Prothesis

DESCRIPTION OF PROTHESIS IN LGAL.

A. Nomina

1. CiCVC-

The short i of the open unstressed initial syllable is usually lost, and a prothetic vowel has developed before the two-consonant cluster C¹C²-, e.g.: iktab 'book' 4, l-iktab 'the book' 4. 15, iblad 'country, region' 16, bladna 'our region' 6. 16, esbaha 'swimming' 5, eshad 'certificate' 12, iflaha 'agriculture' 14, ezpac id. 10. 16, ehsa 'horse' 13. 15, l-ehsa 'the horse' 13, el-ehsa id. 13, ehma 'donkey' 5. 15, l-ehma 'the donkey' 5. 10, l-ehma 'the she-ass' 3×5 , ehsa 'account' 9. 14. 15, ehka 'story' 1, l-ehka 'the story' 4. 6, l-ahka 'ge id. 4. 6, l-ehda 'smitheraft' 15, l-igsa 'plastering' 6.

In some words i is preserved, e.g.: $nik\hat{a}h$ 'marriage' 8, $\check{z}ih\hat{a}tku$ 'your side' 10, $liw\hat{a}'i$ 'governor' 13, $hil\hat{a}l$ 'meanwhile' 6.14, $qit\hat{a}r$ 'engine' 1.5, $hi\check{z}\hat{a}r$ 'stones' 2 × 2, $h^iy\hat{a}r$ 'cucumber(s)' 5 × 5, $z^iy\hat{a}ra$ 'visit' 2 × 15, $s^iy\hat{a}si$ 'political' 14, $r^iy\hat{a}da$ 'sports' 14, $R^iy\hat{a}d$ personal name 6, $\check{s}im\hat{a}l$ 'north' 6.14, $ti\check{z}\hat{a}ra$ 'commerce' 15.

2. CuCVC-

- a) In words of the form $CuC\bar{a}C$ the short u is lost, e.g.: $ifl\hat{a}n$ 'So-and-so' 1. 6. 11, $tr\hat{a}b$ 'mud' 1. 3, l- $iMj\hat{a}r$ place name 3. Before w the loss of the vowel is hard to hear, e.g. in $\check{s}w\hat{a}l$ 'sack' 2. In $su\hat{a}l$ 'question' 2 and $Fu\hat{a}d$ personal name 3×6 , ' is dropped but u preserved.
- b) In singular words of the form CuCūC- the short u is lost in following examples: l-ifṭûr 'breakfast' 4. 7, $\check{s}y\hat{u}^c\dot{i}$ 'communist' 2. 6, $\check{s}^y\dot{u}^c\dot{i}yye$ id. (adj. fem.) 1. 14, but preserved in $huk\hat{u}me$ 'government'

12, $h^u k \hat{u} mi$ 1. 12. 14, $h u \hat{s} \hat{u} \hat{s} i$ 'special' 1. 7. 12, $h u \hat{s} \hat{u} \hat{s} a n$ 'specially' 10. 13, and $u u \hat{s} \hat{u} d$ 'existence' 10. 16.

In the broken plurals of the form $CuC\bar{u}C$ - the short u is dropped in: ed - $dr\hat{u}f$ 'circumstances' 9. 13. 15, ud - $dr\hat{u}f$ id. 6, id- $dr\hat{u}s$ 'studies' 5. 14, $ibn\hat{u}ki$ 'banks' 7×1 , $tr\hat{u}s$ 'cattle' 10. 16, $iby\hat{u}t$ 'houses' 1.2.6. 10; shortened but not wholly lost in: $q^ub\hat{u}r$ 'graves' 5, $q^ur\hat{u}s$ 'piasters' 5, $q^ur\hat{u}n$ 'horns' 5, el- $z^uy\hat{u}s$ 'armies' 10; but in some words preserved: $hur\hat{u}b$ 'wars' 3×10 , $wuh\hat{u}s$ 'wild beasts' 4×3 , $muh\hat{u}r$ 'dowries' 8, $sur\hat{u}t$ 'conditions' 8, $fur\hat{u}d$ 'obligations' 8, ' $um\hat{u}r$ 'orders' 8, $cuy\hat{u}ni$ 'my eyes' 3×3 , $wuc\hat{u}d$ 'promises' 14, $mut\hat{u}ra$ 'metres' 6. 7 (but: $t\ddot{u}t$) $t\ddot{u}t$ $t\ddot{u}t$ 'three metres' 6).

c) The diminutive words of the form CuCayC- regularly lose the short u, e.g.: $eHs\hat{e}n$ personal name 5. 14, $ehs\hat{e}ni$ 'fox' 7×13 , $l-iB^c\hat{e}vne$ place name 3. 4. 5, $l-I^{ci}z\hat{e}r$ place name 2. 3. 4, $el-cUz\hat{e}r$ 6, $fi-l-cUz\hat{e}r$ 'in $cUz\hat{e}r$ ' 2. 3, $eMn\hat{e}zil$ personal name 6, $eSlim\hat{a}n$ personal name 14, $zn\hat{e}ne$ 'garden' 10. 14. 15, $efl\hat{e}fle$ 'peppercorn' 10, zwayy, zwayyi, zwayy

The personal names Suhayl and Suhayla are pronounced Suhêl and Suhêvlà 6.15. The word ebṣayl formed of baṣal 'onion' in a play of words (Text No. 8b, p. 158) compared with the other pairs rozz-rayz 'rice' and cadas-cadays 'lentil' is related to the diminutive form CuCayC-.

3. $CaC\overline{V}C$ -

a) In form CaCāC- the short a is generally preserved, e.g.: sälâm 'peace' passim, tämâm 'complete' 3, tämâmän 'completely' 6. 15, kälâm 'speech' 6, zämân 'time' 1. 2. 5. 15, žämâc'ä 'group' 1. 10, tämânye 'eight' passim, tämânye id. 9. 10. 11. 15. 16, tälâti 'three' passim, tälâti id. 8. 10. 15. 16, 'ämân 'safety' 10, 'äsâs 'basis' 1. 14, hälâl 'livestock' 16, id. 'legitime' 13; karâme 'honour' 2, žawâz 'marriage' 8. 13, hawâža 'Sir' 5 × 14, naḍâfe 'cleanness' 10, ṣadâqa 'friendship' 6. 7, Ṣaļâh personal name 10, ḥarâm 'forbidden' 1. 6, cadâlye 'justice' 2, camâra 'building' 4. 6. 9.

The word $enh\hat{a}r$ 'day' 1. 6. 7. 15, has exceptionally lost the vowel of the first syllable. Further examples: bi- $nh\hat{a}r$ 'by day' 1, kull $inh\hat{a}r$ 'every day' 3×4 , $l\hat{e}l$ $enh\hat{a}r$ 'night and day' 1. 6, $t\hat{o}l$ en- $nh\hat{a}r$ 'all the day long' 5. 6. 15. Another exception is the word $\xi\hat{a}\xi$ 'hens' 4. 10, $\xi\hat{a}\xi e$ 'hen' 5×13 , which has lost the whole first syllable (Cl. $dag\tilde{a}g$ -).

In broken plurals of the forms CawāCiC- and CaCā'iC- the vowel of the first syllable is always preserved, e.g.: $\check{s}aw\hat{a}ri^{ca}$ 'streets' 6. 10, $faw\hat{a}ki$ (final h dropped) 'fruit(s)' 9. 14, $naw\hat{a}di$ 'clubs' 16, $s\ddot{a}g\hat{a}^{y}ir$ 'cigarettes' 3, $k\dot{a}r\hat{a}si$ 'chairs' 7. 15, $l\ddot{a}y\hat{a}li$ 'nights' 14, $daq\hat{a}^{y}iq$ 'minutes' 14, $n\ddot{a}s\hat{a}^{y}ib$ 'relatives' 9, $qar\hat{a}^{y}ib$ 'relatives' 7, $\check{z}ar\hat{a}^{y}id$ 'newspapers' 15.

In the nomina formed with the prefix ma- the vowel of the open first syllable is always preserved, e.g.: $man\hat{a}h$ 'climate' 14, $m\ddot{a}k\ddot{a}n$ 'place' 10. 11, $mat\hat{a}r$ 'airport' 6. 7. 15, $m\ddot{a}s\ddot{a}kil$ 'problems' 1. 6. 10. 13. 15. 16, $man\hat{a}dir$ 'scenes' 1. 14, $maw\hat{a}kel$ 'fodder' 3, $maw\hat{a}fir$ 'savings' 2, $maw\hat{a}si$ 'cattle' 4×10 , $ma^c\hat{a}mile$ 'factories' 4×6 , $mar\hat{a}^ci$ 'pastures' 16, $man\hat{a}ti$ 'regions' 3×16 , $ma'\hat{a}ti$ 'cucumber fields' 16, $m\dot{a}h\dot{a}kim$ 'trials' 7, $m\ddot{a}d\hat{a}ris$ 'schools' 3×3 ; $m\ddot{a}h\dot{a}ll$ 'place' 15, $m\ddot{a}h\dot{a}lli$ 'local' 1. 6. 8. 10. 14, mahatta 'station' 13, $m\ddot{a}z\ddot{a}lli$ 'magazine' 5×15 .

b) Most words of the form CaCiC- preserve their short a in the open unstressed initial syllable, e.g.: žämîca 'all' 7¹, žärîde 'news-

¹ A short or extra-short vowel often precedes a final h after $\hat{\imath}$, \hat{e} and \hat{u} , but always follows c. Christie gives for Galilee $teb\hat{\imath}^{ac}$ and $rab\hat{\imath}^{ah}$ (p. 96), Bauer for Palestine $\hat{g}\hat{u}^{ac}$, $yas\hat{u}^{ac}$, $ml\hat{\imath}^{eh}$ and $mas\hat{\imath}^{eh}$ (Pal., p. 14), W. Marçais for Ūlâd Bṛāhim (Algeria) $\hat{z}\hat{u}\tilde{o}^{c}$, $erb\hat{\iota}\tilde{o}^{c}$, $aml\hat{\imath}\tilde{o}h$ (pp. 160 and 162). Driver compares these vowels with the patah furtivum in Hebrew: $w\hat{a}s\hat{\imath}^{ac}$ cf. Hebr. $h\tilde{o}s\hat{e}^{ca}$, $r\hat{\imath}^{ah}$, $r\hat{u}^{ah}$, cf. Hebr. $r\hat{e}ah$, $r\hat{u}ah$ (JRAS 1920, p. 307). Fleisch states (Zahlé, p. 79): $s^{c}ayn$ facilement demande une voyelle de secours quand il ferme une syllabe: $ba^{c}ad\hat{e}n$ au lieu de $ba^{c}d\hat{e}n$, $ma^{c}a$ ou $ma^{c}a$ au lieu de ma^{c} . On remarquera les voyelles 'furtives' à la manière de l'-hébreu: $birr\hat{\imath}^{b}w\hat{a}i^{ac}$, $b\hat{\imath}^{a}l\hat{\varsigma}^{ac}$, $b\hat{\imath}^{a}l\hat{\jmath}^{ac}$, $b\hat{\imath}^{a}l\hat{\jmath}^{a$

paper' 1. 11. 15, $d\ddot{a}l\hat{i}l$ 'guide' 3, $\ddot{z}\ddot{a}r\hat{i}me$ 'burden' 1. 5, $y\ddot{a}m\hat{i}n$ 'righthand' 1. 5, $\ddot{s}\ddot{a}d\hat{i}d$ 'strong' 10, $b\ddot{a}e\hat{i}d$ 'far' 15, $\underline{t}\ddot{a}m\hat{i}n$ 'precious' 6, $daq\hat{i}qa$ 'minute' 5, $\ddot{z}\ddot{a}m\hat{i}l$ 'beautiful' 1. 6. 15, $\breve{Z}\ddot{a}m\hat{i}l$ personal name 6×6 , $S\ddot{a}l\hat{i}m$ id. 6. 7, $S\ddot{a}m\hat{i}r$ id. 9. 15, $M\ddot{a}s\hat{i}h$ 'Christ' 1. 5. 15, $M\ddot{a}s\hat{i}eh$ id. 1. 5, $m\ddot{a}s\hat{i}h$ 'Christian' 5. 6. 7. 9. 15;

hàdîqa 'garden' 6.10, hàdîtà 'new (fem.)' 10, hàdît 'tradition' 4. 8, haqîqa 'truth' 6. 7. 15, hàlîb 'milk' 1. 16, hàkîm 'physician' 1. 3, haşîde 'harvest' 6. 16, hadîd 'iron' 5, hamîr 'donkeys' 5. 14, habîbi 'my friend' 6.14, carîs 'bridegroom' 5.7, cadîm 'magnificent' 7, cadîme id. (fem.) 10, 'abîr 'latest' 2 × 15,
 $\dot{g}arîb$ 'stranger' 2.15, ġamîq 'deep' 5. 6, ḥafîf 'light' 16, ṛaqîq 'weak' 6, ṛa'îs 'president' 7. 14, ra'îsi 'main' 6, marîd 'sick' 1, qalîl 'a little' 1. 6, qadîm 'old' 5. 7, qadîme id. (fem.) 2. 6. 10. 15, qarîb 'near' 3. 4, garîb id. 14, qatîl 'dead body' 2 × 1, qaşîde 'qaşīda-poem' 6, qaşîr 'short' 5. 6. 9, tarîq 'way' 10. 15, tarî' id. 16, tarîqa 'way, means' 1, tarîga id. 13, tabîb 'doctor' 3. 6. 15, tabîcî 'natural' 6. 15. 16, tabîb 'food' 13. 16, tawîl 'long, tall' 1.5.6.15, tawîle id. (fem.) 6, damîr 'conscience' 5. 6, dåmîri 'my conscience' 2×1 , damîru 'his conscience' 6, darîbe 'tax' 15, sadîq 'friend' 2. 11, sadîgi 'my friend' 11, sahîh 'true' 6. 15, sahîah id. 6, başît 'simple, small' passim, başîta id. (fem.) 9, baţîl 'bad, inferior' 2, faqîr 'poor' 2. 3, farîq 'team' 6, wazîr 'minister' 5. 6, wakîl 'confidential clerk' 6. 15, wasîle 'implement' 2×1 , wadîfe 'employment' 5, wahîd 'only' 1. 6. 9. 15, wahîde id. (fem.) 6; Rašíd personal name 14, Sacid id. 2, Fáhîm id. 6.7, Lábîb id. 5, Halîl id. 7. 15, Farîd id. 7. 15, Wadîca id. 15, Laţîf id. 3, Ḥafîda id. 2×6 .

