

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

In its capacity as a coreferentially constrained non-finite verb-form the gerund (past or non-past) may take any adverbial complements and adjuncts except an independent subject and/or agent, for which argument it is dependent on some argument ('controller', cf. 4.2) of the superordinate syntagm. The superordinate syntagm is usually a finite or non-finite clause, to which the gerundial syntagm is connected asyndetically as a (mostly) peripheral quasi-clause, predicating usually a preceding action or state on the part of the Actor or promoted/topicalized Undergoer. Semantically a peripheral gerundial clause is related to the superordinate syntagm as a propositionally (temporally or circumstantially) *restrictive* (248-250) or *non-restrictive* (251-253) predication. This functional distinction parallels that between a *cyclically* (lineally) recursive presupposed or modally constrained adverbial phrase or embedded clause and an *iteratively* (paratactically) recursive coordinate clause sharing the Actor, illocutionary force, modality and time reference of its conjunct(s):

(248) RV 10.109.7

[[[**punardāya**_{GD} **brahmajāyām**]_{GD-S} [**kṛtvī**_{GD} **deváir nīkilbiṣám**]_{GD-S}
 [**ūrjam pṛthivyā bhaktvāya**]_{GD-S}]_(:ADV-P) **urugāyám úpāsate**_{V:PRED}]_S
 'Having given back the brahmin's wife, worked out freedom of offense with the gods and enjoyed the vigour of the earth, they worship the strider (= Viṣṇu).'

(249) ŚB 4.2.2.11

[**tásmād** [**apigṛhya**_{GD} **nāsike**]_{OBJ}]_{GD-Sⁿ(:ADV-P)} **ná**_{NEG} (**hīnkartum saknoti**)_{VP}]_S
 'Therefore one cannot say *hii* having taken hold of one's nostrils (> while keeping one's nostrils closed).' ≠ '...cannot take hold of one's nostrils and say...'

(250) ŚB 1.8.1.4

[**tán mā**]_{OBJ} [**nāvam**]_{OBJ} [**upakálpya**]_{GD}]_{GD-Sⁿ(:ADV-P)} **úpāsāsai**_{V:PRED}]_S
 'You shall then resort to me having built a ship!' ≠ 'You shall build a ship and worship/resort to me!'¹

¹ Eggeling (SBE 12, p. 217 and fn. 1), following with some hesitation BRW (s.v. **upa+** **√ās**), suggested a somewhat different translation: "attend to me by preparing a ship". Note that the coordinate-like rendering, "Build a ship then, and worship me" (Müller), is not in conformity with the incorporation of the gerundial clause in the discontinuous main verb phrase.

(251) JB 1.12.2

[te_{SUBJ} [paṣum ālabhya_{GD}]_{GD-S} [medaḥ samavadāya_{GD}]_{GD-S} paśvāhutim
ajuhavus_{V:PRED}]_S

'Having slaughtered an animal and cut off parts of its flesh, they performed an animal-sacrifice.' (Or: 'They slaughtered an animal, cut off parts of its flesh...')

(252) ĪsaU 11 (cf. ĪsaU 14)

vidyām cāvidyām ca yas tad vedobhayam saha

[[avidyayā mṛtyum tirtvā_{GD}]_{GD-Sⁿ} vidyayāmṛtam aśnute_{V:PRED}]_S

'He who understands both knowledge and ignorance jointly, overcomes death by ignorance and attains immortality by knowledge.' (? 'attains.. having overcome..')

(253) Hit. 1.3

[[yadāham śabdaṁ karomi]_{S-TEMP} tadā tvam [satvaram utthāya_{GD}]_{GD-Sⁿ}
palāyisyasi_{V:PRED}]_S

'Then when I make a noise you shall rise quickly and flee!' (≠ '..flee having risen')

The difference between the propositionally restrictive and non-restrictive value is that the former implies a pragmatically or contextually presupposed temporal or circumstantial *condition* or *limitation* on the proposition of the superordinate clause. Unlike a non-restrictive gerundial clause, a restrictive gerundial clause can therefore not be paraphrased by a coordinate finite clause or elliptically included in the modal-operational scope of the main clause. On the other hand, only a restrictive gerundial clause can be foregrounded by an emphatic or inclusive particle, incorporated in a 'discontinuous syntagm' (e.g. between the main verb and some of its complements; cf. 4.6.B) and embedded in a non-finite phrase. But unlike a genuine embedded adverbial clause or phrase, it does not (usually) allow the interpropositional relation to be negated or questioned *per se*, indicating that it is not operationally fully integrated in the superordinate clause (cf. 1.2.A-B). Conversely, a propositionally non-restrictive gerundial clause can be 'implicitly incorporated' in the scope (excluding negation) of the main clause only when expressive of contextually non-given or non-backgrounded information (cf. 4.6; 4.7).

Less typically, the gerundial syntagm is connected to the core (or inner peripheral) layer of another clause, dependent on a single verb or modal operator and functioning as a temporally neutralized obligatory or optional embedded complement of manner², prohibition (254), or belief (255), cf.:

² Unlike an outer peripheral manner adjunct referring to the circumstantial setting of a predication, an inner peripheral or core-layer manner adjunct qualifies only the verbal action (cf. Foley & Olson 1985, p. 35). The non-peripheral status of manner complements is reflected in linear and collocational constraints, inasmuch as some verbs exclude or require a manner complement by valency, e.g. 'subsist (on X/by doing X)', 'move by (doing) X' ≠ 'move' (cf. Matthews 1981, p. 137ff.; Chomsky 1965, p. 103f.).

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

(254) Rm 2.28.25

tad alam_{ADV:PROH} **te**_{GEN-DAT:BEN} [**vanam**_{OBJ} **gatvā**_{GD}]_{GD-S:COMPL}
 'So no good for you going to the forest!'³

(255) ŚB 8.6.3.1 (cf. ŚB 9.5.1.35 = ex. 127 section 3.1.C)

[[**etād vai devāḥ prāpya**]_{GD-S:(ADV-P)} [[**rāddhvā**]_{GD}] **iva**_{COMPLEMENTIZER}]_{COMPL}
amanyanta_{V:PRED}
 'Having gained this, the gods thought as if having won (= as if they had won).'

When connected to the nuclear layer of the superordinate clause, the latter is reduced to a stative-habitual aspectual auxiliary lacking separate core-layer and peripheral arguments:

(256) TS 6.1.11.6

[**purā khālu vāvāiṣā médhāyātmānam** [**ārābhya**_{GD} **carati**_{AUX}]_{PRED} **yō dīkṣitō**]_S
 'Now the one who is consecrated has long kept himself prepared for the sacrifice.'

4.1. INTERNAL STRUCTURE OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

The valency and aspect of the verb underlying the gerund are subject to certain pragmatic and idiosyncratic constraints as discussed in 2.3 and 3.2. In addition, there are certain collocational constraints of a more specific character, which will be discussed in connection with the semantic function of the gerundial clause (4.7).

The basic formal restriction on the structure of the gerundial syntagm is that it should not include an independent (non-coreferential) subject or agent (4.2). Hence the gerundial syntagm has a phrasal rather than clausal *internal* structure. If the subject of the superordinate clause is coreferential with the implicit subject of the gerund, it may be expressed anywhere in the sentence; otherwise it must be suppressed in the gerundial syntagm ('clause union' vs. 'clause reduction' in Hock's (1985) terms). Constraints on word order permutation ('movement') show, however, that the shared subject (and/or agent) is syntactically more closely connected with the superordinate than with the gerundial clause, cf.:

³ The genitive or dative Beneficiary ("dativus [in]commodi") **te** is syntactically dependent on **alam** (or the whole prohibitive syntagm) rather than on the gerund, as maintained by Söhnen (1985, p. 480). If it was dependent on **alam**, there should be constructions like ***alam aśya/mama vanam gatvā** 'enough of his/my going to the forest' = 'may he/I not go to the forest!'. Note that a substantivized participle takes an *instrumental* rather than genitive logical agent in the corresponding construction (cf. 214).

- (257) TS 2.5.3.1
indro_{SUBJ} [**vṛtrám hatvā**] **devatābhiḥ cendriyeṇa ca vyārdhyata**
 ‘Indra, having slain Vṛtra, was deprived of the gods and his power.’
 (?**devatābhiḥ ca indriyeṇa ca vyārdhyata** [**indro**_{SUBJ} **vṛtrám hatvā**]_{GD-S})
- (258) TS 5.2.2.6
tāsmāt suptvā_{GD} **prajāḥ**_{SUBJ} **prá budhyante**
 ‘Therefore creatures wake up after sleeping.’
- (259) MBh 1.194.22
 [**śrutvā tu rādheyavaco**] **dhṛtarāṣṭraḥ**_{SUBJ} **pratāpavān**
abhipūjya_{GD} **tataḥ paścād idam vacanam abravīt**
 ‘And having heard Rādha’s son’s speech, the mighty Dhṛtarāṣṭra, upon receiving him with reverence, then afterwards spoke these words.’
- (260) BhG 4.15
[evam jātvā] **kṛtam karma pūrvair api mumukṣubhiḥ**_{AG}
 ‘Knowing thus, action was done also by the ancient (seers) seeking salvation.’
- (261) BK 18.95
aham_{SUBJ} **āhūya**_{GD} **nīto dārikayā**_{AG}
 ‘Having been called, I was brought by the girl’
- Very rarely (mainly in the Ṛgveda) a shared subject is actually incorporated in the gerundial syntagm and then elliptically omitted from the superordinate clause.
- (262) RV 8.100.8c
[dīvaṃ_{OBJ} **suparṇo**_{SUBJ} **gatvāya**_{GD}] **vajriṇa ābharat**
 ‘The fair-feathered one went to the sky and brought the Soma for the bolt-holder.’
- (263) RV 10.129.4cd
sató bāndhum ásati nír avindan [**hṛdī pratiśyā**_{GD} **kaváyo**_{SUBJ} **manīṣā**]
 ‘The sages having searched with contemplation in their hearts, discovered the bond of the existing in the non-existing.’
- (264) AV 12.2.54
[tām indra_{SUBJ} **idhmám kṛtvā**_{GD}] **yamásyāgním nirádadhau**
 ‘Indra having made this into fuel, removed Yama’s fire.’

(265) SB 2.2.4.18

[*té*_{ANA:TOP} *hutvā*_{GD} *devāḥ*_{SUBJ}] *imām prājātim prājāyanta*
 'Those gods having offered, gave birth to this offspring.' (Cf. Minard 1956, § 55.)

4.1.A. EXPANSION AND MODIFICATION OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

A peripheral gerundial clause may take any number of peripheral adjuncts, which may also be realized by embedded clauses, e.g.: [[[...]_S *iti*]_{COMPL} *buddhvā/matvā/vicintya*]_{GD-S} 'having realized/reckoned/thought (> seeing) that S'.

Being a recursive category, any number of gerundial clauses may be strunged asyndetically together (cf. 51, 153, 248, 259), the whole string or syntagm being dependent on the same superordinate clause. Less frequently coordinative conjunctions are used in connecting paratactic gerundial clauses:

(266) MBh 1.65.4

sā tam dṛṣṭvaiva rājānam duḥśantam asitekṣaṇā
svāgataṁ ta iti kṣipram uvāca pratipūjya ca
 'Immediately on seeing King Duḥśanta, she with her black eyes said quickly, having greeted reverently: "Welcome to you".'

A propositionally restrictive gerundial clause may be foregrounded or modified by operators like *eva* 'just, same', *api* 'also, even' or *iva* 'as if':

(267) SB 6.2.2.19 (Cf. Minard 1956, p. 96 § 235f.)

sá vā iṣṭvaivá paurṇamāséna
 'Immediately on having performed the full-moon sacrifice, he...'

(268) Bhavatrāta on JSS 1.1.1

tasmāt prokte some 'vicāryaiva guṇadoṣān pratimantrayeta
 'Therefore, when the Soma (sacrifice) has been proclaimed (to him), he should reply (so and so), without having first ascertained the virtues and faults.'

(269) SB 3.8.5.11

yātra vā eténa pracāranty āpaś ca ha vā asmāt tāvad ośadhayaś cāpa-
krāmyeva tiṣṭhanti
 'For as long as they go on with this, the waters and plants stay as it were away from him.'

(270) BC 11.30

**yān arjayitvāpi na yānti sarma vivardhayitvā paripālayitvā-
ngāraakarṣūpratimeṣu teṣu kāmeṣu kasyātmavato ratiḥ syāt**

‘What self-controlled man would find delight in those passions which are like trenches filled with red-hot charcoal, which, though having procured and increased and guarded them, men find no comfort in.’

(271) Kath. 24.78

**tataś ca sā rājasutā janakam nijagāda tam
tāta rājāpi bhūtvā tvam avicāryaiva ceṣṭase**

‘Then the princess said to King Janaka: “Daddy, though being a king you act entirely without considering the consequences”.’

(272) Vedānt. 148

[pradīptagṛhāt [svaputram parityajyāpi] svasya nirgamadarśanāt]_{ADV-P}

‘Therefore because of seeing someone coming out from his burning house, although having left his own son there...’

(273) Rājatarāṅginī 4.383 (quoted from Böhtlingk, Ind. Spr. I: 20)

**akāryāny api paryāpya kṛtvāpi vṛjinārjanam
vidhīyate hitam yasya sa dehaḥ kasya susthiraḥ**

‘Whose body can persist, when he is done good even upon (he) having done misdeeds and accumulated sin himself?’

4.1.B. RESTRICTION ON ‘OBLIQUE SUBJECT’

Within the range of the aspectual constraints of the gerund (2.3.B), there are basically no restrictions on the types of process a peripheral gerundial clause may express through its predicate and core arguments. This may be a mental or abstract process or relation (e.g. ‘become frightened’, ‘realize’, ‘be(come)’) just as well as a material process (e.g. ‘hit’, ‘write’, ‘cook’). However, if expressing a mental or abstract process, the predicate should preferably not be one that takes the Experiencer or Possessor in an oblique case, cf.:

(274) ŚB 11.6.1.7

tām hainām dṛṣṭvā bhīr viveda

‘On seeing that one, fear fell upon him.’

This formal restriction follows from the coreferentiality constraint of the gerund. Given a gerundial clause based on an 'impersonal' predicate, such as e.g. ?*enam bhīr vittvā* 'fear having fallen upon him', the main clause subject would have to be identical with the non-agentive, non-topical subject ('fear'), because otherwise there would be two expressed non-coreferential subjects in the sentence. Thus, while a construction like **enam bhīr vittvā somam apibat* 'fear having fallen upon him, he drank Soma' would probably be *ungrammatical* due to the coreferentiality constraint, a grammatically correct construction ?*enam bhīr vittvā mām api viveda* 'fear having fallen upon him, then also fell upon me' would be *pragmatically* unlikely as an utterance. This restriction should obviously not apply to nuclear junctures, where the superordinate clause is reduced to an auxiliary: (?)*enam bhīr vittvā sthitā* 'fear settled down upon him for good'.

4.2. SUBJECT (AND AGENT) OF GERUND

In standard non-periphrastic constructions, the gerundial clause is structurally incomplete in that it lacks an independent/non-coreferential subject (and/or agent, if passive), for the recovery of which it is thus dependent on some argument (mostly an actant/central participant) of the superordinate clause. The gerund being mostly active and personal by construction, its (implicit) subject or agent has typically the role of Actor and is normally coreferential with that (core) argument of the superordinate clause which ranks highest when considering criteria of Actorhood⁴, Topicality⁵ and Animacy/Empathy (cf.

⁴ Actor vs. Undergoer are macroroles that are determined according to the following hierarchy of arguments: Agent > Effector > Locative [incl. Experiencer, Possessor, Attribuent] > located 'Theme' > Patient. When a predication has only one (obligatory) core argument, e.g. an Agent or Patient (e.g. *as-* 'exist' ≠ 'be'), this is mostly encoded as the subject (i.e. prototypical Actor) in an active construction, but as the agent in a passive/ergative construction (cf. 3.5; 1.2.B). When it has two core arguments (e.g. Agent and Patient, or Locative and Theme), one of them is identified as Actor, the other as Undergoer according to their relative position on the above scale, the Actor being typically encoded as subject or agent (depending on the voice), whereas the Undergoer is encoded as object/complement or subject (depending on the voice). If there is a third argument, e.g. Beneficiary or Recipient, it is mostly optional and peripheral (unable to become subject by passivization). By indicating semantic roles vs. syntactic functions with capitals we can distinguish between Agent (= Actor independently of case and construction) and agent (= instrumental or genitive logical subject of a passive/ergative clause). Note that when the verb has zero-valency, i.e. lacks obligatory core arguments, e.g. *vr̥ṣ-* 'rain': *vr̥ṣtvī* 'having rained' (cf. 75, 1.5.K), there is no target for coreference, implying that such verbs cannot be used in the gerund form unless also the main verb has zero-valency.

⁵ Topicality (of NP:s) correlates with givenness, definiteness and foregrounding in discourse, as typically reflected in subjecthood (cf. Brown & Yule 1983, p. 126ff.; Foley & Van Valin 1984, p. 124ff.). Apart from promotion to subject (i.e. passivization, cf. 3.5), fronting and dislocation serve as means of topicalization (cf. Foley & Van Valin 1985). Because of the tendency for the subject to be Actor and the Actor to be 'animate', the features that determine the controller of the gerund tend to support each other.

1.2.B). In active and passive clauses this is mostly the subject (cf. 275-279), but in ergative clauses (and such passive clauses where the Actor ranks higher in animacy) it is the oblique agent (esp. if the gerund presupposes an animate subject, cf. 281-283), while in 'unipersonal' mental process or possessive clauses it is the oblique experiencer/affected possessor (cf. 284-286/287-292). Thus the only core argument that cannot normally control the gerund is a non-topicalized, inanimate Undergoer (encoded as a direct or indirect object).⁶

When the gerund is passive or expressive of the 'impersonal voice' (having a generic unexpressed subject; cf. 3.5), there is usually coreference of both core arguments with the corresponding arguments of the superordinate passive clause (cf. 235-242). In these cases it is difficult to determine the target of control, though it may be assumed that it is the subject, inasmuch as the agent of a passive clause is a peripheral (structurally dispensable) constituent. By contrast, the agent of an ergative clause has the same semantic and pragmatic status as the nominative subject of an active construction (cf. 282-283).

These rules apply also to the non-past gerund (as far as the various constructions of this form have been attested) and to all cases where the implicit subject of the gerund is generic. They apply furthermore to all cases where the superordinate clause/phrase is non-finite, provided that the nominal head of the latter is recognized as its 'logical subject', which is its Actor in an active construction, but Undergoer in a passive construction (cf. 280).

4.2.A. STANDARD CONSTRUCTIONS OF THE GERUND

It follows from the above coreferentiality constraint that the (implicit or expressed) subject or agent of the gerund can be recovered from the superordinate clause in any syntactic case. This will be illustrated in the following five sets of examples, where the implicit or coreferential subject or agent of the gerund is marked with a double subscript code ('x:y>z') according to its syntactic and semantic function in the superordinate clause and semantic function in the gerundial clause. Non-coreferential core arguments are marked as 'x:y'.

Thus, e.g. $X_{\text{SUBJ:A>A}}$ means that X is the (head constituent of the) subject⁷ of the superordinate clause, where it has the function of Actor, being at the same time the Actor of the gerundial clause, thus representing its implicit subject in an active but agent in a passive construction. By contrast, $X_{\text{SUBJ:U>A}}$ means that X is the subject-mapped Undergoer of the (hence passive) superordinate clause and the Actor of the gerundial clause.

⁶ It will now be realized why the Paninean rule 3.4.21 (ex. 33, 1.5.A) prescribing identity of *Agents* (**kartṛ**) for the gerund and the superordinate clause cannot account for those cases where the controller is an oblique experiencer, affected possessor or foregrounded topicalized Undergoer (i.e. subject, which syntactic category was not known to the Paninean tradition).

⁷ The terms subject and agent are throughout this discussion used also with reference to the logical subject/agent of a non-finite construction as defined earlier. Square brackets mark implicit arguments.

