, »proclamation» (NKRA, p. 77 f. and Koschaker, OLZ, XXXV (1932) р. 404.

^{20.} RSGH, p. 85 f.

31. NIII 280. Exchange of slaves.

[tup-pí] šu-pè-ul-ti ša mḤi-iš-me-ia DUMU It-ḥiiš-ta

ù ša ^mEn-na-ma-ti DUMU [^mTehi-ip-til-la]

i-na be-ri-šu-nu LÚ[MEŠšu-nu uš-te-pé-i-lu]

5) ^mTa-am-pu-še-en-[ni ÌR-su] ^mḤi-iš-me-ia a-na ^mEn-na-ma-ti SUM

ù mEn-na-ma-ti

mEl-hi-ip-til-la ÎR a-na
mHi-iš-me-ia SUM [ša] ma-an-ni-im-mi
10) LÚ-šu pa-qí-ra-na TUGši
ù ma-an-nu LÚ-šu-ma ú-za-ak-ka₄
ma-an-nu ša BAL^{kat-tu}
II LÚ^{MEŠ} ÌR ša KURNu-ul-

[lu]-ú ú-ma-al-la

Tablet of exchange of Hismeya son of Ithista

and of Ennamati son of Tehiptilla

Between themselves their [men they have exchanged].

Tampusenni, his slave, Hismeya to Ennamati has given.

And Ennamati Elhiptilla, the slave, to

Hismeya has given. Whichever (bond-)man has a claimant

the (owner) shall clear his man.

The one who breaks the agreement

two slaves of the land of Nullu shall give as compensation.

 $T \grave{A} G \ ^m [----] - pi - h\acute{e} \ T \grave{A} G \ ^m \ddddot{H} i - i \Breve{s} - me - ia$

Seal of Hismeya, (other personal names destroyed).

^{4.} $[u\check{s}$ -te- $p\acute{e}$ -i-lu], cf. G. 21: 4; 38: 4; 39: 4; 40: 4; 41: 6. \check{s} upeultu (l. 1) pro \check{s} upēltu (here u is a substitute for the original \check{s} ayin), from \checkmark 5%45.

32. N III 290. A slave given for security for a definite period.

 $\begin{array}{ll} tup\text{-}pi \ ti_4\text{-}te\text{-}en\text{-}nu\text{-}\langle ti\rangle \ ša \\ {}^mWu\text{-}ur\text{-}te\text{-}\check{s}up \ DUMU \ A\text{-}kip\text{-}ta\text{-}} \\ \check{s}e\text{-}en\text{-}ni \end{array}$

ù ^mWu-ur-te-šup

 ^{m}Ar -ta-še-en-ni ÌR-šu $^{L\dot{U}}NAN$ -GAR

5) a-na ti₄-te-en-nu-ti ki-mu-ú XXX SU KÙ.BABBAR ḥašá-hu-še-en-nu II ANŠU ŠEMEŠ

a-na X MU^{ME}Š a-na ^mḤu-tarra-ap-hé

DUMU Ti-šá-am-mu-uš-ni it-tadin

 $\dot{u}^{m}Hu$ -tar-ra-ap- $\dot{h}\acute{e}$

10) XXX SU KÙ.BABBAR ha-šá-hu-še-en-nu II ANŠU ŠEMEŠ

a-na ^mWu-ur-te-šup it-ta-din šum-ma ^mAr-ta-še-en-ni ÌR-šu ša ^mWu-ur-te-šup pa-qí-ra-an-na ir-ta-ši 15) ù ^mWu-ur-te-šup ^mAr-ta-še-en-ni ^{LÚ}NANGAR ú-za-ak-ka₄-ma a-na ^mḤu-tar-[ra-ap-hé]

i-na-an-din im-ma-ti-me-[e X MU^{MEŚ} mAr-ta-še-en-ni 20) i-na É^{it} ša mḤu-tar-ra-ap-ḥé im-ta-lu-ú XXX SU KÙ.BAB-BAR^{MEŚ}

ha-šá-hu-še-en-nu II ANŠU ŠE^{ME}Š Tablet of security of Wurtesup son of Akiptasenni.

And Wurtesup

Artasenni his slave, a carpenter,
for security for
thirty shekels of hasahusennu
silver, 2 homers of barley

for ten years to Hutarraphe

son of Tisammusni has given,

and Hutarraphe
thirty shekels of hasahusennu
silver, two homers of barley

to Wurtesup has given.

If Artasenni,
slave of Wurtesup,
has a claimant,
then Wurtesup
Artasenni, the carpenter
shall clear, to Hutar[raphe]

he shall hand over (him). When the ten years Artasenni in the house of Hutarraphe fulfills, thirty shekels

of hasahusennu, two homers of barley

t[u]p-pi an-ni-i ${}^{m}W[u]-ur-t[e$ šup| $[a-na^{-m}]Hu-tar-ra-ap-h\acute{e}$ 25) [ú]-ta-ar ù ${}^{m}A[r]$ -ta-še-en-ni iš-tu Éit [ša m]Hu-[tar-ra-ap-hé] ú-še-is-sú-ú [š]um-ma ^{m}Ar -ta-še-en-ni [ši-pi-ir-šu] 30) ša ^mHu-tar-ra-ap-hė a-na $I \ UD^{mi} \ i$ -[zi]-ibI MA.NA URUDMEŠ ú-[rihu-ul-šu a-na UD^{mi} \dot{u} a-na $UD^{[mi]}$ mWu-ur-te-šup a-na 35) ${}^{m}Hu$ -tar-ra-ap-hé ú-[maal-lama-an-nu i-na be-ri-šu-nu i[š]-tu X MUMEŠ KI.BALhat II LÍMEŠ ÌRMEŠ - ú-ma-al-la tup-pí an-ni-i i-na EGIR šu-du-ti šum-ma 40) mPa-i-til-la DUMU Ku-a-ri i-na [URU]Nu-sí [q]a-ha-aš-ši-in-na a-na ha-[za-

nu-ti

(according to) this t[ab]let W[u]rt[esup] [to] Hutarraphe [shall] return and A[r]tasenni from the house [of] Hu[tarraphe] he shall lead away. [I]f Artasenni [the work] of Hutarraphe for one day neglects one mina of copper as his up-[keep] for each day Wurtesup to Hutarraphe shall pay [as compensation.] He who between them within ten years breaks the contract, two slaves shall furnish. This tablet after the proclamation when Paitilla son of Kuari in the city of Nusi

^{29. [}ši-pi-ir-šu] cf. N III 317: 17. For the expression šipra ezēbu, see further Ch. 10: 8; TCL IX 10: 15; HSS V 82: 28; 40; 15; N III 308: 18.

^{32.} \acute{u} -[ri- $\acute{h}u$ -ul- $\acute{s}u$] a-na UD^{mi} \mathring{u} a-na $UD^{[mi]}$ see HSS 40: 17; 82: 30. That the Subarean term \acute{u} -[ri- $\acute{h}u$ -ul] means rather »upkeep» than »fine» is established by GUD^{ME} \acute{s} ga_5 - $d\acute{u}$ \acute{u} -ri- $\acute{h}u$ -ul- $\acute{s}i$ - $\acute{s}u$ -nu (N III 273: 19). Cf. »the urihul of a slave (Ch. 10: 19). Further HSS IX 28: 19 N III 308: 19; 316: 18; 317: 18. See Chiera-Speiser, JAOS (47) 47.

^{41.} i-pii-us-su-nu-ti is possibly the latter part of a phrase starting with the Subarean [q]a-ha-as-si-in-na. Cf. this ending -inna with the -umma which is found in a number of Subarean terms combined with $ep\bar{e}$ su (see note to HSS V 43: 6). There occurs also an expression hazann \bar{u} ta $ep\bar{e}$ su in N I 46: 24;

- (44) IGI Pu-i-ta-e DUMU En-na-ma-ti (45) IGI Tù-ul-tùk-ka4 DUMU Hu-[i-te] (46) IGI A-kip-ta-se-ni DUMU A-kip-til-la (47) SU $^m[$]-te-[su]p DUB . SAR DUMU It-ti-LUGAL (48) IGI A-[—]-us-ia (49) IGI A-[ri-ih]-hu-[ma]-an-na DUMU Si-rip- $p\acute{a}$ -am- $p\acute{a}$
- (50) TÀG ^mWu-ur-te-šup TÀG ^mA-kip-ta-še-ni (51) TÀG ^mA-riiḥ-ḥa-ma-an-na TÀG DUB. SAR (52) TÀG ^mTù-ul-tùk-ka₄ TÀG ^mPu-i-ta-e
 - L. 44-49, five witnesses and signature of the scribe.
- L. 50—52, six seals (Wurtesup and his father Akiptasenni, l. 50; the scribe, l. 51).

33. NIII 309. Slaves borrowed on security.

tup-pí ti-te-en-nu-ti ša ^mE-ni-išta-e

DUMU Ik-ki-i-ú II LÚ ù SAL^{MEŠ}

 ^{m}Te -hi-ip-til-la a-na ^{m}E -ni- $i\check{s}$ -ta-e a-na ti_4-te-en-nu-ti SUM^{in}

5) ù ki-mu II LÚ^{MEŠ} ù II SAL^{MEŠ-ti}

^mE-ni-iš-ta-e ina É ^mTe-hi-iptil-la a-ši-ib Tablet of security of Enistae

son of Ikkiu. Two men and women

Tehiptilla to Enistae on security has given.

And for the two men and two women

Enistae shall stay in the house of Tehiptilla.

N III 252: 45 hazannu (i. e.) *prefect, ruler of a city*, cf. 2: 36, note) occurs in these texts in several other cases for fixing a date for a document (N I 13: 22; 31: 37; 46: 24; N III 231: 31; 257: 21 hi-ma × h.ina URUNu-si). The most prominent of the hazannu officials is Kussiharpe (N I 13: 22; 31: 38; 46: 24; N III 231: 31; 257: 21) ultimately involved in difficult affairs. A h. official is often mentioned among the witnesses (HSS V 21: 12; 67: 58; 96: 28; N III 292: 37; CT II 21: 27). Note the spelling ha-zi-ia-an-nu (HSS V 67: 58), ha-zi-a-an-nu (N I 31: 38) and ha-zi-in-ni (N II 174: 10). Cf. Koschaker, OLZ, 1932, p. 402.

[i-n]a UD^{mi} ša ^mE-ni-iš-ta-e
II LÚ^{MEŠ} ù II SAL^{MEŠ} a-na
^mTe-hi-ip-til-la

it-ta-ad-nu ù a-šar ha-du!-ú i-la-ak

10) šum-ma ^mE-ni-iš-ta-e KI.BAL^{hat}

IV LÚMEŠ ù IV SAL^{MEŠ} a-na ^mTe-ḥi-ip-til-la SUMⁱⁿ On the day that Enistae the two men and two women to Tehiptilla

gives (back), then he shall go wherever he pleases.

If Enistae breaks the agreement

he shall pay to Tehiptilla four men and four women.

(12) IGI Ni-ih-ri-ia DUMU Ik-ku-ia (13) IGI Mu-uš-te-šup DUMU Ar-na-pu (14) IGI Pi-ru DUMU Na-iš-gi-el-pì (15) IGI ŠE-[———] DUMU Sur-ki!^(a)-til-la (16) IGI Ḥa-ma-an-na DUMU Ar-ša-an-ta (17) IGI Ur-ši DUMU SIN-na-din-aḥ-ḥi (18) IGI It-ḥi-iš-ta DUMU Ar-ta-e (19) IGI Mu-uš-te-ia DUMU A-l[i ————] (20) IGI Ta-a-a tup-sar-rum (21) IGI Tu-ra-ri DUMU Še-en-na-a-a (22) TAGŠID mE-ni-iš-ta-e DUMU Ik-ku-i-ú (23) TAGŠID mMu-uš-te-šup DUMU Ar-na-pu (24) TAGŠID mTa-a-a tup-sar-ri

L. 12-21, nine witnesses and the scribe.

L. 22-24, three seals.

34. N III 312. Debtor's own person pledged for a debt consisting of slaves.

[1 s]ú[ha-ru] 1 sú-ha-a[r]-tù

ša ^mEn-na-ma-ti DUMU Te-ḥiip-til-la

a-na ^mḤa-na-tum DUMU Arte-ia il-te-qì

ù a-na ^mSí-i-ni i-din-šu-nu-ti

5) um-ma ${}^{m}Ha$ -na-tum-ma

a-na-ku ga₅-du₄ DUMU^{MEŠ}-ia ù ga₅-du ni-iš É-ia ina É^{it}

[A boy servant] and a girl servant,

whom Ennamati son of Tehiptilla

on account of Hanatu son of Arteia has acquired

and to Sini has given.

Thus says Hanatu:

I with my sons and with the people of my house to the house

⁽a) written ru.

^m[En-n]a-ma-ti uš-te-ri-bá-anni-mi

[a-di ^m]Ha-na-tum ba-al-tu₄^(a)ina É^{it}

ša ^mEn-na-ma-ti la ú-ṣí im-mati-me-e

10) mɨga-na-tum im-tù-ut ù DUMUMEš-šu ša mɨga-na-tum 1 ṣu-ḥa-ra ša 2 am-ma-ti ù ma-

1 şu-ha-ar-tum ša ki-a!-ma a-na ^mEn-na-ma-ti i-na-an-di-inšu-nu-ti

ù ra-ma-an-šu-nu-ú ú-uṣ-ṣú-ú 15) [šum]-ma ^mḤa-na-tum [i-na É]^{it m}En-na-ma-ti ú-uṣ-ṣí

[I MA] NA KÙ.BABBAR I MA.NA GUŠKIN

 $[a\hbox{-}na] \ ^mEn\hbox{-}na\hbox{-}ma\hbox{-}ti \ SA_5{}^{ME\r{S}}$

[$\check{s}um$ -m]a ${}^m\ddot{\mathcal{H}}a$ -na-tum a-na I UD^{mi} i-na [$\check{s}i$ -i]p-ri 20) $\check{s}a$ mEn -na-ma- ti_4 i-ri-iq \grave{u}

 $a[-na \ I \ UD^{mi}]$

ša I ANŠU ú-ma-a[l]-la

(of) [Enna]mati have caused myself to enter

[While] Hanatu is alive from the house

of Ennamati he shall not go out.
When

Hanatu dies the sons of Hanatu

a boy servant of two full cubits

a girl servant likewise to Ennamati shall give

and they themselves shall go out.

[If] Hanatu

[from the house] of Ennamati goes out

[one mi]na of silver, one mina of gold

to Ennamati he shall pay as compensation

[If] Hanatu for one day from the work

[of] Ennamati is absent, then for the one day

he shall compensate with one ass.

(22) [IGI A]-ri-ḥa-ma-an-na DUMU Tù-ri-[(23) [——]-ri-ia DUMU E-wi-en-na-[(24) [——]-pá-ri DUMU I-šá-pá-an-[——]-rip-[———]-e DUMU It-ḥa-a-pu (25) [———]-LUGAL

⁽a) Cf. TIL.LA (313: 5).