The nomina formed with the prefix ma- preserve the a of the initial syllable: $m\ddot{a}^c \hat{i} \check{s} e$ 'life' 2. 6. 15, $m\ddot{a}^c \hat{i} \check{s} t$ en- $n\ddot{a} \hat{s}$ 'life of the people' 2, $m\ddot{a}d\hat{i}ne$ 'town' 1, $m\ddot{a}k\hat{i}ttu$ 'his treachery' 13.

^c kann überhaupt, nach meinem Dafürhalten, keinen Nachklang haben, sondern wird sofort abgeschnitten. Dasselbe tritt im Hebr. bei Kehllauten ein, Strack Hebr. Gramm. § 28.» However, in relaxed colloquial, ^c is explicitly followed by a vocalic element (cf. Denz, ZDMG 114, p. 237: saba^{ca}). Otherwise it may become voiceless, especially in final position (e.g. bâh for bâ^c, infra, Text No. 8, footnote 10).

In many words the short a of the initial syllable is dropped, and usually a prothetic vowel is pronounced before the cluster C^1C^2 -, e.g.: imlih 'good' 6. 15, imnih id. 9. 13, imliah id. 1, imlieh id. 1. 7, imliha id. (fem.) 15, endife 'clean' (fem.) 6. 14, endif id. (masc.) 2, insihna 'our relative' 5, erhisa 'cheap (fem.)' 6, imrid 'sick' 6. 10. 16 (but: marid, supra), erfiqi 'my comrade' 6, izdir 'small' 1. 3. 6, iždid 'new' 15. 16, etqile 'heavy (fem.)' 9, ikhir 'big' passim, iktir 'much' passim, iktir id. 9. 15. 16, ktir iktir 'very much' 3. 14, friqi 'my team' 11, friqten 'two teams' 14 (but: fariq, supra), išrit 'tape' 6, ešcir 'barley' 10. 14, ešcir id. 2. 3, ebcid 'far' 6, ebcid id. 1 (but: bacid, supra), ethin 'flour' 2 × 2.

The plural form of seni/sini 'year' is either snîn/isnîn, passim, or sanawât 6. 9. 15.

c) In the words of the form CaCūC- the short a is preserved, e.g.: $ham\hat{u}le$ 'family, clan' 3×10 , $ham\hat{u}qa$ 'fools' 1, ' $ak\hat{u}l$ 'big eater' 1, ' $ar\hat{u}s$ 'bride' 5. 6. 7. 8, $z\ddot{a}n\hat{u}b$ 'south' 14, $y\ddot{a}h\hat{u}d$ 'Jews' 6, $y\ddot{a}h\hat{u}di$ 'Jew' 9.

4. The demonstrative pronoun hädåk(ä) and the adverb hunåk(ä)

An open unstressed initial syllable precedes a long stressed syllable in following words: $h\ddot{a}d\hat{a}k\ddot{a}$ 'that' 2. 6, $h\ddot{a}d\hat{i}ki$ id. (fem.) 1 10, $h\ddot{a}d\hat{o}l$ 'those' 10, $h\ddot{a}d\hat{o}l\dot{a}$ id. 6. 10, $hun\hat{a}k$ 'there' 2. 6, $hun\hat{a}k\ddot{a}$ id. 7. 10. 11, $hin\hat{a}k$ id. 2. 11, $hin\hat{a}k\ddot{a}$ id. 6, $hun\hat{a}ki$ id. 16, $hn\hat{a}k$ id. 11.

5. Broken plurals of the form 'aCCāC-

Several words of this form are pronounced with 'a-, e.g.: 'anwâca' 'kinds' 14, 'aṃṛâḍ 'sick (pl.) 1. 10, 'aṃṭâṛ 'rains' 1, 'aṣḥâb 'friends' 3×14 , 'aṭṛâḍ 'persons' 6, 'awḍâca 'circumstances' 6, 'awqât 'times' 14, 'awṣâf 'traits of character' 6, 'aṣwât 'votes' 14, 'äṣyâ 'things' 6. 10, 'äṣbâb 'reasons' 6, 'äṣbâl 'cub scouts' 4×16 , 'äṭlâm 'films' 15, 'akbâr 'older (pl.)' 6, 'àṭrâk 'Turks' 7, 'àḥṛâṣ 'forests' 10. 16.

In many words 'a- is lost, and the word begins with an initial

 $^{^1}$ -i $<\bar{a};$ cf. Mattsson, Études, pp. 86f.; Blanc, Studies, p. 49; Fischer, p. 94, footnote 2, and pp. 119ff.

cluster CC-, usually preceded by a prothetic vowel, e.g.: $enf\hat{a}r$ 'persons' 2.3.4, $esh\hat{a}b$ 'friends' 5, $sh\hat{a}b$ id. 2×10 , 'innhä $dr\hat{a}z$ i $dr\hat{a}z$ 'that it was arranged in steps' 5, $esb\hat{a}b$ 'reasons' 16, l- $ibw\hat{a}b$ 'doors' 10.16, $esn\hat{a}n$ 'teeth' 14, hamist $iyy\hat{a}m$ 'five days' 6.7.9, sitt $iyy\hat{a}m$ 'six days' 6.7.9, $eyy\hat{a}m$ qabel 'the days before' 3, $y\hat{o}m$ m-el- $eyy\hat{a}m$ 'one day' 11.

Similarly, the words (Cl.) 'awlād 'children' and 'aytām 'orphans' have lost the first element of the initial diphthong, e.g.: ulâd passim, l-iwlâd 2. 10, sitt iwlâd 'six children' 4, kânu ytâm 'they were orphans' 2.

6. The word ši/'iši (Cl. šay'-)

The most usual forms in my recordings are 'iši and ši, proclitic ši, 'something, anything', passim. Other forms are 'eše' 4.15, in both cases pronounced by a woman, and with article näfs iš-ši 'the same thing' 9.16.

7. Loan words

Loan words beginning with two consonants are sometimes pronounced with a prothetic vowel, e.g.: egram 'gram' 15, Sān eFrānsisko 'San Francisco' 14, ebrûmyā 'plums' 10. 14, eFrânṣa 'France' 13, iBriṭânyā 'Britain' 16, eBriṭânyā id. 3; sometimes without a prothesis, e.g.: traktorât 'tractors' 14, traktor id. (sing.) 3. 5, stîriŋ 'steering-wheel' 5, brêk 'brake' 5, braywit 'private car' 9, trāk 'truck' 11, muṣîqa l-klässikiyye 'classical music' 14, bi-l-briŋgänât 'with Bren-guns' 3 × 6, el-blok 'block' 15.

Some loan words with a short vowel in an open unstressed initial syllable: baranda 'veranda' 5. 6. 14, mabâm 'Mapam-party' 2. 5, mabây 'Mapai-party' 2. 5. 12, iknîse 'church' 5. 7, bulîş 'police' 5. 10. 11, sigâra 'cigarette' 6, ibrinži 'prima (Turk. birinçi)' 3. 4.

B. VERBA

1. Perfect of stem I

a) Verba firma. In sing. 2. masc. fem., 1., pl. 2. masc. fem., 1., and in all persons with a consonantal suffix, the verbs of the form

CiCiC- lose the vowel of the first syllable. When the verb is not preceded by a word ending in a vowel in close juncture, a prothetic vowel is usually pronounced before the two-consonant initial cluster, e.g.: ismicit 'I heard' 10, imsikt 'I grasped' 5, imsikit id. 11, imsiktu 'I grasped it' 11, iržācit 'I returned' 5. 13, eržēt id. 5, iržācānā 'we returned' 4. 6. 11, iržēnā id. 4. 5. 6, irkibt 'I rode' 3. 14, irkibit id. 5. 14, irkibnā 'we rode' 6. 11. 14, erkibnā id. 11, irkibhā 'he rode on it' 5, inzilt 'I went down' 6. 11, inzilnā 'we went down' 4. 11. 15, ihsirnā 'we lost' 10, iṭļācit 'I left' 6, iṭļicinā 'we left' 14, eṭļicinā id. 4. 11. 12, eṭḷicit 'I left' 5, id. 'you left' 4, eṭḷicitu 'you (pl. 2.) left' 15, ešribnā 'we drank' 6. 11. Once a stressed prothesis occurs in sing. 3. masc.: 'irkib 'he rode' 6.

The following words occur in the recordings without a prothesis: skirt 'you got drunk' 5, skirnà 'we got drunk' 5, tlicinà 'we left' 5.7, fhimt? 'did you understand?' 12, fhimit? id. 5.9.16, fhimit 'you understood' 5.12, usilnà 'we arrived' 5.

When C^1 is c, the vowel of the first syllable is preserved: cirifit 'I realized' 5.

In a few cases the first syllable has lost its a, and a prothesis is pronounced, e.g.: $etr\acute{a}kit$ 'you left' 3, $i\underline{d}ba\underline{h}\acute{u}$ 'they killed it' 11, $\ddot{a}s'\ddot{a}lt$ 'I asked' 9.

There are only three examples of the passive perfect: wulfdit 'you were born' 3, hliqit id. 7, id. 'I was born' 5.

b) Verba tertiae infirmae. In sing. 2. masc. fem., 1., pl. 2. masc.

fem., 1., the verbs of the form CiCi- lose the vowel of the first syllable before the stressed long-vocalic syllable. Unless the verb is preceded by a word ending in a vowel in close juncture, a prothetic vowel is usually pronounced before the two-consonant initial cluster, e.g.: $im \hat{s}\hat{i}t$ 'I walked' 5. 11. 16, $im \hat{s}\hat{i}n\ddot{a}$ 'we walked' 5. 11, $in \hat{s}\hat{i}t$ 'I forgot' 5. 6. 14, id. 'you forgot' 2×14 , ' $eqr\hat{e}n\dot{a}$ 'we read' 5.

The verbs of the form CaCa- have usually preserved the vowel of the first syllable before the stressed long-vocalic syllable, e.g.: $h\ddot{a}k\hat{e}n\dot{a}$ 'we spoke' 6, $h\ddot{a}k\hat{e}th\ddot{a}$ 'I said it' 6, $m\dot{a}$ - $h\dot{a}k\hat{e}ti\dot{s}$ 'you didn't speak' 6, $h\ddot{a}k\hat{e}tli$ 'you spoke to me' 6, $h\ddot{a}k\hat{e}tl\dot{a}k$ 'I spoke to you' 6, $naw\hat{e}n\dot{a}$ 'we intended' 14, $b\ddot{a}n\hat{e}n\ddot{a}$ 'we built' 1, $b\ddot{a}d\hat{e}n\ddot{a}$ 'we began' 7.

Exceptionally a is lost in $e\hbar k\hat{e}n\dot{a}$ 'we spoke' 16, and $ibd\hat{i}n\ddot{a}$ 'we began' 6.16.

c) The verb $\xi y'/\xi y$ 'to come'. The following perfect forms of this verb occur in my recordings: sing. 1.: 'ä ξ êt passim, ξ ît 1. 5. 13; sing. 2. masc.: 'ä ξ êt 5; sing. 2. fem.: 'ä ξ êti 6, 'ä ξ êt 6; sing. 3. masc.: 'e ξ ä 4 × 5, 'ä ξ ä passim; sing. 3. fem.: 'e ξ ät 5, 'ä ξ ät 1. 6. 11. 16; pl. 1.: 'ä ξ ênä 11. 14. 15, 'i ξ înä 2 × 6, ξ înä 6. 7; pl. 2.: ξ itu 6. 15; pl. 3.: 'ä ξ u 6. 7. 10.

2. Stems V and VI

a) Perfect and imperative. In most instances these forms are begun by a two-consonant cluster tC^1 , preceded by a prothetic vowel, e.g.: 'ithāssānāt 'it has been improved' 10, ithāssān id. (masc.) 3×3 , w-ithāddāṭnā 'and we talked' 2×11 , itražžētu 'I asked him' 1, w-it-källämnä 'and we talked' 11, idžawwāzit 'I married' 14, idžawwaznā 'we married' 14, ithāwwāšu 'they got roused' 14, 'itnāqqu 'they chose' 2×10 , itmānnā 'hope (imperat.)' 5, iṭṭalṭaca 'look (imperat.)' 1 passim, 'itrāhanu 'they made a bet' 2×1 , ithādāṭu 'they talked to each other' 5.