1. Subject of gerund coreferential with subject(-mapped A/U) of superordinate clause

- (275) RV 10.68.3c
bṛhaspátiḥ_{SUBJ:A>A} **párvatebhyo vitūryā nír gā ūpe**
 'Bṛhaspati, having penetrated through the mountains, strew out the cows'
- (276) RV 8.100.8cd
dívam suparnó_{SUBJ:A>A} **gatvāya sómam vajriṇa ábharat**
 'Having gone to the sky the fair-feathered one brought down the Soma for the bolt-holder.'
- (277) JB 1.73.3
so 'gnir_{SUBJ: U>A} **mukhād bíbhatsamāna ūrdhva uddrutya mastiṣkam**
uddhatyāsr̥jyata
 'Then Agni, feeling disgusted with the mouth, having fled upwards and thrown out (Prajāpati's) brain, was created.'
- (278) ŚB 5.2.3.1 (2x; cf. ŚB 5.2.3.6, 8; 5.2.4.1, 4; 5.2.5.14)
sárvaṃ pariḡḥya sūyā [SUBJ:U>A = 1.p.sg.; AG:A] **íti**
 "'Having encompassed the all, may I (= the king, subj./topic) be consecrated.'"
- (279) BhG 3.20cd
akṛtvā phalasaṃnyāsaṃ badhyate [generic SUBJ:U>A] **tatphalena**_{A:AG} **tu**
 'On not refraining from the fruit of action one is bound by the fruit.'
- (280) Kauṭ. 3.14.1 (cf. 2.23.15, 2.27.20, 3.15.1, etc.)
 [[[gṛh̥tvā vetanaṃ]]_{GD-S} **karmākurvato**] **bhṛtakasya**_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A}]NP
dvādaśapaṇo daṇḍaḥ
 'The penalty for a servant not doing the job, having taken salary, is twelve paṇas.'

2. Subject of gerund coreferential with oblique (instr., gen., dat.) agent of passive or ergative superordinate clause (cf. 228-232)

- (281) Nala 24.13ab
sākṣād devān apahāya vṛto yaḥ sa_{SUBJ:U} **mayā**_{AG:A>A} **purā**
 'He who was formerly chosen by me, having rejected the gods in their presence.'

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

- (282) Kām. 7.2.50 (cf. Kauṭ. 1.1.1, Kāvyaḍ. 1.2)
pūrvasāstrāṇi saṁhṛtya prayogān upasṛtya ca
kāmasūtram_{SUBJ:U} **idaṁ yatnāt saṁkṣepeṇa niveṣitam** [AG:A>A]
 ‘Having collected the previous Sāstras and studied practice, this Treatise on Love
 has been laid down (by the author) with great devotion and brevity.’

- (283) Megh. 34ab
apy anyasmiṅ jaladhara mahākālam āsādyā kāle sthātavyam te_{AG:A>A}
 ‘And also at another time, O cloud, having reached the M.-temple, you are to stay’

3. Subject of gerund coreferential with oblique (acc., gen., dat.) animate experiencer or (affected) possessor (= animate and topical ‘Locative’ Actor or Undergoer)⁸

- (284) RV 10.34.11a
striyam dṛṣtvāya kitavām_{ACC.EXP: U>A} **tatāpa**
 ‘Upon seeing (his) woman, it distresses the player’
- (285) BhG 1.36
dhārtarāṣṭrān nihatya nah_{AFF.POSS:A>A} **kā prītiḥ**_{SUBJ:U} **syāt...**
 ‘On killing the sons of Dhṛtarāṣṭra, what satisfaction shall we have?’
- (286) BhG 1.36
pāpam_{SUBJ:A} **evāśrayed asmān**_{ACC.EXP:U>A} **hatvaitān ātatāyinas**
 ‘Evil alone would light upon us after slaying (our would-be) murderers.’
- (287) KB 15.2 (cf. MS 4.7.4, AB 2.1.4)
hatvā vṛtram vijitya yuṣmābhir me_{POSS:A>A} **‘yam saha somapīthah**_{SUBJ:U}
 ‘Having slain Vṛtra, having conquered, this Soma-drinking with you is mine.’
- (288) ŚB 1.9.3.10 (cf. ŚB 14.7.3.13, KB 8.7; Vet. 14.1., 144.17, etc.)
evam imāṅhi lokānt samāruhyāthaiṣā gatir_{SUBJ:U} **eṣā pratiṣṭhā** [POSS:A>A]
 ‘(One) having thus ascended these worlds, that goal, that support (is one’s).’
- (289) ŚvU 1.11
jñātvā devam sarvapāśāpahāniḥ [implicit generic AFF.POSS:U>A]
 ‘Upon (one’s) coming to know god, there is a falling off of all (one’s) fetters.’

⁸ Note that a Possessor is not necessarily a core argument (i.e. obligatory complement/actant), and hence it may control the gerund only in the absence of an agentive Actor (cf. 290-291 and 1.5.N). The Experiencer tends to control the gerund even when there is an animate topical agentive Actor (cf. RV 1.165.12 **saṁcākṣyā... āchānta me** ?(I) having seen you, you have enchanted me’, see 2.2.A).

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

- (290) Nala 3.14ab (cf. Nala 10.10ab = ex. 47, 1.5.D)
tasya_{AFF.POSS:U>A} **dr̥ṣṭvaiva vavṛdhe kāmas**_{SUBJ:A} **tām cāruhāsinīm**
 'Immediately upon seeing her, his love for that sweetly smiling one increased.'
- (291) MBh 9.50.9
 [tām divyavapuṣām dr̥ṣṭvā] **tasya ṛṣe**_{AFF.POSS:U>A} **retah**_{SUBJ:A} **skannam sarasvatyām**
 'Upon seeing that divinely looking creature, the semen of that sage lept into the river Sarasvatī.' (Cf. Söhnen 1985, p. 488, see 1.5.N.)
- (292) Svapn. 4.6cd
smṛtvā smṛtvā yāti duḥkham_{SUBJ:A} **navatvam** [implicit **AFF.POSS:U>A**]
 'On constantly remembering and remembering, (one's) grief grows anew.'

4. Subject of gerund coreferential with unexpressed peripheral generic Beneficiary/ Observer of impersonal superordinate clause (cf. 4.8.A)

- (293) Kās. on P 3.4.20
aprāpya nadīm parvataḥ_{SUBJ:U} **sthitah atikramya tu parvataṁ nadī**_{SUBJ:U}
sthitā [generic **BEN>A**]
 '(For the observer) the mountain is situated before reaching [= in front of] the river, but the river is situated after crossing [= behind] the mountain.'
- (294) BĀU 5.1.1 (= SB 14.8.1.1)
pūrṇāsya pūrṇām ādāya pūrṇām_{SUBJ:U} **evāvasiṣyate** [impl. generic **BEN>A**]
 'Upon removing the whole from the whole, the whole remains (for the observer).'
 (Cf. Ertel 1926, p. 315.)

5. Agent (and mostly also subject) of passive or impersonal gerund coreferential with corresponding core arguments of passive or ergative superordinate clause (cf. 3.5)

- (295) MS 1.8.5 (122, 4) (Cf. Delbrück 1888, p. 408; Ertel 1926, p. 308f.)
agnihotrahāvāṇīm pratāpya hāsto 'vadhéyo hāsto_{SUBJ:U>U} **vā**
pratāpyāgnihotrahāvāṇyām avadhéyaḥ [implicit generic **AG:A>A**]
 'Having heated the fire-sacrifice spoon, the hand is to be inserted, or, the hand, having been heated, should be inserted in the fire-sacrifice spoon.'

(296) KB 24.9

tad yāni tatra vaiśvadevāni bhavanti tāny uddhṛtyānyāni_{SUBJ:U} **prājā-patyāni aniruktāni parokṣavaiśvadevāny avadhīyante** [implicit AG:A>A]

‘These (hymns) to the All-Gods having been omitted, others addressed to Prajāpati (and) undefined (i.e. without special indication of the divinity to which they are addressed) (and) esoterically addressed to the All Gods are substituted.’ (ibid., p.312)
Or: ‘So having omitted these here (hymns) to the All-Gods, others, undefined, addressed to Prajāpati, but esoterically addressed to the All-Gods, are replaced.’

(297) Das. 4 (ed. Kale 1966, p. 125)

mantriṇā_{AG:A>A} **punar aham**_{SUBJ:U>U} **āhūyābhyadhāyiṣi**
‘Having been called again by the minister, I was told (by him)’

(298) Ṛgvidh. (quoted from Gonda [1967] 1975, p. [263] 92)

tatas tu karma kṛtvedam kartavyam dvijatarpaṇam_{SUBJ:U} [implicit AG:A>A]
‘Then when this ritual act has been performed, the brahmins are to be satisfied’

(299) Vet. 76.11-13

ity eva kāle [[**syenena**_{AG:A>A} [**ānīya**] **khādyamānasya**] **sarpasya**_{LOG.SUBJ>U}]
garalam taddravye nipatitam

‘At that very time, the poison of a snake being eaten, after having been carried away, by a hawk fell into his food.’

The complex coreference behaviour of the gerund is only partly explained by the change from mainly accusative to partly ergative syntax in Sanskrit (cf. Hock 1986, p. 21f.; 1982, p. 131). Although Actor-control appears to be more common than subject-control in Vedic prose and post-Vedic Sanskrit, subject-control vs. Actor-control is never ruled out, being always available when the subject ranks higher than the Actor in Topicality and/or Animacy. Hence the pivot in gerundial structures is *pragmatic* rather than semantic. The following example would seem to provide an exception to this, but it could be explained if we assume that it is the Locative (mapped as the subject) that is the controller:

(300) MS 1.6.4 (93, 9)

hiranyaṃ_{SUBJ:U/?OBJ} **suvarṇam upāsyāgnir ādhēyaḥ** [generic AG:A>A]

‘After a piece of pure gold has been inserted (? after one has inserted...), the fire is to be replenished.’

On the other hand, when the gerundial clause is ergative rather than passive, the controller is, of course, the Actor (cf. 298).

4.2.B. EXCEPTIONAL OR ANOMALOUS CONSTRUCTIONS

In none of the above cases is the implicit subject of the gerund to be recovered from outside the set of topical/animate (and mostly) core arguments of the superordinate clause. The quasi-absolute constructions in (284)-(294) represent perfectly acceptable constructions of the gerund as seen from their commonness and the early disappearance of absolute participial clauses as ‘less anomalous’ substitutes.⁹ But especially in the elliptical Gṛhyasūtras and many later Vedic and Classical texts of predominantly southern provenance (e.g. Vaikhānasasmārtasūtra, Kāśyapaśāhitā, Marīcisañhitā, Daśakumāracarita), we come across constructions that cannot be accounted for in terms of this coreferentiality constraint.

The earliest example of an anomalous construction of the gerund is found in the Śaunakasañhitā (301). In this case the implicit subject of the gerund is coreferential with a *non-topical anaphoric genitive modifier* (‘his’) despite the presence of an animate and topical subject (‘cow’).

(301) AV 12.4.27

yāvad asyā gōpatir_{SUBJ:A} nōpaśṛṇuyād ṛcaḥ svayām

cāred asya tāvad gōṣu nāśya_{GEN:POSS>A} śrutvā gṛhē vaśet [= vaśet]

‘As long as her cow-owner should not overhear the verses himself, so long may she (= the barren cow) graze among his cows. Not after (he) has heard (them) may she live in his house.’ (Cf. 1.5.M.)

A somewhat similar case from post-Vedic Sanskrit is (302), although here the genitive animate modifier is topical:

(302) Rm 2.63.32 (ed. Bombay)

tām giram karuṇām śrutvā mama dharmānukāṅkṣiṇaḥ

karābhyām saśaram cāpam vyathitasya apatad bhuvī

‘Upon (my) hearing that pitiable speech, the bow with its arrow fell down from my hands, who (= I) being intent on justice, was chocked.’

More genuinely absolute are those constructions where the subject of the gerund is *overtly* expressed in the gerundial clause as *different* from any of the *core* or even *peripheral arguments* of the main clause, e.g.:

⁹ Note that Pāṇini’s rule 3.4.21 would require that all ‘semi-absolute’ gerundial clauses be replaced by absolute participial clauses, while this restriction is observed only in the Ṛgveda, e.g. RV 1.32.14ab āher yātāram kām apaśya indra hṛdī yāt te jaghnūṣo bhīr āgacchat ‘Whom did you see as the pursuer of the dragon, Indra, when fear came into your heart having slain (jaghnūṣo) (him)?’

(303) ŚB 2.3.1.10 (cf. 1.3.1.25, 2.6.3.7, 1.7.1.18)

té paśavo_{SUBJ:A} 'mūlā ōśadhīr mūlīnīr jagdhvāpāḥ pītvā tāta eśā
rāsah_{SUBJ:A} sām bhavati

'The rootless animals, having eaten the rooted plants and drunk the water, from that this sap is born.' (Cf. Delbrück 1888, p. 408; Minard 1956 § 201; 1.5.M.)¹⁰

(304) ŚB 2.5.1.22

etēna vai prajāpatiḥ_{SUBJ:A} [*sic asandhi*] yajñēneṣṭvā | yēyām
prajāpateḥ_{POSS} prajātir_{SUBJ:A} yā śrīr etād babhūva

'Prajāpati, having sacrificed with this sacrifice, that procreation, that prosperity, which (is) Prajāpati's, thus came into existence.'

(Cf. Minard 1956, p. 80 § 192.)

Occasionally the construction is ambiguous between normal topical subject control and abnormal non-topical object control:

(305) PB 6.1.3 (quoted from Ārtel 1926, p. 313f.)

tasmāt prajā_{SUBJ:A} daśa māso garbham_{OBJ:U} bhṛtvaikādaśam anu pra-
jāyante

'Hence creatures are born with the eleventh month, [one/the mother] having carried the foetus for ten months.'

Or: 'Hence creatures, having carried the foetus for ten months, are themselves born with the eleventh month.'

Cf. JB 1.67.2 tasmāt paśavo daśa māso bibhraty ekādaśam anu pra-
jāyante 'Hence animal carry ten months, along with the eleventh they are born.'

Note, however, that in all these examples, the implicit subject of the gerund ranks high in *animacy* and in many cases it can be recovered from among the core arguments of the main clause (4.1.B). In the following example the implicit subject of the gerund is an animate *genetivus separativus*:

(306) BhG 2.59cd

...raso_{SUBJ:A} 'pi asya_{SOURCE:U>A} param dṛṣṭvā nivartate

'...even flavor turns away from him, (upon his) having seen the highest.'

¹⁰ Holland (1986, p. 176) has compared this construction with a nominative absolute participle, cf. KS 21.2 (38, 13ff.) devā vai svargam lokam yantas teṣām yāni cchandāṁsy aniruktāni svargyāny āsaṁs tais saha svargam lokam āyaṁs[...] 'The gods going to the heavenly world, — what their undefined heavenly metres were with them they went to the heavenly world.' (transl. Ārtel 1926, p. 30). In both cases there is anaphoric reference to the gerundial clause.

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

Very rarely a topical Recipient (dativus [in]commodi) that is *not a core argument* (i.e. obligatory actant) controls the gerund despite the presence of an Actor:

(307) Vet. 92.20-1

dvija siprānadīm gatvā tubhyam^{BEN>A} aham^{SUBJ:A} mantram dāsyāmi
 ‘O brahmin, I will give a mantra to you, (you) having gone to the river S.’

Anomalous are also those constructions, where the subject of the gerund (or a coordinate gerund) is *part of a non-singular subject* of the superordinate clause (cf. 270):

(308) Nala 5.34-35ab

damayantīm tathā vāgbhir abhinandya kṛtāñjaliḥ
tau parasparataḥ prītau dṛṣṭvā tv agnipurogamān ||
tāneva śaraṇam devān jagmatur manasā tadā

‘Then (Nala), having with folded hands pleased Damayantī with his words, both happy with each other, having seen the gods preceded by Agni, took in their mind to the gods for protection.’ (Cf. Humboldt 1823, p. 449 ex. 24.)

Most striking are those *genuinely absolute construction* where the implicit subject of the gerund cannot be recovered from among the core arguments of the main clause, but nevertheless does *not rank higher in animacy or topicality than any other argument*.

In those cases it must be inferred on the basis of background knowledge about the role of the participants in the narrated events or rituals. Irregular switch of referent in gerundial constructions is not infrequently met with in the elliptical and concise later or post-Vedic ritual and technical Sūtras (cf. Knauer 1886, p. 119f.; Ertel 1926, p. 314; Goudriaan 1965, p. 337; Caland 1929, p. xiiif.; Karttunen 1985, p. 64). E.g. JSP chapter 18, l. 145-146 (ed. Karttunen) {**guru**}^{SUBJ:A} **vācayan...** **grāhayitvā upaveśya śiṣyaḥ**^{SUBJ:A} **brūyāt** ‘The teacher making him say... and having made him take (? a seat) and seated him, the student should say’. Cf.:

(309) JB 1.117.1 (12)

etasya ha vā idam sāmnaḥ kṛtvā (mss.: **kṛtvo** and **kṛtor**)] **varṣati ca**
parjanya uc ca gṛhṇāti

‘When this is made of the Sāman, Parjanya both rains and holds up.’

(310) ŚB 4.4.3.1

vaśām ālabhante tām ālabhya samjñāpayanti samjñāpya āha vapām
utkhideti utkhidya vapām anumarsam garbham eṣṭavai brūyāt

- ‘They sacrifice a barren cow. Having sacrificed it, they quiet it. After quieting it, he says (to the butcher): “Draw out the omentum!”. After drawing out the omentum, he (≠ butcher) should order him to look for the embryo searching.’
- (311) ŚB 5.5.4.11; 13
sa vasiyān eveṣṭvābhavat
 ‘After the sacrifice had been made, he became stronger.’
- (312) GGS 1.8.19 (cf. 2.2.2, 2.3.8, 3.10.20, 4.7.37)
hutvaitān mekṣaṇam anupraharet
 ‘After the completion of the (previous) sacrifice, he (≠ sacrificer) should throw the (used) *mekṣaṇa*-ladle in the fire.’¹¹
- (313) ĀśvGS 1.7.8 (Lanman [1884] 1967, p. 99)
vadhvañjalā upastīrya bhrātā bhrātṛsthāno vā dvir lājān ā vapati
 ‘(The groom) having poured the sacrificial butter on the bride’s hollowed-and-joined hands, her brother or brother’s representative strews parched grain (on her hands) twice’
- (314) ĀśvGS 1.7.14 (ibid.)
apariniya sūrpapuṣṭenābhyātmānam tūṣṇīm caturtham
 ‘Without having led her around (or: without having been led around [by the groom]), she (= the bride) (should offer grain) with the nose of the basket towards herself, in silence (on the part of the groom) the fourth time.’
- (315) ĀśvGS 1.7.15 (ibid.)
opyopya haikē lājān pariṇayanti
 ‘Some lead her around (she) having strewed the grain.’
- (316) KausS 63.20 (& *passim*)
...sūktenābhimantryābhiniḡadya dadyād dātā_{SUBJ:A} vācyamānaḥ
 ‘...the giver (= sacrificer) should give (the oblation), being made to pronounce (the ritual words) (by the officiant), (the officiant) having consecrated (the rice-dish) with the *sūkta* and pronounced the ritual injunctions with regard to it.’¹²

¹¹ Knauer (1886, p. 162): “nach vollbrachtem opfer überhaupt nicht nur nach vollbringung eines theiles desselben”; GGS 2.2.2: *hutvopatiṣṭhataḥ* “Unmittelbar nach diesem opfer erheben sich beide und treten von einander hin.”; *samāptāsūdvaḡanti* “Sobald dieselben beendet sind, führt man sie weg.”

¹² Gonda (1975, p. 92): “After he (i.e. the officiant) has with the *sūkta* performed the consecration (of the rice-dish) and has pronounced the ritual injunctions with regard (to it) the giver (i.e. the *yajamāna* who presents the oblations to the gods and the brahmans), who is made to pronounce (the ritual words), should give (the oblation).” (Cf. 1.5.M.)