^{11.} Cf. 317: 10; suḥāru 2 ammāti ardu, HSS IX 13: 5.

^{19.} For the expression ina šipri rēqu (HSS V 105: 18) cf. these parallel phrases: ina šipri paṭāru (N III 306: 12); šipra ezēbu (N III 290: 29); ši-pi-ir-šu-nu la i-pu-šu ù ši-ip-ru ú-ta-na-ḥu (HSS V 105: 22).

DUMU Kip-ta-e (26) [— —]-kà-še-en-ni DUMU Sí-gi (27) [— —]-ši-ia DUMU Sar-ri-ia (28) [IGI] E-[ni]-iš-ta-e DUMU Ak-ka₄-pa (29) IGI Sú-un-sú DUMU In-ti-ia DUB.SAR

(30) TÀG ^mA-ri-ḥa-ma-an-na TÀG ^mÚ-pá-ri-ia (31) TÀG ^mTe-ḥi-ia TÀG ^mE-ni iš-ta-e (32) TÀG ^mKu-uš-ši-ia

L. 22-29, eight witnesses and the scribe.

L. 30-32, seals of five witnesses.

35. N III 317. Debtor's own person as pledge. (PRENUMERANDO).

[tup-pi ti-te]-en-[nu-ti] [ša ^m]En-na-ma-ti DUMU Tehi-ip-til-la

[——] ŠÁM a-na I LÚ ÌR ša [mEn -ni]-gi DUMU Ta-e $\langle a$ - $\check{s}ar \rangle$

5) m[En-na]-ma-ti il-te-qì [ù i-na-an-na m]En-ni-gi ki-i-mu-[ú I IÚ] ÌR ša KURNuul-lu-a-i

ina É^{it m}En-na-ma-ti a-na tite-en-nu-ti

a-ši-ib im-ma-ti-me-e

 10) 1 şú-ha-ru ša 2 am-ma-ti ù ma-lu-ti

 $SIG_{\mathbf{5}}^{q\dot{a}}$ ša ^{KUR}Nu -ul-lu-a-i

^mEn-ni-gi a-na ^mEn-na-ma-ti i-na-an-din ù šu-ú iš-tu É^{it m}En-na-ma-ti ú-uṣ-ṣi

15) šum-ma ^mEn-ni-gi a-na I UD^{mi} ši-pi-ir-šu ša ^mEn-na-ma-ti [Tablet of security]
[of] Ennamati son of Tehiptilla.

[——] price for one slave, that [Enni]gi, son of Tae <from>

[Enna]mati has received.

[And now] Ennigi (himself)
instead of a slave of the land of
Nullu

in the house of Ennamati for security

lives. When

one boy servant of 2 full cubits

healthy and from the land of the Nullu

Ennigi to Ennamati shall give, then he (himself) from the house of Ennamati shall go out.

If Ennigi for one day

his work for Ennamati

i-zi-ib-šu I MA. NA URUD^{MEŠ} ú-ri-ḥul ša a-na I UD^{mi} a-na ^mEn-na-ma-ti 20) ú-ma-al-la ù i-na-an-na i-na-di-nu neglects, one mina of copper, the upkeep for one day, to Ennamati he shall give as compensation and now he shall pay.

- (21) IGI Ka-ak-ki-še DUMU Ta-e (22) IGI A-ri-ḥa-ma-an-na DUMU Ši-im-[(23) IGI Na-gi-pu DUMU Di-te-na-dIM (24) IGI Ḥė-sal-la DUMU Sú-ú-me (25) IGI Wa-ak-ri-ia DUMU Ú-a-as-sí (26) IGI Ni-iḥ-ri-ia DUMU Na-ḥi-is-sal-mu (27) IGI Ta-e DUMU Ḥu-lu-uk-ka₄ (28) IGI Wi-ir-ri-ku DUMU Uḥ-li-ia (29) IGI Sú-un-sú DUB.SAR (30) ù KÙ.BABBAR an-nu-ú a-na pa-ni KÁ.GAL (31) mEn-ni-gi il-te-qì
- (32) TÀG ^mAr-ḥa-ma-an-na TÀG ^mKa-ak-ki-še (33) TÀG ^mWa-ak-ri-ia TÀG ^mNi-iḥ-ri-ia (34) TÀG ^mEn-ni-gi TÀG ^mNa-gi-pu (35) šum-ma ^mEn-ni-gi ša BAL^{kat-tu} (36) KÙ.BABBAR a-na ^mEn-na-ma-ti ú-ta-ar (37) II ^{LÚ}ÌR SA₅ Left edge: tup-pí ina EGIR šu-du-ti ina pa-ni KÁ.GAL ša-ṭì-ir
 - L. 21-29, eight witnesses and the scribe.
 - L. 30 And this silver in front of the gate Ennigi has received.
 - L. 32-34, six seals.
- L. 35—37 If Ennigi breaks the agreement he shall return the silver back to Ennamati (and) two slaves as compensation he shall pay.

Left edge: The tablet after the proclamation, in front of the gate, was written. (Note *tup-pi*, i.e. a genitive pro nominative, perhaps influenced by the following genitive forms).

Introductory.

According to the material that has been preserved in the Kirkuk tablets Silwatesup was one of the most prominent citizens of Nusi.¹ Not only could he boast of being the son of a king but also his business ability was something to be proud of. The number of persons in his employment was considerable including domestic servants, scribes, labourers, shepherds and a small bodyguard (HSS IX 37). We would probably not be far wrong in assuming that the majority of these were virtually slaves, though not actually so designated. That some of his employees had the right on occasion to transact business on his behalf shows that he carried on business on an extensive scale. His wealth consisted of flocks, cattle, farming land

With two exceptions all the tablets in this publication were found in the same house. By far the greater number of these documents were stored in two rooms of this house, which were probably the depositories of the business records of Silwatesup. For instance a group of these tablets gives full particulars of flocks and the shepherds in charge of them (HSS IX 49—65; 137—138). One of the two rooms was the main depository, here numerous records of transactions in grain as well as mortgages and records of lawsuits were found.

Unfortunately in the introduction of the volume there are several obscure passages, e.g. the statements leave one in doubt as to which tablets were found in rooms 26 and 34. The contents of the tablets (pp. VII—XI) are not all accurate, e.g. concerning numbers 13, 17, 34, 36.

¹ The most important source for our information on slavery in Nusi is found in the selection of documents published by Pfeiffer: »The archives of Shilwateshub son of the king», Harvard Semitic Series IX (Cambridge, U.S.A. 1932). The documents published were selected from the 1500 tablets excavated by the Harvard-Baghdad School Expedition under the direction of Chiera (1927—28).

and plantations, from which fruit and timber were obtained. He busied himself especially with the cultivation of his fields, sheep and cattle rearing and last but not least, in the profitable business of lending in return for grain, building materials and horses. He cultivated grain in such quantities that after the needs of his household were met, the surplus was profitably used for business purposes.¹

One result of the excavations in Nusi was the laying bare of two private houses owned by near relatives.² From the material discovered we are able to follow the rise and fall of these two families through a period of five generations. At the beginning of this period they were in a prosperous condition. This is evident from the elaborate construction of the houses and from the records. The houses had almost every imaginable accommodation including running water and bathrooms. To Puhisenni probably is due the credit of bringing the family into a state of prosperity, though his ability to accumulate wealth was eclipsed by that of his son Tehiptilla. We marvel most of all at this son's astuteness in evading the law prohibiting the sale of real estate.

This law was intended to safeguard the rights of small farmers against the greed of the rich landowners and to enable them to preserve family independence. These landlords were sticklers for the letter of the law and they were careful not to transgress as far as appearances went, and the judges were wary enough not to go against the wishes of such a powerful citizen. The landlord got round the law prohibiting the sale of real estate by becoming an "adopted" son of the family whose property he wished to acquire. In return for his adoption he handed over to his "parents" a prearranged "gift". This way of obtaining properties had many advantages from the landlord's point of view over the uncertain one of acquiring them by means of a forfeited mortgage. Everything was done legally and in order. Tehiptilla preserved carefully the adoption

¹ HSS IX 68-91 (loans of grain until after the harvest); also 121-136.

² Cf. Chiera-Speiser, A new factor in the history of the ancient East, AASOR, p. 85—90, and the reports of the excavations given in the Bulletins of the American Schools of Oriental Research (1926—1930).

contracts, i.e. deeds of transfer signed and sealed in each case by a safe number of witnesses. These records were stored methodically so that they could be produced at short notice in case of any complaint. There were instances, however, in which no written record was kept of the agreement, and should a lawsuit arise the testimony of the small farmer was contradicted by that of the landlord. The result was that the judges subjected the small farmer to a trial by ordeal, which the victim usually was afraid to face. As a consequence a heavy fine was imposed, and if this could not be paid the »guilty» party was compelled to become a slave. Actions arose also in which the rich landowner himself appeared as the injured party. The defendant was accused for instance of stealing beams etc., (HSS IX 7). The peasant escaped the enslavement in such instances no better than in those where he himself was the prosecutor.

It has been often noticed that in a given period the freedom granted to women is comparable with that of the slaves. The standing of the women in Nusi does not seem to have been greatly inferior to that of the men. Those who had the necessary wealth to purchase real estate, employed the stratagem of the »adopted child» of the seller. Other rights that they enjoyed were that their testimony was valid in a court of law and several contracts are furnished with the seals of women (HSS V 4: 22; 12: 28; 79: 34; 95: 24; N III 301: 13). In the marriage contracts we have evidence of the fact that they by no means were treated as chattle. This applied unfortunately only to women of wealth.1 The fate of the poor women was often that of slavery or concubinage. It might be interesting to know from which classes the twenty four wives of Tehiptilla were drawn. It is probable that the majority belonged to the poor classes forming the personel of the house, the minority being from the richer classes and thus able at the marriage agreement to bargain for a position in his household.

¹ The unpublished records of Tulpunnaya are interesting. It would seem that she, like her mother before her, conducted the business of the family. To judge from the tablets, Tulpunnaya's business transactions consisted largely in the buying and selling of slaves. Five tablets

Habiru and N(L)ullu.

I

In all the Kirkuk material that was published up to a few months ago the word Habiru, used to denote a class, was found in only two tablets. If we had only these tablets, we should conclude that the Habiru voluntarily subjected themselves to a form of slavery. But now Chiera in his new article refers to a number of other tablets, all of them slave contracts, throwing light on the Habiru problem. Unfortunately we have not yet been favoured with the publication of the texts of this new material. From the information derived from these tablets Chiera concludes that it was not the whole truth to say that the Habiru subjected them-

deal with the purchasing of real estate by the »adoption» method. In one case we read that she adopted a young woman in order to marry her to one of her slaves, or to sell her as wife to a free man.

In another tablet, Hanate, a handmaid of Tulpunnaya, adopts Halpapuša. Later Halpapuša's parents try to get their daughter back, but lose the case. Even where the wife of one of her slaves was a free woman, Tulpunnaya claimed the offspring, and in one instance a judge supported her. Tulpunnaya seized delinquent debtors and held them as slaves until the loan in question was repaid; and again in this matter she had the decisions of judges in her favour. In one case a man tried to obtain his freedom, but the verdict was that he should remain as slave. BASOR (34, 1929), p. 6—7.

¹ Ch. 7 and 8 (JAOS 47). In the form ^mḤa-bi-ra it is also used as a personal name in nine published tablets: Ḥabira, son of Nuli (Nullu), N I 6: 16; further see the list of Ḥabiru names, p. 84—86.

² Chiera, Habiru and Hebrews, AJSL, 2 (1933).

³ Hitherto we find the Habiru in the Mitanni period (i.e. roughly 1500-1400 B.C.) appearing as an important element all over Babylonia as far as Asia Minor, Syria, Palestine. They are found always in bands, some as robbers; some as soldiers receiving their upkeep from the state. They roam at pleasure in the lands lacking stable conditions, and on the other hand, where the state is organized they enter as mercenaries under the state control. In the new material provided by the Kirkuk documents we have the first instance of a $L\hat{U}$ ha-bi-ru and SAL ha-bi-ru referred to as individuals.

selves voluntarily to slavery, unless we interpret the word voluntarily in a rather wide sense. It was a choice of the lesser evil. The Habiru was faced with the alternatives: either to become a slave in a private family or under the government. They had, however, no say in the matter of choosing a master.

The cause of enslavement was probably an economic one arising out of the difficulty in finding employment as an itinerant labourer. The enslavement applied not only to the man in question but also to his whole family. The position of slaves in private families was a more enviable one than that of official slaves, who in the majority of cases were employed as soldiers. A slave in private life in addition to food and clothing (and probably he fared better in these matters than the slave in government service) might expect to be given a wife (JENu 23), who, however, automatically became a slave. The joys of family life must have been overshadoved by the fact that the children were born into slavery. The mother, however, seems to have had some right in the matter of shaping the destiny of her children (JENu, 180).¹

It is clear from the information available in this new material that the Habiru were connected with the institution of slavery.² The Habiru had certainly some things in common with the ordinary slaves, e.g. the name of their father is not mentioned. There was, however, no price to be paid for them in the first instance as in the case of other slaves. On the other hand the Habiru slave enjoyed the right of refusing to be sold to another owner as long as he did

¹ Chiera states (p. 122) that he has learned from Dr. Gelb (director of a Chicago expedition to Asia Minor) of another reference to the Habiru in a still unpublished tablet, which shows that the position of the Habiru in Asia Minor had much in common with the one they held in Arrapha.

² The tablets from which the personal names (see p. 84—86) have been taken are all of them slave contracts from the Tehiptilla archives. On the other hand the word Habiru occurs also in three lists according to which the Habiru is not bound to be understood as a slave. In SMN 3199 an amount of barley is given to Habiru men; in SMN 3191 also barley for the horses of the Habiru (people) of the land of Akkad and in SMN 3208 a-na LÚMES ha-bi-ra-du.

not break his part of the agreement (Ch. 8). But from JENu 1023 it is clear that in some cases the Habiru slaves had not this privilege and they could be sold without any reason being given. They might also be used as a security in the case of debt. Emancipation was not impossible, but it could be obtained only by getting another to take the place (JENu 386). In JENu 358 the substitute must be ša ki-ma ša-a-šu ib-šu or a man from the country of Lullu (JENu 540) or a good man (LÚ iţla, JENu 157). A woman could be freed if she payed one mina of silver (JENu 1023).

The legal procedure of the enslavement was very simple. Following the entrance formula (A ana ardūti pīšu ū lišānšu ana bīt B ušēribšu, Ch. 8; ramaššuma ana amtūti ušēribšu, Ch. 8; ra-ma-an-šu-nu-ma a-na a-mu-ti, JENu 1023)¹ the Ḥabiru is threatened with the most extreme punishment for disloyalty. In case the slave should break the agreement (šumma ibbalkat) by going to another house² the owner is entitled to sell her and also to pluck out her eyes before selling her. Perhaps considering the value of the plucked one in JENu 172 the owner is entitled to do with the slave *according to his heart*.