Sometimes these forms are begun with the two-consonant cluster tC^1 without a prothesis, e.g.: tfaddal 'please' passim, $tharr\acute{a}\check{z}it$ 'I graduated' 15, $tfarra\check{z}n\dot{a}$ 'we watched' 15, $trayy\dot{a}h$ 'he sat down to rest' 1, id. 'sit down (and take your rest)' 1, $trayy\dot{a}hn\dot{a}$ 'we sat down' 11, $tray\dot{a}hn\dot{a}$ 'and we leant down' 5 (cf. $trayy\dot{a}hn\dot{a}$ 'we sat down' 11, $tray\dot{a}hn\dot{a}$ 'and we leant down' 5 (cf. $trayy\dot{a}hn\dot{a}$ 'it has developed' 2, $t\dot{y}ayyarat$ 'it has changed' 14.

When C^1 is c , the vowel of the prefix is preserved, e.g.: $ta^call\dot{a}mt$ 'I learned' 15, $ta^call\dot{a}mit$ id. passim, id. 'you learned' 14, $ta^call\dot{a}mu$ 'they learned' 6×7 , $ta^call\dot{a}m$ 'he learned' 12, $ta^cauwa\dot{a}$ 'he is rewarded' 3, $ta^c\dot{a}l$ 'come (imperat.)' 6, $ta^ca\check{s}\hat{s}\hat{e}n\dot{a}$ 'we had dinner' 5, $ta^ca\check{s}\hat{s}\hat{s}$ 'have your dinner (imperat.)' 2×5 , $ta^carrafn\dot{a}$ 'we became acquainted' 15, ta^cayytu 'they cried' 6.

Some exceptions are to be found, e.g.: $it^call\dot{a}mn\dot{a}$ 'we learned' 6, $it^call\dot{a}mit$ 'I learned' 14.

b) Infinitive. As a rule the initial syllable ta- is preserved, e.g.: tämäddün 'urbanization' 1. 16, taqaddum 'progress' passim, tuquddum id. 9, tåṣāḥḥor 'patience' 4, tåṭāwwor 'development' 3. 14, taqârub 'approaching' 6. 14, tāṭāhum 'mutual understanding' 13, taçāwun 'co-operation' 13, tānāssul 'reproduction' 8, taçāruf 'acquaintance' 9.

3. Perfect and imperative of stems VII, VIII and X

- a) Stem VII. The prothetic vowel i is usually pronounced, e.g.: infátáh 'it was opened' 1, inkásrát 'it was broken' 10, inžáráh 'he was injured' 16, indátárát 'it was ruined' 10; but u-mbasátna (not w-im-, cf. supra, p. 72) 'and we had a good time' 7.
- b) Stem VIII. In most cases the prothesis is pronounced, e.g.: iṣtáḷaḥu 'they became reconciled' 14, iḥtáḷaṭu 'they were mixed' 6, iḥtilfu 'they began to quarrel' 13, iḥtaṣṣ 'he specialized' 7, ištiġalt 'I worked' passim, id. 'you worked' 6, ištiġálit 'I worked' 3. 9. 13. 14. 15, ištiġalnà 'we worked' 6, ištarêt? 'did you buy?' 1, lêš eštarêtu? 'why did you buy it?' 1, intáhä 'it is in the end' 5, eḥtàràmnà 'we showed respect' 14. Only two instances are to be found without the prothetic vowel, one of them an optional variant štarêtu 'I bought it' 1, the other beginning originally with a geminate: (t)taṣal 'he contacted' 13.
- c) Stem X. Free variation seems to prevail between the presence and absence of the prothesis, e.g.: stånnå 'wait (imperat.)' 1. 3. 6, stånnåni 'wait for me' 2, but istånnå 'wait (imperat.)' 6, istånnu 'they waited' 6, istånnêt 'I waited' 13, istrîh 'sit down (imperat.)' 5, istahtat 'she recognized her fault' 2, stamarrêt 'I continued' 3, istagrab 'he wondered' 1, istagrabt 'I wondered' 1.

- 4. Imperfect of stems I C²w/y, med.gem., 4-rad., II, and III
- a) Imperfect of stem I of verbs C^2w/y . The personal prefix has usually lost its vowel, except sing. 1., and a prothetic vowel is pronounced before the two-consonant clusters tC^1 and nC^1 if not preceded by a word ending in a vowel in close juncture, e.g.: $itr\hat{u}h$ 'you go' 1. 2. 3. 5, id. 'she goes' 6, $itk\hat{u}n$ 'you'll be' 1. 14, $itk\hat{u}n$ 'it will be' 10, ' $i\eta k\hat{u}n$ 'we'll be' 6, $itq\hat{u}l$ 'you say' 1. 5. 6, id. 'she says' 2, $it'\hat{u}l$ 'you say' 16, $in'\hat{u}l$ 'we say' 16, itqullu 'you say to him' 6, id. 'she says to him' 6, $itm\hat{u}t$ 'you'll die' 5, $itf\hat{u}t$ 'you come in' 12, $it\hat{s}\hat{u}f$ 'you see' 6, $in\hat{s}\hat{u}f$ 'we see' 6, $itn\hat{a}m$ 'you sleep' 5, $itq\hat{u}m$ 'she stands up' 6. Two instances only have been found where no prothesis is used after a word ending in a consonant: $l\hat{a}zim\ tr\hat{u}h$ 'you must go' 6, and $bt\ddot{u}^{cd}rif\ ts\hat{o}q$? 'can you drive?' 5.

When C^1 is c, the vowel of the personal prefix is usually preserved, e.g.: $y\ddot{a}^c$? 'he lives' 2×6 , $t\ddot{a}^c$? 'you live' 13. 15, $y\ddot{a}^c$? 'they live' 6, $t\ddot{a}^c$? 'it (fem.) lives' 10, $n\ddot{a}^c$? 'we live' 14. Preceded by a vowel the personal prefix loses its vowel, e.g.: $t\ddot{a}$ - t^c ? 'that you would live' 12, bit^c ? 'you (fem.) live' 15.

b) Imperfect of stems I med. gem., 4-rad. and II. Here, too, the personal prefix loses its vowel, and a prothesis is developed before the two-consonant cluster, e.g.: itsawwi 'you do' 5, itġanni 'you sing' 1, itrawweḥ 'you go away' 2 × 6, itläbbes 'you dress yourself' 6, itkàssru 'you (pl.) break' 4, insàkker 'we shut' 6, inkäyyif 'we are glad' 10, itfarriq 'you make a difference' 6, itharrif 'you talk' 6, itsällmu 'you leave to him' 16, itmälli 'you (fem.) fill' 10. In two instances no prothesis is heard: tmälli 'you (fem.) fill' 4, and tḥibbu? 'do you like him?' 15. The laryngeal c seems here again to preserve the vowel of the personal prefix: yacadd 'he considers' 14, yacarbed 'he brawls' 2 × 6.

In the sentence $\S u$ kunt itsawwu? 'what were you (pl.) doing?' 2×7 , the prothetic vowel has caused elision of the preceding vowel (u; the context shows that the subject is undoubtedly in plural).

c) Imperfect of stem III. The case is the same as in a) and b), e.g.: $itl\hat{a}'i$ 'you find' 2×16 , $ets\hat{a}'idni$ 'you help me' 1, etsa'idni 'it helps me' 6, $itqarinh\hat{a}$ 'you compare it' 6, $tl\hat{a}'ib$? 'do you play?' 5. The

prefix of sing. 3. masc. and pl. 3. is usually i- except cases where it is preceded by a word ending in a vowel (in close juncture), and is then pronounced as y-.

5. Imperfect with the prefix b(i)-

a) Verbs C¹. In sing. 3. fem., 2. masc. fem., pl. 2., 1., the prefix bi-loses its vowel before the stressed long-vocalic syllable. The two-consonant cluster is often preceded by a prothetic vowel, e.g.: ibtîži 'you come' 5, imnîži 'we come' 14, btôkel 'they (coll.) eat' 3, umnûhud 'we take' (context: not u-mnûhud) 3, but words without a prothesis occur, too, e.g.: mnôklu 'we eat it' 6 and 'aḥsam btîži 'you've better to come' 10.

The personal prefix of sing. 3. masc., pl. 3. loses its y after the prefix b(i)- $(biži, bôhu\underline{d}, biqra, butlub, biqûl$ etc.). In some cases the i resulting from i + y is dropped: u-bkûn 'and it will be' 16, brûh 'he goes' 6, 'illi bsir 'which happens' 10, brûhu 'they go' 3×7 .

b) Verbs C¹ firm. In the same persons as in a) the prefix loses its vowel, and a two-consonant cluster is formed. Often it is preceded by a prothetic vowel, e.g.: ibtiġdar 'you can' 1. 6. 16, ibtiṭḷaca 'you leave' 6, ibtäcarif? 'do you know?' 6, ibtirbaḥ 'you gain' 11. 15, ibtiqra? 'can you read?' 15, ibtis'ālu 'you ask him' 10, ibtištġil 'you work' 15, emnäcarifu 'we know him' 5, emniḥtlif 'we begin to quarrel' 13, emnis'ālu 'we ask him' 13, emniṣrab 'we drink' 14, emniḥsar 'we lose' 10, emninzāl 'we go down' 6. In most cases where the prefix b is used without a prothesis before t, it has become voiceless, e.g.: btiqra 'you read' 15, btācārifis 'you don't know' 6, btiṭḷaca 'you leave' 6, btuqcud 'you sit' 9. Sometimes b has been weakened as a result of a partial assimilation of the preceding n: minšâm btiġdar 'in order to be able' 10, lâkim btācārif 'but you know' 15.

The cluster mn- occurs often without a prothesis, e.g.: $mništ\dot{g}il$ 'we work' 16, $mninz\ddot{a}l$ 'we go down' 6, $mnim\check{s}i$ 'we walk' 9, $mni\dot{g}dar\check{s}$ 'we cannot' 6, $mni\dot{g}dar$ 'we can' 6, $mni\dot{t}talla^{ca}$ 'we look around' 2×1 .

6. Participles of stems II and III

a) The participle of stem II, and stem I of four-radical verbs, lose

the short u of the prefix before a closed stressed syllable. In most cases a prothetic vowel is pronounced before the two-consonant cluster mC^1 , but it is optional, e.g.: $imk\ddot{a}yyjf$ 'glad' 6. 14, $imk\ddot{a}yyfe$ id. (fem.) 6. 15, $imk\ddot{a}yyf\hat{n}$ id. (pl. masc.) 6, $imrawwe\dot{h}$ 'going' 6, $iMh\ddot{a}mm\ddot{a}d$ personal name 12, $Mh\ddot{a}mm\ddot{a}d$ id. 5. 6, $imb\ddot{a}yyin$ 'clear' 1. 5. 12. 15. 16, $im\ddot{z}\ddot{a}dd\ddot{a}l$ 'plaited (hair)' 2 × 16, $imf\ddot{a}tt\ddot{s}e$ 'inspector (fem.)' 16, $em\ddot{s}addiq$ 'believing' 14, $mi\ddot{s}$ imhimm 'not important' 5, (but: muhimm 'important' 3. 6. 15, $mi\ddot{s}$ muhimm 'not important' 2 × 15), $im\ddot{z}\ddot{a}ddara$ a dish of lentils, rice and onions 4, $m\ddot{z}\ddot{a}ddara$ id. 4 × 1, $emf\ddot{a}n\ddot{z}er$ 'opening (one's eyes) as wide as a $fin\ddot{z}\ddot{a}n$ ' 4, $mh\ddot{a}mmil$ 'carrying' 1.

If C^1 is c, the prefix does not lose its vowel as easily as in the cases mentioned above, e.g.: m^{ec} allim 'teacher' 4.14.16, m^{uc} allme id. fem. 6 (after a vowel: m^{c} allme 6), m^{ec} allmîn id. pl. 3. (after a vowel). The other instances, mu^{c} ayyan 'mentioned' 6.15, mu^{c} abbād 'paved' 6, mu^{c} askar 'military camp' 2, and the related instances with C^1 h or ', muhayyde 'neutral (fem.)' 7×14 and mu'ahhalat 'possibilities' 14, must be assigned to the group of fusha-loanings with such words as musatzat cerk (fem.)' 6, muhayyat and 'camps' 7.16, mudakkat 'notes' 6, muzakkat id. 15, muqadat 'rotes' 14, mufadat 'rotes' 15.

b) In participles of stem III the short u of the prefix is lost before the following open long stressed syllable. This form is infrequently used in dialect, where the loss is complete, e.g. $ims\bar{a}fr\hat{i}n$ 'travelers' 6. In 'ana $mw\hat{a}fiq$ 'I agree' 11. 14. 15 the loss is not so clearly provable. Most participles of stem III are loanings from the fusha, some of them already vehicles of everyday colloquial. In these words the vowel of the prefix is preserved or at the most a little reduced, e.g.: $mun\bar{a}s^s\ddot{a}b\hat{a}t$ 'circumstances' 6, $mun\hat{a}s^s\ddot{a}bi$ 'state of things' 6.9, $mus\hat{a}^cade$ 'help' 14. 15, $m^us\hat{a}^cade$ id. 9. 16, $m^us\hat{a}^cid$ 'helping' 6, $muz\hat{a}ware$ 'neighborhood' 6, $muh\hat{a}mye$ 'lawyer's profession' 15, $mus\hat{a}w\bar{a}$ 'equality' 3×2 , $muw\hat{a}tin$ 'citizen' 2, $muz\hat{a}m\ddot{a}li$ 'courtesy' 6, $muk\hat{a}t\ddot{a}be$ 'correspondence' 15, $m^uq\hat{a}bale$ 'meeting' 3×15 .

To the latter group belong without doubt the participles of stem IV of verbs $C^2 w/y$, e.g.: $mud\hat{i}r$ 'headmaster' 5. 10. 15, $Mun\hat{i}r$ personal name 15, $muf\hat{i}d$ 'useful' 2×16 , $muq\hat{a}m$ 'based' 15.