- (317) Mbhṣy. Śabdānusāsana vt. 16 (ed. Kielhorn, I, p. 13, l. 13f.)
na hy anupadiśya varṇān iṣṭā varṇāḥ śakyā vijñātum
 ‘For not without (the teachers) having taught the sounds can the intended sounds be learnt (by the pupils).’ (Cf. Söhnen 1985, p. 486.)
- (318) VSmS 3.2 (ed. Caland, p. 36, l. 16ff.)
**mātur asapiṇḍām pitur asamānaṣigotrajātām lakṣaṇasampannām nag-
 nikām kanyām varayitvā pañcāheṣu kulasya parisuddhyai sapiṇḍaiḥ
 srotriyaiḥ saha bhūtam bhujjīta**
 ‘Having chosen (as a wife) a maiden, who is not a *sapiṇḍa* on the mother’s side, who is born of a different *ṛṣi* and *gotra* on the father’s side, who is possessed of the conspicuous marks on the body, and who is a girl before her menstruation, he [= the father of the bride, according to the commentary] should during five days, in order to completely purify his family, partake of proper (food) together with the *sapiṇḍas* (and other persons, viz) learned brahmins.’
- (319) VSmS 5.3 (ed. Caland, p. 73, l. 15ff.)
**sivam yātviti mṛtakam prokṣya citāyām tilān avakīrya tata uddhṛtyā-
 vatārayeyuḥ**
 ‘Having sprinkled the corpses with (the mantra): “May she come to well-being...” and having strewn *sesamum* on the pyre, they should raise the corpse and lay it down (on the pyre).’
- (320) VSmS 6.13 (ed. Caland, p. 79, l. 17ff.)
**vivāhe homakāle kanyāyā rajasy utpanne tām snāpayitvānyadvastram
 paridhāpya puṇyāham kṛtvā prokṣaṇaiḥ prokṣya mindāhutyāsrāvitidīn
 vyāhṛtīś ca hutvā karma pravarteta**
 ‘In the case of the monthly courses of the girl coming during the marriage ceremony at the time of sacrifice, (he) having made her take a bath, put on another garment, and having performed the *puṇyāha* and sprinkled her with the mantras for sprinkling and sacrificed the two *mindā*-libations, those with the mantras: “What has been made to hear”, etc. and those with the *vyāhṛtis*, the ceremony should go on.’
- (321) Kauṭ. 1.14.2
samśrutyārthān vipralabdhaḥ [SUBJ:U; implicit AG:A>A]
 ‘One cheated (by someone), (that someone) having promised money.’

It will be observed that in (321) the demoted implicit subject of the (active) gerund is not the implicit promoted subject but the non-topical implicit agent of the passive main verb.

This illustrates the strong preference of the gerund to take an active construction, although its implicit subject may be demoted as in a passive clause (cf. 3.5). A semantically more acceptable construction would have been based on an absolute participle instead of the gerund.

In constructionally ambiguous case, one may thus occasionally hesitate between a *semantically more satisfactory* but *syntactically less regular* interpretation:

(322) ŚB 11.1.6.7.

sá āsyènaivá deván asṛjata té devá dívam abhipádyāsṛiyanta

'By (the breath of) his mouth he created the gods: the gods were created [by it] on [its] entering the sky.'¹³

(323) ŚB 1.7.4.17-18

áthāpá ācāmati | sántir āpas tād adbhīḥ sántyā samayaté 'tha parikṣālya pātram || áthāsmāi brahmabhāgām paryā haranti

'He then rinses his mouth with water; — water is (a means of) purification: hence he purifies himself with water, (that is, a means of) purification. After he has rinsed the vessel, —. They bring him the Brahman's portion.' (Eggeling, SBE 12, p. 213)¹⁴

(324) BĀU 6.4.28 (K: 27 = ŚB 14.9.4.28)

athainām māt্রে pradāya stanām prayacchati

'Then having given (the baby) to the mother, he (? she) offers it the (? her) breast.' (Cf. Ertel 1926, p. 314.)

(325) BĀU(M) 6.4.12 (= BĀU(K) 6.4.13)

(átha yásya jāyām ārtavām vindet...) trirātrāntā āplūya vrīhīn āvaghāt-ayet

'(Now when the menstruation comes upon one's wife...) At the end of three nights having bathed, he should make (her) pound rice.'

Böhtlingk (BĀU, p. 96) interpreted the gerund in (325) as referring to the actual subject, i.e. the husband: "Nach Ablauf von drei Tagen bade er sich und lasse (die Frau) dreschen."

¹³ Cf. Eggeling (1900, p. 13). Minard (1956, p. 84f. § 204): "Les dieux furent émis au moment où (le souffle buccal) atteignit le ciel."

¹⁴ Cf. Eggeling (ibid., footnote 3): "According to Kāty. 2.2.20, the Brahman, having rinsed the vessel (or according to the commentary, the two *prāsitraharaṇa*, one of which is used as lid to the other), touches his navel, with the text...". The commentary adds *nābhim ā labhate* 'touches the navel' after *parikṣālya pātram*; see Delbrück (1888, p. 409).

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

A regular construction would ensue also if the gerund could be included in the scope of the causative: 'He should make her bathe and pound rice', cf. VSmS 2.6 **śatam in nv atyādityam namaskṛtyāgantrā samaganmahīti pradakṣiṇam kārayitvā** "causes him to make obeisance to the sun with the mantra: 'A hundred' and to turn around himself from left to right with [...]" (Caland 1929, p. 48).¹⁵ Normally a causative operator does not, however, extend its scope over a gerundial clause, but cf. ŚB 1.9.2.24 **paścād evainām etat parītya vṛṣṇā_{INSTR:CAUSEE>A} vedenādhidrāvayati** 'having made him go around from behind he causes her (vedī) to be approached by that male, the Veda'.

Occasionally it seems that the gerund can be controlled by the accusative object of a *participium cum accusativo* construction, as argued on the basis of the following example:

(326) Rm 3.39.18

ātmanam ca hatam viddhi hṛtvā sītām

"Be aware that yourself will be lost, when seizing Sītā" (Speijer 1886, p. 297)

"Betrachte dich selbst als erschlagen im Falle einer Entführung Sītās."

(Söhnen 1985, p. 482)

But there are two possible syntactic interpretations of the gerundial syntagm in relation to the main clause, either (i) as an adjunct qualifying it as a whole, or (ii) as embedded in the complement clause. In either case, the implicit subject of the gerund is coreferential with the subject of both the main clause and the complement clause, but only if the gerundial clause is actually embedded in the complement clause can it be argued that it is the accusative object of the main clause that *controls* the gerund, i.e. functions as its implicit subject:

(i) {*tvam*}_{SUBJ>A} [**ātmanam hatam**]_{COMPL} **viddhi**_{PRED} [**hṛtvā sītām**]_{ADV-P}
 '[If] having robbed Sītā, know yourself to be dead!'

(ii) {*tvam*}_{SUBJ} [**ātmanam**]_{OBJ>A} **hatam** [**hṛtvā sītām**]_{COMPL} **viddhi**_{PRED}
 'Know yourself to be, [if] having robbed Sītā, dead!'

For there to be no doubt about object control in a *participium cum accusativo* construction, we should look for cases where the subject of the gerund is not coreferential with the object of the main clause, e.g. **hanumantam hatam viddhi/pasya hṛtvā sītām** 'Know/Watch Hanuman to be dead, (H. ≠ you) having robbed Sītā' (cf. 367). Cf. Hindi: (?)**maī ne use yah karke rote hue dekhā** 'I saw him crying having done this' ≠ **maī ne yah karke use rote hue dekhā** 'Having done this, I saw him crying'.

¹⁵ But confer the different interpretation proposed by Gonda (1967, p. 264): "he makes him, after he has adored the sun, perform...".

4.3. SCOPE OF OPERATORS

When not contextually given or propositionally restrictive, the gerundial clause is potentially (in nuclear juncture necessarily) in the elliptically extended scope of the mood, absolute tense, and aspect of the superordinate clause. It then has the virtual value of an elliptical coordinate clause sharing the operators and preferably also peripheral arguments of its conjunct, contrast (327) vs. (328), (329) vs. (330), and (331) vs. (332).

- (327) RV 10.116.5d
 ([**pratītyā sātṛūn**] **vigadēṣu vṛsca**)_{IMP}
 ‘Going against the enemies, cut them down in combats!’
- (328) RV 2.43.2c
 [**vṛṣeva vājī [śiśumatīr apītyā]**] (**sarvāto naḥ śakune bhadrām ā vada**)_{IMP}
 ‘Like a spirited bull, upon mounting the mother-cows, proclaim good for us from all sides, O bird!’ (≠ ‘Like a spirited bull mount the mother-cows and proclaim...’)
- (329) KS 5.3 (46, 9; cf. 9.6) (= ex. 2)
 ([**dattvāyāsmabhyam draviṇeha bhadrām**] **pra mā brūtād dhavirdā devatābhyah**)_{IMP}
 ‘Give us wealth here (and what is) good, and then announce me favorably to the gods, O bringer of oblations!’ (≠ ‘When you have given...’)
- (330) AV 9.4.19
 [**brāhmaṇēbhya ṛṣabhām dattvā**] (**varīyah kṛṇute mānaḥ**)_{ASSERTION}
 ‘Having given/By giving a bull to the brahmins, one makes one’s mind wider.’
- (331) ŚB 1.8.1.3
 ([**karṣūm khātṛvā**] **tāsyām mā bibharāsi**)_{CONJ}_{DIRECTIVE}
 ‘First you shall keep me in a pot and when I shall grow out of it you shall dig a trench and keep me in that.’ (≠ ‘keep me in it, when you have dug...’)
- (332) MGS 2.11.5
 [**gartam khātṛvā**] (**yat taiḥ pāmsubhiḥ pratipūryeta**)_{OPT}_{ABILITATIVE}
 ‘Where, when one has dug a pit, it can be filled up with the same earth.’
- (333) Vyāsa on Yoga sūtra 3.51 (= ex. 251)
 ([**saṅgam akṛtvā**]_{NEG}] **smayam api na**_{NEG} **kuryāt**)_{OPT}_{PROHIBITION}
 ‘Giving up attachment (to the objects of senses) let him not even take pride in thinking...’ (Translation: Hara 1986, p. 75)

- (334) MS 3.9.4 (119, 13)
 ([āvṛhya ha sma vái purá sámsthite yajñè] 'gnáu yūpaṁ
 prāsyati_{IND})_{HABITUAL}
 'Previously one used to dig up and throw away the post into the fire after the
 completion of the sacrifice.'

In late Classical and Epic Sanskrit there are some more or less dubious instances where
 also the outermost operators of negation and interrogation seem to have elliptical scope
 over the gerundial syntagm, cf. (335) vs. (336) and (338) vs. (337):

- (335) MBh 12.530 (ed. Calcutta, quoted according to Böhtlingk, Ind. Spr. II: 4212)
 ([prajñāprāsādam āruhya]_{NEG>} aśocyāñ chocato janān
 jagatīsthān ivādrīstho mandabuddhir na_{NEG} cekṣate_{IND})_{ASSERTION}
 'A man of mean intelligence does not rise to the platform of wisdom and see that
 people grieve for what is not to be grieved, just like a man standing on a hill does
 not (see) the ones standing on the earth (below).'
 Cf. the discussion on (6), 1.2.A.

- (336) ŚB 14.7.3.13 (= BĀU 2.4.12)
 na [pretya] samjñāsti
 'There is no consciousness after dying.'

- (337) AV 11.8.10cd
 ...[putrēbhyo lokāṁ dat[t]tvā] (kāsmīms té lokā āsate_{IND})_{QUESTION}
 '...having given the world to their sons, in what world do they sit?'
 (≠ 'Where have they given the world to their sons and sit?')

- (338) Śat.-tr. (Nītisataka) I. 3. 97 (quoted from Böhtlingk, Ind. Spr.II: 3362, p. 234)
 (trinetrām kas_{INT} [tyaktvā] dhanalavamadāndham praṇamati_{IND})_{QUESTION}
 'Who abandons the Three-Eyed one and (then) bows before someone blinded by
 the intoxication of a trifling fortune?' (≠ 'Who having abandoned..., bows?')

A problem is that the scope of main clause operators is not unambiguous and that an
 implicit speech act may have the same pragmatic effect as operational dependence or scope
 inclusion, cf. AV 1.7.4cd brāvītu sārvo yātumān ayām asmītyétya (cf. 10.6.5,
 12.3.4, 10.4.19) 'Let everyone possessed by a demon say having come (≈ come and say):
 "Here I am"! Operational dependence could thus be accounted for pragmatically in terms
 of the lack of textual backgrounding, givenness, predictability or propositional restrictive-

ness of the gerundial clause. On the other hand, the gerundial clause cannot be other than restrictive or backgrounded when the main clause is negated (mostly so also when questioned), implying that there are certain inherent constraints on the modal-operational integratability of a gerundial clause (cf. 1.2.A-B). Due to these constraints, a negative operator of the main clause may occur before the gerund:

(339) ŚB 11.3.3.7

ná_{NEG} ha vái snātvā bhikṣeta

'He should not beg alms, having bathed.'

Possible but not intended readings: 'It is not having bathed that he should beg alms.'/It is not a fact that he should beg alms having bathed.'

Anomalous reading: 'He should not bathe and beg alms.'

(340) ŚB 14.4.1.7 (= 14.5.9.3 = 14.5.9.5 = 14.5.9.7 = 14.5.9.9)

tám gṛhītvā ná_{NEG} sādāyati

'Having taken it, he does not put it down.'

Possible but not intended reading: 'It is not after taking it that he puts it down.'

Anomalous readings: 'He does not take it and put it down.'/It is not a fact that he puts it down having taken it.'

The gerundial clause may be independently negated by means of the negative particle **na** or less ambiguously by the privative prefix **a+** (cf. 337), which particles may also yield the meaning 'without' or 'before' (cf. 4.7.C.3):

(341) RV 10.108.5c

kás ta enā áva sṛjād áyud[d]hvy_{NEG}

'Who would abandon them without having put up a fight?'

(342) ŚB 2.3.2.4-5 (cf. ŚB 4.6.6.1-5; JB 212)

átha prātáḥ || ánasītvā_{NEG} muhūrtám sabhāyām āsītvāpi kāmam pályayeta

'Then early in the morning, before eating, having sat for a while in the assembly hall, he may also, if he so likes, walk around (the hall fire).'

(343) ĀsvGS 4.2.22

adhijyam kṛtvā [samcitim acitvā]_{NEG} samśīryānupraharet

'Having strung it without first having piled (= before piling) the pile, he should break it and throw it on the fuel-pile.'

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

- (344) MBh 1.734 (ed. Calcutta = cr. ed. Poona 1.3.72cd: **anivedya gurave**)
 ...(**na tv aham etam apūpam upayoktum utsahe**)_{NEG} [**gurave 'nivedya**]_{NEG}
 '...but I cannot eat this cookie without having informed my guru.'
- (345) Mṛcch. 3
 (**nainām [agrāhayitvā]**)_{NEG} **atrāgantavyam**_{GERUNDIVE}PROHIBITION
 'There is no coming here without having taken him!'
- (346) Das. 5. ucchv. (ed. Kāle 1966, p. 141)
 (**nāham idaṁ tattvato [nāvabudhya]**)_{NEG} **moksyāmi bhūmisayyām**)_{NEG}
 'I will not leave my earthly bed without having understood this in truth.'
- (347) Hit. 1.2
 (**na [saṁśayam anāruhya]**)_{NEG} **bhadrāṇi pasyati**)_{NEG}
 'Without taking a risk one will not see good fortune.'

4.4. STRUCTURE OF THE SUPERORDINATE SYNTAGM

The superordinate or dominant syntagm is typically a *finite* independent or dependent clause, but in post-Saṁhitāic and especially post-Vedic Sanskrit frequently also a *non-finite* embedded clause or phrase. When propositionally restrictive, the gerundial clause can be viewed as having an adverbial function within the superordinate clause. When dependent on another non-finite clause, it always functions as an adverbial constituent of the latter, cf. (349). (Nested structures are indicated by alternating square and rounded brackets.)

- (348) RV 2.20.8cd
 [[**prāti yād asya vājriṇam bāhvór dhúr**]_{S-TEMP} [**hatvī dásyūn**]_{GD-S} [**púra āyasīr ní tārīt**]_{S-SUP}]_S
 'When they lay the bolt in his hands, he tore down the iron fortresses, having slain the Dasyus.'
- (349) JB 1.156.1 (3)
 [[**tān (vijitya)**]_{GD-S} **yathālokam āsīnān**]_{PPL-S} **indra [etya]**_{GD-S} **abravīt**]_S
 'To them who, having won, were sitting each one in his own world, Indra, having come up, said'

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

It is not necessary for the superordinate clause to have a finite predicate verb; the predicate may be a *non-finite verb-form* or *action noun* or an understood or underlying *copula*:

(350) RV 10.51.5ab

éhi [mánur devayúr yajñákāmo ['ramkṛtyā]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)}]_S
 ‘Come here (Agni!), the godly Manu (is) willing to sacrifice, having prepared everything.’

(351) RV 8.66.2cd

...[yá [ādṛtyā]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} **sasamānāya sunvaté dātā jaritrā ukthyām]_S**
 ‘...who having applied himself (is) a giver (? will give) to the industrious pressing singer of what is praiseworthy.’

(352) AV 4.7.3

karambhām kṛtvā tiryām pībasphākām udarathim
ksudhā kīla tvā duṣṭano jakṣivānt sā ná rūrupaḥ
 ‘Having made thee (= the poison) that comes from a horizontal direction into a porridge, rich in fat, and cheering, from sheer hunger he has eaten thee, that hast an evil body, do thou not cause injury!’ (Bloomfield, SBE 42, pp. 26, 376)¹⁶

(353) Bṛhadd. 1.80cd

[na hi [nāmāni avijñāya]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} mantrāḥ sakyā hi veditum]_S
 ‘For it is not possible to know the mantras without having learnt the names.’

(354) ŚSS 1.4.1-3

[āmanrito hotā[ntareṇotkaram praṇītās ca pratipadya]_{GD-S} dakṣiṇena
prapadena barhir ākramaṇam_{PRED}]_S. vedyantasammitā pascātpārṣṇih.
[[vikramya ca]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} sthānam_{PRED}]_S
 ‘The Hotṛ, being called upon (by the Adhvaryu) comes upon between the *utkara* and *praṇīta*-water and treads upon the grass with his right fore-foot. His heel reaches the back side up to the end of the *vedi*. He stands there with his feet apart.’

(355) Mbhṣy. 1.1.3 & *passim*

[[katham kṛtvā]_{GD-S:ADV} jñāpakam]_S
 ‘By what means is this implicit rule stated?’ (cf. 4.8.A)

¹⁶ Following BRW (s.v. *rup*), Whitney (1905, p. 155) made the emendation *jakṣivāmsam*, which makes the gerund dependent on the participle: “Having made gruel of sesame (?), teeming with fat, steaming (?), thou dost not rack, O ill-bodied one, him that has eaten thee merely from hunger.”

- (356) MBh 12.144.7
 [[**visṛjya dhanasarvasvam**]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} **bhartā vai śaraṇam striyāḥ**]_S
 ‘Having abandoned all her wealth, a woman’s shelter (is) her husband alone.’
- (357) Svapn. 5.2c
 [[...**labdhvā priyām**]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} **mama tu manda ivādya śokaḥ**]_S
 ‘...having obtained my love my pain is today almost gone.’
- (358) Sāv. 2.33
 [[**evam uktvā**]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} [**kham utpatya**]_{GD-S} **nāradas tridivam gataḥ**]_S
 ‘Having said this, Nārada flew up into the sky and headed for the third heaven.’
- (359) Tantr. (kathāmukham)
 [[**tān arthasāstrān prati jaḍān ālokyā**]_{GD-S(:ADV-P)} **rājā [sacivān āhūya]**]_{GD-S}
sampradhāritavān]_S
 ‘Having perceived them (= his sons) to be dense in regard to the political sciences, the king summoned the counselors and negotiated with them.’