Chiera gives also a list of the personal names of the Habiru with some general remarks.³ The forms of the names indicate a variety of origin. Three lands are specified by name: Ashur,

¹ An exceptional formula of the transfer of Habiru slaves is found in JENu 1023. After the usual entrance formula we read »And A is the owner (?) of the women (šu-ur-šu-nu ša a-wi-la-ti). Should the women have a claim against them, A shall clear them and give them to B. And B 40 shekel's of silver for his right to succession (ki-ma e-wi-ru-ti-šu) has given. If A backs out and wants them for himself (i-ni-ri-iš) then he will have to pay to B ten minas of gold», Chiera, AJSL, 2 (1933).

² Ch. 8. Other ways of disloyalty: if they back out and say *we are not slaves* (JENu 394); if the Habiru says: *I am not a handmaid and my children are not slaves* (JENu 1023). Cf. an Old Babylonian slave contract with the clause: If at any time A says to her mistress B: *you are not my mistress*, then she shall cut her forelock and sell her for money. VAB V, p. 121.

³ See p. 84-86.

Akkad, *In-za-al-ti*. We conclude that there are also names the etymology of which points to a Subarean or an Amurru origin. A further attempt of a detailed study of the names is rendered difficult on account of the imperfect spelling of the Subarean scribes. But this is clear that the personal names in the list are in the main non-Subarean in contrast with the rest of the Kirkuk names. We therefore look upon the Habiru as a social class, not as an ethnical unity.¹

Although the Habiru are represented here as a social class it

Landsberger without having this new Kirkuk material at his disposal arrives at a similar conclusion (Habiru und Lulahhu, Kleinasiatische Forschungen I, 1930). He sees no objection to Habiru being looked upon as an Akkadian word, for the root hbr exists in Akkadian, and preserved in the form $hub\bar{u}ru$ meaning probably »Getriebe, böses Treiben». He admits, however, that it could be a Canaanitic loan-word in the Akkadian according to the generally accepted theory, and puts forward two explanations, the second of which he looks upon as very improbable, namely that it is derived from 'br as held by those who would like to identify it with the Biblical br in Landsberger's opinion it is far more probable that Habiru is derived from $b\bar{u}b\bar{e}r$, meaning companion. This would suit better Landsberger's theory that the Habiru were roaming bands.

The discussion of the old identification has been revived in recent years. The theories of Zimmern ($L\acute{U}$ ha-bi-ru = \Box of the O.T.) and of Winckler ($L\acute{U}$ ha-bi-ru = SA-GAZ of the Amarna letters), have lately been put forward in a popular form by Jirku (Die Wanderungen der Hebräer im 3. und 2. Jahrtausend v. Chr., Der Alte Orient, Bd. 24, 2) and criticized by Lewy (Habiru und Hebräer, OLZ 1927, p. 738 f., 835 f.) and Landsberger (op. cit. p. 321—334).

¹ From his study of the Kirkuk material concerning Habiru Chiera arrives at the conclusion, that they were not simply foreigners but foreign enemies, who had been taken as prisoners in war and distributed for government service or handed over to leading citizens. Since special vocations are mentioned he refuses to accept the suggestion that the Habiru represented a special trade. Neither does he accept the view that the Habiru were nomads. He thinks that this is substantiated by the fact that their names show that they were of different nationalities. In short his final conclusion is that the Habiru had little in common beyond a social status, and thus formed merely a social class. Chiera, AJSL, 2 (1933).

is, however, not impossible that the origin of the name was a racial one. This was the case with the Nullu and most probably with the Amurru, first a tribal name, then a social one. A member of the Habiru tribe became e.g. a soldier, then as a soldier he was taken a captive and thus reduced to slavery, or while yet a free man he became a slave of his own accord. In the same way the word "Schweitzer" came to mean milkman in German and a bodyguard in the Vatican in Rome.

II

Another source from which the land of Arrapha drew slaves was the land of Lullu. The numerous instances of Lullu-names in the Kirkuk tablets show that they were an important element in Arrapha. The land Lullu is often referred to in the inscriptions of Ashurna-sirpal.² It has been identified with the land Lullu-bu (Lullu-mu, Lullu-wu) occurring in the inscriptions of Naram-Sin.³ The geographical position of Lullu has been fixed with comparative certainty. We learn from Sargon of Agade that it lay adjacent to Arrapha.⁴ From the existing evidence it is impossible to give the boundaries

¹ A kingdom of Amurru did not exist in the third millennium West of Babylonia, but there was (KUR) MAR. $TU^{(KI)}$, a mountain district NW of Babylonia. The MAR. $TU = amurr\bar{u}$ derived their name from this land and very soon $amurr\bar{u}$ came to mean a certain type of worker without ethnic value. Bauer, Die Ostkananäer, p. 87—88. — MAR. $TU = amurr\bar{u}$ as a personal name or as a component of a personal name occurs in the Kirkuk tablets: G 5: 48; 14: 3; HSS IX 88: 3; 96: 26; 119: 20.

² Speiser, AASOR VIII (1928) p. 1—42. Cf. the reports of Adad-nirari I (KAH I 5: 3—5).

³ A relief, a prototype of Naram-Sin's famous stele, discovered in Qaradagh, South of Sulaimania, represents Naram-Sin as victorious over the Lullubi, Gadd, p. 69, fnote; Speiser, Mesop. Origins, p. 88; Geographical Journal LXV 63—64; Smith, Early History of Assyria, p. 97. According to Landsberger (op. cit., p. 330—334) there is no necessity to assume that the longer form of the name is to be explained as an Elamic plural suffix; it could be derived from the Lulluw-form. Cf. Nu-ul-[lu]-ú (N III 280: 13).

⁴ Cf. Albright, JAOS (45), p. 193 f.

of Lullu with any degree of certainty. It is, however, highly probable that Lullu lay in the district now called Sulaimania.¹ In the inscriptions of the Assyrian kings the land is designated by one of the longer forms while the language of the country is referred to as Lullu. This would lead to the supposition that the language was no longer confined to the land of Lullu.² More convincing proof of this is found in the fact that to the Babylonians Lullu was an ethnic conception, something of a barbarian or a savage.³

The sign *KUR* is fixed before the name in the following instances: HSS V 8: 9; 67: 20; HSS IX 2: 6; 63: 11; N II 115: 16; 192: 4; 195: 2, 15; N III 280: 13; 297: 37; 317: 7, 11; TCL IX 7: 24; 46: 27; Cont.

¹ Speiser identified several Lullu cities in the district of Sulaimania, AASOR VIII.

² LANDSBERGER, op. cit. p. 325.

³ Landsberger, loc. cit. — In Hittite there occurs a form L(N) ulahhu (Hrozný, Bogh. St. 5, 38).

⁴ The change of the liquid l to the nasal n is to be found also in other instances, e. g. Haligalbat, Hanigalbat, see the note to HSS V 35: 5.

⁶ Cf. the Hittite form L(N)ulahhu. According to Hrozný (Bogh. St. 5, 38) this hh is an ending, which Landsberger (op. cit.) interprets as meaning origin. This hh can frequently be seen in the names of the Kirkuk tablets (*Til-la-hi*, N I 76: 31; cf. p. 87—92; Gadd, p. 71—83).

7: 24. The ideogram $AN.ZA.QAR^1$ with the possessive ša occurs before the name in N I 7: 6; 32: 6; 53: 5. Twice Nu-ul-tu is employed as a personal name (HSS IX 63; G 50: 41). Naturally the longer forms occur among the personal names: mNu -ul-li-ia DUMU Nu-la-a-hi (N I 53: 23); Nu-la-za-hi, probably a scribal error for Nu-la-a-hi (G 47: 36).

In the Kirkuk documents there are numerous instances where slaves are purchased from Nullu, in fact the word Nullu was not infrequently employed as a term of first class quality, since it occurs as a synonym for »healthy, sound» (damqu) in the penal clauses $(mannu\ \S a\ ibbalkatu\ arda\ (amta)\ \S a\ ^{m\bar{a}t}Nullu\ umall\bar{a}).^2$ Naturally both of these synonyms occur also outside the penal clause.³ In the case of qualified slaves the number of slaves never exceeds two in the penal clause, but in case of non-qualified slaves it amounts to three $(SAL^{ME\S},\ HSS\ IX\ 17:17)$, four $(L\check{U}^{ME\S}\ SAL^{ME\S},\ N\ III\ 309:11)$, five $(GEME,\ N\ II\ 119:\ 19)$.

From the instances, where Lullu occurs outside the Kirkuk material we are led to understand that Lullu lay East of Arrapha, i. e. on the neighbouring mountain ranges. It is a well-known fact that the climate and general conditions on the mountains strengthen its uncultured inhabitants in contrast to the cultured people of the cultivated plains of Mesopotamia, the enervating and debilitating heat of which discourages both bodily and mental energy. Therefore among the citizens of the plains the Nulluites were in great demand as slaves for heavy labour, as also now the porters of modern Baghdad are recruited from among the inhabitants of Sulaimania and other mountaineers.⁴ As a further

¹ AN . ZA . QAR (dimtu), a term of frequent occurrence in these tablets, see GADD, p. 84; and the footnote to N II 140: 6.

² Slaves qualified with Nullu: HSS IX 119: 13; N III 280: 13; TCL IX 7: 24. Cf. N II 115: 16, here both synonyms are used parallel. Cf. damqu (HSS V 37: 16) and the synonymous namru, Speiser, Mesop. O., p. 102—108.

³ With the verb nadānu: N II 192: 4; N III 317: 7, 11; TCL IX 46: 27.
Cf. damigtu and nasiqtu (HSS IX 17).

⁴ Cf. Meissner, Beiträge zum altbabyl. Privatrecht, Nr. 2, acc. to which Gutium, a mountain country (and a neighbour to Lullu) produced slaves for sale.

proof of their good constitution may be added the fact that a Lullu woman was employed as a reserve wife in case the proper wife was not able to bear children (HSS V 67: 20).

We have already seen in connection with the Habiru that the slaves were not all of native stock. Further, because of the fact that the slaves of Nullu were generally known as a kind of money to be paid by order or as a fine, it means that there was an extensive import trade of slaves carried on from the land of Nullu to Arrapha. There is an instance to be found in the letter of a king, according to which money was entrusted to a man who took a journey to the land of Nullu for business purposes.¹ Owing to the fact that in the Kirkuk tablets Nullu is hardly ever mentioned except in connection with slaves² we may conclude that the purpose of this trip was mainly to trade with slaves.³

Slave sales and other transfers.

It has been affirmed that deeds of sale are not to be found among the Kirkuk tablets.⁴ This was due to the fact that the deeds dealing with the sale of real estate were drawn up in the form of adoption agreements, probably in order to evade the law prohibiting the sale of immovables. In the case of movables⁵ we have, however, several contracts of the sales of slaves.

The items of a slave contract are rather few in the Kirkuk tablets:

1) The object sold, the name of the ardu or amtu and its owner, i.e. the seller.

ı _ _ _ KÙ.BABBARMEŠ ša mHi-iš-mi-te-šup il-qi ù i-na ^{KUR}Nu -ul-lu-[a-na ta-am-ka-ru-ut-[ti] il-li-ik ù it — _ [tu-ra?] (HSS IX 2: 5—8).

² In N II 195 there is mentioned a man (not a professional slave) who was redeemed by a merchant from the land of Nullu back to his native country.

³ Cf. Gadd (p. 69), a merchant travelling up the Euphrates is commissioned to purchase a Subarean slave, Peiser, K.B. IV, p. 44, no. III.

⁴ Cuq, Journal des Savants (1927), p. 341.

⁵ Cf. Ch. 12, a receipt for a sold horse.

- 2) The name of the buyer.
- 3) The purchase price (ana šīmi nadānu, ina šīmi leqū).
- 4) The guarantee against legitimate claims (šumma ardu (eqlu) paqirāna (pirqa) irašši A uzakkama ana B inandin).¹
- 5) The penal clause (mannummē ša ina bērišunu ibbalkatu (manū kaspu - umallā).²

Out of the real sales, which number only six, three are in the form of a declaration (*lišānu*, TCL IX 46, N II 115, and G 52)³ and two begin simply with *umma*, i.e. »thus says» (N II 179, HSS

¹ This is the same as in the case of fields. The formula of the slave sale in the Middle Assyrian documents is almost an exact duplicate of that for the sale of fields with the exception of one clause in which it is stated that the responsibility of clearing the slave in the event of a claim arising lies with the seller, NKRA, p. 30. The guarantee against the possibility of claims arising occurs frequently in these Middle and New Assyrian slave contracts.

² This is instead of the oaths of the contracting parties. — The clause of the Middle and New Assyrian deeds in which the seller renounces his rights does not occur in the Kirkuk texts. The handing over of a kind of staff (bukannu) as a symbol of the completion of the sale (VAB V, p. 111) can be compared in the Kirkuk tablets with the symbolic act of making an impression with the hem of one's garment see note to HSS IX 20: 36; G 52). Also such clauses as sibtu bennu etc. (which are common in the Middle and New Assyrian slave contracts) are missing in our tablets, NKRA, p. 27—36.

The formula in TCL IX 46 contains the explanation of the sale and the transfer. L. 5, f.: »We (two sellers) have given the handmaid for the price to the buyer», the receipt 1. 10, f.: »these objects of value (cattle, grain, garments, metal), the price of our handmaid N (we have) received (from the) buyer.» The remainder is unfortunately badly defaced. Still one is able to make out that the seller must have been bound by a stipulation formula, for it states that the seller shall give the buyer a slave from the land of Nullu, that is to say, apparently, as substitute for the handmaid forfeited to a legitimate claimant. It can not refer to a fine for breach of agreement, as this (1, 30, f.) is fixed at two handmaids. Similarly it is laid down in TCL IX 7, 22 f., that the one who vindicates the adopted girl, shall give a slave from the land of Lullu, NKRA, p. 166—167 (transliterated and transscribed) and p. 53.

IX 25). The latter two have a form, which practically is identical with the lisanu-formula. The sixth one (HSS V 100) also belongs to the category of a declaration although there are no introductory words of lišānu or umma. All of these sale agreements are provided with about five seals to each tablet and on four of the tablets the names of a number of witnesses are given. In all of them in the penal clause the fine is given in slaves (except G 52, where a free person is sold into slavery), in four cases an amtu is sold and the fine of the penal clause is laid out in amtu or sinništu. In N II 115, where an ardu is sold, the fine of the penal clause is laid out correspondingly in ardu. It is interesting that in the case of a slave contract recorded in N II 195 a law laid down by the king (šarru ultēdī) is referred to. This law affected merchants who purchased slaves, natives of Arrapha from the land of Nullu and brought them back to Arrapha. According to this law merchants were not permitted to charge more than 30 SU.1 The grievance in this case is that the seller demanded double the price fixed by the king (60 SU). The reason for asking this price may have been stated in the beginning of the tablet, which is not preserved.