C. Particula

1. wa has lost its vowel, and w is pronounced combinatorily as u before a word beginning with a consonant provided that the preceding word does not end in a vowel in close juncture. Before a settled prothetic vowel and not infrequently before the definite article, it is pronounced as a consonant, e.g. w-ithàddatnà 'and we talked' 2×11 , w-el-bagar 'and the cows' 3. Before a word beginning with the larvngeal plosive ' the particle is usually pronounced as a consonant, and the hamza is lost, e.g.: w-äžä 'and he came' passim. w-ànà 'and I' passim. In passages spoken at a rather slow tempo the juncture is often looser, and hamza may be pronounced, e.g. u-'ana 'and I' 1. In slow hesitating speech the particle is very often lengthened. In such cases the lengthening is regularly consonantal, e.g.: uww-äžä 'and he came' 6, 'uww . . . 'äštri 'and I should buy' 6. Before the prefix i/y- of sing. 3. masc., pl. 3. in the imperfect the particle is pronounced as a vowel, the prefix as a consonant, e.g.: u-ykûn 'and it will be' 10, u-ybáhedlu 'and they reproach' 10.

In the compound particle wa-là 'and not, not even' the first syllable is stressed, and its vowel is preserved.

The swearing particle wa- has preserved its vowel, e.g.: wa-llâhi 'by God' 5, wa-Muḥammād 'by Muḥammad' 5.

- 2. fä has almost wholly disappeared from the dialect, but its use is gaining ground through the neoclassical fusha. In dialect it belongs only to the narrative style, but there, too, it may be a learned borrowing. The vowel is always preserved.
- 3. $k\ddot{a}$ occurs only a few times in the recordings, mostly in a half-literate style: $k\ddot{a}$ - c umm $\hat{a}l$ 'as labourers' 16, $k\ddot{a}$ - c sekret $\hat{a}r$ 'as a secretary' 16, $k\ddot{a}$ - c ramz 'symbolically' 8. In plain colloquial it occurs only seldom: kinnu (< ka- c annah \bar{u}) 'as if' 4.13, $k\ddot{a}$ - c ann $\ddot{a}k$ c abed iswad 'that you were like a black man' 4.
- 4. bi has usually lost its vowel in an open syllable. Often a prothetic vowel is pronounced before the two-consonant cluster bC-, e.g.: ib-cAkka 'in cAkka' 9, ib-hân 'in a khan' 6, ib-kulliyâthin 'with all of it' 9, ib-hayâtna 'in our life' 6. 9, ib-hamis lirât 'with five pounds' 4. In some cases no prothesis is audible, e.g.: b-suraca

'quickly' 11, b-'êš? 'how much?' 4. 6, b-êš? id. 2, b-Sûlâm 'in Sūlam' 14. Sometimes the vowel is only shortened, e.g.: b^e -rûs ižbâl 'on mountain tops' 10, b^e -hadd el-wâd 'on the side of the valley' 10.

Before a personal suffix the vowel of the preposition is lengthened: biyyi 6, bîk 7, bîhā 5, bîhā 10, bîku 6, bîhin 15.

5. min loses its i when it occurs in an unstressed position and is followed by a vowel, e.g. mn-is-sit \ddot{a} 'from the rain' 10. Optionally a prothetic vowel is pronounced, e.g. imn-el-ard 'from the ground' 3. Another way to alleviate the two-consonant cluster is to drop n, e.g. m-el- \dot{p} ay \ddot{a} 'with shame' 2, $y\hat{o}m$ m-el-eyy $\hat{a}m$ 'one day' 11. Before a vocalic personal suffix, n is doubled: minni, minnik, minnik, minnik passim.

6. The Cl. prepositions li-/la- and 'ilā are represented by l(i)-, la- and 'il-. 'ilā occurs only as a fusha-loaning: 'ilā n-nās 'to the people' 2, 'ilà l-'äbäd 'for ever' 6. In the dialect it has lost the prothetic i, e.g.: là-hinắk là-cand el-hurme 'there to the woman' 2, lä-l-bâb 'to the door' 5, ruhit lä-žäddi 'I went to my grandfather' 1. As a proclitic, li-/la- is represented usually by la- or l(i)-, e.g.: lä-'ännu 'because' passim, li-'ännu id. passim, lêš? 'why?' passim, $l^{y}\hat{e}\hat{s}$? id. passim. As a separate word it is preceded by a prothesis: 'ili, 'ilik, 'ilik, 'ilu, 'ilhä, 'ilnä, 'ilku, 'ilhin (passim). After two consonants only the prothetic form appears if the suffix begins with a consonant, e.g.: qultílhä 6, qultílnä 15, qultílhin 6, qultílhin 11. 15, but if the suffix begins with a vowel, two rival forms occur, e.g.: qultilli, qultillak, qultillu passim, qultillik 4. 15; qutli 'you said to me' 7.9, qutlu 'he said to him' passim, gutlu id. 12.13, qutlak 'I said to you' 6. After one consonant the prothetic form appears usually if the suffix is consonantal, e.g.: häkētilku 'I told you' 1, qālatilnä 'she said to us' 6. Before a vocalic suffix no prothesis is usually pronounced, e.g.: smicitlak 'I heard for you (dat. eth.)' 2, bàžiblàk 'I'll bring you' 6.

DISCUSSION OF PROTHESIS IN LGAL.

A. Nomina

A cursory inspection is sufficient to observe a considerable irregularity in the preservation of the short vowel of the open pre-stressed syllable. No distinct group can be found where the loss of the vowel were complete, but it is relatively most frequent in the form $CiC\overline{V}C$ -, next in $CuC\overline{V}C$ - and last in $CaC\overline{V}C$ -. The basic reasons for the loss here remain inexplicable, but the present stage of the still operative development makes some diachronic considerations possible. Most probably the tendency towards the loss began in the group of words where it is most complete, i.e. i was dropped first, then u and finally a in some cases. This sequence is the same as the relative quantity of these vowels. The shortest is i, the longest a. The irregularity shows that the relative quantity cannot be the only factor which determines the course of the development. The other contributing forces are best traceable in separate cases.

1. CiCVC-

In most cases i is dropped in the words of the form CiCāC-. Some of the examples are classicisms or neoclassicisms and therefore have preserved the i. Such are $nik\hat{a}h$, $\dot{z}ih\hat{a}tku$, $liw\hat{a}'i$, and $qit\hat{a}r$, probably also $hil\hat{a}l$. The form $\dot{h}i\dot{z}\hat{a}r$ occurs twice in a story told with some effort to raise the style above plain colloquial, and is, then, suspect of being 'corrected'. The presence of i is difficult to observe in the words $\dot{b}^i y \hat{a}r$, $z^i y \hat{a}r a$, $r^i y \hat{a}d a$, $R^i y \hat{a}d$, and $s^i y \hat{a}si$ (Cl. $say\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}y$ -) where i and y have been melt together, y has lost its consonantal character, and no initial 'cluster' of two consonants is formed. In $ti\dot{z}\hat{a}ra$ the vowel is preserved, although the other words belonging to the same group have lost it: $ezr\hat{a}^c a$, $ifl\hat{a}ha$, $ehd\hat{a}de$ etc. If i were dropped here, too, the word would be $*d\dot{z}\hat{a}ra$, probably even $*\check{g}ara/\check{z}ara$ (cf. $\check{z}\hat{a}\check{z}$

¹ According to Jespersen (Phonetik, pp. 181f.) this was first proved by E. A. Meyer, Englische Lautdauer (Uppsala und Leipzig 1903), and *id.*, Zur Vokaldauer im Deutschen (Nordiska Studier tillegnade A. Noreen, 1904).

from Cl. $da\check{g}a\check{g}$ -). This might affect the intelligibility of the word, and to avoid this, i is preserved. It is interesting to find that i is not dropped in such a common word as $\check{s}im\hat{a}l$ (Cl. both $\check{s}im\bar{a}l$ - and $\check{s}am\bar{a}l$ -), and that the adj. fem. is $\check{s}\ddot{a}m\ddot{a}liyye$ 6. 15. 16, not $\check{s}im\ddot{a}liyye$. The question here is either of a gradation between a and i in different positions, or of an influence of the two alternatives $\check{s}im\hat{a}l/\check{s}\ddot{a}m\hat{a}l$ which prevents the loss of the vowel in one case only: $\check{s}m\hat{a}l/i\check{s}m\hat{a}l$, but $\check{s}\ddot{a}m\hat{a}l$.

2. CuCVC-

Among the few examples of the form $CuC\bar{a}C$ - two instances are found where u is preserved, in both of them before '. Here the laryngeal is, however, weakened to a mere syllable-boundary, i.e. the words are pronounced dissyllabic su- $\hat{a}l$ and Fu- $\hat{a}d$, not monosyllabic * $sw\hat{a}l$ and * $Fw\hat{a}d$. The weakening of ' between two different vowels in medial position has taken place before the loss of u in unstressed open initial syllables. Otherwise these words ought to be * $s'\hat{a}l/is'\hat{a}l$ and * $F'\hat{a}d/iF'\hat{a}d$, because ' is not weakened medially after a consonant, e.g. ' $\ddot{a}s'\ddot{a}l\ddot{a}k$ 'I'll ask you' 3, * $em'\dot{a}mmin$ 'confident' 1. After this weakening, u could only be preserved or become non-syllabic.

In the form CuCūC- the loss of u is highly irregular. Some of the examples, viz. $muh\hat{u}r$, $\check{s}ur\hat{u}t$, $fur\hat{u}d$, $`um\hat{u}r$, and $tuq\hat{u}s$, belong to a passage where the general tone is intellectual. Thus these words can be regarded as loans from fusha. Nevertheless, the remaining instances are not uniform. Most persistently u survives after w, and before and after laryngeals. In the former case C^1 tends to preserve its non-syllabic character. The latter case shows that the loss of u is advancing one step behind that of i, cf. $hur\hat{u}b$ and $huk\hat{u}me$, but $ehs\hat{u}n$, $ehk\hat{u}ye$ etc.

The diminutive forms drop the short u of the unstressed open initial syllable so regularly that exceptions are very rare. One of the reasons for this regularity is evidently that diminutive is a typically dialectal form, and therefore u is not reintroduced even in 'elevated' style. The personal name $Suh\hat{e}l$ is not treated as an

ordinary diminutive; in its feminine form $Suh\widehat{evla}$ one more 'classicism' can be found, viz. the pronunciation of the feminine ending as \dot{a} . In the two place names $l-iB^c\widehat{ev}ne$ and $l-l^c\widehat{ev}ze$, c occurs in two different positions, as C^2 and as C^1 . After a pause both of the words lose the u, and a new vowel is pronounced before CC- (lCuC-> lCuC-> lcucc-> lcuccc-> lcucc

3. CaCVC-

The short a in an open unstressed initial syllable is regularly preserved if the vowel of the stressed syllable is a. The only applicable reason for this preservation is the greater relative quantity of a compared with i and u. The drift towards a uniform nominal group ($\overline{\text{CVCVC}} > \overline{\text{CCVC}}/\overline{\text{CVCVCVC}} > \overline{\text{CCVC}}$) is not strong enough to eliminate the influence of this difference.

¹ In the map 1:100,000 Palestine (Sheet 3 Safad) the name of this tribe living east of cEilabun is cArab al-Wubeib, in the Name List of SWP (p. 123) cArab el-Waheib, 'The Wubeib Arabs'. The syllable wu- is dropped in cArab al-Heib (1:100,000 Palestine, between Lake Tiberias and Lake Hula), and the article has been understood as C¹ in cArab Luheib esh-Shemâlneh (North of Lake Tiberias, SWP Name List, p. 123), cArab Luheib al-Mureidat and cArab Luheib ar-Rusatima (in Upper Galilee near the Lebanese border, 1:100,000 Palestine, Sheet 3 Safad). Ashkenazi refers to two tribes, one in Lower Galilee, cArab el-Wheyb, and one in Upper Galilee, cArab el-Heyb (Ashkenazi, passim). Both tribes have come from Northern Syria.

² The same development has occurred in the forms halqêt (Bauer, Pal. p. 89 'fell.', pp. 202 and 209 Nablus), halkêt (Littmann, Volksp., p. 48) and hal'êt (Littmann, Volksp., p. 38, id. Jäger, p. 17) 'now', all from *hā-l-wuqayt. The intermediate form hälukêt, where u is dropped and w has become syllabic, appears in Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 58. Vide: Fischer, p. 146.

The loss of a in $nh\hat{a}r$ may be traced back to the use of this word in context. It appears often in idiomatic expressions like $t\hat{o}l$ $en-nh\hat{a}r$ and $l\hat{e}l$ $enh\hat{a}r$, where the syllable na- falls between two especially heavily stressed syllables in a close combination of words. In this position a is dropped, and the form $nh\hat{a}r/enh\hat{a}r$ is transferred to other contexts.

The reduction of $*d\ddot{a}\check{z}\hat{a}\check{z}$ to $\check{z}\hat{a}\check{z}$ is difficult to explain as having happened in LGal., because a is here preserved and \check{z} is pronounced without the dental element typical of \check{g} . It is more probable that the shorter form was developed in a dialect area where a was dropped, and the initial d concurred with the dental element of \check{g} . Another possibility is to explain it as a result of haplology: $d\ddot{a}\check{g}\hat{a}\check{g}$ (= $d\ddot{a}^d\check{z}\hat{a}^d\check{z}$) $> \check{g}\hat{a}\check{g}$. This new dialectal word $\check{g}\hat{a}\check{g}$ gained ground in area where a was preserved, and in LGal. it was pronounced $\check{z}\hat{a}\check{z}$.