Occasionally the superordinate clause is understood or can be supplied from the context (thus especially in elliptical stage directions, see 3.3.A):

- (360) RV 2.35.10
hiraṇyarūpaḥ sā hiraṇyasamḍḡg apām nāpāt sēd u hiraṇyavarṇaḥ
hiraṇyāyāt pāri yōner niśādyā hiraṇyadā ḍadaty ānnaṁ asmai
 ‘Of golden form he is, of golden appearance, the son of the Waters, and of golden color, (? coming) from the golden womb, having seated himself there. The givers of gold give him food.’ (Sāy. supplies **rājate** ‘shines’ after the gerund.)
- (361) SV 1.4.2.2 (not in RV)
kaśyapasya svarvido yāvāhuḥ sayujāviti
yayor viśvam api vratam [yajñam dhīrā nicāyya]
 ‘Of which two they say they are the companions of Kasyapa, the Light-finder, in whom the whole ordinance is, the wise ones having observed the sacrifice’¹⁷
- (362) ŚvU 6.3
[tat karma kṛtvā][vinivartya bhūyas][tattvasya tattvena sametya yogam

¹⁷ Commentary: **yajñam upakāraṁ nicāyya dṛṣtvā dhīrā vidvāmsō jñānino jīvāḥ saṁtuśyanti tau stuma iti śeṣaḥ** ‘Having realized that the sacrifice is an expedience, wise, knowing beings, rejoice in it. Those two we praise, is to be understood.’

ekena dvābhyām tribhir aṣṭabhir vā kālena caivātmaguṇaiś ca sūkṣmaiḥ]
 “Having created this work and rested again, having entered into union with the essence of the self, by one, two, three or eight, or by time too and the subtle qualities of the self” (Radhakrishnan 1953, p. 743)¹⁸

(363) AĀ 5.1.3

**[sthūṇe rajjū vīvadha ity etat prakṣālya][tīrthena prapādyottareṇāgn-
 idhriyam parivrajya] pūrvayā dvārā sadaḥ sarvān dhiṣṇyān uttareṇa**
 “Having cleansed the two posts, the two ropes and the cross-beam and having taken them by the road called ‘tīrtha’, having gone round to the left of the Āgnīdhra’s altar, (having brought them) the seat by the east door (he places the implements) to the left of all the altars.” (Keith 1909)

(364) GGS 1.3.12

**[pradakṣiṇam agniṁ parikramya][apām seṣam niniya][pūrayitvā
 camasam][pratiṣṭhāpya] yathārtham**
 ‘Having circumambulated the fire from left to right, poured down the rest of the water, filled the wooden drinking vessel and placed it (beside him), (he should go about) according to his aim.’ (Cf. Knauer 1886, p. 70: “so gehe er anderem nach”.)

(365) PdP 5.51.46 (quoted from Söhnen 1985, p. 487)

**[tvatprasādāc ca me devi vairūpyam munisāpajam
 samtyajya][devarājyam ca labdhvā]ham tu purā tathā**
 ‘By your grace, O goddess, (may) I (become) as before, having abandoned my ugliness caused by the sage’s curse and regained my sovereignty over the gods!’

4.4.A. NON-FINITE EMBEDDED CLAUSE OR PHRASE

Rarely in Vedic, but frequently in post-Vedic Sanskrit, the gerundial clause is dependent on a *participial* or *non-finite reduced clause* or phrase that is dependent on or embedded in another clause or phrase, the nominal head of which is the logical subject (or agent) of both the participle and the gerund.

(366) JB 1.156, (3)

**[[tān_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A} [(vijitya)_{GD-S} yathālokaṁ āsīnān]_{PPLE-S}]NP indra [etya]
 abravīt]_S**

¹⁸ A superordinate clause cannot be supplied from the context; cf. Hume’s (1934, p. 408) translation: “He creates this work, and rests again. Having entered into union with principle after principle...”

'To them who, having won, were sitting each one in his own world, Indra came up and said'

(367) Rm 7.16.13 (ed. Bombay)

[so 'paśyan [nandinam_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A} [tatra devasyādūrataḥ sthitam_{PPL} (dīptam sūlam avaṣṭabhya)_{GD-S}]_{PPL-S}]_{NP:OBJ} dvitīyam iva saṅkaram]_S
 'He saw Nandin standing there not far from the god resting on his shining spear like another Śaṅkara (= Śiva).' (Cf. Böhtlingk 1889, p. 58.)

(368) VSms 1.15

[[sruveṇājyam srāvayan (paridhī sprṣtvā...)_{GD-S}]_{PPL-S} āghārau juhuyāc]_S
 'Pouring out melted butter by means of the *sruva* after having touched the two pegs with the *sruva*, he sacrifices the two *āghāras*.'

(369) Meharauli Iron Pillar Inscription of Candrar 1ab (Diskalkar 1977 p. 17)

[yasya [udvartayataḥ pratīpam urasā satrūn_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A} [(sametya)_{GD-S} āgatān]_{PPL-S}] vaṅgeṣv āhavavartinoh]_{PPL-S} 'bhilikhitā khaḍgena kīrtir bhujē_{LOC}]_S
 'On whose arm fame was inscribed by the sword when he was fighting in the Vanga country turning back with his breast the enemies who had come having united themselves.'

(370) Kauṣ. 3.14.1 (cf. 2.23.15, 2.27.20, 3.15.1, etc.)

[[[gṛhītvā vetanam]_{GD-S} karmākurvato]_{S-PPL} bhṛtakasya_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A}]_{NP:GEN} dvādaśapaṇo daṇḍaḥ]_S
 'The penalty for a servant not doing the job, having taken salary, is twelve *paṇas*.'

(371) Pratiñ. 1.4

[yathā [narasyākulabāndhavasya_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A} [(gatvānyadesam)_{GD-S} gṛham āgatasya]_{PPL-S}]_{NP:GEN} tathā hi me samprati buddhisāṅkā]_S sroṣyāmi kim nu priyam apriyam vā
 'Like a man whose relatives are in distress and who is coming home having been abroad, just in the same way I have apprehension in my mind; shall I perchance hear what is pleasant, or — alas — that which is unpleasant.'

(372) Kum. 4.17

[[[(sirasā prañipatyā)_{GD-S} yācitāny]_{PPL-S} upagūḍhāni savepathūni ca suratāni ca tāni tāni te]_{NP:OBJ} smara samsmṛtya_{GD} na sāntir asti me]_S

'On recollecting all those tremulous embraces and sexual enjoyments solicited for by you having bowed down your head, O God of Love, I can get no peace!'

(373) KāP 45.102

[[**(tam samutsrjya)**_{GD-S} **gacchantīm**]_{PPL-S} **haraḥ smerumukho 'nvayāt**]_S
'Hara with smiling face followed her, who was going away having left him.'

(374) ViP 4.4.19

[[**tayoś ca [(tam atibhīṣaṇam rākṣasam avalokya)**_{GD-S} **trāsāt pradhāvitayor**]_{PPL-S} **dāmpatyor**_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A}]_{NP:GEN} **brāhmaṇam jagrāha**]
'And he seized the brahmin husband of the couple who was fleeing in fear having seen that singularly atrocious demon.'

(375) Hit. 1.2 = ex. (51)

[[**(ityuktvā)**_{GD-S} (**sanaiḥ sanair upagamyā**)_{GD-S} **tena vyāghreṇa**]_{AG>A} **dhṛtaḥ**]_{PPL-S} **sa pāntho**_{SUBJ:A} 'cintayat]_S
'The wayfarer caught by that tiger who had approached slowly after saying this thought'

(376) Hit. 4.10

sa [(yajñārtham... chāgam upakriyā)_{GD-S} (**skandhe kṛtvā**)_{GD-S} **gacchan**]_{PPL-S} **dhūrtatrayeṇāvalokitāḥ**
'While going, having bought a goat for the purpose of sacrifice and put it on his shoulder, he was seen by three rogues'

(377) Vet. 76.11-13

...[**ity eva kāle** [[[**syenena**]_{AG:A>A} (**ānīya**)_{GD-S} **khādyamānasya**]_{PPL-S} **sarpasya**]_{LOG.SUBJ:U>U}]_{NP:GEN} **garalam**]_{NP} **taddravye nipatitam**]_S
'...at that very time, the poison of a snake being eaten by a hawk having carried it off, fell into his food.'

(378) Pañc. 3.13

[[**tasya**]_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A} [(**jāhnavyām snātvo**)**paspraṣṭum ārabdhasya**]_{PPL-S} **karatale**]_{NP:LOC} **syenamukhāt paribhraṣṭā mūṣikā patitā**]_S
'A mouse fell from the mouth of an eagle in his palm who had started to sprinkle himself with water having bathed in the Ganges.'

(379) Divy. 15 (p. 179)

[**adrākṣid bhagavāms tam bhikṣum**]_{LOG.SUBJ:A>A} [(**kesanakhastūpe**

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

**sarvasarīreṇa praṇipatya)]_{GD-S} cittam abhiprasādayantam]_{PPL-S:COMPL]}_S
 ‘The master saw that mendicant showing his faith by having prostrated down with his whole body at the Hair-and-Nail-Stūpa.’**

A similar construction of the non-past gerund occurs in ŚB 11.6.1.3 (& 4, 8, 9) **éd u [pūruṣaiḥ pūruṣān (pārvāny eṣāṃ parvaśāḥ saṃvrāscām)]_{GD-S} parvasó vibhájamānān]_{PPL-S} (4, 9: **saṃkártaṃ**) “and lo, men were dismembering men, hewing off their limbs one by one” (Eggeling SBE 44, p. 108 & fn. 2; cf. also ex. 163). The instrumental agent **pūruṣaiḥ** led Weber (1855, p. 242) and Gune (1913, p. 26) to consider the middle participle **vibhajamānān** and the dependent gerund as possibly passive: ‘look men being dismembered by men, hewing their limbs off one by one’. Delbrück (1888, p. 404) and Renou (1940, p. 365, fn.) have, on the other hand, argued that the instrumental occurs instead of the accusative after **éd** merely to avoid the double accusative and in analogy with its semantic role as Agent.**

In the following example from a late southern Sanskrit text, the gerundial clause seems to be *dependent on another gerundial clause*, though the reading is somewhat uncertain:

(380) JSP ch. 5, l. 77

**[ekonaviṃśatīdhmadārūṇi sruveṇa [{ajya}ṃ {gṛhītvā}]_{GD-S} abhigarya]_{GD-S}
 ‘After anointing nineteen fire-sticks upon taking clarified butter with the *sruva*’**

When the superordinate clause is an absolute participial clause, either the nominal head or (as is more frequent) oblique agent of the latter controls the gerund:

(381) Ragh.3.18

[sa [jātakarmaṇy akhile tapasvinā_{AG:A>A} (tapovanād etya)_{GD-S} purodhasā kṛte]_{PPL-S} **dilīpasūnur maṇir ākarodbhavaḥ pratyuktasamskāra ivādhikam babhau]_S**

‘When the entire natal ceremony had been performed by the ascetic-priest having come from the penance-forest, the son of Dilīpa shone forth with additional brilliance like a gem produced in a mine after polishing.’

In later Classical Sanskrit the gerundial syntagm is sometimes dependent on a *bahuvrīhi*-compound based on a participle:

(382) Megh. 60ab

...[(**hitvā nīlam bhujagavalayam**)]_{GD-S} **sambhunā_{AG>} dattahastā_{BAHUV}]_{NP}
 ‘... (she who was) given a hand by Śambhu, having left his blue snake necklace’**

Especially in the succinct style of the ritual and scientific Sūtras, the gerund may be dependent on a *verbal noun* or *infinitive* that functions as the subject, object or an adverbial adjunct of the sentence. (For this construction in Pali, cf. Hendriksen 1944, p. 110ff.). The logical subject or agent of the gerund and governing noun is then usually a generic unexpressed Actor, e.g.:

(383) ŚŚS 1.1.1

[[**(asamyujya)**_{GD-S} **vidhīyamānam**_{VBL.N}]_{NP:SUBJ} **sādhāraṇam**_{PRED}]_S
 'That which is prescribed without having been specified is common (to all classes).'
 (Cf. Renou 1956, p. 58.)

(384) VSmS 3.2

[[**(prasahya)** **yat kanyāharaṇam**_{VBL.N}]_{S-REL} **sa rākṣasaḥ**]_S
 'Taking of the girl by force is the demon-like form of marriage.'

(385) Kauṭ. 3.2.2

[[**kanyādānam**_{VBL.N} (**kanyām alamkṛtya**_{GD})]_{NP:SUBJ} **brāhmo vivāhaḥ**]_S
 'Giving of the girl, having adorned her, is the Brahman-form of marriage.'

(386) Kauṭ. 1.14.12

...[[**(kṛtvā vyayam)**_{GD-S} **alabdhakāryaḥ**_{BAHUV}]_{NP}
 '.. a person who has not got a job done, having paid the expenses'

(387) Paddhati (Śārngadhara, quoted from Böhtl. Ind. Spr. I: 920)

ātmārtham yaḥ paśūn hanyāt so 'vaśyam narakam vrajet
 [[**(devān piṭṛn samabhyarcya)**_{GD-S} **khādan māmsam**]_{SUBJ} **na doṣabhāk**]
 'He who kills animals for himself, he certainly goes to hell, but the one eating meat after worshipping the gods and fathers is not participating in sin.'

(388) Vedānt. 125 (cf. Vedānt. 138)

taduktam. [(dvidhā vidhāya caikaikam caturdhā prathamam punaḥ)_{GD-S}
svasvetaradvitīyāmsair yojanāt_{VBL.N}]_{NP:ABL} **pañca pañca te**
 'About this it is said: Having split each (of the five elements) in two and then the first in four parts, by the joining again of these parts with the second halves, except their own halves, (we get) five and five new elements.'

The adnominal construction of the gerund is restricted to cases where the nominal head is a verbal noun, whereas a participle may modify any kind of noun.

4.4.B. SUPERORDINATE VERBLESS IVA-CLAUSE

In Early Vedic Sanskrit there are comparative *iva*-clauses (*similes*) that by their meaning and construction assume an implicit coreferential predicate which has only the 'root meaning' in common with the predicate of the main clause (cf. Jamison 1982). When the gerundial clause is dependent on such a verbless *iva*-clause, it may then often appear to have an independent subject, but this is only because the subject of the *iva*-clause may be different from that of the superordinate clause, which is erroneously felt to dominate also the gerundial clause. E.g.:

(389) RV 2.43.2

udgāteva śakune sāma gāyasi brahmaputrā iva sāvaneṣu saṁsasi
[vṛṣe_{SUBJ:A>A}va vājī (śiśumatīr apītyā)]_{IVA-S} sarvāto naḥ śakune
bhadrām ā vada

'You sing, O bird, like the Udgātṛ his Sāman. You recite like a brahmin's son at the Soma festivals. Like a bull having mounted the mother-cows, do you, O bird, proclaim good on all sides of us!'

(390) AV 6.115.3

[[drupadād iva mumucānāḥ_{PPLE}]_{IVA-S} [svinnāḥ (snātvā)_{GD-S} malād iva]_{IVA-S}
[pūtām pavitreṇevājyaṁ]_{IVA-S} viśve śumbhantu māinasah]_S

'As one being freed from a post, as one that has sweated from filth on bathing, like sacrificial butter purified by a purifier — let all cleanse me of sin.'

The *case disharmony* in the complex sentence (390) cannot be explained by postulating ambivalence of aspect or transitivity of the main predicate (*śumbhantu*). The nominative case of the participles is therefore apparently due to topicalization/fronting.

On the other hand, the subject of the *iva*-clause may also be coreferential with the subject of the superordinate clause and expressed there (cf. 366):

(391) RV 4.41.5c

sā_{SUBJ:A>A} no duhīyad [yāvaseva gatvī]_{IVA-S}
 'May she milk for us as if having gone on pasture.'

The gerundial clause may itself be an *iva*-clause with an independent subject and predicate:

(392) RV 10.68.7cd

[āṇḍéva bhittvā_{GD} śakunāsya gárbham_{SUBJ:A}]_{GD-S:IVA.S} úd usriyāḥ

párvatasya tmánājat

'He himself drove out the cows from the mountains, like a bird's brood having broken the eggs.'

The fact that a gerundial clause may be embedded in a verbless *iva*-clause (controlled by its subject) is indicative of the 'reduced clausal' rather than nominal (noun phrasal) character of the latter, as has been argued by Jamison (1982) on mainly transformational grounds. Since we cannot explain case disharmony in such verbless clauses formally by the regular processes of ellipsis or gapping of underlying or coreferential predicates, forced nevertheless to postulate such implicit predicates, we have to accept a more *pragmatic*, though as Jamison points out, rather tightly controlled mode of syntax, which follows from the aspectual or constructional ambivalence of the main predicate.

4.4.C. SUPERORDINATE SYNTAGM REDUCED TO A PROHIBITIVE PARTICLE

In accordance with Pāṇini's rule 3.4.18 (ex. 37, 1.5.A), the gerund is idiomatically (mainly in the eastern dialects) used as the complement of the particle **alam** 'enough, away with, no good' or **khalu** 'indeed' when signifying (the content of) an *inhibitive or preventive prohibition*. Such constructions are found competing with finite negative imperative constructions in the Epic and Classical literature, although **khalu** is rare in this function (BW, Nachträge 5, p. 163, mentions apart from P 3.4.18 and Nirukta 1.5 only two actual occurrences in the literature, viz Śisupālavadha 2.70 = ex. 397 and Śrīkaṇṭha-caritam [ed. Kāvya-mālā, Nr. 3] 17.51). Also the particles **kim** 'why, what' and, in the Prakrits, **mā** 'don't!' (398) are sporadically used in the same way (cf. 3.3.C).

Syntactically the gerundial clause is an obligatory complement of the said predicative particles and may be derived diachronically over the normal peripheral construction (**alam gatvā** 'no good upon going/having gone!' > 'don't go!'). Synchronically it seems, however, that the construction has been reanalyzed as a simple clause headed by the (temporally neutralized) gerund, where the prohibitive particle is an operator carrying the sentence mood. This explains why the word order is fixed: **alam** + gerund, never gerund + **alam**. An exception is found in the stylistically peculiar Śisupālavadha: 2.40 **ālapyālam idam** 'one is not to say this' (cf. Jacobi 1899, p. 586).

Since a command or prohibition is directed towards the addressee, it follows that there is no question about the implicit subject of the gerund here: it must needs be the addressee, which may be indicated in the sentence elsewhere as a dative or genitive indirect object.

(393) BK 5.233ab

visvilena tataḥ proktam [alam̐ (trāsam_{OBJ} upāsya_{GD}) vaḥ_{GEN-DAT:BEN}]
 'Visvila then said: "No good for you dwelling in fear!"'

(394) BK 1.79

alam̐ vaḥ pīḍayitvā mām vacobhir
 'Have done with your teasing me with words!'

(395) Mṛcch. 3.6-7 (ed. Kāle, p. 82f.)

Vidūṣaka: **bho vaassa abhantaracatussālaam̐ pavesāmi ṇam̐**
 (= *bho vayasya! abhyantaracatuḥśālakam̐ pravesayāmyenam*)
 Cārudatta: **alam̐ catuḥśālam̐ imam̐ praveṣya...**
 Clown: 'Hey my friend, I'll just let him enter the house.'
 Cārudatta: 'Oh no, don't let him enter the house!'

(396) Pratijñ. 2

alam̐ idānīm mahārājo 'timātram̐ saṃtapya
 'So no good (for) the great king fretting too much!'

(397) Śisup. 2.70

saṃpraty asāṃpratam̐ vaktum ukte muṣalapāṇinā
nirdhārite 'rthe lekkena khalūktvā khalu vācam
 'It's out of place to say anything now when the pestle-holder (= Balarāma) has spoken. When a matter has been settled by a letter, let be, let be with uttering words!'