In another case, N II 179, where the redeemed one is not a person who has been sold into slavery, the price is permitted to exceed 30 SU. This tablet concerns a woman of Arrapha who has been brought by the seller on his chariot »as a booty» from abroad. Here is more freedom with regard to the price, the seller demands 40 SU and is paid the full amount.²

The price is 30 SU of silver in N II 115, 25 SU of silver in HSS V 100. In HSS IX 25, the price for a handmaid sold is 20 SU of silver ³ of special quality, the buyer being wife of Silwatesup the prince. Probably on account of the special quality of the silver the amount in shekels is smaller.

Light on the price of slaves can be had also from other tablets

¹ Cf. § 281 in Codex Hammurapi and § 22 in the Hittite law (Hrozny).

² The price 40 SU of silver is paid in naturalia: 2 good oxen, one good ass and ten sheep.

³ The amount is again paid in naturalia, see above.

which do not record actual sale agreements. In HSS IX 17 we learn that a person who owes one good selected woman is permitted to liquidate his debt with ten SU of good gold. In N II 113, the value of a suhāru (boy servant) is estimated in two minas of gold and (apparently) two minas of silver. In other cases the value of slaves is reckoned in naturalia without stating the equivalent in silver or gold. In some cases a person who is unable to settle a debt of money or naturalia is compelled to deliver his slave to cover the debt. In N II 119 another handmaid is utilized to pay the debt of 36 goats. In HSS IX 111 a person selling his sister without any specification receives five sheep and six minas of lead. 3

There are further many other tablets which deal more or less with slave sales and transfers. In N II 280 two owners exchange their slaves between themselves. The formula contains both the guarantee against legitimate claims as well as the penal clause. In HSS V 8 a seller declares to have received the remaining part (ri-ih-tu) of the price for the sold handmaid. After his promise of not making further claims, ina arki $l\bar{a}$ išassī, there is added the penal clause and a list of witnesses with their seals. According to another tablet (HSS V 101 = KDFL 24) a wife purchased a hand-

¹ TCL IX 46, see NKRA, p. 166—167; G 52.

² Thus in N II 111 a handmaid is given to meet an obligation of 20 homers of barley, 21 šahirru measures of straw and 22 minas of lead.

³ In a difficult tablet (G 54) the debtor receives a handmaid from the creditor »as a loan». At the end of a set period the debtor is to give the creditor a good handmaid, let her be capable of giving birth or not, otherwise 40 shekels of silver for a slave. Koschaker would be inclined rather to consider it as a sale, to be exact, a sale »prenumerando», that is to say, the seller pledges himself to hand over a certain kind of handmaid, otherwise to pay back the price received in advance, and possibly with a fine in addition thereto. Also the clause 1.7, f. is explained: the seller declines to be responsible should the handmaid prove sterile; in that case of course, she would be of less value. If this interpretation is correct, then the loan must be a fictitious one. One notices too, that to denote the act of the debtor, turru »to give back» is not used, as is usual in the case of real loans, but nadānu »to give». The formula of the fictitious loan in the case of goods remains peculiar. See NKRA, p. 53.

maid from her father's house, the husband giving to his wife full title to the girl in a special deed in order to prevent the would-be heirs from claiming the handmaid together with the rest of the property. In HSS V 37 (KDFL 38) a girl servant is given to cover a debt consisting of a girl servant. HSS V 23 (KDFL 40) records a transfer of a handmaid given as a gift to two women. G 61 presents a lawsuit according to which a slave is claimed for with certain valuables. Further HSS IX 17 is a record of a part payment for handmaids, N II 118 of a sold slave restored to his former owner.

The transfer of slaves could also take place as the result of a titennutu, (cf. HSS IX 13) i.e. a slave given as security for a definite period, until a loan is paid back. These titennutu are of several kinds, e.g. in N III 290² a slave is given for ten years as security for a sum of money; in N II 192 a slave is given on security as part payment of a debt. In TCL IX 10 a slave is given for the time of harvest to perform the work, thereby paying back a loan of money. In HSS IX 82³ a slave is given as pledge for a debt consisting of barley — his work shall be for the interest of the loan. The slave shall be given back on repayment of the loan. In this tablet the term titennutu is not given.⁴

¹ KDFL, p. 20.

² Cf. N III 298 (titennutu of a field), (1) ^mUn-ki-ia — (2) I GEME ša Ḥa-ši-ia (3) ša Wa-ar-sà-pt il-qt-e (4) ki-mu-ù I GEME VIII GIŠAPIN A. ŠÀ (5) a-na Ḥa-ši-ia a-na ti-te-nu-ti (6) i-di-in X MU^{MEŠ} ù-ka-al-ma (7) i-na X MU I GEME a-na Ḥa-ši-ia (8) i-na-di-im-ma ù (9) A. ŠÀ^{MEŠ-ti}-šu i-li-iq-qt.

 $^{^3}$ (5) a-na ia-ši iq-ta-bu-ú! (6) Š $E^{ME\dot{S}}$ a-na HAR.RA (hubulli) li!-qì ù Ì $R^{d[i]}$ -ia (pro -ka) i-din.

⁴ The term titennutu is also missing in N II 113, which concerns the right of pledging children. In lines 16—17 there is the clause against illegitimate claims »ina arki A lā iśassū, lit. »they shall not shout behind the back of A», i. e. »they shall not raise claims». For šasū in Old Babylonian contracts, Landsberger, ZDMG (69), p. 509. Also in the following three documents dealing with pledging the term titennutu is not employed: HSS IX 96; 152; N III 312. In the last mentioned document a father with his sons and his household enters a house as slaves in order to pay a debt. As long as he lives, he shall stay there, but after his death his children have the

In HSS IX 13 a father gives his two sons as security in return for a slave that is borrowed — they shall be free as soon as a boy servant is paid back. In another tablet (N III 309) two men and two women have been given and as long as these slaves are not returned to the owner the debtor shall stay as security until he discharges his obligation; again in another tablet (N III 317) the price of a slave has been received, but until the slave is delivered, he who received the money shall stay as security, instead of a slave, in the house of the creditor. If the pledged person neglects his work for one day then he shall compensate with an amount which corresponds to the upkeep of a slave for one day.

Adoption and marriage.

It is hard to classify these tablets. A tablet can be formally a contract of adoption, but it contains a sale into slavery and at the same time for marriage. Another tablet is formally an adoption agreement but it contains a sale deed of real estate. Here in the classifying we follow the form because the contents are so complicated for grouping as one tablet (as to its contents) can belong to three different groups.

With regard to real adoption the slaves hardly have any part in them. There is, however, one case (G 9) where a »palace handmaid» (amat ēkallim) adopts a free man making him an heir of her possessions including a handmaid, servants etc. On the other hand there is a tablet (HSS IX 22)¹, according to which a slave is adopted by a free man, but the slave in question belongs to the higher classes, as well as the before-mentioned palace handmaid. He seems to be one of the highest officials of the palace of Silwatesup, being his chief clerk. The formula of a real adoption of a slave does not differ

right to obtain their freedom by giving a boy servant and a girl servant to the creditor. There is, too, a fine of one ass a day fixed if the father leaves the work of his master.

¹ Here the adopted slave seems to be only a usufructuary until the death of the adopter.

essentially from that of a free person. In HSS V 66 (KDFL 5) a slave adopts the wife of his master. The form of adoption is here borrowed to serve a settlement of property, otherwise there would be no meaning for a real adoption here. The wife of the master is not the only beneficiary, there is also another woman to inherit.

According to the current practice among the rich people also some higher officials among the slaves of Silwatesup, the prince, did not neglect to have themselves adopted in order to acquire real estate. In two tablets (HSS IX-19 and 20) Paitesup the chief clerk of Silwatesup transacts business for himself by purchasing an orchard and a field under the cover of a sale-adoption. In HSS IX-21 another slave of Silwatesup the prince, by name Kupasa, purchases city houses together with their building grounds. The formula of these three tablets does not differ from that of the sale-adoptions of free people. Further a handmaid is mentioned as part of an inheritance portion in tablets of sale-adoption (HSS IX-59: 17 and 66: 35).

There is further a group of adoption agreements in the Kirkuk tablets, which are similar to the sale agreements, and which are designated as martūtu (daughterhood) and kallatūtu (daughterhood-in-law) or as both. The subjects of these contracts are girls and the contract consists in a father giving his daughter to another person for adoption on the condition that the adopter acquires the right to give the girl in marriage usually to one of his slaves. In a few tablets (N I 26: 9 f.; N I 50: 9 f.) the adopter reserves the right in the case of the death of the girl's husband to give her to another of his slaves. And so she becomes a permanent member of the household and obliged to spend all her days as a wife of someone (N I 26: 12 f.; Ch. 4). In one case (Ch. 5: 9) the adopter reserves for himself the right of marrying the girl himself.

The girl is looked upon, therefore, in her position as wife of one of the adopters' slaves, as his daughter-in-law. It does not

¹ KDFL, p. 7—8. In connection with real adoptions female slaves are mentioned as part of the inheritance portion of the adopted child (HSS V 59 = KDFL 3 and HSS V 67 = KDFL 2).

² NKRA p. 52—66, KDFL p. 13—16.

seem to have made much difference whether the contract was called a martūtu or kallatūtu or both together. In the contracts labelled martūtu it does not always state expressly that the girl should be married to one of the adopter's subordinates. On the other hand the conditions make it clear that the girl must spend the rest of her days in the adopter's house and that her fortune belongs to the house (N I 50; 11; Ch. 4: 8; 5: 17) as we find in the case of the kallatūtu.¹ These contracts close with a mention of the fine to be expected in case of breach of agreement.

With regard to these matrimonial adoptions the slaves as adopters hardly have more part in them than in the case of real adoptions. There is only one case where a handmaid of Silwatesup the prince purchases a daughter sinto daughtership and daughter-in-law-ships in order to give the girl in marriage to a son of hers or to a free man (HSS IX 145).

In these tablets the marriage of the adopted girl whether to a slave or to a son of the adopter or to a stranger or even to the adopter himself was not a proper marriage but only marriage in a esser sense.² In the case of a marriage between free persons the

¹ In TCL IX 6, which records an arrangement for the execution of pecuniary clauses of a marriage contract, a brother gives his sister for adoption in exchange for a girl given to him by the adopter for a wife.

² The fact that a tirhatu is mentioned in these documents (HSS IX 145: 16; Ch. 4: 24; 5: 43) seems to indicate that they were records of sale marriages. One objection to this conclusion is the fact that the girl becomes a slave. Koschaker, NKRA, p. 83). The contract is in the form of a slave sale and in favour of this view is the stipulation guarantee which in many cases (N I 50: 12; Ch. 5: 22; TCL IX 6: 16; 7: 15) the purchaser of the girl demanded. It is strange, however, to find this adoption formula employed since in the collection of legal formulae in Arrapha one for this purpose already existed. According to this the father gave his daughter into slavery — ana amtūti (G 52), what he receives in return is called šīmu (price) and not tirhatu (bridal price).

From this Koschaker (loc. cit.) draws the conclusion, that the martūtu kallatūtu can neither be a marriage contract nor a sale but what he describes as *adoptio in matrimonium servile*. It deals with a special kind of adoption, which brings the adopted as a member of the family under the power of the

rights of the woman were guarded by numerous conditions laid down in the contract.¹

In the available Kirkuk material there are only two contracts recording the marriage of a slave. In Ch. 6 (JENu 475) a brother gives his sister as wife to a slave for a bridal price consisting of forty shekels to be paid by five shekels each year.² More complicated is the subject-matter in NII 120, where a mother provides a bride for a slave of her son. The bridal price consisting of ten sheep is to be paid to three persons: the mother and brother of the bride, and a third party, who is not related to the bride and, therefore, must be a near relative (e.g. of a deceased husband of the mother of the bride). In connection with this the slave gains his freedom by giving his anonymous daughter (of a previous marriage) into servitude to the mother of his master. In addition the prospective

adopter. The adopted, however, has not the right to any part of the inheritance. As only girls are mentioned in the Arrapha contracts in connection with this kind of adoption formulas, and as in accordance with the custom that women could hardly participate in the inheritance, it meant that the adopted was excluded from inheriting property.

¹ For instance the children were to be the heirs and the husband had not the right to disinherit or to enslave them; the husband might take to himself a second wife only in the event of the marriage proving childless (HSS V 67 = KDFL 2). The phrase ana aššūti ana ardi lā nadānu »not to give to a slave as wife» occurs in a marriage contract whereby a sister is sold for marriage (HSS V 80: 39). Such conditions constitute the difference between proper marriage and that of partially free persons or slaves.

² A brother sells his sister for marriage and at the same time indirectly into slavery in HSS V 80 since he does not particularize as to whom she is to marry. See also the near parallels in HSS V 79 and TCL IX 6 and HSS IX 111 (a brother sells his sister without specified purpose). Contrast Meissner, Bab. u. Assyrien I, p. 181.

³ The children born of slaves were often called *serru (*sēru, Ch. 11: 9, 26; HSS V 67: 22) to be distinguished from *māru*, i.e. child born of free parents (G 12: 12); Note the phrases in HSS IX 96: 9—10, 14; *serrašu ša ištu libbi A. uṣṣū (Ch. 5: 13); gādu *serrišu (Ch. 11: 9; 20: 53; HSS V 57: 9, 13; 73: 33. The word *serru* also designates an offspring of a second wife, *a woman of the Lullu* (practically synonymous with a bondmaid, HSS V 67: 20).

children in this new marriage are to become the slaves of the former master.

HSS V 27 (KDFL 36) records an interesting case of marriage grievances. A girl had been purchased for a slave. Her guardian, however refused to deliver her as wife to the slave. In another lawsuit »the wife of my slave» (aššat ardiya, HSS V 43: 31) is mentioned.

In N II 113: 25—27 there is a special clause which charges the parents to provide a wife for their son, who is pledged as a slave. In this case the prospective children became the property of the parents, not of the owner. It seems that the master of a slave was pleased to let the parents purchase the bride for their son working in servitude, the purchase price being comparatively high.

The bridal price is on an average 40 shekels in marriage contracts between free persons. The same amount is also paid for a free daughter, sold as wife for a slave (or as wife for the master, Ch. 5 = JENu 751). With regard to the prospective offspring it is expressly said that the children shall become slaves of the owner. The bridal price is still higher (45 shekels) in the above-mentioned N I 26. The bride for a slave is evaluated only at 20 shekels in Ch 4 (JENu 308). According to these tablets a female slave was never provided with a bridegroom owing to the fact that the handmaids were mostly concubines of their masters. 2

Lawsuits and miscellaneous records.