In the nomina loci et temporis the prefix ma- has not lost its vowel in my recordings. One reason for that may be the fact that this vowel was originally long. More important is no doubt the concourse of these words with participles of forms II and III if the vowel were dropped.

The nouns of the form CaCīC- can be divided into two fairly distinct groups. If C^1 is a laryngeal, a post-velar or an emphatic (natura or positione) consonant, a is preserved, otherwise it is dropped. Because a is preserved in the nouns of the form CaCāC-irrespective of the quality of the preceding consonant, and, consequently, of the allophone of a, it is most unlikely to suppose that the case would here be different. The cause is certainly to be found in the influence of the following vowel, i.e. in the regressive assimilation of a to the \hat{i} of the stressed syllable. Thus it was actually i that was dropped. After laryngeals, post-velars and emphatic consonants no assimilation occurred.

¹ Cf. Brockelmann, GvG I, p. 180; »In den Dialekten war sie noch weiter verbreitet, bei den Tamîm soll jedes fa^cil , dessen 2. Radikal eine Laryngalis war, zu fi^cil geworden sein, wie im $ra^il_i > ri^il_i$ Dämon, Anm. zu B. Hišâm Sîra 188, 6 usw., und die Grammatiker tadeln Formen wie i^cir , rigif, bihima, si^cil . . .» The regressive assimilation occurs e.g. in Baghdad: ligil, riflig, ligil (Malaika, p. 18).

This was the case elsewhere, too, if a was pronounced back, as after w and in the word $daq\hat{i}qa$. Moreover the vowel is preserved in all the personal names, in the prefix ma- (cf. supra), and in loanings from fusha. The influence of fusha (probably e.g. $\check{z}\check{a}r\hat{i}de$, $\check{z}\check{a}m\hat{i}^ca$, $sah\hat{i}h$, $waz\hat{i}r$, $wad\hat{i}fe$, $wak\hat{i}l$, $ra\hat{i}s$, $tab\hat{i}c\hat{i}$) makes it unsafe to give instances where a is exceptionally preserved in the dialect, but examples of opposite cases are out of suspicion. Two of them are found in my recordings, $eth\hat{i}n$ and $iz\hat{g}\hat{i}r$ (Cl. $sa\hat{g}\bar{i}r$ -). Both remain inexplicable, because resorting to such isolated analogies as $\check{s}c\hat{i}r$ — $th\hat{i}n$ and $kb\hat{i}r$ — $z\hat{g}\hat{i}r$ is unsatisfactory.

In the form $CaC\bar{u}C$ -, \hat{u} has not such an assimilatory influence as \hat{i} in $CaC\bar{i}C$ -. An obstruction to the assimilation may be the resulting homomorphy with $CuC\bar{u}C$ -/ $CC\bar{u}C$ -. The group is, however, too small to draw safe conclusions.

4. The demonstrative pronoun hädåk(ä) and the adverb hunåk(ä)

The vowel of the initial syllable $h\ddot{a}$ - in the pronouns is etymologically long ($h\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ -). More problematic is the preservation of u in $hun\hat{a}k(\ddot{a})$. Blanc (Studies, p. 41) explains it more as a result of the extension of $h\hat{o}n$ on the analogy of $h\hat{a}d\ddot{a}$ vs. $h\ddot{a}d\hat{a}k/h\ddot{a}d\hat{i}k$ than as a preservation of Cl. u, while $hn\hat{a}k$ represents the classical $hun\bar{a}ka$. This explanation is most probably correct, although it does not solve the question of why i occurs for u in $hin\hat{a}k$.

5. Broken plurals of the form 'aCCāC-

In the dialect no difference exists between the forms $CiC\bar{a}C$ - and 'aCC $\bar{a}C$ - in cases where i is dropped and 'a not pronounced. The concourse is not complete, because i is preserved in a few words (cf. supra, pp. 79 f.). As for 'aCC $\bar{a}C$ -, the words occurring in my recordings with 'a are either loanings from fusha or have been pronounced as in fusha. The diphthong a plus C^1w/y is reduced to u/i as any else diphthong in the same position.¹ This is not, however, the case

¹ The general rules concerning the pronunciation of diphthongs in LGal. are: In a stressed syllable ending in or followed by a consonant, aw becomes δ and $ay > \hat{e}$ ($y\delta m$, $b\hat{e}t$; $cal\hat{e}$ because h follows in the speaker's consciousness).

in $iyy\hat{a}m$, $eyy\hat{a}m$ (not $iy\hat{a}m$), which begins with a diphthong. The initial vowel might be regarded either as a prothesis (*'aywām > 'ayyām > $iyy\hat{a}m$) or better as an intermediate form showing the dissimilatory development of 'aCCāC- to 'iCCāC- (> CCāC-).¹

6. The word ši | iši

Development from Cl. $\check{s}ay$ '- to $\check{s}i/\check{s}\bar{\imath}$ has evidently occurred in unstressed position (ay > i; cf. footnote pp. 83f.), e.g. in proclitica: $\check{s}i$ -mlih 'something good' 9, $\check{s}i$ - $kt\hat{\imath}r$ 'quite a lot' 6. One would expect the stressed form to be * $\check{s}ayy$, but instead, the unstressed form $\check{s}i/\check{s}\bar{\imath}$ is lengthened by a stressed prothesis: ' $i\check{s}i$. The main reason for this must be that because of the frequent use of this word as proclitic and, above all, as negative afformative - $\check{s}/-i\check{s}$, the connection with

If the word ends in a stressed diphthong, this is lengthened ('aww, laww, mayy) before § and a vowel. If the diphthong ay is preceded by c or h, the monophthongization is not complete ($\stackrel{\frown}{ce^y}n$, $\stackrel{\frown}{He^y}fa$). In an open syllable before stress, δ and \mathring{e} are shortened to o and e (yomen, beten). On the contrary, the non-monophthongized diphthongs aw and ay drop their first element in the same position (Tufiq, $ul\hat{a}d$, $iu\hat{a}m$, $\check{S}it\hat{a}n$). The classical diphthongs aw and ay are nowhere preserved unchangeable except if maintained by morphological systematization (mawlid, mawžid, ' $awsa^{ca}$).

New diphthongs are formed from \bar{a} plus w/y (näyme, rayhin, qaraybu, ṭawli), and from prothesis or anaptyxis plus w (l-iwlad, $b\bar{a}d^iw$). The new diphthong uy occurs in 'abuy, 'ahuy.

If the latter part of a diphthong is morphologically gemined, no monophthongization takes place (mäyylu, phonetically mäylu 'call on! (pl. 2.)' 6, baqawmak, phonetically baqawmak 'I let you get up' 5, 'awwal 'first' passim).

The dropping of the second element of diphthongs in proclitica (proclitic words) discussed by Vilenčik in Doklady Akademiji Nauk SSSR B (1927), pp. 157—161 and in MO 31 (1937), pp. 16f. (bat Ḥānna, mən ġar šārr, ḥar mənnak, ^An Tūrā, 'aš 'ādd; cf. Feghali, Kfar, p. 85, id., Syntax, p. 301, Blanc, Studies, pp. 46f.) occurs in my texts only in the word raḥ < rāyih; cf. Text No. 8 c, p. 160, line 14.

The only word where I have noticed the loss of i < ay is the personal name $Fr\hat{u}z$ Fayrūz which I heard almost daily in $Tur^c\hat{u}n$.

¹ Cf. Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 30.

*šay'- became looser. A new rival prothetic form was born, apparently after the negative afformative ($m\bar{a}$ biddak š \bar{i} > ma-biddakš; pleonastically ma-biddakš š \bar{i} > ma-biddakš iši).

B. VERBA

1. Perfect of stem I

The perfect forms (sing. and plur. 1. and 2.) of verba firma and C^3 infirmae in stem I are, as to the syllabication, similar to the nominal forms which begin with a short open unstressed syllable. In verba firma a is followed by a short-vocalic (a), in $C^3 w/y/$ by a long-vocalic $(ay > \hat{e})$ stressed syllable. In both cases a is preserved. Only a few exceptions occur, and for them no other explanation than analogy with forms beginning with Ci- can be given.

There is a substantial difference between the initial syllable Ciof perfect forms and the same initial syllable of nouns. In verbs, i is a result of regressive assimilation, and therefore it occurs only in dialectally pronounced words. This is one of the few cases where the exceptions are not suspect of being loans from fusha or of being pronounced as in fusha. Thus it can be safely stated that i is dropped everywhere, but, as in medial syllables, not after c (crifit). As for u, it occurs in perf. only in the passive, which is a practically extinct form in the dialect. In hliqit it is dropped, but in wulidit it is preserved, obviously for distinctness.

In the imperfect form $ya\check{g}i$ - the final 'was dropped after a long vowel¹. Then the long vowel was shortened, and the stress changed $yt\check{z}i$ (regr. assimilation, cf. infra, p. 87). Compared with the imperfect forms of verba firma (yiCCiC, yiCCaC, yuCCuC), $yt\check{z}i$ was too short, and it was lengthened: $yt\check{z}i$. Thus \check{z} became C², and a new

¹ Cf. e.g. šitā 'winter' 1. 2. 5. 6. 10. 13 (Cl. šitā'-), sämä 'heaven' 2. 3. 7. 9 (Cl. samā'-), häyā 'shyness' 2 (Cl. hayā'-), qúdama 'earlier (pl.)' 10 (Cl. qudamā'-), fugara 'poor (pl.)' 13 (Cl. fuqarā'-). Cf. Bauer, Pal., p. 62, Cantineau, Ḥōrân, p. 140, Abul-Fadl, p. 181.

 $^{^2}$ $\it{kûra}$ 'ball' 6 (Cl. $\it{kura-}$) and ' $\it{\hat{a}na}$ 'I' Abul-Fadl, p. 250, show that such

perfect 'äžä was formed. That the reason for this lengthening was indisputably the shortness of the word is clearly seen in the use of its perfect forms: 'äžä, 'äžät and 'äžu are never used without the prothetic lengthening, while in the other persons which have a long vowel after \check{z} , the prothesis is optional.

2. Stems V and VI

In classical Arabic besides taCaCCaCa and taCāCaCa forms beginning with a prothetic vowel are also used, e.g. issaqqaqa (Cor. 2, 69), itṭṭawwafa (Cor. 2, 153), iṣṣaddaqa (Cor. 4, 94), iddāra'a (Cor. 2, 67). These result from analogy with imperfect forms where the t of the prefix was assimilated into the dental or sibilant as C¹ (cf. Brockelmann, GvG I, pp. 530f.; Caspari—Wright, stem V: pp. 36—38, stem VI: pp. 38—40).

Naturally the same two forms ta- and it- have been used in spoken dialects. Thus it could be supposed that the forms beginning with it- are based on this old prothetic form (cf. Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 154; Hōrân, pp. 265—267). If so, it would not be easy to explain why exceptions occur when C^1 is c. Such a form as it^callam shows that the analogy — more probably the analogy with the modern dialectal imperfect and participle (yit^callem , mit^callem) than with the prothetic forms occurring when C^1 is a dental or a sibilant — plays an important role in the development of prothetic perfect and imperative forms. On the other hand, the examples ta^cal , ta^cassi , ta^cayytu , ta^callam etc. prove that the transition to the analogous prothetic forms is connected with the quality and quantity of a in ta-, i.e. before the first transitions a must have been shortened.

lengthenings are possible also without an analogy. For 'äżä-yîżi, cf. Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 181, and Abul-Fadl, p. 250.

¹ Thus Feghali (Kfar, p. 173) is right, in a way, when he explains t^c allem from ta^c allama. Cantineau rejects this definitely, and traces the origin of the prothetic forms to the old prothetic variant (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 154; Hōrân, 265-267).

3. Perfect and imperative of stems VII, VIII and X

The material is inadequate for a detailed discussion, and some instances are suspect of being learned borrowings, or are pronounced 'correctly' (cf. iṣtáṭaḥu and iḥtílfu). In all these stems the prothesis is facultative in the dialectally pronounced words. The use of a prothetic vowel seems to depend primarily on the sonority of the first consonant, i.e. in stem VIII it is used most often, in stem X most rarely, while in stem VIII any consonant may begin the word, and thus the prothesis obviously occurs before the most sonorous consonants and before laryngeals.

4. Imperfect of stems I C2 w/y, med.gem., 4-rad., II, and III

In imperfect forms of stems I (verba $C^2 w/y$), II and III the vowel of the prefix is dropped except the a of sing. 1. and before the laryngeal c as C^{1} . In stems II and III the dropped vowel is u, but in stem I the prefix vowel in Cl. is always a. Because this a in corresponding forms of verba firma has been changed either into i (yiktib, uifrah) or into u (yudrub), and is preserved only before c ($y\ddot{a}^{c\ddot{a}}rif$, yä^{cä}mäl), it is natural to suppose that here, too, the same change has taken place. In verba firma, the colour is determined by the following vowel: i-i, i-a, u-u. In the other stems only i occurs (yithaddat, yinibsit, yinibrud, yištri, yistaqbil). This proves that ya- has not become yi- due to regressive assimilation, but more probably due to the influence of y. The only case of regressive assimilation is, then, the change *yiCCuC > yuCCuC (cf. Text No. 2b 'elevated': yinfuh). In the verbs C^2 w/y the prefix formed a short open unstressed syllable, where i was dropped, and no intermediate stages with yu- $(*yuk\hat{u}n, *yur\hat{u}h)$ have existed. Usually both initial clusters, tC^1 and nC^{1} -, are preceded by a short prothetic vowel i. Because t is never more sonorous than C¹, the prothesis is not demanded by syllabication and, therefore, cannot be explained purely phonetically. The elision of -u before the prothesis in the sentence $\delta u \; kunt(u)$ itsawwu? shows that the prothesis often is a full vowel, but many examples can be found where it is omitted.