(398) Prakrit: Svapn. 4.1 (Cf. Svapn. 3.1, ed. Kale, p. 23)

mā mā bhūyo avaiṇia (= *mā mā bhūyo 'vacitya*)
 'Oh no, don't pick any more!'

The same particles (incl. **kṛtam̐** 'done (with)') may also govern an *instrumental action noun* or even an *infinitive* (P 3.4.66), but the meaning is then often that of an exhortation directed to oneself rather than a command directed to the addressee, cf.:

(399) Śak. 1.1

alam̐ ativistareṇa
 'Enough of (my) prolixity!'
 ≠ 'Don't be prolix!' (possible but not intended reading)

(400) Bhavatrāta on JŚS 1.1.1

alam atiprasaṅgena

‘Enough of (my) verbiage.’

≠ ‘Don’t be verbous!’ (possible but not intended reading)

When **alam** governs an infinitive, the construction is semantically ambiguous:

(401) Mṛcch. 3.6

alam suptajanaṃ prabodhayitum

‘No good waking a sleeping (**prabodhayitum**) person!’

‘capable of waking/enough to wake a sleeping person.’

None of these constructions has been very productive after the Old Indo-Aryan period. (h)**alam** or **mā** + infinitive/gerund is sporadically found in Pali, but with the gerund mainly as a calque on the Sanskrit model: Mahāv. 76.40 **yojetvālam** [= **yojetu[m] alam** ‘do not employ’; Dīghanikāya [ed. Pali Text Society 1885] II p. 115.5 **alam (dāni) mā tathāgataṃ yāci**, 144.10 **alam ānanda mā soci** (cf. CPD s.v. **alam**, **mā** and Hendriksen 1944, p. 143 with the reference to Moggallāna-vyākaraṇa [ed. Colombo 1890] V 62f. **alam sotūna/sutvā/sutena** ‘do not listen!’.)

4.4.D. SUPERORDINATE SYNTAGM REDUCED TO AN AUXILIARY

The superordinate clause may be reduced to a *stative* or *habitual auxiliary* denoting merely the continuity or habituality of the state resulting from the completion of the action expressed by the gerund. This implies that the gerund is connected to the nuclear layer of the superordinate clause, necessarily sharing the modal and temporal operators of the latter. Since the superordinate clause has no separate arguments or operators, no element other than an enclitic particle can intervene between the gerund and the main verb (cf. 4.1.A).

The verbs that can occur with auxiliary function in construction with the past (or non-past) gerund are: **ās-** ‘sit’, **i-** ‘go’, **car-** ‘move’, **yā-** ‘go’, **vṛt-** ‘turn, proceed, exist, go on’, **sthā-** ‘stand (up)’. Since these verbs also appear as regular main verbs, the only difference between a peripheral and a nuclear juncture may then be the *level of abstraction*. Thus in (402) the meaning of **ās-** ‘sit’ is conceived of as referring to a concrete rather than abstract state, whereas in (403) the denoted state is abstract rather than concrete. This difference in meaning is also reflected in tighter word order constraints for the periphrastic reading:

- (402) AV 4.16.7cd
**[āstām jālmā [udāram sramśayitvā (= sramśayitvā)] kōṣa ivābandhrāḥ
 parikṛtyāmānaḥ]**
 ‘May the villain sit having loosened his belly, like a hoopless vessel, being cut
 round about.’
- (403) TS 2.5.1.5-6
**tāsmān mālavadvāsasā nā sām vadeta || nā sahāsīta nāsyā ānnaṁ adyād
 brahmahatyāyai hy eṣā vārṇam pratimūcyāste**
 ‘Therefore one should not talk with a woman wearing (blood-)stained clothes, one
 should not sit in her company and one should not eat her food, for she is dressed in
 (lit. sits having put on) the color of brahmin murder.’¹⁹

Similarly in (404) and (405) the main verb has a more or less attenuated meaning:

- (404) RV 1.57.4ab
imé ta indra té vayām puruṣtuta [yé tvārābhya cārāmasi]
 ‘We here are yours, O ever-praised Indra, who wander about having taken hold of
 you/who constantly keep ourselves to you’²⁰
- (405) AB 6.15.1
**athāha yad vaiśvadevaṁ vai tṛtīyasavanam atha kasmād etāny aindrāṇi
 jāgatāni sūktāni tṛtīyasavana ārambhaṇīyāni śasyanta itīndram evaitair
 ārabhya yantīti brūyād**
 ‘Then he says: “Since the third pressing belongs to the All-Gods, why then are
 these Indric Jagatī-hymns recited at the third pressing as initial ones?” — “Because
 they always set out having taken hold of Indra with these hymns”, he should
 reply.’²¹
- (406) TS 2.4.3.1 (cf. Delbrück 1888, p. 407)
**tēṣām gāyatrī oḥ bālam indriyām vīryam prajām paśūnt saṁgṛhyādāy-
 āpakrāmyātiṣṭhat**
 ‘Having grasped and taken their force, strength, might, potency, offspring and
 cattle, Gāyatrī departed and stayed away.’

¹⁹ Delbrück (1888, p. 408): “sie trägt die Farbe des Brahmanenmordes (eigentlich: sitzt da, nachdem sie angezogen hat)”.

²⁰ Geldner (Rigveda I, p. 74): “die wir uns an dich zu halten pflegen”. Delbrück (1888, p. 406): “die wir wandern, nachdem wir dich ergriffen, zum Stützpunkt genommen haben”, oder: “die wir uns immer an dich halten”.

²¹ Gune (1913, p. 36): “da man bei jeder Zeremonie zuerst an Indra fest zu halten pflegt”. Keith (1920, p. 270): “Verily laying hold of Indra by them they proceed.”

- (407) KU 2.4.6 (cf. KU 4.7)
yaḥ pūrvam tapaso jātam adbhyaḥ pūrvam ajāyata guhām praviśya
tiṣṭhantam yo bhūtebhir vyapaśyata
 “who distinguished him who was born of old from austerity — before the
 (primeval) waters he was born — who has permanently entered the hidden place,
 from things which have come into existence” (Gonda 1975, p. 264; cf. 1.5.M.)
- (408) Rm 1.60.4 (ed. Gorresio)
 ...**ataḥ kṣamam na te vaco 'tikramya vartitum**
 ‘...therefore it is not possible to persist having transgressed you.’
- (409) Manu 3.77
yathā vāyum samāśritya vartante sarvajantavaḥ
tathā gṛhastham āśritya vartante sarva āśramāḥ
 ‘Just like all beings exist in dependence on air, so all stages of life are dependent on
 the householderhood.’
- (410) Sāv. 2.22ab
eka evāsya doṣo hi guṇān ākramya tiṣṭhati
 ‘One fault he has which surpasses all his virtues’

In Classical Sanskrit also *perfective* auxiliaries come to be used in connection with the past gerund, e.g.: **gam-** ‘go (away)’ and **yā-** ‘go (away)’. E.g.:

- (411) Pañc. 1.22 (ed. Kielhorn, p. 91, l. 14)
tato makṣikoḍḍīya gatā param tena śitadhāreṇāsīnā rājño vakṣo dvidhā
jātam rājā mṛtaś ca
 ‘Then the fly flew away, while the king’s chest was cleft by the sharpened sword
 and the king died.’
- (412) Śuk.1
tato yāvat sā tām sārīkām galamoṭanapūrvam vināśayati tāvad uḍḍīya
yayau
 ‘Then before she had time to strangle that Sārīka-bird to death, it flew away.’²²

The same auxiliaries may also occur in combination with the non-past gerund and present participle, but the aspectual value of the periphrastic verb-form is then ‘progressive’ or

²² Note that **yā-** has only habitual-durative value as an auxiliary in Vedic Sanskrit (cf. 405).

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

'durative' rather than 'stative' or 'perfective'. Cf. (413) vs. (414) and (415) vs. (416).

(413) TS 2.5.1.6 = (403)

èṣā várnam pratimúcyāste

'for she is dressed in (sits having put on ≠ is putting on) the color of brahmicide'

(414) TS 7.4.10.1

anupūrvám eváitád yajñakratūn upétya tán ālábhya parigḥya sómam eváitát píbanta āsate

'Having in this order performed, taken hold of and embraced these sacrificial acts, they sit and drink (≠ they are in the habit of drinking) Soma.'

(415) Manu 7.195 (= ex. 54, 1.5.G.)

...**uparudhyārim āsīta**

'...(the king) should keep on having besieged the enemy' = 'keep the enemy besieged' ≠ 'keep besieging'

(416) Rm 4.57.23 (ed. Bombay)

...**prāyam āsmahe**

'...we are dying' ≠ 'we are dead'

The relative temporal contrast between the past and non-past gerund is thus clearly upheld in these periphrastic constructions, cf.:

(417) TS 2.2.11.2

svá eváibhyo bhāgadhéye samádam dadhāti vitṛñhāṇás tiṣṭhanti

'For his own share he causes strife among them and they keep piercing each other.'

(418) ŚB 11.1.8.1

té 'rcantaḥ srāmyantaś ceruḥ

'They wandered around praying and fasting.'

Contrast TS 6.1.11.6 **purā khálu vāváiśá médhāyātmānam ārābhya carati yó dīkṣitó** 'Now the one who is consecrated has long kept himself prepared for the sacrifice'.

(419) ŚB 5.1.1.1

atimānénaivá kásmin nú vayám juhuyāméti svéṣv evāsyéṣu júhvatas ceruḥ

'Because of self-conceit saying: "Where could we now offer?"; they kept offering

in their own mouths.’ (Cf. Delbrück 1888, p. 128.)

Periphrastic constructions based on the past participle are more or less synonymous with constructions based on the past rather than the non-past gerund, cf. Hit. **sarpas tu vyāpāditaḥ tiṣṭhati** ‘But the snake lies dead’ (contrast 416). Cf.:

(420) Megh. 58c

...**ṣṛṅgocchrāyaiḥ kumudaviśadair yo vitatya sthitaḥ khaṁ**
 ‘...which has penetrated (lit. stands having penetrated) the sky with his heights
 white as night lotuses’

The gerund is not normally construed periphrastically with temporal auxiliaries, such as **as-** ‘be’ or **bhū-** ‘be(come)’, which are used with the participles to form periphrastic perfect tenses. A possible exception is: MBh 15.11.20 (ed. Bombay), **kutas tvam asi** (cr. ed. Poona: **adya vismṛtya vairam dvādaśavārṣikam** ‘How have you forgotten a twelve years’ enmity?’; cf. Ludwig 1897, p. 4; Jacobi 1899, p. 581). The normal construction would have been with an active predicative participle: **vismṛtavān**.

4.5. MARKING THE CLAUSE BOUNDARY

Due to its syntactic status as a reduced clause or non-finite phrase (rather than full clause), the gerundial syntagm is mostly connected *asyndetically*, i.e. without any overt connector, to the superordinate clause:

(421) RV 10.15.6ab

[[**ācyā jānu**] [**dakṣiṇatō niśadya**] **imām yajñām abhi grṇīta viśve**]_S
 ‘Bending your knee and sitting down to the south, greet you all this sacrifice
 welcome!’

(422) AV 13.1.52

[[**védim bhūmim kalpayitvā**] [**dívam kṛtvā dáksṇām**] [**ghraṁsām**
tādāgnim kṛtvā] **cakāra viśvam ātmanvād varṣeṇājyena rōhitaḥ**]_S
 ‘Having shaped the earth into an altar, having made the sky the sacrifice fee, and
 having made the heat to fire, the ruddy one has made everything spirited, using
 water as sacrificial butter.’

- (423) AV 10.4.4ab
 [aram̐ghuṣó [nimájya] [unmájya] púnar abravīt]₅
 ‘The *aram̐ghuṣa* having immersed and emerged said again’

To counteract the implication of chronological sequence in recursive gerundial constructions, *disjunctive* or *copulative conjunctions* are inserted between the conjuncts:

- (424) Suśr. 1.13.19
 [atha [jalauko'vasekasādhyavyādhitam upaveśya] [samveśya vā]
 [virūkṣya cāśya tam avakāśam mṛḍgomayacūrṇair] yady arujaḥ syāt]...
 ‘Now having seated or lain down the patient who is to be treated by bleeding with leeches and having besmeared that spot with pulverised cow-dung mixed with earth, if it should be healthy...’

4.5.A. CONNECTIVES AND COHESIVE DEVICES

To increase the sententiality of the gerundial syntagm or emphasize the sense of temporal sequence, the superordinate clause is sometimes connected to the gerundial clause by means of a *temporal* or *consequential connective* (e.g. *átha* ‘then, so’). Such connectives are sporadically used also after absolute and conjunctive participles (Speyer 1896, p. 91).

The earliest example of this construction is found in the Ṛgveda (425). In the Atharvaveda it occurs about four times, being more frequent in the Yajurveda and the Brāhmaṇas (cf. Keith 1920, p. 90), especially in the Śatapathabrāhmaṇa (cf. Minard 1956, p. 71ff. § 166ff.). In the post-Vedic period it hardly ever occurs.

- (425) RV 10.85.33cd
 [sáubhāgyam asyai dattvāya] átha ástaṁ ví páretana
 ‘Having given luck to her, go you then away back home!’
- (426) AV 9.5.27ab
 [yá pūrvam pátim vittvá] átha anyám vindáté ‘param
 ‘Whoever, having found a former husband, then finds another one’
- (427) AV 12.4.28ab
 [yó asyā ḥca upaśrútya] átha góṣv ácīcarat
 ‘If anyone, having overheard her verses, has then made her go about among his kine’ (Whitney 1905, p. 698)

- (428) AV 12.4.52ab
 [yé gópatim parāñīya] átha āhúr mǎ dadā iti
 'They who, having lead away her leader, then say: "Do not give!"'
- (429) AV 3.3.6cd
 [ápāñcam indra tám kṛtvā] átha imám ihāva gamaya
 'Having put him away, Indra, do you install this man here!'
- (430) MS 1.6.10 (102, 10)
 [hāvīmṣy evá pūrvāni nirūpya] átha sáyám agnihotrám juhōti
 'Having thrown out the first offerings, then he offers in the evening the Agnihotra.'
- (431) TS 1.5.9.6
 [manuṣyāyén nvái yó 'har ahar āhṛtyā] áthainám yācati sá in nvái tám
 úpārchaty
 'Someone who, having every day given something to a man, then solicits him for something, he surely becomes a nuisance to him.'
- (432) ŚB 1.6.1.21
 [sá ājyasyopastīrya dvīr haviṣo 'vadāya] áthopáristād ājyasyābhi-
 ghārayati
 'Having spread some clarified butter (on it) and cut off twice of the burnt offering, he then pours that clarified butter on it.' (Cf. Minard 1956, p. 71ff.)
- (433) Nala 5.7
 [tān samīkṣya tataḥ sarvān nirviśeṣākṛtīn sthitān]
 samdehād atha vaidarbhī nābhyajānan nalam nṛpam
 'Then having inspected all those standing there with invariable shape, the Princess of Vidarbha did not in her hesitation recognize Nala the king.'
- Apart from **átha**, also **átah** 'then, next', **tataḥ** 'then, afterwards', **tad** 'then', **ca** 'and' are used in this function. (According to Gune 1913, p. 35 also **pascāt** is once so used in ŚB 1.5.5.12, but this was explained in a different way by Minard 1956, p. 75 § 178; cf. also: MBh 1.194.22 **abhipūjya tataḥ pascād... abravīt** = ex. 259.)
- (434) ŚB 4.2.5.9
 átra ha vā 'sāv ágra 'ādityā āsa [tám ṛtávaḥ parigṛhyaiva] áta ūrdhvāḥ
 svargám lokám upód akrāman...

'This sun was down here in the beginning. But the seasons having encompassed him went then upwards into the sky...' (Cf. Minard 1956, p. 74 § 177.)

(435) Kath. 17.41

[iti saṁcintya saṁmantrya te devyā saha mantriṇaḥ
tām prachādya] tam ūcuś ca mṛtā devīti bhūpatim

'Thus having thought and negotiated with the queen and concealed her, the ministers said to the king: "The queen is dead."'

Another device to mark or emphasize the clause boundary in the Yajurveda and Brāhmaṇas is to express the (topicalized) subject '*proleptically*' in the gerundial syntagm and then refer back to it anaphorically in the main clause. This construction is especially common when the subject refers to a divinity or any prominent or salient personage (cf. Verpoorten 1977, p. 230f.; Ertel 1926, p. 25ff.). E.g.:

(436) KS 29.7 (175, 16)

[sa indro_{SUBJ} vṛtraṁ hatvā] sa_{ANAPHOR.} etam āgneyam avindata
'This Indra, having slain Vṛtra, he found this (cup) sacred to Agni.'

(437) KS 22.1 (57, 18) = 29.9 (178, 7)

[prajāpatiḥ_{SUBJ} prajāś sṛṣṭvā] sa_{ANAPHOR.} riricāno 'manyata
'Prajāpati having created the creatures, he thought he had exhausted himself.'
Cf. TS 6.6.5.1 prajāpatiḥ prajā sṛjata sā riricāno 'manyata 'P. created the creatures and then felt himself emptied'.

(438) KS 23.8 (83, 10)

[devā_{SUBJ} vā asurān hatvā] vairadeyād iṣamāṇās te_{ANA.} diśo 'mohayan
'The gods having slain the Asuras, fleeing from the payment of wergild, they confused the quarters.'

(439) TB 1.2.3.3

[indro vṛtraṁ hatvā 'surān parābhāvya] sa imāñi lokān abhyajayat
'Indra having slain Vṛtra, having defeated the Asuras, he won these worlds.'

(440) JB 1.209.3 (1)

[ahorātre devā_{SUBJ} abhijitya] te_{ANAPHOR.} vajram eva paridhim akurvata
'The gods having won day and night, they made the thunderbolt the enclosure.'

(441) AB 7.15.7

[*tasya ha satam dattvā*] [*sa*_{SUBJ} *tam ādāya*] *so*_{SUBJ} 'raṇyāt grāmam eyāya
'He gave a hundred for him, he took him, and he came from the forest to the village.'

Similarly there may be anaphoric reference to a shared complement:

(442) AB 5.27.10

...[*athānyām*_{OBJ} *āhūya*] [*tām*_{ANAPHOR.} *ḍugdhvā*] *tēna*_{ANAPHOR.} *juhuyāt*
'...then having summoned another (cow) and milked her, he should offer with it.'

The increased sententiality of the gerundial syntagm may lead to *semi-absolute constructions* where the expressed subject of the gerund appears explicitly in a different case from that of the coreferential subject of the main clause (cf. Delbrück 1888, p. 409; Speyer 1896, p. 91 § 287), e.g.:

(443) ŚB 3.4.2.1

[*ātithyēna vāi devā*_{SUBJ} *iṣṭvā*] *tānt*_{OBJ:EXP} *samād*_{SUBJ} *avindat*
'The gods having sacrificed with the Ātithya, strife fell upon them.'

The normal construction in a case like (443) would have been to *suppress* the subject in the gerundial syntagm and have it appear as the accusative experiencer in the superordinate clause, cf.:

(444) ŚB 11.6.1.7 (cf. ŚB 3.4.2.1, JB 1.258[2x])

*tam*_{OBJ:EXP:U>A} *ha* [*enam dṛṣṭvā*] *bhīr*_{SUBJ:A} *viveda*
'Upon seeing that one, fear fell upon him.'

4.6. WORD ORDER

Sanskrit word order is eminently free to *thematically* and *metrically* conditioned variation. The elements of a syntactic construction are thus held together by morphosyntactic and semantic *dependency* relations rather than (tight) phrase structures or linear constraints. The following generalizations about word order in complex structures involving the gerund are hence not to be taken very strictly, especially for metrical texts.

4.6.A. WORD ORDER WITHIN THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

The order of constituents within the gerundial syntagm is somewhat more constrained than in finite clauses. The complement(s) and adjuncts of the gerund almost always precede it, but occasionally there is thematic fronting of the gerund (445) or some other element of the gerundial syntagm:

(445) SB 9.2.1.2.-3

áthāgnīm ā rohati... [ārúhyāgnīm...]