It is interesting to note that comparatively few actions are brought against slaves. (HSS IX 94 and in some sense V 43; IX 9). This may be due to the fact that the slaves were often subjected to extrajudicial punishment. There is, however, clear evidence to show that they were not always unprotected by the law. According

¹ Contrast KH, § 175.

² Cf. Meyer, Kleine Schriften, p. 183.

to **HSS IX 10** a beaten slave is permitted to apply personally to the judges for an official inspection of his wounds.¹

The only actual lawsuit against slaves is recorded in these tablets in HSS IX 94. Two slaves of Hismitesup, son of the king are accused of having stolen an ox. The action is not a prolonged one, for the slaves admit their guilt. The tablet being a memorandum note does not state the sentence. In HSS V 43 the wife of a slave is accused of having taken part in a street fight between two men with the result that blood flowed from the injuries inflicted by her. Her guilt was confirmed by four witnesses and also by the fact that the accused one "did shrink from the gods", i. e. refused to undergo trial by ordeal. The fine imposed was to be paid by the owner of her husband, who raised the question as to the possibility of acquittal.

In HSS IX 9 Silwatesup, son of the king, in person accuses in court a man who had harboured a fugitive handmaid of his. The defendant had to admit the validity of the accusation and to deliver her back to the owner. HSS V 27 (KDFL 36) records the case of another fugitive woman, whose guardian refuses to deliver her to her husband, a slave. The judges send a constable to support the slave in this difficult task, but the constable can not do more than witness that the guardian struck the slave. From HSS V 35 we do not learn much more than that the slave was stolen and sold to someone in another country.²

That the slaves had some rights of citizenship is evident from the fact that a slave can appear as witness in court. In HSS V 68

¹ In Chiera-Speiser's opinion the position of the slaves in Nusi was a deplorable one, they were unprotected by law and could be slain with impunity. The maltreatment of slaves was not considered as disgrace. (AASOR VI, p. 88).

² In connection with lawsuits slaves are mentioned in the following tablets: G 61 (a wife gives evidence against her husband concerning a debt consisting of certain valuables and a slave); G 22 (the accused is fined five slaves. The cause of the fine is unknown due to the tablet being partially defaced); HSS V 45; 50, both record a fine of two slaves (a-na II \(\begin{align*}{l} R^{MES} \) a-na mu-ul-li-e it-ta-du-u\(\delta\)).

(KDFL 16) among the witnesses who surveyed the purchased houses there is a palace handmaid (l. 32) and a slave, scribe of a certain woman, who also wrote the contract. In HSS V 70: 26 (KDFL 22) there is among the witnesses another slave ("the servant of the palace») who is also a scribe. 1 Further in N I 78: 33 one of the witnesses is a »servant of the palace». Slaves were entrusted with even more important business. In HSS IX 7 a slave deputizes in a lawsuit for his master Silwatesup son of the king. The slave accuses a certain man for having cut timber in the orchard of the prince. Because the accused one denied the statement they were both sent to be tried by water ordeal. The result is left for the king to decide. In another tablet (HSS IX 14) a slave of the same Silwatesup transacts business (sale of barley) for his master. In N II 140 another slave gives a declaration of the transfer of a field on behalf of his master or for himself. In HSS IX 34 a slave getting old is given five men for assistance in supervising certain fields of Silwatesup, for which fields these men are to be held responsible in the future.

In connection with legacies, wills, testaments the slaves are often mentioned as items of the property to be inherited: GEME-ia (HSS V 70: 8, 9 KDFL 22); I GEME (HSS V 71: 15 = KDFL 19); (HSS V 72: 37, 38 = KDFL 21) »of the handmaids (i-na $GEME^{ME\check{S}}$) each according to his share shall take»; GEME (HSS V 73: 33 = KDFL 20); I GEME-ia (HSS V 74: 17, 18 = KDFL 23); GEME (TCL IX 41: 25). On the other hand in HSS IX 29 a slave is appointed as guardian over certain valuables, of which the legatee is already named. During the life-time the testator is entitled to

¹ In JENu, 270 there is a case of a scribe (not called Habiru or slave) obligated to serve Tehiptilla as long as his master lives. After his masters death he may leave the service of his son and heir if he can provide as a substitute another man who is also a scribe. Chiera, AJSL, p. 122.

² TCL IX 41 is a lengthy record of the settlement of property. At the end of the specified divisions the testator adds an interesting clause concerning some old documents, now annulled; in the future no new deeds shall be written (l. 31—37).

use these valuables. HSS IX 30 is a declaration, according to which Paitesup, the clerk of Silwatesup is given a field.¹

In the declaration given in N II 163 two persons dispute over a slave who was borrowed by a third person. HSS IX 6 is an official letter, according to which a handmaid has been bought, but afterwards the buyer brings an action against the seller, who is a son of the king. The king orders the man to be sent to him as quickly as possible. In HSS V 73 (KDFL 20) a husband gives all his possessions to his wife. His sons shall serve her in everything, if one does not obey, she has the right to punish him by making him as a servant by placing the slave-mark on his foot, but may not disinherit him. - In G 42 is mentioned that a palace handmaid brought up a free girl until the marriage of the girl. N II 139 speaks of a handmaid giving a receipt over a certain quantity of valuables which she has received probably as a reward for having nursed a small child.2 In G 50 among the items of inheritance a cloak for a slave is mentioned. In HSS IX 89 three persons each give a receipt for a certain amount of victuals. One of them is a slave, who also fixes his seal with the two others.3

¹ a-na ma-ka₄-an-na, »as a present» (ma-ka₄-an-na is probably a Subarean word). Cf. KDFL, p. 64. For the slaves of Silwatesup who transact business see further: HSS IX 150 (Paitesup loans bricks belonging to his master) and 152 (Siliperwa receives the payment of a debt).

² Unfortunately the document is badly defaced in its vital point: (1)

EME-šu ša †E-du-pa-ša (2) GEME ša — — — (5) 2 si-a-na-tu₄ I TAL

IÁ IV UDU^{MEŠ} (6) a-šar ^mEn-na-ma-ti ki-ma (7) te?-ha-GAB [— —]

hu — — — su-ha-ru (8) el-te-qi ù ap-la-ku-mi, cf. VAB V, p. 122.

³ The receipts are given to Silwatesup for an amount of barley; (6) mUk - ka_4 -a-a $1R^{du_4}$ (7) § a mIp -ha-tar-me il-qi. Cf. »Seal of H. slave of P.» (HSS IX 34: 36) and the cases, where Habiru slaves are used as witnesses, see p. 86.

Names of slaves in the Kirkuk documents.

- 1) A-kap-ur-hi HSS V 66: 2, 7, 25
- 2) A-kip-LUGAL (of Te-hi-ip-til-la) N I 26: 8, 9
- 3) Ar-ta-še-en-ni $^{L\dot{U}}NANGAR$, carpenter (of Wu-ur-te-šup) N III 290: 15
- 4) At-ti-ia HSS IX 10: 1, 7
- 5) ^fA-wa-šu-hur (of Ak-ku-ia) HSS V 71: 15
- 6) $DUMU^{-d}U + DAR$ (of Ta-ak-ku) N II 120: 6, 19, 21
- 7) †E-du-pa-ša (of I-ri-ri-til-la) N II 139: 1
- 8) El-hí-ip-til-la (of Hi-iš-me-ia) N III 280: 7

Studia Orientalia V 3

^{1.} Also G 47: 40. The first element is the Subarean akap, see G, no. 23. Cf. the many names with akap as the first component. For the second element cf. the numerous Kirkuk names starting with urhi (see G, no. 28) and ending with urhe. See also APN, p. 271. When the list does not give the owner of the slave, Silwatesup, the prince is to be understood: 1, 4, 12, 18, 19, 21, 23, 27.

^{2.} The first element is the Sub. verb ak, *to offer*, with an ending -ip, cf. elh+ip., no. 8. The second component is not necessarily to be read *sarru (king), but rather as a Sub. word (see Gustavs, OLZ, 1911, col. 343; APN p. 19). Cf. Akip-tilla (2: 11) and E-en-ša-ru (HSS V 61: 15 = KDFL 41) and Rimi-šar-ma, king of Halap, Weidner, Boghazkői-Studien, 8 (1923), p. 81. For the component *sar* see further APN, p. 309.

^{3.} Arta+šenni (brother). This Sub. name occurs very frequently in the Kirkuk documents.

^{4.} The ending -ia is a hypocoristic element, to be found below in many other names in this list.

^{6.} Cf. the two similar names in the list of Habiru slaves (15, 16).

^{7.} Perhaps Akkadian, "Her mouth is unique".

^{8.} Elh represents a Sub. verb with the ending ip; tilla in Subarean means slords, cf. Elhip-šarru (G, no. 145) Elhip-tilla (G. no. 146).

- 9) fHa-lu-ia (of Hu-tar-ra-ap-he) N II 179: 3, 11, 17
- 10) Ha-na-a-a (of Pè-en-ti) HSS IX 34: 2, 6, 19, 25, 27, 31, 36
- 11) Ḥa-ni-ú-ia (of Ḥi-iš-mi-te-šup son of the king) HSS IX 94: 3, 10, 11
- 12) Hi-in-sú-ra-a-a HSS IX 145: 5, 6, 17, 22, 27
- 13) *Ip-šá-ḥa-lu* (of?) N II 195: 1
- 14) I-ri-ša-pa (of Te-hi-ip-til-la) N I 50: 8, 9
- 15) Ir-ra-si (»scribe, servant of the palace») HSS V 70: 26
- 16) Ir-wi-hu-ta (»servant of the palace») N I 78: 33
- 17) ^fKu-uk-ku-ú-ra-aš-še (of Pa-e) HSS IX 25: 2, 14
- 18) Ku-ú-pá-a-sa HSS IX 21: 3, 9, 20, 28 (Ku-pá-a-sa) 1, 15
- 19) Ku-up-pá-ti-ia HSS IX 7: 1, (Ku-up-pa-ti-ia) 16
- 20) ^fMi-ni-i-ku (of A-kap-še-en-ni son of Si-gi) HSS V 74: 18; 101: 1, 7
- 21) Pa-i-te-šup HSS IX 19: 3, 13, 14, 23, 28; 22: 2, 5, 13, 17, 21, 25; 29: 15, 22. (Pá-i-te-šup) HSS IX 20: 3, 11, 12, 18, 27, 29, 34, 35; 22: 10
- 22) [†]Pu-ur-hu-un-ni (of Te-hi-ip-til-la) N II 118: 3, 14
- 23) Si-li-pè-ir-wa HSS IX 152: 9, 12, 17, 20, 23
- 24) ${}^t\!\check{S}i\text{-}ta\text{-}gi$ (of Si-gi son of Ak-ku-ia) HSS V 73: 33

^{9.} Cf. Ipša+halu, no. 13, Halu+ya (hypocor.). This female slave originates from Arrapha.

^{10.} *Ḥana+ya* (hypocor.), cf. also G, no. 185. For *Penti* cf. APN, p. 302. For a land called *Ḥana* see Hommel, Ethnologie u. Geographie, p. 393.

^{11.} *Ḥaniu+ya* (hardly a *nisbe* ending for the land *Ḥani*), also G, no. 193. See Hommel, p. 479.

^{12.} Cf. Hi-in-sú-ri (27: 8) a male name.

^{13.} Ipša+halu, cf. no. 9 and 7: 29; 27: 18.

^{14.} $Iri+\xi apa$, cf. the numerous names with ari as their first component, also G, no. 52—69.

^{16.} Irwi+huta, cf. the Sub. irwa »king».

^{17.} Cf. 14: 2.

^{18.} Cf. 12: 3 etc.

^{19.} Kuppati+ya (hypocor.), cf. Ku-ú-pá-a-ti, 29: 24.

^{20.} Cf. Silli-kūbi (MI.NI-ku-bi) a male Habiru name.

^{21.} Cf. $P\acute{a}$ -i-i-ta (21: 34), Pa-i-til-la (5: 23 etc.), Pa-i-sarru (G, no. 352).

- 25) [†]Ši-ta-na-aš-te (of Ah-li-te) TCL IX 46: 4, 16, 41
- 26) [†]Sur-qu-[ma-a-ri] (of [†]Ḥi-in-ba) TCL IX 41: 24
- 27) Šu-ši-ib-dUTU HSS IX 14: 5, 12, 16, 18
- 28) Ta-am-pu-še-en-[ni] (of En-na-ma-ti) N III 280: 5
- 29) Tù-ul-tu-uk-kà (of Ḥi-iš-mi-te-šup, son of the king) HSS IX 94: 2, 9, 12
- 30) Uk-ka₄ (of Ip-ha-tar-me) HSS IX 89: 6, 16
- 31) ${}^{\dagger}\dot{U}$ -la-ma-aš-ši (of U-na-ap-te-šup) HSS V 100: 1, 7, 10
- 32) U-nu-mu-šá-lim (of ^fSú-har-ti-ia) HSS V 68: 32
- 33) ^fUš-ku-te (of — -ri) N II 111: 11, 15
- 34) [†]Ya-la-am-pá (of Še-en-ni-ma) HSS V 59: 21, 23; Ya-la-am-pa 23: 3
- 25. The second half of the name aš-te may represent the Sub. word for woman, cf. ^fAš-te (G, no. 90).
- 26. Cf. Sur-qu-ma-a-ri (TCL IX 44: 25), Sur-ku-ma-ri (TCL IX 14: 22; 29: 23) and Sur-ki-til-la (22: 6; 33: 15). The first component (surku, surki) is safely Subarean, the second part may originate from the name of the land of Mari, (cf. Landsberger, ZA (35), p. 235). This Hinba and Suhartiya (no. 32) are the only female owners of slaves in the list of slave names. Cf. Tulpunnaya, p. 60—61.
- 27. »Set, O Šamaš», a rare Akk. name. This Semitic slave is owned by a Subarean.
 - 28. Tampu+senni (brother in Sub.).
- 29. Tult+ukka; cf. Ukka (no. 30), In ADD, 812, L. E., there is Uk-ka, f. of $B\bar{e}l$ - $mu\check{s}allim$.
- 31. Ul-amaššī »I do not forget». Cf. I-maš-ši, Ilu-ul-am-ši and other names with the verb ເພ່ນ, APN, p. 295.
- 32. The first part preceding the Akk. mušallim may be an ideogram. (In the Kirkuk documents the u is written u or u). Also the owner seems to have an Akk. name: $Su\dot{-}har-ti-ia$, a fem. form of $suh\bar{a}ru$ + the ending-ia.

Names of Habiru slaves.