5. Imperfect with the prefix b(i)-

The imperfect prefix bi- occurs in an unstressed proclitic position and loses its vowel when immediately followed by a stressed syllable. After the loss of i, the two-consonant initial clusters b'-, bt-, by-, and bn- (>mn-) are formed. From these, bt- and mn- are optionally preceded by a prothetic vowel; ' and y are dropped, but the influence of y is often still audible in the following u (busuknu, buyutu). Before two consonants, i occurs in a closed syllable and is preserved (bitruh, minruh); if the prefix i-/y- follows, the resulting -iy- is shortened and often dropped (bi + iruh) > *biyruh > biruh/bruh), but never preceded by a prothesis.

6. Participles of stems II and III

The participles of stems II and III have lost the u of the prefix mu- in dialectal words. The influence of laryngeals as C^1 is not clear. They may have retarded the loss of the preceding u, but no safe instance can be found where the vowel is preserved, because all these cases are suspect of being learned borrowings.

C. Particula

The particle wa- is one of the few cases where the short a is dropped in an unstressed open initial syllable. The reason for the loss cannot be purely phonetic, although the position of wa- is usually proclitic. Such words as fa- and ka- have the same position, but they usually preserve their a. These two particles are, however, used much more infrequently than wa-, their meanings are more specialized and therefore more important for the communication. A similar difference exists between w-/u- and the swearing particle wa-.

The prepositions bi- and min lose their i in an open syllable. Followed by a personal suffix they are stressed and become lengthened $(b\hat{\imath}k, minn\hat{\imath}k)$. The long forms biyyi, $b\hat{\imath}k$ etc. are most probably analogical formations after fiyyi, $f\hat{\imath}k$ etc., but this development has presumably been aided by a wider group of lengthenings such as $minn\hat{\imath}k$, $cann\hat{\imath}k$, $qultill\hat{\imath}k$; $d\hat{\imath}mm\hat{\imath}k$, $id\hat{\imath}k$, $fimm\hat{\imath}k$.

The prothetic forms 'ili, 'ilak, 'ilu etc. are problematic. Because 'ilā loses its prothesis in an unstressed position and becomes proclitic la-, one would expect that before a personal suffix the prothesis would be dropped, too, and such long-vocalic forms as *lêy/leyyi, *lêk, *lêh, *lêhà would occur. In my recordings not a single instance of anything of this kind can be found. If the prothetic forms have come from $li\cdot/la$ -, one would expect also *'ibu, *'ibha, which I have not heard in LGal. Another possibility is lengthening of the vowel on the analogy of fîk, bîk: *lîk. Even this does not occur in LGal. The starting point of the prothetic forms must be an unstressed position of the preposition li-/la- plus a personal suffix after a verb ending in Ct, e.g. *qúlt-lana > *qúlt-lna > qultílnä; qālatílnä/qâlat 'ilnä etc. Before a vocalic suffix a three-consonant cluster was formed: qutt-li, qult-lak, qult-lik, qult-lu. In LGal. these clusters have been preserved (except -ltl-, where the first l is usually dropped), or longer forms -illi, -itlak, -illik, -illu are used on the analogy of -ilhä, -ilnä, -ilku, -ilhin. As independent phonetic words 'ili, 'ilak, 'ilu etc. represent usually the Cl. li-/la-, and more rarely 'ilā, but the prothesis is not a result of their contamination.

COMPARATIVE SURVEY OF PROTHESIS

A. Nomina

1. CiCVC-

In the whole Syro-Palestinian dialect area the nouns of the form $CiC\overline{V}C$ - have usually lost the short i of the open initial syllable. In Syria and the Lebanon this loss seems, according to different descriptions, to be more regular than in Palestine.

For Palmyra Cantineau gives $ls\hat{e}n$, $dr\hat{e}^c$, $ps\hat{a}t$, $fr\hat{e}s$, $\ddot{b}hm\hat{a}r$, $\ddot{b}hs\hat{a}n$ (Palmyre I, p. 180). The exceptional conservation of the vowel in $nek\hat{e}h$ (Palmyre I, p. 88) he attributes to the influence of written language. The same words are pronounced in $H\bar{o}r\hat{a}n$ $ls\hat{a}n$, $dr\hat{a}^c$, $bs\hat{a}t$, $fr\hat{a}s$, $hm\hat{a}r$, $hs\hat{a}n$ (Cantineau, $H\bar{o}r\hat{a}n$, p. 306), and the pronunciation in Jerusalem, Damascus, Aleppo, and the Lebanon is, according to

Barthélemy's transcription, by and large the same: $ls\hat{e}n$, $dr\hat{a}^c$, $bs\hat{a}t$, $fr\hat{e}s$, $hm\hat{a}r$, $hs\hat{a}n$ (Dict., s.v.).

The transcriptions of the dialects spoken in the Lebanon are here unusually uniform. Landberg marks the prothesis: $ahm\hat{a}r$ (Prov., p. 46), Mattson sometimes, e.g. $ehm\hat{a}r$ (Ṭūlit, MO 8, p. 63), but generally he omits it, e.g. $ls\hat{a}n$, $fl\hat{a}ha$, $zy\hat{a}ra$ (Études, p. 96). Fleisch transcribes the prothesis when it is most distinctly heard, e.g. $ahm\hat{a}r$ (Textes, p. 323), but usually he leaves it unmarked: $hm\hat{a}r$ (Zaḥlé, p. 83, Textes, p. 336). Feghali omits the non-phonemic vowels systematically, e.g. $kt\hat{a}b$, $ls\hat{a}n$, $ty\hat{a}b$, $rz\hat{a}l$ (Kfar, p. 90), $hm\hat{a}r$, $gr\hat{a}b$ (Chahrour, p. 77). The same phonemic system is followed by Nakhla: $rs\hat{a}le$ (p. 24), and el-Hajjé: $kt\hat{a}be$, $fl\hat{a}h\hat{a}$, $hs\hat{a}d$, $sr\hat{a}h$ (p. 54), $hm\hat{a}r$, and $sm\hat{a}l$ (p. 129).

The transcriptions of Palestinian dialects vary much more. Bauer states that »der in der $fi^e\hat{a}l$ -Form unterdrückte i tritt nicht selten als Vorsatzvokal wieder auf: $ilh\hat{a}f$ für $lih\hat{a}f$, $^ehm\hat{a}r$ für $him\hat{a}r$, $^erh\hat{a}m$ für $ruh\hat{a}m$ » (Pal., p. 50). Löhr, too, gives several alternatives, e.g. $his\hat{a}n$, $hs\hat{a}n$ and $^ahs\hat{a}n$ (p. 8), while Christie marks all these i-vowels by $s^ew\hat{a}$, e.g. $h^es\hat{a}n$ (p. 97).

Driver describes the reduction of the pre-stressed short open initial syllables in his article 'Linguistic Affinities' as "re-appearance" of the half-vowel corresponding to $\delta^e w \hat{a}$ in Hebrew...e.g. $h^i s \hat{a} n$, $ilh\hat{a}f/l^ih\hat{a}f$, $d^ir\hat{a}^c/idr\hat{a}^c$. This phenomenon is of regular occurrence 1. where a word begins with two consonants of which the first is vowelless, and 2. where a syllable begins with two consonants and immediately follows a closed syllable» (JRAS 1920, p. 306). This 'reappearance of the half-vowel' occurs in my recordings, too, but usually only in passages where the speaker is trying to 'elevate' his speech. When the vowel is really lost, there is, instead of inserting a šewâ-vowel between the two consonants, an active tendency to form a closed initial syllable by using a prothesis. Such forms appear everywhere in Palestinian dialect literature, e.g.: ihsâb (Baumann, p. 191), ilihmâr (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 6), ilihsân (p. 10), hal-ihčâi (Littmann, Volksp., p. 32) These forms in Palestinian Arabic are described most exactly by Ben Zeev (pp. 14, 68, and in the

texts), and Blanc (Studies, pp. 38 and 120 footnote 5), who deny the preservation of i in genuine dialectal words of the form $CiC\overline{V}C$ -.

2. CuCVC-

In Syria and the Lebanon the reduction of CuCVC- to CCVC- is as complete as that of CiCVC- to CCVC- as is proved by the best transcriptions, e.g.: $tr\hat{a}b$, $qm\hat{a}\check{s}$, $\dot{g}r\hat{a}b$, $sq\hat{a}q$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 180), $br\hat{u}d$, $nz\hat{u}l$, but $b\ddot{o}l\hat{u}\dot{g}$ (p. 182), $tr\hat{a}b$, $gm\hat{a}\check{s}$ (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 159), $tr\hat{a}b$, $\dot{g}r\hat{a}b$, $\dot{z}n\hat{u}n$, $rt\hat{u}bi$ (Mattsson, Études, p. 99), $hk\hat{u}me$, $s^c\hat{u}be$, $tr\hat{a}b$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.), $dh\hat{u}l$, $nz\hat{u}l$, $rk\hat{u}^c$, $jl\hat{u}s$, $s^c\hat{u}be$, $sh\hat{u}le$, $sh\hat{u}ne$ (el-Hajjé, p. 54); broken plurals: $hd\hat{u}d$, $zn\hat{u}d$, $gl\hat{u}b$, $dy\hat{u}l$, $sy\hat{u}f$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 85f.), $kf\hat{u}f$, $qd\hat{u}m$ (p. 182), $sh\hat{u}r$, $tr\hat{u}\check{s}$, $gr\hat{u}n$, $gr\hat{u}\check{s}$, $gl\hat{u}b$, but: $c\ddot{o}\check{g}\hat{u}l$, $c\ddot{o}d\hat{u}l$, $c\ddot{u}r\hat{u}g$ (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 311), $c^ay\hat{u}n$ (Tallquist, p. 131), $c^ay\hat{u}n$ (Tallquist, p. 131), $c^ay\hat{u}n$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.), $c^ay\hat{u}n$, $c^ay\hat{u}n$,

In the great majority of cases u is lost also in Palestine, e.g.: $itl\hat{u}^c$ (Spoer u. Haddād, ZS 4, p. 217), $fl\hat{a}n$ $ifl\hat{a}n$ $ifl\hat{a}n$ (Blanc, Studies, p. 83), $imr\hat{a}d$ (p. 103); $ikr\hat{u}\hat{s}$ (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 20), $ikf\hat{u}fi$ (Littmann, Volksp., p. 30), $ifl\hat{u}seh$ (Baumann, ZDPV 39, p. 175), $iqr\hat{u}n$ (p. 191), $by\hat{u}t$, $cy\hat{u}n$, $ml\hat{u}k$, $sh\hat{u}r$, $sd\hat{u}d$, $dy\hat{u}k$, $cl\hat{u}m$, $qr\hat{u}d$, $ty\hat{u}s$ (Ben Zeev, p. 68), dluwmi (Blanc, Studies, p. 41), ijyuwsak, nsuws (p. 84), mluwk (p. 100).

The lost or reduced u is transcribed by Driver by a small upscript vowel: ${}^{\prime}um\hat{u}r$ (Grammar, p. 13), ${}^{\prime}s^{uc}\hat{u}bah$ (p. 204). Löhr uses even a full vowel: $ruh\hat{a}m$ (p. 57; Barthélemy corrects it to $rh\hat{a}m$, $r^{\imath}h\hat{a}m$, $r^{\imath}h\hat{a}m$ in JA 10, p. 240). These examples are not, however, sufficient to show that u is not always lost in Palestinian dialect, but the fact that in Bauer's Pal. and Wbch u is now preserved, now lost, is worthy of being noticed. It is only a small step to the assumption that the transcriptions depend on chance, but examined word by word they show surprising conformity with the forms recorded for LGal. Thus,

u is preserved e.g. in the following words: wuhûš, succeive, hurûb, hudûd, hukûme, cuyûn, culûm, umûr, succeive (Wbch, s.v.), (hudûd), hurûf, cubûm, cusûs, umûr (Pal., p. 62); shortened or lost it is in mulûk, buyût, syûf, tlûc, tyûs, gdûd (Wbch, s.v.), mulûk, skûl, byût, dyûk, gfûfe, tkûme, (Pal., p. 62). Taking into consideration possible inaccuracies, the preservative influence of laryngeals, especially that of c, on the following vowel is evident.