‘He then mounts the fire-altar. Having mounted the fire-altar...’

Alternation of word order of parallel elements as in (446)-(447) in adjacent gerundial syntagms is quite common (cf. Minard 1956, p. 92ff. § 221ff.):

(446) SB 3.6.3.17

[áthāsādyā_{GD} srúcaḥ_{OBJ}] [apa_{OBJ} upaspṣya_{GD}]

‘Having put down the spoons, having rinsed with waters (the fingers)’

(447) Rock inscr. of King Skandha Gupta at Junāgaḍḍ 457 A.D. (Collection, p. 24, l. 5)

[krameṇa budhyā nipuṇam pradhārya_{GD}][dhyātvā_{GD} ca kṛtsnān guṇa-
doṣahetūn] [vyapetya_{GD} sarvān manujendraputrāṁ] lakṣmīḥ svayam
yam varayām cakāra

‘Whom Lakṣmī at last chose, having in her wisdom carefully reflected and considered all the causes of good and bad qualities and rejected one after another all (other) princes.’

Discontinuous gerundial clauses are extremely rare, but do sporadically occur in (Ṛgvedic) poetic diction:

(448) RV 8.76.10

[uttiṣṭhann ójasā sahā_{PPLE-S} [pītvī_{GD}]_{GD-S} [sīpre_{OBJ} avepayah_{PRED}]_{SUP-S}
[sómam_{OBJ>GD}] indra [camū sutám]_{ATTR>OBJ>GD}

‘Standing up with strength, having drunk, you have let your lips tremble, the Soma, Indra, pressed in the bowl.’

= ‘Standing up in strength having drunk the Soma pressed in the bowl, O Indra, you have let your lips tremble.’

4.6.B. ORDER OF CONSTITUENTS IN THE CLAUSE COMPLEX

The most frequent ('unmarked') position of the gerundial syntagm in peripheral and core-layer junctures (except in the construction **alam** + gerund) is between the (shared) subject or Actor (especially if thematic or referentially salient), as possibly preceded by (shared) peripheral constituents, and the rest of the superordinate syntagm:²³

[(SETTING-ADV)ⁿ (SUBJ/AG) [(OBJ) (ADV)ⁿ GD]ⁿ (OBJ) (ADV)ⁿ PRED]

The main deviations from this pattern are: (i) *thematic fronting* of the gerund (i.e. post-gerundial position of subject or agent and peripheral arguments), (ii) *incorporation* of the gerundial syntagm (if restrictive or backgrounded) within the main verb phrase, (iii) *postposing* of the gerundial syntagm after the main clause for backgrounding or for 'after-thought'-effect. In particular it should be noticed that the thematic contrast between given or topicalized/thematic vs. new or focalized/contrastive is not primarily expressed by differences in constituent order on the clause level in Sanskrit. Postposing of dependent clauses is hence not a common means of bringing into (contrastive) focus.

Although the sentence-initial (or pre-gerundial) position of the (shared or main clause) subject is the rule in later Vedic and post-Vedic Sanskrit, post-gerundial position of the subject is nearly as frequent in the Ṛg- and Atharvaveda:

(449) AV 12.2.54

[**tám indra**_{SUBJ} **idhmám kṛtvā**_{GD}] **yamásyāgním nirādadhau**
'Having made this into fuel Indra removed Yama's fire.'

(450) ŚB 2.2.4.18

té_{CATAPHOR.>} **hutvā**_{GD} **devāḥ**_{SUBJ} **imām prājātim prājāyanta**
'Those gods having offered gave birth to this offspring.'
(Cf. Minard 1956, p. 25 § 55.)

When the gerundial clause is short or textually backgrounded it may occur between the main verb and its object or obligatory complement:

(451) RV 3.35.8c

[**tásya**_{GEN} [**āgátya**]_{GD-S} **sumánā**_{OBJ} **ṛṣva**_{VOC} **pāhi**_{PRED}]_S
'Of that, having come, good spirits, O lofty one, drink!'
= 'Come and drink good spirits of that, O lofty one!'

²³ In periphrastic constructions the order is more or less fixed as: [GD (ENCL) AUX], cf. 4.4.D, 3.1.C.

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

- (452) RV 2.17.6cd
 ...yēnā pṛthivyām ni krivim_{OBJ} sayādhyai vājreṇa [hatvy]_{GD-S}
 ávrñak_{PRED} tuviṣváñih
 ‘...with which bolt having slain, he tossed with a mighty sound Krivi down on the
 ground to lie.’
- (453) AV 4.11.6cd
 [yēnā devāḥ svār āruruhūr_{PRED} [hitvā_{GD} sárīram]_{GD-S} amṛtasya nābhim]_S
 ‘By which the gods ascended to heaven, having left the body, to the navel of
 immortality.’
- (454) AB 3.15.1
 [tam_{OBJ} sarvāni bhūtāni [vibhajya]_{GD-S} anvaichan_{PRED}]_S
 ‘Him all creatures, having distributed themselves (= severally), went in search of.’
- (455) ŚB 11.6.1.7
 [tām_{OBJ} ha [enām dṛṣtvā_{GD}]_{GD-S} bhīr_{SUBJ} viveda_{PRED}]_S
 ‘Upon seeing that one, fear fell upon him.’

Frequently in the Ṛg- and Atharvaveda, but later almost exclusively as a means of *back-grounding* or expressing an ‘*after-thought*’, the gerundial syntagm is placed after the superordinate clause (cf. Minard 1956, p. 101ff. § 251ff.; Thommen 1905, p. 525). Occasionally this leads to the postposing of the complements of the gerund (cf. 464). E.g.:

- (456) RV 10.129.4cd
 sató bándhum ásati nír avindan [hṛdī pratiśyā_{GD} kaváyo_{SUBJ} manīṣā]
 ‘The bond of the existing in the non-existing the sages found out, having searched
 with contemplation in their hearts.’
- (457) RV 1.56.1cd
 dáḁṣam mahé pāyayate [hiraṇyáyaṁ rátham āvṛtyā_{GD} háriyogam
 íbhvasam]
 ‘He lets the powerful one (= Soma) be drunk for might, having turned the wagon
 hither, drawn by the tawny steed, the cleverly fabricated one.’
- (458) RV 1.177.4cd (cf. also 2.3.8, 3.21.1, 5.2.7, 10.112.3, 10.52.1)
 stīrñám barhīr ā tú śakra prá yāhi píba [niśádyā_{GD}] ví mucā hārī ihá
 ‘The *barhis* is strewn, come along now here Śakra, drink having sat down, unyoke
 your steeds over here!’

- (459) RV 3.14.5ab
vayám te adyá rarimá hí kāmam uttānāhastā [nāmasopasādya]
 ‘Today we have granted your wish, having sat down before you in obeisance with hands outstretched.’
 Cf. Avesta Yasna 28.1 **ahyā yāsā nomaṅhā ustānazasto rafəbrahyā** ‘I entreat with hands outstretched in reverence of him, (our) support’ (Insler 1975, p. 25).
- (460) RV 4.18.12cd (cf. 10.27.4d, 10.85.26a; AV 5.20.5)
kás te devó ádhi mārḍīká āsīd yát prākṣiṇāḥ pitáram [pādagḥya]
 ‘Which god was merciful to you when you tossed your father against the rock, having grasped his legs?’
- (461) RV 6.75.5b
ciścā kṛṇoti [sámanāvagátya]
 ‘He causes a whizzing on stepping down onto to the battle-field.’
- (462) RV 9.55.4
yó jināty ná jīyate hánti sátrum [abhítya]
 ‘Who conquers and is not conquered, slays the foe on attacking him.’
- (463) AV 1.25.1a
yád agnir āpo ádahat [praviśya]
 ‘When Agni burned the waters having entered them’
- (464) AV 4.15.14cd
mádhye hradásya plavasva [vigḥya catúraḥ padáḥ]
 ‘In the midst of the pool swim, having spread out your four legs.’
- (465) AV 15.14.1-12 (= ex. 97)
sá yát prācím dísam ánu vyácalan mārutam sárdho bhūtvānuvyácalan [máno 'nnādám kṛtvā]
 ‘When he moved out toward the eastern quarter, the troop of Maruts, having come into being, moved out after, having made mind their food-eater.’
- (466) AB 7.28.1
apīndrah somapīthe 'bhavat [tvaṣṭur āmuṣya somam]
 ‘But Indra obtained a share in the Soma drinking, having stolen the Soma of Tvaṣṭr.’

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

- (467) JB 1.22.1 (3)
atha haiṣām sabhāga āvavraja [uptvā_{GD} keśasmasrūṇi][nakhāni nikṛtya_{GD}]
[ājyā{-}bhyajya_{GD}][daṇḍopānaḥam bibhrat]
 'Then he went to their assembly, having shaved his hair and beard, cut his nails,
 smeared his eyes and anointed his body, carrying a stick and sandals.'
- (468) AĀ 1.5.2
tām atrotsrjati [dvādaśakṛtvah śastvā]
 'He leaves off, having recited this verse twelve times.'
- (469) MBh 1.734 (ed. Calcutta = cr. ed. Poona 1.3.72cd: **anivedya gurave**)
na tv aham etam apūpam upayoktum utsahe [gurave 'nivedya]
 'I cannot eat this cookie without (first) announcing it to my *guru*.'

Further examples from RV: 1.105.18, 1.177.4, 3.60.3, 4.26.6, 4.32.10, 4.41.5, 7.21.7, 8.13.30, 9.69.9, 10.15.12, 10.51.5, 10.52.1, 10.68.8, 10.96.6, 10.112.3, 10.131.2.
 From AV: 1.7.4, 2.29.6, 3.28.2, 4.11.6, 5.22.4, 5.22.8, 6.103.3, 8.9.2, 10.1.27, 10.6.5, 11.1.30, 11.5.15, 12.2.38, 12.3.4, 12.3.32, 15.4.1-12.

According to Verpoorten (1977, p. 234) the 'epexegetic' gerund occurs in the Aitareya-brāhmaṇa mainly only after *verba dicendi*:

- (470) AB 5.14.2 (cf. AB 3.12.2)
so 'bravīd [etya]_{GD-S} kim mahyam abhāktety...
 'He said having come: "What have you allotted to me?"'

Note that when the discourse complement precedes the *verbum dicendi* we would automatically get postposing of the gerundial clause: **sa kim mahyam abhākta iti abravīd etya**. Thus it may be that anomalous word order patterns like (470) are merely due to the postposing of the discourse complement.

4.6.C. CONSTITUENT ORDER IN MULTIPLY COMPLEX SENTENCES

The order of clauses or predicates within a complex sentence tends to reflect iconically the chronological order of events (cf. 471-472, 473cd). But when the interclausal temporal relation is explicit in the grammatical forms of the predicates or the morphosyntax of the clauses, also thematic and metrical considerations may affect the word order (cf. 474-475).

- (471) RV 10.109.7
 [punardāya_{GD} brahmajāyām][kṛtvī_{GD} deváir nikilbiṣám] [úrjam pṛthivyā
 bhaktváya_{GD}] urugāyám úpāsate
 ‘Having given back the brahmin’s wife and worked out freedom of offense with the
 gods and obtained their share of the vigor of the earth, they worship the strider.’
- (472) RV 5.32.6cd
 ...tám cin [mandānó_{AOR.PPLE}] vṛṣabháh sutásyoccáir índro [apagūryā_{GD}]
 jaghāna
 ‘...intoxicated by the pressed (Soma), the bull Indra, having raised his weapon
 high, has slain him.’
- (473) RV 10.55.8
 [yujā kármāṇi janáyan_{PRES.PPLE}] viśváujā aśastihā viśvámanās turāṣāt
 [pītvī_{GD} sómasya][divá ā vṛdhānāḥ_{AOR.PPLE}] sūro nir yudhādhamad
 dásyūn
 ‘Bringing about deeds with the united (Maruts), the all-powerful killer of slander,
 the knower of all and conqueror of the powerful, having drunk of the Soma,
 (and) strengthened from heaven, the hero blew down the Dasyus.’
- (474) RV 7.80.2ab
 ...eṣā návyam áyur dádhānā_{PRES.PPLE} gū!hvī_{GD} támō jyótiṣoṣā abodhi
 ‘...conferring new life, having concealed the darkness with light, this here Dawn
 has awoken.’
- (475) RV 8.76.10
 uttiṣṭhann_{PRES.PPLE} ójasā sahá pītvī_{GD} sípre avepayah
 sómam indra camū sutám
 ‘Rising up with strength upon drinking the Soma that was pressed in the bowl,
 O Indra, you have shaken your lips.’
- (476) RV 10.94.4cd
 [samrábhyā_{GD} dhírāḥ svásṛbhir] anartiṣur [āghoṣáyantah_{PRES.PPLE}
 pṛthivím upabdībhiḥ]
 ‘Having taken hold of their sisters (= fingers) the skilful ones have danced, making
 the earth resound with their footsteps.’

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

- (477) AV 8.9.2ab
yó ákrandayat salilám mahitvá yónim kṛtvá tribhújam sáyānaḥ_{PRES.PPLE}
'Who made the sea cry with his might, having made a threefold womb for himself,
while lying there.'
- (478) AV 10.8.18cd (= 13.2.38 = 13.3.14)
sá devānt sárvaṅ úrasy upadádya_{GD} **sam̐paśyan**_{PRES.PPLE} **yāti bhuvanāni**
viśvā
'Having placed all the gods on his breast he goes (? keeps) watching all beings.'

When the gerundial clause is coordinate with or subordinate to a present participial clause, the former expresses a preceding action or activity in relation to the latter, while when the gerundial clause is coordinate with a preterital non-finite clause, the order of events is mostly determined by the linear order (473):

- (479) RV 10.6.7ab (cf. 8.96.21b)
ádḥā hy āgne mahnā niśádyā_{GD} **sadyó jajñānó**_{PERF.PPLE} **hávyo babhūtha**
'For now, O Agni, having sat down in your might, at the moment you were born,
you have become worthy of being invoked.'

4.7. SEMANTIC INTERPRETATION OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

Unless temporally neutralized, the gerund with its complements expresses literally only the prior completion of an action or state performed or undergone by the Actor or topical Undergoer of the superordinate clause. This value appears in its purest form in the periphrastic construction where the superordinate clause is reduced to an auxiliary expressing the continuity of the inferred resulting state. In non-periphrastic constructions the semantic relation of the gerundial syntagm to the superordinate unit is interpreted as more complex, ranging from the notion of mere actional sequence to various logical implicatures.

4.7.A. BACKGROUNDED CIRCUMSTANTIAL QUALIFICATION

The most neutral or least marked interpretation of the gerundial clause in peripheral linkage is as denoting a weakly restrictive or parenthetical circumstantial qualification referring to a preceding action or inferred resulting (concomitant) state (cf. 480), which is contextually given and/or informationally subordinate to or supportive of the main predication. A back-

grounded restrictive gerundial clause is neither elliptically nor contrastively in the scope of the main clause and tends to lack independent spatio-temporal peripheral arguments. The main clause is mostly expressive of a narrative statement or a deferential request.

This value, which appears to the fore especially in early Vedic narrative and hortatory discourse, is compatible with a rendering of the gerundial clause by a perfect or present conjunctive participial clause sharing the absolute time reference of the superordinate clause. The *unmarkedness* of this value of the gerundial clause is seen in that it cannot very well be paraphrased by either a coordinate or subordinate finite clause or by a temporal or circumstantial adverbial phrase and in that it shows no special constraints on aspect, word order (postposing being frequent in RV and AV) and interpropositional relation (the exact logical relation to the main clause being frequently undefinable):

(480) RV 10.15.6ab

ācyā jānu dakṣiṇatō niśādyemām yajñām abhi grṇīta vīṣve

‘Bending your knee and sitting down to the south, greet you all this sacrifice welcome!’

(481) RV 10.145.5 (= AV 3.18.5: **bhūtvā**; cf. RV 10.85.29cd)

ahām asmi sāhamānātha tvām asi sāsahīḥ

ubhé sāhasvatī bhūtvī sapātñīm me sahāvahai

‘I am the winning one, and you are the winner. Having both become (**bhūtvī**) powerful, let us overpower my rival!’

(482) RV 2.37.3cd

**āyūyā dhṛṣṇo abhigūryā tvām neṣṭrāt sómam draviṇodaḥ pība
ṛtúbhiḥ**

‘Drawing it to yourself and extolling it, drink the Soma from the cup with the seasons, O bold Draviṇodas (= bestower of wealth)!’

(483) RV 10.97.21cd

sārvāḥ saṃgātya vīrudho 'syái sám datta vīryam

‘All coming together, O plants, may you give him the potency.’

(484) RV 4.4.12cd

té pāyávaḥ sadhryāñco niśādyāgne táva naḥ pāntv amūra

‘These protecting powers of yours, having sat down together, O Agni, may protect us, O you unerring one!’

- (485) AV 12.4.41ab
yā vaśā udākalpayan devā yajñād udētya
 'What cows the gods shaped out, having risen from the sacrifice.'
- (486) AB 3.21.1
indro vai vṛtram hatvā sarvā vijitīr vijityābravīt prajāpatim
 'Having slain Vṛtra and won all the fights, Indra said to Prajāpati'
- (487) JB 1.73.1 (3)
so 'gnir mukhād bibhatsamāna ūrdhva uddrutya mastiṣkam
uddhatyāsṛjyata
 'Now Agni, revolting from the mouth, having sped upwards and thrown off (Prajāpati's) brain, was created.'
- (488) JB 2.398 (*śloka*) (= 3.255)
...te himkṛtya punar āruhya sarva evādhūnvata jarasam tanūnām
 '...having uttered *him* and ascended again, they all shook off the decrepitude from their bodies.'
- (489) Megh. 22
suklāpaṅgaiḥ sanayanajalaiḥ svāgatīkṛtya kekāḥ
pratyudyataḥ katham api bhavān gantum āsu vyavasyet
 'Received by the peacocks with tears in their eyes, having turned their cries (? their cries having been turned [by them]) into welcome, may you still somehow endeavour to speed on.'
- (490) Megh. 83
utsaṅge vā malinavasane somya nikṣipyā vīṇām madgotrāṅkam
viracitapadaṁ geyam udgātukāmā |
tantrīr ārdrā nayanasalilaiḥ sārāyitvā kathamcid bhūyo bhūyah
svayam api kṛtam mūrchanām vismarantī
 'Or having placed the *vīṇā* in her lap covered with soiled clothes, wishing to sing a song containing an allusion to my *gotra*, composed in words, and somehow forgetting from time to time the melody given by herself, having touched the strings wet with her tears (she will fall in your sight).'
- (491) KūP 1.9.15
tasya tadvacanam śrutvā vihasya garuḍadhvajah

uvāca devaṃ brāhmaṇaṃ meghagambhīraṇiśvanaḥ

‘Having heard that speech, the one with Garuḍa as his emblem said with a laugh (or: having burst into laughter) to the god Brāhmaṇa, with a deep voice sounding like a cloud.’

(492) KūP 1.25.84ab

śrutvā tadvacanaṃ kṛṣṇaḥ prahasanaḥ vai vṛṣadhvajam | uvāca...

‘Having heard that speech, Kṛṣṇa said bursting into laughter to Śiva...’

(493) KūP 1.9.19

tataḥ prahasya bhagavān brahmā vedanidhiḥ prabhuḥ

pratyuvācāmbujākṣaṃ sasmitaṃ ślakṣṇyā girā

‘Then having burst into laughter the exalted lord Brahma, the treasure of wisdom, answered the lotus-eyed one, with a smile and gentle speech’.

Note that in (493) the gerund **prahasya** contrasts aspectually and probably also temporally with the present participle **hasana** in KūP 1.26.51 **atha tat sarvaṃ akhilaṃ dṛṣṭvā karma mahāmuniḥ | mārkaṇḍeyo hasanaḥ kṛṣṇaṃ babhāṣe madhuraṃ vacaḥ** ‘And then having seen all that activity, the great sage M. spoke to K. sweetly while smiling’.