- 1) A-at-ka_-la-dŠamaš
- 2) A-pil-ku-bi, son of Sin-e-ri-iš
- 3) dAdad-rābi*
- 4) dAdad-mi-i-lu*
- 5) fA-[ha]-ti-ia
- 6) Arad-ku-bi
- 7) Arad-dTaš-me-tum*
- 8) A-ri-te-šup
- 9) At-ti-ila-mu awēl tupsarru*
- 10) At-ti-la-am-mu awēl aš-šu-ra-a-a-ú
- 11) E-ri-ib-lu son of dAdad-ma-ilu

These 35 numbers are from unpublished tablets (see p. 61, 63).
 Atkala-dŠamaš, »I had confidence in Šamaš»; PSBA IX 292, Muss-Arnolt p. 240. Šamaš-taklāk, APN, p, 311.

 [»]Son of Kūbu» (God of foetus, birth-giving); cf. APN, p. 257 and below no. 6, 26; Sin-e-ri-iš, »Sin has planted».

^{3.} Cf. no. 11, 27. The names marked with an asterisk (*) are not actually described as *Ḥabiru*, but internal evidence proves that they were regarded as such.

^{4. »}Adad is abundance», cf. no. 11.

^{5. »}My sister» or a hypocoristicon, cf. 13.

^{6. »}Servant of $K\bar{u}bu$ ». ($Arad^{-d}Ku^{-b}e$, APN, p. 314.) Deimel, Pantheon, 1691.

^{7. »}Servant of Tašmet», (an Akkadian divinity), APN, p. 28.

Ari + Tešup, »Tešup has given». For the Subarean verb ar see Bork,
 op. cit.

^{9.} This and the following variation (no. 10) is hard to be analyzed. With regard to 9. see p. 79, note 1.

^{11.} Abbr. from Erība-ilu »God has rewarded», APN p. 76.

- 12) Hu-lu-ki
- 13) fd Ištar-a-ha-at
- 14) I-li-ú-tum
- 15) Māri-dIštar*
- 16) Māri-dIštar
- 17) Ma-ri-i-ti-ig-la-at
- 18) Na-an-tešup
- 19) Nu-ur-pí-a
- 20) Par-ku-ul-lu
- 21) Qí-iš-a-mu-ur-ri son of Ta-te-ni
- 22) Sin-iddinana
- 23) †Sin-bá-al
- 24) fSin-bá-al-tì
- 25) dŠamaš-gar-ra-ad son of A-šar-mu-ša-li-im
- 26) Silli-ku-bi
- 27) Ta-ri-bá-tum
 - 13. This woman is from Akkad.
 - 14. -utum, a hypocoristic element.
 - 15. This Māri-dIštar (»Son of Ištar») comes from the land of In-za-al-ti.
 - 16. This Habiru is from the land of Akkad.
 - 17. »Son of Tigris», also from Ashur.
- 18. The same form occurs: G. 50: 42. Na-an-Tešup, BE XV. For the element nana, see APN, p. 297, APN, p. 314. Cf. Na-ni-ia, G 10: 37; Na-an-te-e-a, G 66: 8; Na-ni-ip-til-la, G 28: 32.
 - 19. Perhaps Akkadian »Light of my mouth».
 - 20. »Stonemason», originally a professional name.
- Abbr. from »Qīštu-Amurri, »Present of Amurru», cf. Qīšti-Adad etc.
 APN p. 304.
 - 22. Cf. APN p. 199.
 - 23. Probably [?] an abbreviation from Sin-bá-al-ți.
 - 24. Cf. Sin-uballit, »Sin has called into life», APN p. 274. [Sin-balti]
 - 25. »Šamaš is a warrior».
 - 26. $*K\bar{u}bu$ is my protection».
- 27. Ta-ri- $b\acute{a}$ -tum, from the land of Akkad (ak-ka4- $d\grave{i})$. Note the masc. sex of the owner of this name in a fem. form. See $Tar\bar{i}bu$, »Reward», APN p. 306, 230—31.

- 28) †Tu-un-tu-ku
- 29) U- . . ma?-pu-ur
- 30) Wa-aš-qa-bi-ia*
- 31) Ur-ti-i-ti-ig-la-at son of Ša-tu-ia
- 32) Wa-hu-lu-ki*
- 33) fWa-ti-ia
- 34) [f]Wa-ti-e
- 35) — <u>h</u>a-a-a- son of <u>Še-en-na-a-a</u>
 - — ha-a-a
 - — ri son of Māri-ešri

The form Habira occurs as a personal name in the following cases:

mḤa-bi-ra DUMU Ti — (G 14: 3, 5) Seal of Ḥa-bi-ra, l. 17;
mḤa-bi-ra DUMU Šu-ri-ḥi-lu (G 53: 15) IGI Ḥa-bi-ra DUMU Nu-li
(N I 6: 16) IGI Ḥa-bi-ra DUMU Šum-mi-ia (N I 13: 35) mI-la-a-a
DUMU Ḥa-bi-ra (HSS V 4: 2) IGI An-ni-šu DUMU Ḥa-bi-ra
(HSS V 18: 14) IGI Sigi DUMU Ḥa-bi-ra (HSS V 70: 24) IGI
Ḥa-bi-ra DUMU EN^{lu} (N III 299: 17) Ḥa-bi-ra, father of El-ḥi-ipLUGAL (TCL IX 8)

^{28.} Perhaps $Tuntu + k\bar{u}[bi]$.

^{30.} The owner of this name also belongs to Ashur.

^{31.} Arad-Itiglat, »Servant of Tigris». For Ša-tu-ia (pro Ša-du-ia) cf. Sīn-šadū-ni, »Sin is our mountain» (refuge), 13: 39; Ša-tù-ni, 22: 19; Ša-tù-mi-(-en)-ni, 21: 17, 19; Ša-at-tù- —, 18: 30. Cf. Ša-du-ia as a female name, G 82: 5, Šadāya and Šadūa (hypocor.) APN p. 207.

^{32.} Wa+hu-lu-ki — , cf. no. 12 and $Hu-lu-uk-ka_4$, 21: 6 f., APN, p. 89. 35. Cf. Se-en-na-a-a, 33: 21. Note the -aya hypocoristica in both names; DUMU-UD-XX-[KAM], »Son of the twentieth day».

Names in the 35 documents.

a) Personal names.

(In this list the few names which are partly defaced are distinguished with numbers in different type. Cf. Gadd's personal names with remarks, RA XXIII, p. 71—83.)

A

A-ba-DINGIR 22: 21, 26 (A-bi-DIN-GIR) 10: 45, 47; 16: 12 A-bu-DUG . GA 9: 11; 10: 37; 25: 34 AG-DINGIR-RA 11: 47; 22: 24 Ah-hi-ia 26: 22 Ah-li-pa-pu 12: 37, 47 A-hu-um-mi-ša 27: 17, 25 A-ka4-a-a 14: 28, 34 A-kam-na-ni 24: 2 fA-ka4-pi-el-li 25: 5, 15, 25 A-kap-še-en-ni 2: 1, 4, 7, 8, 13, 16, 21, 22, 26 A-kap-tùk-kí 2: 40; 20: 23, 29 A-ka4-wa-til 15: 2; 22: 18; 25: 43 A-ki-ia 4: 29; 5: 21; 11: 15, 19, 20; 13: 35, 46; 16: 14; 18: 25, 33 A-kip-še-en-ni 10: 38, 51 A-kip-ta-še-en-ni 20: 3; 22; 2; 32; 2, 46, 50 (A-kip-ta-še-ni) 5: 24 A-kip-til-la 2: 11 Ak-ka,-pa 19: 25; 34: 28 Ak-ku-ia 1: 2 A-li-ip — — 25: 32

Al-ki-til-la 7: 1, 14

Al-pu-ia 7: 29

Am-ka₄ 29: 7, 9, 13, 19, 31 Am-pí-sí-na 29: 1 A-mur-LUGAL 13: 39 A-mu-ur-ra-bi 18: 26 A-ni-na-pí 2: 27 An-ni-šu 10: 43, 55, 21: 31, 45; 25: 36; 29: 25, 28 A-pí-pí-tù 7: 24 A-pu-uš-ka 16: 3, 37 A-ri-gi-el-pi 10: 41 A-ri-gi-mar 25: 2 A-ri-ha-a-a 2: 5, 11, 13, 39 A-ri-ia 19: 27 A-ri-ih-ha 19: 3, 5, 13, 16, 18, (A-ri-ha) 23: 28 A-ri-ih-ha-ma-an-na 32: 49, 51 (A-riha-ma-an-na) 34: 22, 30; 35: 22; Ar-ha-ma-an-na 35: 32 A-ri-il-lu 26: 18 A-ri-ip-pa-ap-ni 19: 31 A-ri-ip-še-ri-iš 10: 9, 11; 11: 9 A-ri-wa-al-ti-ú 12: 21 Ar-na-pu 33: 13, 23 Ar-ráp-ha-ri 9: 18 Ar-ru-um-ti 11: 2 Ar-šá-an-ta 2: 27 (Ar-ša-an-ta) 33: 16 Ar-šá-a-pu 11: 44, 55

Ar-ša-tù-ia 2: 9

Ar-še-ih-li 2: 33

Ar-ši-mi-ka₄ (Ar-Simika) 10: 35; 21: 36

Ar-ta-e 33: 18

Ar-ta-še-en-ni 5: 27; 32: 4, 12, 16, 19, 26, 29, 50.

Ar-te-ia 2: 33; 34: 3

Ar-te-še 11: 39

Ar-tù-ra 14: 26

A-ru-ma-ri 22: 20

Ar-wi-ia 23: 26

Aš-ta-ri 7: 26

Aš-tar-te-šup 28: 1, 19, 24

A-ta-a-a 21: 37

At-ti-ia 6: 1, 7

A-wi-i-lu 16: 15

\mathbf{B}

Be-lum-ni-ra-ri 24: 20

D

DINGIR-SUM 4: 31 $DINGIR\text{-}TUG^{\S u}$ 13: 37, 42 $Di\text{-}te\text{-}na\text{-}^dIM$ 35: 23 $DUMU\text{-}^dU+DAR$ 25: 7, 19, 21 (DUMU-U+DAR) 21: 37, 43

E

E-en-na-ma-ti 4: 3, 12; 5: 2, 6, 7, 12; 10: 2; 21: 1, 11, 16, 23, 44; 23, 4, 7, 10, 16, 28; 25: 7, 10; 27: 1, 12; 26; 29: 26; 31: 3, 6, 7. (En-na-ma-ti); 32: 44; 34: 2, 7, 9, 13, 16, 18, 20; 35: 2, 5, 8, 12, 14, 19, 36

E-en-šuk-rù 22: 22

E-gi-gi 26: 21, 26

E-hi-el-te-sup 28: 29

El-hi-ip!-til-la 24: 21; 31: 8

El-[li] 14: 28

E-na-pí 23: 26

E-na-pu 23: 31

E-ni-iš-ta-e 19: 25; 29: 25, 30; 33: 1, 3,

6, 7, 10, 22; 34: 28, 31.

En-na-pa-li 25: 3, 12, 17

En-ni-gi 35: 4, 6, 12, 15, 31, 34, 35

En-ŠEŠ-šu 16: 12

E-ra-ti 26: 22

E-ri-ma 28: 25

E-te-ia 27: 21

E-wi-en-na- - 34: 23

G

Gi-el-gi 7: 22

Gi-e-li-ia 11: 16

Gi-el-te-šup 20: 5, 7, 10, 14: 28: 14

Gi-eš-ha-a-a 2: 10

Gi-li-ip-til-la 18: 30, 34

Gi-ni-ia 23: 24

H

Ha-i-ra-an-nu 28: 26

Ha-iš-te-šup 2: 29, 30; 9: 2

Ha-li- - 26: 18

fHa-lu-ia 28: 11, 17

Ha-ma-an-na 10: 43; 13: 37; 29: 28;

33: 16.

Ḥa-na-a-a 9: 21, 22, 31; 11: 45; 12:

39, 45; 16: 1, 6, 19, 25, 27, 31, 36 *Ha-na-ak-ka*₄ 19: 22, 33; 21: 32, 42;

25: 37; 26: 20 (Ha-na-ka₄) 22: 19, 28

Ha-na-tum 21: 16, 19, 25; 34: 3, 5, 8,

10, 15, 19

Ha-ni-ú 7: 28

Ha-ni-ú-ia 23: 2

Hap-tu4 11: 41

Ha-ši-ia 25: 1, 11, 17; 26: 19

Ha-ši-ip-til-la 3: 3, 19; 10: 35, 49; 21:

5, 9, 13

Ha-ši-pa-pu 12: 34

Ha-ta-me-ir-sa 19: 2, 7, 10, 11, 12

Hé-ir-ši 14: 32, 39

Hé-sal-la 19: 30; 35: 24

Hi-in-sú-ri 27: 8

Hi-iš-me-ia 31: 2, 6, 9, 14

Hi-iš-mi-te-šup 18: 5, 8, 11, 18

Hu-i-te 19: 20, 32; 32: 45

Hu-i-til-la 24: 28

Hu-lu-uk-ka₄ 19: 24; 21: 6, 8, 15, 22,

24; 35: 27

Hu-pi-ta 14: 25

Hu-[tar]-ma-ti 24: 26

#u-tar-ra-ap-he 28: 6; (#u-tar-ra-aphe) 28: 12, 20; 32: 7, 9, 17, 20, 24,

27, 30, 35

Hu-ti-ia 2: 28; 5: 28; 9: 19, 26; 18: 26;

19: 27; 23: 23; 24: 6, 11, 16; 25: 36,

40; 26: 23, 25.

Hu-ti-ip-a-pu 9: 20, 29

Hu-ti-ip-LUGAL 5: 25

Hu-ti-ip-til-la 7: 27, 30

Hu-[up-til]-la 24: 23

Hu-ut-te-šup 9: 18, 25

1

Ik-ki-ia 2: 11

Ik-ku-ia 33: 12

Ik-ku-i-ú 33: 22

Ìl-la-mi-ni-pu-uš 24: 25, 30

Im-pur-tum 19: 24

 f_{Im} -še-en-na-a-a-2: 2, 6, 15, 20, 21,

24, 30, 37

I-na-ap-[še 12: 38

In-ti-ia 34: 29

Ip-šá-a-a 9: 23; 11: 44; 24: 21

Ip-šá-ha-lu 7: 29, 36; 27: 18; 30: 1

 $IR^{-d}U + DAR$ 21: 34

I-ri-ri-til-[la] 12: 7; 28: 2

I-ri-ša-pa 19: 8, 9

I-ri-šu 29: 22

I-ru-ia 18: 28, 40

I-šá-pá-an- - - 34: 24

It-ha-a-pu 9: 22; 25: 33, 42; 34: 24

It-ha-pi-hi 22: 39; 29: 27

It-hi-ip-LUGAL 18: 29, 36

It-hi-iš-ta 31: 2; 33: 18

It-hi-til-la 5: 22; 22: 18

It-ti-LUGAL 32: 47

K

Ka-ak-ki-še 35: 21, 32

 Ka_4 -ar-sí-ia 21: 35

Ka4-in-nu-ia 7: 3, 6

 Ka_4 -na-a-a 8: 25

Kàr-ra-te 12: 35, 42

Ka4-ru-as-sà 18: 29

Kà/ka-ti-ri 26: 19, 27

Ki-in-ni-ia 23: 29

Ki-ip-ta-li-li 11: 40, 51

Ki-i-ri-[ip]-til-la 24: 24.