In the diminutive form CuCayC- the loss of the vowel of the initial syllable seems to be regular everywhere in the Svro-Palestinian dialect area. The examples given by Cantineau for Palmyra show that u is regularly dropped: ${}^{\circ}Hs\hat{e}y^{y}en$, $Hl\hat{e}y^{y}ed$, $Sl\hat{e}y^{y}em$, ${}^{\circ}Hs\hat{e}n$ (Palmyre I, p. 187), zġayyer (pp. 187 and 200), öhsênei (p.201), $\check{c}b^w\hat{e}l$, $ql\hat{e}b$, $\check{s}b^w\hat{e}b$, $\check{s}w\hat{e}h$, $\check{c}m^w\hat{e}l$, $tw\hat{e}r$, $b^w\hat{a}y$, mrayye, $\check{s}w\mathring{a}y$, $mw\mathring{a}y$ (pp. 180f.), slêb (Palmyre II, pp. 67f.), Shêl (II, p. 106). Before h Cantineau has heard a prothetic vowel more distinctly than elsewhere, while before the other laryngeal, c, no prothesis is heard, but the vocalic element appears after it: casêr (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 313), cAbwêd, cačêl, cačêle (Palmyre I, p. 181). Barthélemy begins the diminutive forms systematically with CC-, e.g.: kwayyes, mwayy, mway, šwayy, djnêne, bhêra, Hsên (Dict., s.v.), but CoC-/CoC- if C1 is c: corêse, cotayy, although inconsistently: cbêd (Dict., s.v.). Elsewhere in the Lebanon and Palestine u is lost, e.g.: bsægni, bhægra, zġayypr (Mattsson, Études, p. 99), hsên, hsên (Löhr, p. 8), išweij (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 19), kweijis, šwoi, hleija, zģeijar, lseijin (Bauer, Pal., p. 56), ihṣêni, maij, šwaj, ğnêne, hrêbe, bhêra (Bauer, Wbch, s.v.), ishêl (Canaan, ZDPV 36, p. 297; Spoer u. Haddād, ZS 4, p. 217), išwâya, ikwayyisi (Blanc, Studies, p. 86), išwayy (p. 97). Only Christie inserts a $\check{s}^e w \hat{a}$ -vowel between C^1 and C^2 : $h^e s \hat{e}^i n \bar{\imath}$ (p. 97).

3. $CaC\overline{V}C$ -

A vast majority of words belonging to the form CaCāC- have preserved their short a in Syria and Palestine. Thus, Cantineau writes for Palmyra ṭålâq, čanâḥ (I, p. 180), zamện (II, p. 97), camâra (II, p. 99), and for Ḥōrân zamân, šäbâb (p. 300), ṣabâḥ, 'asâs (p. 301);

examples for Palestine are $zam \hat{a}n$ (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 18), $zam \hat{a}n$, $sal \hat{a}m$, $fal \hat{a}s$ (Blanc, Studies, p. 33), $har \hat{a}mi$ (Ben Zeev, p. 63), $har \hat{a}si$, $lay \hat{a}li$ (p. 69). Barthélemy also transcribes $s\ddot{a}l \hat{a}m$, $h\ddot{a}l \hat{a}m$, $z\ddot{a}m \hat{a}n$ (Dict., s.v.), but Driver does not seem to make any principal difference between a and i/u: $z^{\ddot{a}}m \hat{a}n$ (Grammar, p. 23), $har \hat{a}m\ddot{i}y$ (p. 203), $q^a t \hat{a}r$ (p. 204), even $ibn \hat{a}t/b^a n \hat{a}t$ (p. 18). Although Bauer writes (Pal., p. 50): »In allen drei Formen ($fa^c \hat{a}l$, $fi^c \hat{a}l$, $fu^c \hat{a}l$), besonders aber in $fi^c \hat{a}l$, wird der Vokal der ersten Silbe häufig verflüchtigt oder ganz ausgestossen: $s^a m \hat{a}l$, $l \ddot{y} \hat{a}m$, $n h \hat{a}s$, $n d \hat{a}fe$ », his texts show the general preservation of a: $s\ddot{a}l \hat{a}me$ (Pal., p. 170), $z\ddot{a}m \hat{a}n$ (p. 172), $\ddot{y}aw \hat{a}b$ (p. 174), $hal \hat{a}l$ (p. 188); $sal \hat{a}m$, $zam \hat{a}n$, $\ddot{y}aw \hat{a}b$, $hal \hat{a}l$ (Wbch, s.v.).

Most Lebanese dialects, too, preserve the a of the initial syllable: kawâm (Feghali, Chahrour, p. 77), kvlâm, svlâm, zvmân, tvmâm (Mattsson, Études, p. 95), kamâl, kalâm (el-Hajjé, p. 54), salâm, halâl, tamâm, zamân (p. 126). Only in the countryside of Northern Lebanon is a dropped. Feghali gives a rule for Kfar cAbīda (Kfar, p. 90): »En règle générale toute voyelle brève en syllable ouverte inaccentué disparaît purement et simplement dans notre parler.» The border between these 'parlers différentiels' and 'parlers indifférentiels' has recently been examined by Fleisch. According to his thorough study the line can be drawn approximately from Beirut to the east. On the northern side of this line a is frequently lost: zmân (Textes, p. 325, indiff.); in the south it is preserved: zamên (p. 359, diff.).

The two exceptions mentioned from LGal., $nh\hat{a}r$ and $z\hat{a}z$, have lost their a almost everywhere in the neighbouring areas: $nh\hat{a}rak$ (Bauer, Pal., p. 222), $nh\hat{a}r$ (Bauer, Wbch, s.v., Blanc, Studies, p. 33; Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 31), $nh\hat{a}r$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.), $nh\hat{a}r$ (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 301), $nh\hat{a}r$ (el-Hajjé, p. 129), $nh\hat{a}rak$ (Littmann, Volksp., p. 47), $nh\hat{a}rkon$ (Littmann, Hama, p. 47), $en-nh\hat{a}r$ (Bauer, Pal., p. 174), kull $in-nh\hat{a}r$ (Littmann, Hama, p. 31), $l\hat{e}l$ $u-nh\hat{a}r$ (Malinjoud, p. 318; Bauer, Wbch, s.v.), $t\hat{u}l$ $in-(n)h\hat{a}r$ (Ben Zeev, p. 51), $t\hat{u}l$ $enh\hat{a}r$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.); but n a $h\hat{a}r$ (Fleisch, Textes, p. 369, diff.) and n a $h\hat{a}r$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 180);

 $\check{g} a \check{g}$, $d\check{g} a \check{g}$ (Bauer, Wbch, s.v.), $d\check{z} a \check{z}$ (Mattsson, Études, p. 94), $dj\hat{e} dj$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.), $j\hat{a}j$ (el-Hajjé, p. 122), $\check{z} a \check{z}$ (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 31), $\check{z} a \check{z}$ (Fleisch, Zaḥlé, p. 83), ${}^e d\check{z} a \check{z} e$ (Fleisch, Textes, p. 326), $had - d\check{z} a \check{z}$ (p. 322), $il - \check{g} a \check{g}$ (Czapkiewicz, p. 19), $d\check{g} a \check{g} e$ (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 310), and $t\check{e} e e$ (Palmyre II, p. 73).

In all the Syro-Palestinian dialects part of the words belonging to the form CaCiC- lose the vowel of the initial syllable, while in the other part the vowel is preserved. The only exception is Kfar ^cAbida, where a is lost in all words (Feghali, Kfar, p. 90). Elsewhere the preservation of the vowel depends on the quality of C^1 .

Among the words where the loss is most common are ktîr, kbîr and mlîḥ. The loss seems to be complete in Palestine: ktîr, ektîr, iktîr (Bauer, Pal., p. 170 'städtisch'), iktîr (Littmann, Volksp., p. 34), ktiyr (Blanc, Studies, p. 32), ičtîr ('fell.', Bauer, Wbch, s.v.), itštîre (Bauer, Pal., p. 190 el-Ķubĉbe); even Christie who usually transcribes CeC- instead of (v)CC-, writes here ektîr (p. 90); kbîr (Bauer, Pal., p. 50), itšbîr (p. 188 el-Ķubĉbe), ičbîr ('fell.', Bauer, Wbch, s.v.), ičbîr (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 1), kbiyr (Blanc, Studies, p. 32); mlîḥ (Bauer, Pal., pp. 50 and 188), emlîḥ (p. 192), mlîḥ and mnîḥ (Bauer, Wbch, s.v.), mliyḥ (Blanc, Studies, p. 32). Similarly, the examples from the Lebanon and the biggest cities of Syria show a complete loss: ktîr (Fleisch, Zaḥlé, p. 83; id., Textes, p. 369; Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.); kbîr (Fleisch, Zaḥlé, p. 83; id., Textes, p. 363; Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.); mlîḥ (Fleisch, Textes, p. 369), mlîḥ and mnîḥ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.);

In the dialects of the fellaḥîn living east of Palestine proper and the Lebanon, the vowel is better preserved, e.g.: k^{a} tîr (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 163), but ktîr (p. 310); k^{e} tîr (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 77), but ktîr (p. 181); ketîr (Czapkiewicz, p. 20), but ktîre (p. 30); čäbîr (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 303), k^{e} bîr (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 77 and 181), k^{o} bîr (II, passim), kebîr (Czapkiewicz, p. 18; Hamarne, p. 179); m^{o} lîh (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 303; id., Palmyre I, pp. 64 and 77), melîhe (Czapkiewicz, p. 31), but u-mlîh (p. 31); melîha (Hamarne, p. 176).

According to these transcriptions the reduction is the strongest

in $k(a)t\bar{t}r$ -. Because C^1 is k both in $k(a)t\bar{t}r$ - and in $k(a)b\bar{t}r$ -, the reason for this slight difference might be found in the very frequent use of $k(a)t\bar{t}r$ - (cf. Cantineau, $H\bar{o}r\hat{a}n$, p. 310).

The fact that the vowel has often preserved its colour points to a less effective regressive assimilation in the last-named dialects. This difference appears also in the Egyptian province Šarqiyya, where Abul-Fadl gives for '1. Gebiet' $kat\hat{u}r > kit\hat{u}r > k^{\vartheta}t\hat{u}r$, for '2. Gebiet' $kat\hat{u}r$ (p. 285).

In the whole dialect area a is preserved after c, h, g, h, and q/'. In Damascus the vowel is dropped after all the other consonants in genuine dialect words, as is shown by Grotzfeld as a result of a detailed study (Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 103—106). Outside this group a is pronounced in several words, e.g.: barûd, başût, balûd; žarûde, žasûm, žalûd, žamûc; da'û a, dahûlak; ra'ûs, rabûc, razûl; zarûf, zacûm; sacûd; šarûca, šafû', šahûd; şahûh, şalûb, şabûye; ḍarûb; ṭabûh, ṭabûca, ṭarû', ṭawûl; zarûf; fa'ûr; kabûs, karûm, kafûl; latûf, lazûz; marûd, əlmasûh; natûže, nahûf, naşûh, naşûha; hadûye; wazûr, wazûfe; yamûn. However, Grotzfeld excludes all these words as fuṣḥa-loanings or reintroduced forms which have superseded the old dialectal pronunciation. This point of view cannot be overlooked when comparisons are made between the rules given by different authors.

According to Mattsson both natura and positione emphatic consonants keep the following a in the dialect of Beirut. The only exception mentioned is thin, besides which hesitation prevails between dahiyi and dhiyi (Études, p. 94). Among the Druzes of Galilee the situation is by and large the same: tawiyl, tabiyh, but thiyn, triys; dariyr, but deiyf, eid id-dhiyyi, in addition to which Blanc finds hesitation also outside these two groups of consonants, e.g.: 'imiyr/'amiyr/miyr, hidiyyi/hdiyyi (Studies, pp. 32f.). Mattsson has noticed the preservation of a also after y, w and ž (Études, p. 95), but in most cases the instances are learned borrowings. Blanc's only example for y is yamiyn (Studies, p. 33); for w he gives wsiye and wakil, for ž (j) jdiyd, jbiyn, jmiyli (p. 32). In Barthélemy's Dictionnaire the majority of forms follow the same rules, e.g.: carîs, halîb, ġarîb, hafîf, qarîb, sahîh ('true') and shîh ('entire'), dhîye, deîf, thîn, başîr,

başîţ, mrîḍ, nḍîf, yämîn/'əmîn/'emîn, usîc, uşîye, wakîl, waḥîd, jdîd, djbîn.

Thus, no considerable differences in this respect are to be found between the dialects described by Mattson (Beirut), Barthélemy (Aleppo, Damascus, Lebanon, Jerusalem), Blanc (Druzes of Western Galilee), and Grotzfeld (Damascus). The question is more of how carefully the recent loanings from fusha are excluded in different dialect studies.

Further examples from Palestine and the Lebanon show how difficult it is to draw any dividing line between these two areas on the basis of CaCiC- forms. In the 'différentiel' dialect of the Lebanese mountains a is preserved or shortened in bacide, becid (Fleisch, Textes, p. 359), but lost in the dialect of Palestinian fellahîn: bcid (Bauer, Pal., p. 188, el-Kubêbe); in Beirut a is preserved in marîd (Mattsson, Études, p. 95), similarly in the dialect of Galilean Druzes: mariyd (Blanc, Studies, p. 32), in LGal. now lost: imrîd, now preserved: marîd (supra, pp. 68 f.), but lost in Central and Southern Palestine: ilmrîd (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 10), mrîd (Bauer, Pal., p. 188, el-Kubêbe); in Tripoli a is preserved in madîne (el-Hajjé, p. 127), lost in Central Lebanon: *l-*mdîne* (Fleisch, Textes, p. 353, 'différentiel'), and regressively assimilated on the coastal plain near Jaffa: midîni, (Bauer, Wbch, s.v.).

4. The demonstrative pronoun hadâk(a) and the adverb hunâk(a)

The demonstrative pronoun has everywhere preserved its $a \ll \bar{a}$, whereas in the initial syllable of the adverb great variation occurs. In Damascus the vowel is lost: $hn\hat{i}k$, $hn\hat{i}ke$, seldom $hun\hat{i}ke$ (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 47), and $h\bar{o}n\hat{i}ke$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.); in Palestine the forms are similar to those used in LGal.: $hun\hat{a}k$, $hin\hat{a}k$ (Bauer, Pal., p. 88), $han\hat{a}k$ (Littmann, Volksp., p. 16), $hen\hat{a}k$ (Littmann, Jäger, p. 13); the Druzes of Western Galilee have both $hun\hat{a}k$, $hin\hat{a}k$ and $hun\hat{i}k$ (Blanc, Studies, p. 41), the $fellah\hat{i}n$ of Central Palestine $han\hat{a}k$ (Bergsträsser, Sprachatlas, p. 210, Tafel XLV, Karte 25; Bauer Pal., p. 180 Lifta), $han\hat{a}k$ (Bauer, Pal., p. 178, $B\bar{e}t\check{g}ala$). In the Lebanon a diphthong occurs: $havn\hat{i}k$ (Feghali,

Chahrour, II, 16; Barthélemy, Dict., s.v.); in Hōrân the forms are $h^o n\hat{a}k$, $h^o n\hat{a}ka$, $h\bar{o}n\hat{a}k$ (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 392).