This function of the gerund is especially common as a cohesive device in narrative discourse, e.g. **tad uktvā/śrutvā/dṛṣṭvā/vicintya...** ‘Having heard/said/seen/thought that..’ (cf. Söhnen 1979, p. 14ff.).

4.7.B. ADDITIVE-SEQUENTIAL RELATION

This value of the gerundial clause can to some extent be distinguished from the previous one by increased *discourse prominence* (i.e. lack of givenness or contextual predictability) and transitivity (incl. kinacity) as well as dependence on marked modal and aspectual operators of the superordinate clause. It appears to the fore in recursive gerundial structures in additive-sequential linkage in procedural discourse and post-Saṃhitāic narrative and (to a lesser extent) hortatory discourse. It is specifically incompatible with an idiomatic rendering by a conjunctive perfect or past participle in modern European languages in operationally marked contexts (cf. 1.2.A). In Vedic and early Classical Sanskrit it is not available in negative and interrogative sentences. Often there is coreference of more than one core argument, implying that the construction is approaching a cosubordinate core-layer juncture, where all core-layer and peripheral operators are automatically shared (cf. 4.3). When the main clause is a modally unmarked narrative statement, the gerundial clause

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

may have this value without assuming operational transfer or this reading and the previous one remain indistinct, cf. (494):

- (494) RV 10.162.6b
...yás tvā svápnena támasā mohayitvá nipádyate
 ‘...who confuses you with sleep and darkness and then lies with (you).’
 ‘... confusing... then lies...’
- (495) MS 3.9.4 (119, 13)
āvḥya ha sma vái purá sámsthite yajñè 'gnáu yūpam prásyati
 ‘Previously one used to dig up and throw away the post into the fire after the completion of the sacrifice.’
- (496) ŚB 1.8.1.3
kumbhyám mágre bibharāsi sá yadá tám ativaráddhātha karṣūm khātvā
tásyām mā bibharāsi
 ‘First you shall keep me in a pot and when I shall grow out of it you shall dig a trench and keep me in that.’
- (497) ŚB 14.1.1.23 (Delbrück 1888, p. 591)
yadá na upaneṣyásé 'tha te śiras chittvānyátrāpani dhāsyāvah
 ‘When you have received us as your pupils, we shall cut off your head and [then] put it aside elsewhere’
- (498) TU 2.5.1
vijñānam brahma ced veda tasmāc cen na pramādyati śarīre pāpmano
hitvá sarvān kāmān samaśnute
 “If one knows Brahman as understanding and one does not swerve from it, he leaves his sins in the body and attains all desires.” (Radhakrishnan 1953, p. 546)
 “A person who knows knowledge as Brahman — and does not swerve from it — enjoys all desires — after he has abandoned all the sins innate to the body (or, after he has abandoned all sins in his body, that is to say, during his life).”
 (Röer 1931, p. 215)
- (499) BC 1.69
vihāya rājyam viṣayeṣvanāsthas tivrāiḥ prayatnair adhigamya tattvam
jagaty ayam mohatamo nihantum jvaliṣyati jñānamayo hi sūryaḥ
 ‘For he will give up the kingdom in his indifference to worldly pleasures and having

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

attained the truth with great effort he will shine forth in this world to demolish the darkness of infatuation, full of knowledge as the sun.'

(500) Hit. 2.10 (Lanman [1884], p. 33, l. 3)

satvaram gatvā mām darśaya

'Go immediately and show me!'

The alternation of backgrounded and non-backgrounded gerundial clauses in recursive gerundial structures in additive-sequential linkage is reflected in translation by alternating coordinate and subordinate or dependent clauses:

(501) KS 11.10 (156, 15ff.)

**tāni pūrvasyāgnér ante nidhāya kṛṣṇām vāso yājamānam paridhāpaitvā-
nvārambhayitvāitāni juhōti**

'Having placed them near the eastern fire (and) having clothed the *yajamāna* into the black cloth he takes hold of him from behind and offers them.'

(502) JB 2.68 (13)

**ājyasthālīm sasruvām ādāyottarenāgnīdhram ca sadaś ca parītyāpareṇa
gārhapatyam upaviśyopasthe kṛṣṇājinam ādhāya caturgrhītam ājyam
grhītvā juhōti**

'Having taken the butter bowl and the *sruvā*-ladle he goes round to the north of the Agnīdhra-fire and Sadaś and sits down behind the Gārhapatya-fire, placing a black-antelope skin in his lap and taking melted butter four times from the bowl and offers'

(503) AB 5.27.10

athānyām āhūya tām dugdhvā tena juhuyāt

'Then having called another one, one should milk her and sacrifice with that.'

(504) BĀU 6.4.8

**sa yām icchet kāmayeta meti tasyām artham niṣṭhāya mukhena mukham
samdhāya upastham asyā abhimṛśya japet**

'Whom one should desire (thinking), "may she desire me", into her should one insert the member, join one's mouth to her mouth, touch her genitals and mutter'

(505) MGS 1.1.16

**imam stomam arhata ityagnim parisamuhya paryukṣya paristīryaidhos-
yedhiṣimāhiti samidham ādadhāti samidasi samedhiṣimāhiti dvitīyam**

'With the mantra... , having swept together the fire, having sprinkled it with water

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

on every side, having strewn grass round it, he puts one fuel-stick on the fire with the words... , he puts the second (fuel-stick)...

(506) GGS 1.1.9

**anuguptā apa āhṛtya prāgudakpravaṇam deṣam samam vā parisamūhyo-
palipyā madhyataḥ prācīm rekhām ullikhyodīcīṅ ca samhatām paścāt
madhye prācīs tisra ullikhyābhyukṣet**

'Having fetched the concealed water and smoothened the ground, which may lean against the northeast or be level, he should anoint it and draw from the middle an eastern line, and also one northern one, converging in the west, and then having drawn three eastern lines in the middle, he should sprinkle (them) .'

(507) Daś. 4 (ed. Kale 1966, p. 127f.)

**tac chrutvā tām anekajanmaramaṇīm asakṛd āśliṣya harṣāsrumukho
muhur muhuḥ sāntvayitvā tatprabhāvadarsite mahati mandire 'harniṣam
bhūmidurlabhān bhogān anvabhūvam**

'Having heard that, I repeatedly embraced her, who had been my lover in so many lives, and, having consoled her over and over with my face full of tears of joy, I then experienced, day and night, in a great temple created by her super-human power, enjoyments unheard of on this earth.'

(508) Hit. 1.3 (Lanman [1884], p. 23, l. 8)

ityālocyopasṭyābravīt

'Having contemplated thus, he went up and said'

(509) Pañc. 283 (ed. Vidyāsāgara, quoted from Speijer 1886, p. 295 § 37 Rem. 1)

...mahān matsyaḥ salilān niṣkramya bahiḥ sthita āste

'...a big fish has got out of the water and is staying outside (the water)'

4.7.C. FOREGROUNDED TEMPORAL/CIRCUMSTANTIAL QUALIFICATION

Being expressive of a preceding action, a gerundial clause may by *implicature* or *conversational inference* acquire the (pregnantly) restrictive temporal or logical implicature of 'after and only after X' or 'because/by the means of X'. This function appears typically in expository discourse and corresponds in translation and paraphrase to an adverbial clause or phrase of time, circumstance, cause or condition. Like a non-backgrounded gerundial clause, a propositionally restrictive gerundial clause ranks high in discourse prominence,

but it is pragmatically presupposed or specifically outside the elliptically extended scope of main clause operators (cf. 4.3). It follows that only a restrictive gerundial clause can be based on an atelic durative or mental process verb, embedded in a non-finite clause or phrase and dependent on some other argument than the Actor or topical Undergoer.

4.7.C.1. TEMPORALLY RESTRICTIVE CONDITION

In the following examples, the gerundial clause functions as a propositionally restrictive qualification that provides a temporal condition for the validity of the main proposition. This function is not yet to the fore in early Vedic Sanskrit, which instead uses adverbial or participial clauses or phrases, especially for weightier temporal qualifications.

(510) RV 2.24.6c

té vidvāmsaḥ praticākṣyānṛtā púnar yáta u áyan tād úd īyur āviśam
'those wise ones, having perceived the falsehoods, returned again to enter whence they came'

(511) AV 10.3.6a

svápnam suptvā yádi pásyāsi pāpām
'If, when having fallen asleep, you shall see an evil dream'

(512) AV 11.1.16cd (cf. also 3.4.7, 9.4.15, 11.6.21, 18.2.26)

ārṣeyā daiivā abhisamgátya bhāgām imám tápiṣṭhā ṛtúbhis tapantu
'Let those of the seers, those of the gods, when they have come together unto their share, being most hot, heat this with the seasons.'

(513) RV 2.43.2c

vṛṣeva vājī śiśumatīr apítyā sarvátō naḥ śakune bhadrām ā vada
'Like a spirited bull (bellows), upon mounting the mother-cows, proclaim good for us from all sides, O bird!'

(514) AV 8.8.16b

imá uptā mṛtyupāsā yān ākrāmya ná mucyāse
'Here are spread the fetters of death having stepped into which you shall not be released.'

(515) ŚB 4.2.2.11

tásmād apigṛhya nāsike ná hímkartum śaknoti
'Therefore one cannot say *him* after taking hold of one's nostrils.'

- (516) ŚB 14.7.3.13; 14 = BĀU 4.5.13 & 14
na pretya samjñāsti
 'There is no consciousness after dying.'
- (517) Nala 26.7
**jitvā parasvam āhṛtya rājyaṃ vā yadi vā vasu
 pratipāṇaḥ pradātavyaḥ paramo dharmo ucyate**
 'Upon winning another's property or taking away his kingdom or possession, a
 revenge play has to be offered, the highest unwritten law prescribes.'
- (518) Rm 6.16.15 (ed. Bombay, quoted from Böhtlingk, Ind. Spr. III: 5120)
**yathā pūrvam gajaḥ snātvā gṛhya hastena vai rajaḥ
 dūṣayatyātmano dehaṃ tathānāryeṣu sauhṛdam**
 'Just like an elephant having first bathed then taking dust with his hand soils his
 own body, so friendship is on unworthy persons.'
- (519) Kauṭ. 3.2.2
kanyādānaṃ kanyāṃ alamkṛtya brāhmo vivāhaḥ
 'Giving of the girl after adorning her is the Brahman-form of marriage.'
- (520) Prakrit: Priy. 4.0
**ta savvabala samdohena anteuram supīḍiam karia dānim evva āraṇṇiam
 muccāvehi**
 (= *tat sarvabalasamdohēnāntahpuram supīḍitam kṛtvedānim evāraṇṇikāṃ mocaya*)
 'So now, having oppressed the harem with the full force of your strength, set
 Āraṇyaka free.'

4.7.C.2. TEMPORALLY RESTRICTIVE GERUNDS IN DISCOURSE

An important discourse function of temporally restrictive gerundial clauses (especially in Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit and Pali, but occurring already in the Brāhmaṇas) is the anaphoric repetition of the final verb (phrase) of a preceding sentence or clause before continuing with the narrative or ritual description. The gerundial clause being contextually given, this is frequently also a backgrounding device:

- (521) ŚB 1.7.3.19
sā rāthāt papāta sā pativā bāhūm āpi śaṣre
 'He fell from the cart and, having fallen from it, he broke his arm.'

(522) TU 2.6.1

**so 'kāmayata bahu syām prajāyeyeti sa tapo 'tapyata so tapas taptvā
idam sarvam asṛjata yad idam kim ca tat sṛṣṭvā tad evānuprāviṣat tad
anupraviśya sac ca tyac ca abhavat**

'He desired: "Let me become numerous, let me procreate myself!". He performed austerility and having performed austerility he created this all, whatever there is here. And having created it, he entered it. And having entered it, he became both the actual and the beyond.'

(523) ŚB 6.1.1.8 (cf. 1.6.4.2)

**bhūyānt syām prajāyeyēti sò 'srāmyat sá tápo 'tapyata sá srāntás
tepānò bráhmaivá prathamám asṛjata**

'May I become more numerous, let me procreate myself, thus (thinking) he exerted himself and performed austerility. Exhausted (*srāntás*), having performed austerility (*tepānò*), he then first created Brahman.'

A (foregrounded) temporally restrictive clause may in principle also be conceived of as expressing an indirect speech act with the same implication as an additive-sequential clause, which is why many gerundial clauses are ambiguous as to the parameter of propositional restrictiveness, cf.:

(524) AV 3.3.6cd

ápāñcam indra tám kṛtvāthemám ihāva gamaya

'After having put him back, Indra, then install this one here.'

Or: 'Put him back and then install...!'

(525) ŚB 6.5.4.13

**utthāya bṛhatī bhavēty utthāya hīmē lokā bṛhānta úd u tiṣṭha dhruvā
tvam ity úd u tiṣṭha sthirā tvam prātiṣṭhitéty etat**

"'Having risen, do thou become great,' [= VS 11.64] — for these worlds, having risen, are great; — 'and stand up steadfast!', that is, 'stand thou up firm and fixed!'" (Eggeling, SBE 41, p. 245. Or.: 'Rise and become great!'; cf. TS 5.1.74

út tiṣṭha bṛhatī bhavordhvā tiṣṭha dhruvā tvám ity āha prātiṣṭhityā
"Arise, become great, stand upright, be thou firm', he says for support." Keith 1914, p. 398.; cf. TS 4.1.6.3, MS 2.7.6, KS 19.7, 16.6, KapS 30.5, TĀ 4.3.2, 5.3.7, MŚS 5.1.9.23.)

4.7.C.3. INVERTING THE TEMPORAL IMPLICATURE

A finite or non-finite temporal clause is pragmatically presupposed even when not conveying given information. This can be tested by negation: a temporal clause remains a valid proposition even when the sentence is negated, e.g. *Indra did not kill Vṛtrahaving drunk Soma* (=> I. drank S.).²⁴ The information focus of the sentence is therefore typically on the main clause, which relates to the temporal clause roughly as (asserted) 'comment' to (presupposed or given) 'topic' (cf. van Dijk 1977, p. 206ff.; Holland 1984, 1986). Alternatively, the thematic roles may be inverted by e.g. reversing the clause order, but even so the temporal clause remains pragmatically presupposed due to its syntactic function.

This functional asymmetry is reflected in lexical and referential asymmetry: In general, anaphoric pro-forms (i.e. pronouns and pronominal adverbs) in adjacent clauses tend to refer to constituents in main clauses rather than subordinate clauses (Smaby 1974, p. 261), and adverbials of place and time have a reduced information value when occurring in temporal clauses, which themselves rank low in temporal referentiality (Edgren 1971).

To this generalization, there is an important stylistically conditioned exception. The information structure may be reversed, so that it is the (postposed) temporal clause that is asserted or foregrounded against the (preposed) main clause which is pragmatically presupposed or backgrounded. This stylistic tour is known in classical grammar as *cum inversum* (cf. Rynell 1952, p. 16; Edgren 1971; Heinämäki 1974, p. 27).

It is possible to foreground the gerundial clause and background the superordinate clause, given that the latter ranks low in discourse prominence and expresses a hypothetical mood, such as a wish, negation or question. This leads to the inversion of the interpropositional relation in the sense that the superordinate clause comes to appear as if a temporal qualification of the gerundial clause rather than vice versa:

(526) Śak. 7.9

pradaḁṣinīkṛtya bhagavantam gantum icchāmi

'I want to go (only) having circumambulated the sage!'

=> 'I want to circumambulate the sage before I go.'

(527) Śak. 7.25

tvām eva puraskṛtya bhagavantam draṣṭum icchāmi

'I want to see the sage having put you in front.' => 'I want you to see the sage first.'

²⁴ Note that the negation test does not work very well with backgrounded non-restrictive temporal clauses, which become restrictive or meaningless when negated: *A funny thing happened when I was in Boston last week : ??A funny thing did not happen when I was in Boston last week* (Andersson 1973). Moreover, as Lakoff (1984) has shown, causal subordinate clauses need not be presupposed when sentence-final, cf. *I'm leaving, because here comes my bus*, but not **Because here comes my bus, I'm leaving*. Similarly, sentence-initial conditional clauses differ in terms of their presuppositions from sentence-final ones (Bolinger 1972, p. 37; cf. also Levinson 1983, p. 167ff.).

(528) Hit. 2.10

kutas tvam vilambyāgato 'si

'How have you come after such a delay?'

=> 'Why have you delayed so much in coming?'

(Cf. Minard 1956, p. 103; Rocher 1980, p. 186.)

Another way of inverting the temporal relation is by negation. When negated, the gerundial clause has normally the sense of 'without having V-ed', but with temporal implicature we get the meaning 'before V-ing':

(529) AB 5.30.6 (25.5.6 *gāthā*)

tad eṣābhi yajñagāthā giyate [5]:

yathā ha vā sthūriṇaikena yāyād akṛtvānyad upayojanāya

evam yanti te bahavo janāsaḥ purodayāj juhvati ye 'gnihotram

'About this the following sacrifice-*gāthā* is sung: As when one would go with only one ox, not having applied anything else for yoking, similarly all these people go about who offer Agnihotra before sunrise.' (Cf. Horsch 1966, p. 75.)

(530) ŚB 2.3.2.5

ātha prātāḥ ānaṣitvā muhūrtām sabhāyām āsitvāpi kāmam pālyayeta

'And again before taking food in the morning, having sat down for a moment in the hall, he may also, if he so like, walk round (the Sabhya or hall-fire)'

4.7.C.4. NON-PRETERITAL TEMPORAL QUALIFICATION

By *repeating* the gerund in a temporal qualification it is possible to express a simultaneous or concomitant action or state:

(531) Māl. 4.11ab

pathi nayanayoḥ sthitvā sthitvā tirobhavati kṣaṇāt

'Just while standing before my eyes on the road, she disappears all of a sudden.'

(532) KūP 1.32.5

tatra sā bhītaḥṛdayā kṛtvā kṛtvā pradakṣiṇam

dhāvamānā susambhrāntā vyāghrasya vaśam āgatā

'There, while continuously circumambulating and running perturbed with a scared heart, she fell into the power of the tiger.'

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

Note, however, that the repetition of the gerund may alternatively convey the sense of repeated or distributive action, cf. 3.4. E.g.:

(533) KūP 1.25.22

**ḍṛṣṭvā ḍṛṣṭvā samāyāntam tatra tatra janārdanam
pūjayām cakrire puṣpair akṣatais tannivāsinaḥ**

‘Having seen over and over again the harasser of men coming there and there, the people living there worshipped him with fresh flowers.’

(534) ŚB 1.3.1.8

sā vai sammṛjya sammṛjya pratāpya pratāpya prá yacchati

‘Each time having brushed and heated a spoon he hands it to (the Adhvaryu).’

4.7.C.5. INSTRUMENTAL IMPLICATURE

Inasmuch as an antecedent action may be conceived of as *instrumental* in bringing about a desired or intended subsequent result or state of affairs, a temporally restrictive (and mostly transitive) gerundial clause may receive an additional implicature of ‘by the means of/through’. Unlike the modal-instrumental function of the gerund, this implicature does not cancel the basically relative past tense of the gerund (cf. 3.2, 3.3):

(535) RV 3.34.9d

hatvī dāsyaṁ prāryam vārṇam āvat

‘(By) having killed the Dasyus he helped forth the Āryan hue.’

(536) AV 3.15.2cd

té mā juṣantām páyasā gṛtēna yáthā krítvā dhánam āhārāni

‘Let them enjoy me with milk, with melted butter, so that (by) having done business I may obtain wealth.’

(537) AV 10.10.33ab

brāhmaṇébhyo vaśám dattvā sárvaṁl lokānt sámāsnute

‘By giving a cow to the brahmins one attains all the worlds.’

(538) JB 2.73.-74 (*śloka*)

akṣaraśaḥ paccho 'mṛtam lihānāḥ | suvar āyan devatā devasattrāt ||

tapasā karma kavayo 'nugatya | āhnāya mṛtyum ati medhayāyan ||

‘In syllables and words, licking ambrosia, the divinities went from the godly sitting to the heaven. By having followed the sacrifice for many days with asceticism, the

poets overcame death with wisdom.’
(Cf. Horsch 1966, p. 119.)