Ki-ir-ka4 23: 24, 30

Ki-eš-te-e 20: 28 (Ki-iš-te-[e] 20: 25

Ki-li-ia 19: 28

Ki-me-la-ta 19: 19

Ki-pi-ia 24: 22

Kip-ta-e 34: 25

Ku-a-ri 32: 40

Kur-ku-tar 2: 41

Ku-sú-ti 29: 30

Ku-uk-ku-ú-ra-aš-še 14: 2

Ku-un-nu-un-na-a-a 9: 23, 28

Ku-ú-pá-a-sa 12: 3, 9, 10, 15, 23, 28

Ku-ú-pá-a-ti 29: 24

Ku-up-pá-ti-ia 4: 1, 16

Ku-us-sà-ri-ia 1:1 (Ku-sà-ri-ia) 27:18

Ku-uš-ši-i 23: 23

Ku-uš-ši-ia 9: 19; 11: 45, 50; 24: 6;

34: 32

Ku-us-sú 10: 41, 48

M

Ma-aš-ka₄-an-ta-ar 1: 3 Ma-la-ḫi-[ma- - -] 24: 25

Ma-li-ia 19: 20, 32; 25: 40

Ma-li-ik-na-kúr 25: 34 (Ma-li-ik-nakúr-ru) 25: 41

MAR. TU-ia 18: 32, 39

Ma-sí-ia 9: 11

Mu-ša-li-en-ni 22: 5, 8, 10, 14

Mu-ša-pu 1: 1, 7

Mu-uš-te-ia 27: 23; 33: 19

Mu-uš-te-šup 1: 12; 23: 27, 32; 25: 38; 32: 13, 23

N

Na-an-na-tah 2: 42

Na-gi-pu 35: 23, 34

Na-hi-is-sal-mu 35: 26

Na-i-še-ri 22: 20, 27

Na-iš-gi-el-pì 33: 14

Na-i-[te-šup] 4; 28; 18: 25

Na-ni-[ia] 14: 29

Ne-maš-še 1: 10

Ni-ih-ri-ia 18: 27, 38; 33: 12; 35: 26, 33

Ni-ik-ri-ia 7: 25, 37

Ni-ki-a 24: 20

Ni-nu-a-ri 10: 38

Ni-ra-ri 15: 23

Nu-la-sà-hi 11: 7

Nu-ri-ia 16: 15

Nu-ul-te-šup 7: 21, 33

Nu-us-sà 25: 33

P

Pa-e 14: 1, 12, 16, 23

Pá-i-it-ta 21: 34

Pa-i-te-šup 10: 3, 13, 14, 21, 23, 27, 28; 11: 3, 11, 12, 18, 27, 29, 32, 33;

13: 2, 5, 10, 12, 17, 21, 25; 15: 15, 22

Pa-i-til-la 5: 23; 12: 2; 32: 40

Pal-te-e-a 25: 32, 42; 27: 19, 21, 24

Pa-pa-an-te 7: 26

Pè-en-ti 16: 2, 36

Pí-ri-a-sà-na 12: 2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 22,

25, 43

Pì-ri-at-ti 20: 24

Pí-ru 33: 14

Pu-hi-ia 10: 40; 13: 32

Pu-hi-še-en-ni 1: 12; 16: 11; 18: 31;

19: 6

[†]Pu-hu-ia 21: 4, 7, 8, 15, 22, 25

Pu-hu-up-pi 29: 32

Pu-i-ta-e 3: 1; 32: 44, 52

^fPu-ku-li 21: 2, 7, 8, 18, 20

Pur-na-aš-šu-ka4 5: 2

Pu-ú-ia 16: 13 (cf. fPu-hu-ia)

fPu-ur-hu-un-ni 23: 3, 14

S

Ša-an-šá-pu 7: 22, 31

Ša-ar-te 16: 14

Ša-ar-te-šup 5: 23; 28: 29

Ša-ar-til-la 4: 31

Ša-at-tù-ia 16: 11

Ša-li-pè-li 10: 44

Šal-li-ia 16: 13

Sà-nu-nu 26: 21

Sa-ri-ik-[ki] 14: 1

Sar-ri-ia 34: 27

Ša-šu-ri 14: 4, 6, 13, 17

Šá-ta-am-mu-uš-ni 28: 28

 $\check{S}\acute{a}$ -t \grave{u} -gi- ωi 8: 24 ($\check{S}a$ -at-t \grave{u} -[gi- ωi])

18: 30

^fŠa-tù-mi-ni 21: 19 (^fŠa-tù-mi-en-ni)

21: 17

Ša-tù-ni 22: 19

Ša-wa-a-a-ma 15: 1, 19, 20

Še-en-na-a-a 33: 21

Še-hal-te-šup 8: 26; 14: 27

Še-ir-pa-taš-ši 5: 22

Še-ir-ši-a 24: 23; 29: 21

Še-ka₄-a-a 8: 1, 9, 14, 17, 19, 23.

[Še]-kàr-til-la 24: 22

Še-ka₄-rù 19: 22, 23; 21: 32; 23: 25

Še-pi-ia 19: 21, 31

Še-ri-šá-ri 24: 24, 28

Sí-gi 2: 1; 12: 36, 46; 18: 31; 29: 26;

34: 26

Sí-ik-ni-ia 11: 52

Ši-il-wa-a-a 11: 41, 56

Ši-il-wa-te-šup 3: 12; 4: 2, 4, 14;

5: 1, 4, 10, 14, 18; 6: 2; 7: 7, 10,

13, 16; 8: 6, 22; 9: 1, 5, 10, 16; 10: 3;

11: 4, 10; 12: 3; 13: 3; 14: 5; 15: 16;

16: 5, 23, 35; 21: 43

Ši-im- — 35: 22

Sí-i-ni 34: 4

Si-ir-ra-mi-ni 29: 22, 31

Ši-ir-wi-ia 12: 36

Si-ka,-a-a 7: 20; 18: 28

Si-ku(-u)-ia 27: 2, 4, 5, 10, 11

Sí-ku-ra 14: 25

Sí-li-ia 25: 4

Sí-li-im-ha-ma-an-na 13: 36, 43

Sí-li-im-na-a-a 27: 3, 6

Sí-li-ip-kur-na 20: 26

Sí-li-pa-a-pu 29:33 (Sí-li-pa-pu) 4:29;

29: 23

Ši-ma-an-ni 22: 23

Ši-ma-dIš-tar 11: 47

Ši-mi-ia 2: 35

Ši-mi-ka-ri 18: 7 (Ši-mi-ka₄-ri) 18:

10, 15

Sí-na-pu 19: 5

dSIN-KUR-ni 13: 39

SIN-na-din-ah-hi 33: 17

Sí-rip-pá-am-pa 32: 49

Šu-dIM 27: 16

Šuk-ri-ia 4: 27; 23: 22, 30

Šuk-ri-te-šup 11: 1, 13, 14, 53

Sú-me 27: 16 (Sú-ú-me) 35: 24

Su-mu-li-ib-ši 23: 27 Šu-ri-ka₄-a-a 2: 11

Sur-ki-til-la 22: 6; 33: 15

Sur-ku-ma-ri 29: 23

Šu-ru-ia 27: 20

Šu-ru-uk-ka 11: 40

Šu-ši-ib-dUTU 8: 5, 12, 16, 18

Sú-ú-mi 19: 19, 23, 30

Su-um-ka4-ti-tum 24: 2, 14

Sú-un-na-a-a 7: 2, 14, 18

Sú-un-sú 34: 29; 35: 29

Šu-ur-te-e-a 28: 27

Šu-ur-til-la 4: 3, 10, 12

T

Ta-a-a 4: 30; 7: 20; 9: 24; 15: 23;

33: 20, 24

Ta-a-a-ni 12: 39

Ta-a-e 24: 27, 29 (Ta-e) 7: 24, 32;

35: 4, 21, 27 (Ta-i) 28: 27

Ta-ak-ku 25: 7, 26

Ta-am-pu-še-en-[ni] 31: 5

Ta-an-te-a 13: 33

fTa-a-pu- \acute{u} -ri-tum 24: 9, 12

Ta-hi-ri-iš-ti 10: 36; 12: 34; 13: 34

Ta-i-ka, 9: 21, 30; 12: 38, 44

Ta-in-si 20: 25

Ta-i-te-a 13: 33, 40

Ta-i-til-la 22: 21, 28

Ta-mar-ta-e 15: 7, 11, 13, 17

Tar-mi-ia 7: 28, 35; 19: 26; 27: 19

Tar-mi-te-šup 5: 20; 10: 2, 12, 15, 20,

23, 24, 54; 21: 33, 44; 28: 24

Tar-mi-til-la 22: 9, 12, 15, 17

Tar-mu 7: 25

Ta-ú-ka, 7: 27

Te-hi-ia 2: 34; 27: 15; 34: 31

Un-ku-pí-sí 28: 25

Te-hi-ip-til-la 19: 6, 7, 10, 14, 15, 18; 23: 1, 5; 31: 3: 33: 3, 6, 8, 11; 34: 2; 35: 2 (Te-hi-ip-LUGAL) 20:26

Te-hi-še-en-ni 27: 20, 22

Te-hu-up-pí 29: 21

Te-šu-ia 1: 11; 4: 28

Ti-e-eš-wa-nu-uh-ni 11: 8

Ti-iš-ši-mi-ka₄ 27: 17, 20, 22

Ti-ri-ku 13: 35

Ti-šá-am-mu-uš-ni 28: 7; 32: 8

Tu-li-ia 12: 18

Tup-ki-ia 1: 3; 13: 1, 5, 11, 20, 22

Tup-ki-til-la 20: 22, 27, 28; 28: 22, 27, 28

Tup-pi-ia 6: 4

Tù-ra-a-a 10: 44, 56 Tù-ra-ar-te-šup 10: 10, 37, 50; 14: 30; 22: 1, 27

Tù-ra-ri 9: 24, 27; 10: 40, 57; 13: 32, 41; 18: 24, 35; 26: 10; 33: 21

 $T\dot{u}$ -ri- - 34: 22 $T\dot{u}$ -ul- $t\dot{u}k$ - ka_4 32: 45, 52

U

Un-nu-ka4-a-a 21: 35 Ú-pá-ri-ia 34: 30 Ur-hi-ia 8: 1, 10, 23; 19: 28, 34; 23: 25, 31 Ur-hi-te 8: 25 Ur-hi-te-šup 5: 28; 7: 23; 9: 20; 12: 37 Ur-hi-til-la 10: 36, 52; 13: 34, 44 Ur-ši 33: 17 [†]Uš-ku-te 20: 11, 15 fUs-na 29: 2, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14 (fÚ-usna) 25: 9, 14, 20 U-ta-a-a 2: 28, 34 Ut-hap-ta-e 2: 41; 7: 21; 14: 26, 31; 18:23 Ut-pu-ia 14: 27, 33 Ut-túl-ha-a-a 25: 35, 43 dUTU-da-am-mi-iq 21: 38; 29: 27 dUTU-DINGIR-AŠ.KUR 24: 26 dUTU-MA . AN . SUM 18; 32; 26: 24 dUTU-ri-sú-ia 14: 30, 35

w

Y

Ya-ri-el-li 21: 18, 20

b) Topographical names.

 $URU_{A-ka_4-a-a-\check{s}e}$ 16: 1 ($URU_{A-ka-a-a-\check{s}e}^{ME\check{S}}$) 16: 4.

 $URU_{An-zu-gal-lim}$ 3: 6, 7.

KURAr-ra-ap-he 28: 3; 30: 14, 18; (Ar-ráp-ha-ri 9: 18; Hu-tar-ra-ap-hé 32: 7), URU DINGIR^{MES} (āl ilāni, »city of the gods», probably the temple area of the city of Arrapha, cf. Gadd, p. 52, 64, NKRA, p. 10) 10: 8.

KURHa-lì 16:3.

KURHa-ni-gal-bat 1: 5; 9: 2.

KUR Kip-ri 8: 11, 15.

KURKu-kap-šu-hé-na 28: 4.

 URU_{Nu-si} 10: 5; 10: 34; 11: 6; 11: 49; 12: 5, 32; 13: 30; 14: 21; 18: 22; 22: 16, 25, 30.

URUPa-ha-ra-aš-wa 8: 7; 8: 20; 16: 17, 33.

Terms and phrases concerning slaves and related subjects.

abbuttu (slave-mark).

abbutta ana A. nadānu, HSS IX 12: 13; abbutta muššuru (HSS V 73: 22). amtu (female slave).

amat ēkallim (handmaid of the palace) G 9: 2; 42: 3; HSS V 68: 32; ana amtūti nadānu (to sell into slavery) G 52: 7; HSS V 67: 35; ana amtūti šūrubu (to cause to enter into slavery) Ch. 8: 3; ana amūti (a-mu-ti) šūrubu, AJSL (1933), p. 119; lū amtūtu lū ardūtu, Ch. 5: 16.

ardu (male slave).

ana ardūti nadānu (to sell into slavery) G 12: 32; HSS IX 24: 21; ana ardūti šūrubu (to cause to enter into slavery) Ch. 7: 2; ana aššūti ana ardi nadānu (to give as wife for a slave) HSS V 80: 39; arad ēkallim (servant of the palace) Ch. 20: 17, 47, 66; HSS V 70: 26; NI 78: 33 (Contrast mār ēkallim, HSS IX 95: 2); ardū ša ālA (slaves of the city of A) RA XXVIII, p. 8 (1: 12—13); nahlap ardi (cloak of a slave) G 50: 16.

igru (wages of an hireling) ig-ra ù ma-ka₄-la (food) HSS V 98: 5.

re³ū (shepherd, virtually a slave) HSS IX 11: 5, 28; 26: 3; G 77: 10; Sp. 80;
Ch. 20: 19; RA 78: 4, 14; further lists of the shepherds of Silwatesup in HSS IX 49—65; re³u ša ēkallim, Ch. 20: 34.

suhāru (boy servant).

șú-ha-ru (N II 139: 7); I $L\hat{U}$ șú-ha-ar qa-ti-ka $_4$ (HSS V 106: 12), șuhāru 2 ammāti ardu (HSS IX 13: 5); șuhāru ša 2 ammāti u malūti (N III 312: 11). suhartu (girl servant).

suḥartu G 82: 24, 26, 29; HSS V 37: 10, 17; 68: 35; sú-ḥa-ar-tù ki-i li-iq-ti (HSS IX 96: 11); suḥartu amtu (HSS V-37: 2, 5).

šipru (Id.QI or written phonetically), »work».