- (539) SB 1.2.4.10
údañco ha smaivaiṣām palāyya mucyante
‘By having fled northwards they indeed free themselves from them.’
- (540) AĀ 1.3.1 (cf. 1.5.K)
**hiñkāreṇaitad ahaḥ pratipadyetetyāhuḥ... sa yad dhīnkṛtya
pratipadyate**
“‘Let him begin the day with the word *hiñ*”, they say... So if one begins (the day)
by saying *hiñ*’
- (541) AĀ 2.43
sa yad dhainad vācā agrahiṣyad abhyāhṛtya haivānnam atrapsyat
‘Had he been able to grasp it with speech, man would have enjoyed food by having
uttered its name alone.’
- (542) MBh 5.1222 (ed. Calcutta; cf. cr. ed. Poona 5.35.33)
**na devā daṇḍam ādāya rakṣanti paśupālavat
yam tu rakṣitum icchanti buddhyā samvibhajanti tam**
‘The gods do not protect with a stick (lit. ‘having taken a stick’) like shepherds;
whom they wish to protect, him they endow with intelligence.’²⁵
- (543) Pañc. III, p. 107 (ed. Vidyasagara 1872 = ed. Hertel 3.3.96)
**vṛkṣāms chittvā paśūn hatvā kṛtvā rudhirakardamam | yady evam
gamyate svarge narake kena gamyate**
“‘If by cutting down trees, by killing victims, by shedding streams of blood, if thus
one goes to heaven, by what does one go to hell?’” (Speijer 1886, p. 296).

Rocher (1980, p. 185) used (543) as an example of the essentially instrumental value of the gerund (cf. 1.2.). But there is no reason for not assuming that the gerundial clauses in (543) express preceding actions (lit. ‘by having cut down trees, killed animals and committed murder, if this is the way one gets to heaven, how does one go to hell?’), while the paralleled instrumental pronoun **kena** emphasizes only the instrumental implicature of these actions.

²⁵ Cf. MBh 2.2682a [ed. Calc. < Böhtl. Ind. Spr. II:3196 = cr. ed. Poona 2.72.11] **na kālo daṇḍam
udyamya śiras kṛntati kasyacit** ‘Time does not raise the stick and cut off anybody’s head’.

4.7.C.6. CAUSAL IMPLICATURE

The common abductive reasoning *post hoc ergo propter hoc* may be applied to any expression of temporal antecedence of action. This accounts for the frequent use of temporally restrictive gerundial (and other preterital) clauses to express causal qualifications:

- (544) RV 1.4.8 (cf. also 9.108.2 = 10.44.8; 3.40.7)
asyá pītvā śatakrato ghanó vṛtrāṅām abhavaḥ
prāvo vājeṣu vājīnam
 'For (by/because of) having drunk of that, O Śatakratu, you became a slayer of harassers. The winner you helped forth in combats.'
- (545) RV 9.23.7 (cf. also 1.4.8, 8.69.7, 8.92.6, 9.108.2, 10.44.8, etc.)
asyá pītvā mādānām indro vṛtrāny apratī
jaghāna jaghānac ca nū
 'Having drunk of his potions, Indra has slain harassers irresistible/y, and so he shall slay now again.'
- (546) RV 9.108.2
yāsya te pītvā vṛṣabhó vṛṣāyāte
 'Of whose your (draught) having drunk the bull gets to feel like a bull'
- (547) AV 12.4.53cd
devānt sábrāhmaṇān ṛtvā jihmó lokān nirṛcchati
 '(Because of) having gone against the gods aided by the brahmins he goes supine out of this world.'
- (548) ŚB 1.6.3.4
abhimādyann iva hí sūrām pītvā vādati
 'For having drunk Surā one tends to speak hilariously.'
- (549) Bṛhadd. 4.59
viditvā tapasā sarvaṁ tayor bhāvaṁ riraṁsatoḥ
śrutvainaḥ kṛtavān asmi brahmacāryuttame jagau
 'Having by his ascetism found out about the whole affair between those two wanting to make love, thinking he had committed a sin in having overheard, the disciple sang the two last (stanzas).'

(550) Svapn. 4.6b

smṛtvā smṛtvā yāti duḥkham navatvam

‘On constantly remembering, one’s grief grows anew’

From a discourse functional point of view there are two kinds of causal qualifications. On one hand there are those that qualify the main proposition as such, as in the above examples. On the other hand, there are those that are directed to the addressee as *speech acts* explaining why a certain statement is made or has relevance for the addressee, e.g. ‘Run/I have to run for here comes Indra!’ ≠ ‘I have to run because Indra comes’. This distinction between ‘external’ or ‘referential’ vs. ‘internal’ or ‘socio-expressive’ causal qualifications can be drawn also for other interpropositional relationships (cf. Halliday & Hasan 1976, p. 241). Since the gerund cannot be used to refer to a different topical Actor or Undergoer from that of the main clause, it lacks the ‘socio-expressive’ causal implicature.

4.7.C.7. CONDITIONAL IMPLICATURE

Any temporally restrictive gerundial clause referring to the future or habitual present may carry a conditional implicature:

(551) TS 2.2.6.2

dvādasakapālam nīr vapet samamānayoḥ pūrvo 'bhidrūhya

‘On twelve potsherds (to Vaiśvānara) one should offer, (when/if) having, as the first of two holding a contract, broken the agreement.’

(552) MBh 1.57.63

evam uktavatīm tām tu prītimān ṛṣisattamaḥ

uvāca matpriyam kṛtvā kanyaiva tvam bhaviṣyasi

‘To her who was speaking like this the pleased excellent Ṛṣi said: “Upon doing as I wish, you will, indeed, become a girl.”’

By a universal logical restriction this implicature is possible only if the predicate underlying the gerund is expressive of a transitory state of affairs (i.e. ‘stage-level’ vs. ‘individual-level’ predicate; cf. Stump 1985, p. 66), e.g. *Drunk* (pītvā), *Indra might kill you* (= When/If drunk,...) vs. *Being drunk* (pītvā), *Indra might kill you* (= Because of...).

4.7.C.8. CONCESSIVE IMPLICATURE

The concessive implicature can be derived from the conditional implicature by assuming the fulfilment of a condition against expectation. This reading is sometimes made explicit by

adding the inclusive particle **api** 'also, too, even' after the gerund:

(553) RV 10.90.1cd

sá bhūmim viśvato vṛtvāty atisṭhad daśāṅgulām

'Having encompassed the earth on all sides, he (yet) stood over it by ten fingers.'

(554) AB 1.8.15

tasmād api mugdhaś caritvā yadaivānuṣṭhyā cakṣuṣā prajānāty atha prajānāti

'Therefore even having wandered around quite confused, when one perceives immediately with one's eye, then one discerns (the way).'

(555) Rājatarāṅginī 4.383 (quoted from Böhtlingk, Ind. Spr. I: 20)

akāryāny api paryāpya kṛtvāpi vṛjinārjanam vidhīyate hitam yasya sa dehaḥ kasya susthiraḥ

'Whose body can persist, when he is done good even upon doing misdeeds and thus accumulating sin?'

(556) Kath. 24.78

tataś ca sā rājasutā janakam nijagāda tam tāta rājāpi bhūtvā tvam avicāryaiva ceṣṭase

'Then that princess said to King Janaka: "Daddy, though being a king, you act entirely without considering the consequences!"'

(557) Vedāntas. 148

pradīptagṛhāt svaputram parityajyāpi svasya nirgamadarśanāt

'Because of seeing someone coming out himself from a burning house although having left his own son behind...'

4.7.D. MODAL-INSTRUMENTAL QUALIFICATION

The modal-instrumental value of the gerundial clause appears in its purest form ('in the manner of'/'while') in non-peripheral or inner peripheral complements or adjuncts of manner of moving, speaking, behaving, subsisting, etc. (cf. 3.3.A). Often the gerund is repeated or expressive of a durative atelic activity, e.g.:

- (557) Amar. 80 (quoted from Böhtlingk, Ind. Spr. I: 86)
āṅgulyagranakheṇa bāṣpasalilam niḥṣipyā niḥṣipyā kiṃ tūṣṇīm
rodiṣi kopane bahutaram phūtkṛtya rodiṣyasi |
yasyās te piṣunopadesavacanair māne 'tibhūmim gate nirviṇṇo
'nunayam prati priyatamo madhyasthatām eṣyati
 'Why do you cry silently, continuously throwing down your tears with your finger
 nails, O wrathful one? Abundantly you will cry yelling loud, when because of the
 advice of backbiters that lover of yours, weary when your sulkiness has reached its
 acme, will be quite indifferent towards conciliation.'

In the following example, the gerundial clause merely restates the main clause:

- (558) Sāyaṇa on AB 2.1 (= 1.7)
uktam vidhim anūdyā praśamsati = uktam vidhim (vakṣyamāṇena)
anuvādena praśamsati
 "the author of the AB is now going to extol the preceding *vidhi* (positive rule) with
 the following *anuvāda* (explanatory, laudatory complement to the rule)" (Rocher
 1980, p. 184)

A modal-instrumental implicature is often at hand when the gerundial clause expresses a
 permanent simultaneous state resulting from a preceding action, cf.:

- (559) TS 6.1.3.8
apigḥya smayate
 'He smiles keeping his mouth closed' (lit. '...having closed his mouth')
- (560) Nala 1.25
sa mānuṣim giram kṛtvā damayantīm athābravīt
 'In a human voice he then said to Damayanti' (lit. 'having made a human voice...')

4.7.E. PURPOSE

Very rarely the gerund is used with the sense of a final adjunct depending on a verb of
 motion (cf. 3.3.B):

- (562) AV 5.20.5cd (= PS 9.24.5)
...nārī putrām dhāvatu hastagḥya...
 '...may the woman run to her son and grasp his hand...'

4.8. RECATEGORYZATION AND LEXICALIZATION OF THE GERUND

When expressive of movement in time or space or an action that affects the relative position of some entity, the gerund may be reategorized as an adposition (= pre- or postposition) governing only a noun phrase. Given an utterance like **strīm ādāyāgacchat** 'having taken the woman he went' the gerundial clause may by abstraction be interpreted as indicating the mere notion of accompaniment ('with') as following from the preceding action of taking. The consequent demotion of the gerund to the status of an adposition is seen in that the gerundial phrase loses its literal sense as defined truth conditionally ('with' ≠ 'having taken') and ability to take free adjuncts or optional complements, frequently also its coreferentiality constraint. Adding an adverbial adjunct, e.g. **kṣipram** 'quickly', to the gerund therefore makes it revert to its original function: **strīm kṣipram ādāyāgacchat** can only mean 'he went *having taken* the woman quickly', not: 'he went quickly *with* the woman'.

Syntactic reinterpretation leads ultimately to lexicalization: a syntactically reategorized gerund enters a closed class of the lexicon as a petrified item distinct from the corresponding non-reategorized gerund. Thus we have to distinguish synchronically between two lexical items **ādāya**: (i) the gerund of **ā+√dā** 'take' and (ii) the adposition **ādāya** 'with'. Although both these forms govern the accusative, the latter form is not a verb-form, as it does not head a verb phrase or predicative syntagm.

4.8.A. THE GERUND AS AN ADPOSITION OR CONJUNCTION

Gerund-derived spatial, temporal and causal adpositions are extremely frequent in and after the later Vedic period. This 'use' of the gerund was known to Pāṇini (3.4.20 = ex. 39), who mentions it in connection with gerundial expressions of location in time or space. Historically, constructions like (563)-(564) can be derived by assuming that the implicit subject of the gerund was a coreferential 'generic Observer' (cf. 4.2.A):

(563) Kās. on P 3.4.20

aprāpya nadīm parvataḥ sthitāḥ. atikramya tu parvatam nadī sthitā

'The mountain is situated before the river, but the river is situated beyond the mountain'

(564) Rm 2.80.21 (quoted from Speijer 1886, p. 145 § 203)

jāhnavīm tu samāsādya [rājamārah]

'The highway is along the Ganges.'

With the recategorization of such gerundial phrases as mere adpositional phrases, the coreferentiality constraint of the gerund ceased to be relevant. If adpositional phrases were coreferentially constrained, they could refer only to the spatio-temporal location or abstract relation of the subject or Actor.

Since the distinction between the normal value and the reinterpreted value of the gerund depends on the level of abstraction and availability of optional complements, there is, however, some indeterminacy at this point. Thus while the gerund in (565) is clearly not recategorized, the one in (566) may be analyzed both ways:

(565) RV 10.165.5cd (≈ AV 6.28.1)

saṃyopáyanto dūritāni vísvā hitvá na ūrjam prá patāt pátiṣṭhaḥ

‘Dispelling all troubles, having left (us with) our strength, may it (= the dove) fly forth as the fastest flyer.’

(566) RV 6.59.6c

hitví śiro jihvāyā vāvadac cárat

‘Having left (> ? without) his head, talking wildly with his tongue, he moves.’

The attenuation of **hitvá** ‘having left’ and **muktvá** ‘having released’ as meaning simply ‘without’ is probably a post-Ṛgvedic phenomenon (cf. **ādāya**). Recategorized gerunds are most frequent in Epic, Classical and Buddhist Sanskrit, e.g. **puraskṛtya** ‘having placed in front’, **adhikṛtya** ‘having placed at the head of’, **āsritya** ‘having betaken oneself to’, all lexicalized with the meaning ‘with regard to, concerning’ (cf. Speijer 1886, p. 143ff. § 202; Sen 1928, p. 62f.).

Since lexicalized gerunds can no longer be described within the framework of the syntax of productive gerundial structures, an enumeration of all gerundial adpositions would be superfluous. A particularly interesting case is nevertheless the Brāhmaṇic idiom **rūpaṃ kṛtvā** (+ [appositive] nominative!) ‘having taken the shape of’, which means almost the same as **bhūtvā** ‘having become’ > ‘being; as’ and is paralleled in Classical Sanskrit by a compound expression, e.g. Pañc. 326 (ed. Vidyasagara) **aśvarūpaṃ kṛtvā** ‘as a horse’ (cf. Speijer 1886, p. 23 § 33):

(567) AB 6.35.4

...**aśvaḥ sveto rūpaṃ kṛtvā**

‘...having taken the form of a white horse’ ≈ ‘in the form of a white horse’

A somewhat different and more controversial process is at hand when the gerundial phrase is recategorized as a conjunction or complementizer introducing a causal qualification. This is frequently the case with the gerund **kṛtvā** ‘having done’, which especially in connection

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

with the preceding pleonastic complementizer *iti* 'thus' may function as an explicative connective meaning 'because, seeing that, considering that, judging from':

(568) MBh 2.30.20ab

sakheti kṛtvā tu sakhe pṛṣṭo vakṣyāmyaham tvayā

'Considering you a friend (> Because you are), O friend, asked by you I will tell you'

A nearly synonymous locution is (*iti*) *matvā* 'thinking, seeing that' (lit. 'having realized, thought, decided thus'):

(569) ŚvU 1.6c

pṛthag ātmānam preritāram ca matvā

'having perceived itself and the instigator to be different'

(570) MBh 1.3.126ab

athottaṅkaḥ śītam annam sakeśam dṛṣṭvā aśucy etad iti matvā pauṣyam uvāca

'Having found the food to be cold and containing a hair, thus perceiving it to be impure, Uттаṅka said to Pauṣya'

This locution retains, however, more of the literal meaning and syntax of the gerund.

4.8.B. ADVERBIALIZATION OF THE GERUND

A conspicuous but somewhat problematic case of recategorization and lexicalization is the demotion of the gerundial phrase to the status of an interrogative adverb as in *kim/katham kṛtvā* 'how, why', where the interrogative pronoun could occur alone, i.e. instead of the entire gerundial phrase.

(571) Rm 2.30.42

kim hi kṛtvā viṣaṅgas tvam

'For what reason are you so depressed?'

(572) Mbhṣy. 1.1.3 & *passim*

katham kṛtvā jñāpakam

'By what means is this implicit rule stated?'

Söhnen (1985, p. 486) argues for the standard literal rendering of such gerundial phrases (i.e. as peripheral adverbial clauses, which should be able to contain any number of additional qualifications), but this would make them absolute in a highly exceptional way (cf. 4.2.B): “Durch welche Handlung (zu erg. meinerseits) bist du denn niedergeschlagen?” (571), cf.:

(573) BrP 159.37

kiṁ kṛtvā śāntir abhyeti putrānām te karomi tat

“Durch welches Tun (meinerseits) kann deinen (von der Sonne verbrannten) Söhnen Linderung zukommen? Das will ich tun”

Apparently it is, however, more realistic to consider these constructions as lexicalized phrasal items (cf. also 238), especially since they do not allow additional qualifications or adjuncts of the gerund nor the coordination of interrogatives, cf. [**kiṁ kāryam**OBJ **kṛtvāGD**]OBJ-S **viṣaṅṅas tvam** ‘by having done what action are you sad?’, not: ‘by what act on my part are you sad?’, ***kiṁ katham/kadā ca kṛtvā viṣaṅṅas tvam** ‘by having done what and how/when are you sad?’.

The possibility of interpreting the gerundial clause as a causal or modal-instrumental qualification (4.7.C.5-6) opens the way to adverbialization of the gerund as an adverb expressing manner, means or attendant circumstance.

Thus e.g. the gerund **prasaḥya** ‘having used force’ has been lexicalized as an adverb with the sense of ‘forcefully’, in which function and meaning it is no longer subject to the coreferentiality constraint nor able to take (separate) adverbial complements or adjuncts:

(574) Ragh. 3.56

**jahāra cānyena mayūrapatriṇā śareṇa śakrasya mahāśanidhvajam
cukopa tasmai sa bhṛṣam suraśriyaḥ prasaḥya keśavyaparopaṇād iva**

‘And with that peacock-feathered arrow he seized Śakra’s mighty thunderbolt-banner. So he became furious with him as if because of his *forcefully* tearing out the hair of this glorious god.’

Yet another process of adverbialization is represented by items like **pretya** ‘having died’, which mostly means simply ‘after death’, i.e. stands for an entire adverbial or adpositional phrase of time, cf.

(575) Das. 8. ucchv. (ed. Kale 1966, p. 190)

**kecit pretya kila labhyair abhyudayātisayair āśām utpādya muṇḍayitvā
śiro baddhvā darbharajjubhir ajinenācchādya navanītenopalipyānaśan-
am ca śāyayitvā sarvasvam svīkariṣyanti**

4. SYNTAX AND FUNCTIONS OF THE GERUNDIAL SYNTAGM

‘Thus some inspire hope in him about the extraordinary fortunes to be enjoyed *after death*, get his head shaved and tie strings of holy grass around it, cover him with an antelope skin and smear him with fresh butter and put him to sleep without his supper and then appropriate all his property.’

Adverbialized gerunds are most amenable to occur as non-final members in *tatpuruṣa*-compounds, cf.:

(576) NyS 1 a 19

punarutpattiḥ pretyabhāvaḥ
‘Existence after death is rebirth.’

(577) MBh 1.36.12

katham me pretyabhāve 'pi na taiḥ syāt saha saṁgamaḥ
‘How should I not have union with them even in the state after death?’

In the nominal succinct style of the classical Sūtras, such *tatpuruṣas* are quite common and occasionally save the gerund from adnominal constructions (cf. 383, 4.4.A):

(578) NyS II a 57

abhyupetyakālabhede doṣavacanāt
‘Because of blaming someone for breaking a time of appointment’

(579) Kauṣ. 7.4 (subtitles)

**viḡṛhyāsana | saṁdhāyāsana | viḡṛhyayānam | saṁdhāyayānam |
saṁbhūyaprayānam**
‘Staying quiet after making war.’ ‘Staying quiet after making peace.’ ‘Marching after making war.’ ‘Marching after making peace.’ ‘Marching together (with other kings).’ (Kangle 1972, p. 331)