šipru, HSS IX 88: 19; šipirtu, HSS V 1: 19. The following verbs are employed with šipru:

- 1) $an\bar{a}hu$, »to desert» (ú-ta-na-hu, HSS V 105: 22); Cf $an\bar{a}hu$, »to take pains, to work» (ma-na-ha-tu, »produce of the work», HSS V 60: 6; 67: 6; 71: 26; 74: 8; 73: 6; N I 8: 13).
- 2) $ep\bar{e}\check{s}u$ (HSS V 40: 10, 105: 17, 21; HSS IX 4: 16; N III 314: 26); $\check{s}ipru$ i-ti- $\check{s}u$ -du (Sub.term, or $\sqrt{es\bar{e}du}$?) $ep\bar{e}\check{s}u$, N II 123: 5, 8:
 - 3) halāqu, »to perish» (ina šipri h., N I 293: 11.
 - 4) paţāru (ina šipri p., »to set oneself free from the work». N III 306: 12.
 - 5) rēqu (ina šipri r. »to be absent from the work», N III 312: 20).
 - 6) warādu, »to descend» (ina šipri la ūridū, HSS V 105: 6).
 - 7) ezēbu »to desert», N III 302: 11; 317: 16; note to 32: 29.

Index.

```
\sqrt{ab\bar{a}tu} (to flee away) 29: 18
abbuttu (slave-mark) 1: 7
Adoption, real, p. 73-75; sale-adoption, p. 59-60
aššat ardi 2: 31
aššum awāti annāti, 4: 23
amurrū, p. 32, p. 65.
aweharu (Sub. measure) 11: 5
awēlū u sinnišāti (male and female servants) 33: 2
\sqrt{balkatu} IV<sub>1, 2</sub> (to break the agreement) 9: 17
Bridal price, p. 75-77
Children, born free or born of slaves, p. 76, born into slavery, p. 77, pledged
    into servitude, p. 36, 73
Cloak of a slave, p. 80
Concubine, p. 77
Creditor, p. 71-73
damqu (healthy) 9: 6, 8
Debtor, p. 60-61, 71-73
 dimtu (area, estate) 26: 6, p. 67, n. 1
 elteqī u aplākūmi (I received and am paid) 10: 28; 14: 24
\sqrt{el\bar{u}}, »to appear» (in a lawsuit) 5: 4
 Enslavement for economical conditions, p. 58—60; in case of Habiru, p. 61—63
 ēntu (high priestess) 6: 4
 epra u lubušta (food and clothing) 13: 12
 \sqrt{er\bar{e}bu}, »to enter» (under one's authority) 7: 10
 √erēšu (to demand) 2: 8
 Female slaves, see slave names, p. 81 ff.
 Fine, paid in slaves, p. 70, 78
 Fugitive slave, p. 78
 Guarantee, against legitimate claims, 9: 14; p. 69, 71 — against illegitimate
     claims, ina arki lā išassī, 21: 16—18; p. 71, 72, n. 4
 \sqrt{hab\bar{a}lu} (to be indebted) 24: 7
 \sqrt{hal\bar{a}qu} (to run away) 5: 5, (to get lost) 29: 18
```

hašahušennu (quality of silver) 14: 3, 12

hazannūta epēšu 32: 41

Hurili (a month) 10: 34

(River of) huršān, (of the water ordeal) 4: 24

huzzuru (examination) 1: 9

ilku (feudal tasks) 10: 22

 $ilm\bar{u} \ (= mu\check{s}elw\bar{u})$ 10: 39

ina bābi ašābu 13: 24

ina qitrī (as a gift) 15: 6

inassaq u iliqqī (shall choose and take) 18: 13

Inheritance, p. 45, 74, 76

ištu bītšunu (at their own expense) 21: 26

kallatūtu, p. 74-75, 19: 1

 $\sqrt{la^2\bar{a}bu}$, (to become excited) 2: 15

 $\sqrt{lap\bar{a}tu}$ (to lay hold of) 1: 7

 $\sqrt{le'\bar{u}}$ (to prevail) 2: 23

lišānu-formula p. 69-70

māhis pūti (guaranter) 9: 12

martūtu, p. 74-75, 19: 1

massatuhlu (constable) 2: 36

Merchant, p. 68, 70

mullū (to pay as compensation, fine) 9: 17 etc.; ana mullī, 2: 26

nadinānu 10: 46

 $\sqrt{nad\bar{u}}$ (to fine) 2: 26

 $nag\bar{u}$ (building ground) 12: 6

nasqu (selected) 9: 6, 8

Nursing a child, p. 80

Offspring of slaves, p. 76-77

Ordeal, p. 78-79.

pāni kašādu (to obtain pardon) 4: 31

paqirānu (claimant 9: 14; p. 69, 71

Penal clause p. 67, 69, 70-71

pirqu (claim) 10: 19; 21: 21; p. 69

Pledge, see titennūtu

Price of slaves, p. 70-71; a law laid down by the king, 30: 12-20.

puhizarru (barter?) 8: 6

Punishment, judicial, p. 78—79; extrajudicial, p. 77

Purification oath, by 1) river ordeal, 1: 1; 4: 24; p. 60

2) place of the gods, 3: 22, 37

Points of the compass, p. 18.

qanna mašāru 11: 36, p. 69, n. 2

```
qāsuma epēšu (to strike) 2: 6, 17
```

qīštu »reward» (in sale-adoption) 10: 15

rēša kullu 15: 19

Rights of slaves, p. 78-79; slave married with a free woman, p. 33, 76-77

rīhtu, (rest of payment), p. 42, 71

ruggu (cauldron) 15: 3

Sales of slaves, p. 68-69

šamallūtu (assistance) 16: 18

šaššuku (a tree) 4: 6

šasū (to dispute, to raise claims) 2: 21; 21: 19; p. 71, 72, n. 4

šatāru »to write» (a document) 2: 38; 10: 34 etc.

Seal of a slave, p. 80

Security, see titennūtu

šelliţānu (plenipotentiary) 15: 15

šerru, see slave

Settlement of property, p. 79

sianātum (headdress) 12: 11

Slave-mark, p. 1, 80

Slaves as officials, scribes, witnesses, guardians, deputies, p. 78-79

Stolen slave, 1: 4

SU (synonymous to shekel) 14: 3, 9, 11, 12, 24 etc.

šūdūtu (proclamation) 30: 12

suhāru (boy servant) 7: 5, 9, 12; 21: 10; 34: 1, 11; 35: 10

šumma (in oaths) 4: 6

šupeultu (exchange) 31: 1, 4

sūqu (street) 2: 17

šurrumma (immediately) 21: 12

tahsiltu (memorandum note) 30: 24

tamkar 30: 16; tamkarūtu, p. 68

Temporary servitude, p. 31

tidūkamma epēšu (to fight) 2: 5, 14

tirhatu, p. 75-77

titennūtu, 7: 10; p. 72-73

Trade with slaves, p. 68

untu (ultu, ištu) 4: 38

Upkeep of a slave, p. 73

urihul (upkeep) 32: 32

Usufruct, 7: 10; usufructuary, p. 73, n. 1, see titennūtu

Women, as owners of slaves, p. 60-61

zittu (inheritance portion) 10: 13

zukkū (to declare free) 9: 15; 14: 16 p. 69,

Studia Orientalia V 3

Bibliographical abbreviations and notes.

- AASOR = The Annual of the American Schools of Oriental Research, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- ADD = Johns, Assyrian Deeds and Documents, I—IV, Cambridge 1898—1923.
- AJSL = The American Journal of Semitic Languages and Literatures, Chicago.
- AO = Der alte Orient. Gemeinverständliche Darstellungen, herausgegeben von der vorderasiatisch-ägyptischen Gesellschaft, Leipzig.
- APN = Tallqvist, Assyrian Personal Names. Acta Soc. Scient. Fennicae, XLIII, Helsingfors, 1918.
- BABR = Koschaker, Babylonisch-Assyrisches Bürgerschaftsrecht, Leipzig 1911.
- BE = The Bab. Exped. of the Univ. of Pennsylvania. Ser. A: Cuneiform texts, Philadelphia, 1893 ff.
- Ch. = Chiera-Speiser, Selected »Kirkuk» documents in JAOS 47, p. 36—60, 1927.
- CT = Cuneiform texts from Babylonian tablets in the British Museum,
 London.
- G. Gadd = Gadd, Tablets from Kerkuk (Ra XXIII, p. 49-161), 1926.
- HSS V = Chiera, Excavations at Nuzi I. Tablets of varied contents (Harward Semitic Series V) Cambridge U.S.A., 1929.
- HSS IX = Excavations at Nuzi, Volume [II. The archives of Shilwateshub son of the king. Selected and copied by Robert H. Pfeiffer.
 Harward Semitic Series, Cambridge U.S.A., 1932.
- JAOS. = Journal of the American Oriental Society, New Haven.
- JENu = Joint Expedition at Nuzi (American Schools of Oriental Research with the Iraq Museum).
- KAH = Messerschmidt, Schroeder, Keilschrifttexte aus Assur historischen Inhalts. Berlin.
- KAT = Schrader, Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament. 3. Aufl. von Winckler u. Zimmern.
- KB = Keilinschriftliche Bibliothek. Berlin.
- KDFL = Speiser, New Kirkuk Documents relating to Family Laws. AASOR, X (1928—1929).
- KDST = Speiser, New Kirkuk documents relating to security transactions, AJSL, Dec. 1932, March 1933.
- KH = Kodex Hammurapi, in Délégation en Perse, DE MORGAN.
- MVAG = Mitteilungen der Vorderasiatischen Gesellschaft, Leipzig.

N I = Chiera, Joint expedition with the Iraq Museum at Nuzi. Inheritance texts. American Schools of Oriental research. Publications of the Baghdad School. Vol. I, Paris 1927.

N II — Declarations in court. Vol. II, Paris 1930.

N III = Exchange and security Documents. Vol. III, Paris 1931.

NKRA = Koschaker, Neue Keilschriftliche Rechtsurkunden aus der el-Amarna-Zeit. Abh. der Philol.-Hist. Klasse der Sächs. Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band XXXIX, no. 5. Leipzig 1928.

OLZ = Orientalistische Literaturzeitung, Leipzig.

PSBA = Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology.

RA — Revue d'Assyriologie et d'Archéologie Orientale, Paris.

RORH = Koschaker, Über einige Griechische Rechtsurkunden aus den Östlichen Randgebieten des Hellenismus. Abh. der Philol.-Hist. Klasse der Sächs. Akademie der Wissenschaften, Band XLII, no. 1. Leipzig, 1931.

RSGH = Koschaker, Rechtsvergleichende Studien zur Gesetzgebung Hammurapis, Leipzig 1917.

SMN = Harvard Semitic Museum: Nuzi (catalogue number).

TCL IX = CONTENAU, Contrats et lettres d'Assyrie et de Babylonie, 1926.

VAB = Vorderasiatische Bibliothek, Leipzig.

VAB V = Schorr, Urkunden des altbabylonischen Zivil- und Prozessrechts, 1913.

VAB VI = Ungnad, Babylonische Briefe aus der Zeit der Hammurapi-Dynastie, 1914.

ZA = Zeitschrift für Assyriologie und verwandte Gebiete, Berlin— Leipzig.

ZDMG = Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, Leipzig.

ŠL II = Deimel, Šumerisches Lexicon, Roma, 1. Aufl., II Teil.

BORK, Die Mitannisprache, MVAG XIV 1/2.

David, Die Adoption im altbabylonischen Recht. Leipziger Rechtswissenschaftliche Studien von Leipziger Juristen-Fakultät, Heft 23, Leipzig 1927.

Jirku, Die Wanderungen der Hebräer im 3. u. 2. Jahrtausend v. Ch. AO. Kraus, Altbabylonische Briefe.

Landsberger, Habiru u. Lulaḥhu. Kleinasiatische Forschungen, Leipzig. Meissner, Beiträge zum altbabylonischen Privatrecht. Leipzig, 1893.

MESSERSCHMIDT, Mitanni-Studien, MVAG IV 4.

MEYER, Die Sklaverei im Altertum, Kleine Schriften, Halle, 1910.

San Nicolò-Ungnad, Neubabylonische Rechts- und Verwaltungsurkunden, Leipzig.

Speiser, Mesopotamian Origins, Philadelphia, 1930.

Excursion: A family of scribes.

Out of the 318 published tablets which are furnished with a scribal name, I have counted 231 documents written by 70 different scribes bearing Subarean names and 87 documents written by scribes with Akkadian names. The most important scribe is Taya, son of Apil-SIN, represented by 60 tablets, next to him his son Ithapihi, by 49 tablets. Greatest of the Akkadian names is $R\bar{e}\dot{s}^{-d}Irsitim$ (SAG-dKI) represented by 13 tablets (HSS V 3, 12, 14, 16, 18, 39, 56, 88, 89, 91, 95, 98, 100; in nine cases written SAG-KI).

Below we find for each member of the family of Taya one provenance, selected so as to give both the scribal profession as well as the name of the father. (Ennasukru and Kiannipu are not mentioned as scribes, but as witnesses, Taya being the scribe; Cf. NKRA, p. 13, note 2).

A-ki-ia TCL IX 12: 33

Al-ki-te-šup HSS V 58: 25

A-pil-SIN N III 222: 36 (not mentioned as a scribe)

Ar-ta-še-en-ni N I 77: 33

E-mu-ka4 N II 126: 40

En-na-šuk-rù N I 94: 27

Hu-ti₄-ia N III 306: 19; Hu-ti-ia N II 140: 23; N III 314: 45

It-ha-pi-hé HSS V 61: 18 It-ha-pi-hi N 1 54, 55, 57, 63, 80 etc.

Ki-an-ni-pu (Itti-ili-ni-bu?) N III 271: 20

Ni-ra-ri HSS V 40: 28

Ša-ar-te-šup HSS V 11: 35

dŠamaš(UTU)-da-am-mi-iq N II 192: 27

dŠamaš-sulūli (dUTU-AN . SAG) HSS V 90: 22; N III 265: 47

dŠamaš-nāsir (dUTU-PAP) HSS V 15: 50

Šarru(LUGAL)-muš-ta-al N III 270: 27

Sí-ni CT II 21: 29

^dSIN-na-din-šumu (MU) N III 256: 39

 $\check{S}um$ - $lib\check{s}\check{\imath}^{\check{s}i}$ (MU- $G\acute{A}L^{\check{s}i}$) N II 155: 30; (NKRA Mukallim = Mu-lib- $\check{s}i$)

Ta-a-a N III 222: 36

Ti-la-kur (TI . LA-KUR) N III 235, 240, 244, 263, 275; Ti-la-hi N I 76; 34 (probably a scribal error).

Tù-ra-ar-te-šup HSS V 22: 23

Tu-ra-ri HSS IX 17: 24

 ^{m}Wa -qar- $B\bar{e}l$ (EN) N II 215: 26.