The forms $hn\hat{a}k$, $hon\hat{a}k$, $hon\hat{a}k$ acome most probably from the Cl. $hun\bar{a}ka$; $h\bar{o}n\hat{a}k$, $hun\hat{a}k$ and $hin\hat{a}k$ from * $h\bar{a}hun\bar{a}ka$ (Fischer, p. 121), similarly also $hon\hat{a}k$, $hen\hat{a}k$. It is not impossible that $hin\hat{a}k$ ($hon\hat{a}k$, $hen\hat{a}k$) is an extension of $h\hat{e}n$ 'here' (cf. the pronunciation of diphthongs, supra, pp. 83f.), which is used on the coastal plain of Central Palestine (cf. Bergsträsser, Sprachatlas, p. 210, Tafel XLV, Karte 25). The short forms used in Damascus are most problematic. Grotzfeld derives them from $hawn\hat{i}k$ through $hun\hat{i}k$ (Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 47f.), but such a difference in the reduction of the unstressed initial syllable as this explanation involves does not exist between Damascus and the Lebanon. The forms corresponding to $hawn\hat{i}k$ are $h\bar{o}n\hat{i}ke$, $hun\hat{i}ke$ and $hun\hat{i}k$, and the further reduction of $hun\hat{i}k$ to $hn\hat{i}k$ is probably due to the analogy of $hun\hat{a}k > hn\hat{a}k$.

5. Broken plurals of the form 'aCCāC-

The development 'aCCāC- > ('iCCāC-? >) CCāC- has occurred in all the Syro-Palestinian dialects. It is true that most of the forms given by Bauer (Pal., p. 62) begin with a, e.g.: $a\check{s}h\hat{a}s$, $art\hat{a}l$, $anw\hat{a}^c$, $alw\hat{a}n$, $ahb\hat{a}r$, $awr\hat{a}k$, $ahw\hat{a}l$, $afk\hat{a}r$, $aky\hat{a}s$, $a\check{s}g\hat{a}l$, $arw\hat{a}h$, $amr\hat{a}r$, but these are suspect of being loanings from fusha, while $ul\hat{a}d$, $ibw\hat{a}b$ and $ihm\hat{a}l$ are certainly genuine dialectal forms. Likewise, Ben Zeev gives two kinds of examples: $wl\hat{a}d$, $zr\hat{a}r$, $bw\hat{a}b$, $ky\hat{a}s$ and $afk\hat{a}r$, $a\check{s}g\hat{a}l$, $anw\hat{a}r$, $ahb\hat{a}r$ (p. 68). Christie states the regular loss of the initial 'a- and transcribes the forms $b^ez\hat{a}z$, $z^el\hat{a}m$, $h^ew\hat{a}to$, $sn\hat{a}n$ (p. 90).

The concourse of CiCāC- and 'aCCāC- into CCāC- is practically complete in Beirut (Mattsson, Études, p. 96), in Damascus (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 30), in Palmyra (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 203), in Ḥōrân (Cantineau, Ḥōrân, p. 307), and scattered examples show that there are no noticeable differences in the whole area: tlât iyâm (Littmann, Hama, p. 28), talatt iyyâm (Blanc, Studies, p. 87), il-iyyâm (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 1), li-wlâd (Hamarne, p. 177), bi 'l-ewtâd (Czapkiewicz, p. 18).

6. The word ši/'iši

If the assumption is correct that the prothetic form has its origin in the pleonastic use of $\check{s}i$ after the negative afformative $-(i)\check{s}$ (supra, pp. 84f.), one would expect the form ' $i\check{s}i$ to occur in the dialect areas where this afformative is used. Actually this seems to be true. In the Lebanon, Damascus and Aleppo the negative afformative is not generally (cf., however, Nakhla, pp. 111 f.) used, and $\check{s}i$ has no prothesis: $\check{s}i$ (Feghali, Kfar, p. 278; Nakhla, p. 94; Grotzfeld, Lautu. Formenlehre, p. 52), $\check{s}i$ and $\check{s}i$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. $\check{s}yy$). On the other hand the afformative is general in Palestine, and both $\check{s}i$ and ' $i\check{s}i$ occur: $i\check{s}i/\check{s}i$ (Bauer, Pal., p. 77), ' $i\check{s}i$ (Littmann, Volksp., p. 17), ' $\check{s}\check{s}i$ ('Jerusalem', Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. ' $\check{s}y$), $i\check{s}i$ (Löhr, p. 8). This holds good also for $balg\hat{a}wi$: $i\check{s}i$ (Czapkiewicz, p. 8), $\bar{e}^i\check{s}e^i$ (p. 31; ' $ayy\ \check{s}ay'\ ?$).

In Ḥōrân the afformative -š is facultative (Cantineau, Ḥōrân, pp. 389f.), but the prothetic form eši occurs only in Ağlûn, 'sans doute pour allonger ce mot trop bref' (pp. 386f.), whereas in Palmyra -š appears in some idioms (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 225), and both šei and 'öšei are used.

B. Verba

1. Perfect of stem I

In the perfect form CiCiC- the vowel of the initial syllable is generally dropped in unstressed position. The two-consonant initial cluster is facultatively preceded by a prothetic vowel, e.g. lbeset (-et), lbesne (-na) (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 207), nsît, nsîna (Bauer, Pal., p. 33), fhimt, fhimna (Ben Zeev, p. 15), ifhémt (Christie, p. 90), irkibet (Landberg, Jeder tut, pp. 14 and 40), enzélt (Mattsson, Ṭūlit, MO 8, p. 36), msikt, msikno (id., Études, p. 98), nsît (el-Hajjé, p. 67; Fleisch, Textes, p. 352), § *rkəbna (Bloch u. Grotzfeld, 1,54), šrəbna, nsîna (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 56). Especially noticeable is the distinct prothesis occurring in Palmyra. The prothetic vowel is analogically transferred to sing. 3. masc., and the accent is un-

settled: 'éšreb/'ešréb, 'őrkeb/'örkéb, 'élbes/'elbés (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 102f.).

In the form CaCaC- the vowel of the initial syllable is preserved except in Northern Lebanon. In Kfar ^cAbīda this is in conformity with the statement that all the vowels of short open unstressed syllables are dropped (Feghali, Kfar, p. 90). In Tripoli *a* is preserved in the nominal form CaCāC- (supra, p. 93, el-Hajjé, p. 54), but, as a result of morphological analogy with CíCiC-CCiCt, dropped in the perfect: ktab³t, ktabnä (el-Hajjé, p. 39), rmât, wjatt (p. 67).

The prothesis in the perfect of $\check{g}y'$ has most probably first appeared in sing. 3. masc., where it occurs in most Syro-Palestinian dialects, e.g. $\ddot{a}\ddot{g}\ddot{a}$, $i\ddot{g}\ddot{a}$ (Bauer, Pal., p. 35; Wbch, s.v.), $\acute{e}\ddot{g}\bar{a}$ (Christie, p. 78), ' $a\ddot{g}a$, ' $e\ddot{g}e$ (Cantineau, Hōrân, pp. 245f.), ' $e\ddot{e}e$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 171), 'adja, 'adja (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. ' $a\ddot{g}y$, but $a\ddot{g}a$ in the Lebanon and Central Syria, s.v. $a\ddot{g}y$, ' $a\ddot{g}a$ (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 77), ' $a\ddot{g}a$ (Feghali, Chahrour, p. 78), ' $a\ddot{g}a$ (Feghali, Kfar, p. 157), ' $a\ddot{g}a$ (Mattsson, Tūlit, MO 6, p. 206), ' $a\ddot{g}a$ (el-Hajjé, p. 71). Similarly, pl. 3. is usually prothetic, but it must have been formed only after the prothesis had become established in other persons, at least in sing. 3. masc., as a result of the analogy $a\ddot{g}a\ddot{g}a$ (ef. Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 77).

When the personal ending is preceded by a long vowel, the prothesis occurs sporadically: \mathring{git} , \mathring{gina} (Bauer, Pal., p. 35, Jerusalem), \mathring{igit} , \mathring{igina} (ibid., Nablus), $dsch\hat{ina}$ (Canaan, ZDPV 36, p. 291), \mathring{gina} (Linder 1931, p. 119), \mathring{git} (Baumann, p. 172), ' \mathring{ijiyna} (Blanc, Studies, p. 83), \mathring{igit} (Saarisalo, p. 13; in the Arabic Appendix written with prothetic \mathring{alif}), ' \mathring{egit} , ' \mathring{egine} (-na) (Cantineau, Hōrân, pp. 245f.), \mathring{cit} , \mathring{cine} (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 171), \mathring{djit} , \mathring{djina} (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. ' \mathring{gy}), ' \mathring{zit} , ' \mathring{zina} (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 77), \mathring{zit} (Mattsson, Tūlit, MO 6, p. 206), ' \mathring{sjit} sing. 2. masc., \mathring{jit} sing. 2. fem., \mathring{jit} sing. 1., \mathring{jitu} , \mathring{jina} (el-Hajjé, p. 71), \mathring{zit} , \mathring{zina} (Feghali, Kfar, p. 160).

The most difficult obstruction in the way of the explanation given for the prothesis *supra*, pp. 85f., is the fact that the imperfect form has

a short vowel in a large part of Syria and the Lebanon, e.g. $y\acute{o}dji$, $t\acute{o}dji$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. $'\check{g}y$), byeji, bteji (el-Hajjé, p. 71), $bi\check{z}i$, $b\check{v}t\check{z}i$, $b\check{z}i$ (Feghali, Kfar, p. 160), $byo\check{z}i$, $bto\check{z}i$ (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 77), $i\check{c}e^i$, $ot\check{c}e^i$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 171). Schmidt and Kahle allude to the bipartite structure of \check{g} (= $d\check{z}$, $d\check{z}$) as the cause of the prothesis (I, p. 57*), but this explanation is not applicable if \check{g} is pronounced \check{z} . Cantineau regards the prothesis simply as a lengthening of the too short forms * $\check{c}e$, * $\check{c}o^u$ (Palmyre I, pp. 107f.), Feghali as a metathesis of the Cl. $\check{g}\check{a}'a$ (Kfar, pp. 157f.).

2. Stems V and VI

Usually the preformative ta- has lost its vowel. Through a purely phonetic shortening this could be possible only in the rural dialects of Northern Lebanon (e.g. in Kfar cAbida). Elsewhere a is preserved as a rule in open initial syllables when followed by a. The loss of the vowel must have been occasioned by analogy (supra, p. 86). An additional evidence supporting this assumption is the preservation of a in the imperative $ta^c\hat{a}l$, which occurs everywhere in Syro-Palestinian dialect area (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 171; id. Hōrân, p. 246; Landberg, Jeder tut, p. 14; Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. clw ; Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 77; Bauer, Pal., p. 35). If the loss were phonetic, the form should be $(i)t^c\hat{a}l$, but in the absence of imperfect analogy the vowel is preserved.

Such forms as t^ak ättäb (Driver, Grammar, p. 67) and $t^afaddal$ (pp. 178 and 204) are 'corrected'. The genuine dialectal forms begin with (i)tC-, e.g. itṭallac, tfaddal, tsäkkär, tšårak (Bauer, Pal., pp. 43f.), itqåtalu (Ben Zeev, p. 63), 'iṭṭallac (Blanc, Studies, p. 81), 'əṭṭallac, 'ṭṭallac (Mattsson, Études, p. 101), § otdabbərna . . . labên ma otdab-

¹ The explanation given by Czapkiewicz (p. 16) is definitely impossible: sin den Präfixen ta- im Perf. der V und VI Klasse und im mu- im Mittelwort aber nur der V Klasse, wandeln sich von Zeit zu Zeit die Selbstlaute in i um und überdies kommt in ihnen die Erscheinung der Metathesis vor und ta- wird zu it-».

bərlna (Bloch u. Grotzfeld, 1, 79), t°allam, tražža, t°âlaž, tlâ'a (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 81ff.), °tǧamma°, °ššarraf, tfarraǧ, tbârak, tbâ°ad, °ṣṣâlaḥ (Cantineau, Ḥōrân, pp. 265—270), °ṭṭållåq, °tţåḍḍål, °tţåddäm, °tšârak (id., Palmyre I, pp. 154ff.)

Most infinitives are learned borrowings and are therefore pronounced with the classical ta-. A form like tmöddon (Nakhla, p. 155) is exceptional, but its occurrence is out of suspicion. The same form is given by Barthélemy (Dict., s.v. mdn). El-Hajjé states (p. 91) the infrequent use of teollom in Tripoli where the infinitive of form II tollom is the equivalent (cf. Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 81f.).

3. Perfect and imperative of stems VII, VIII and X

According to different transcriptions the prothesis is heard more distinctly in Palestine and in the rural dialects of Syria than in the Lebanon and the Syrian cities. This difference may, at least to some degree, be attributed to disparities in principles of transcription. Thus prothetic forms are to be found e.g. in Palestine: ingarah. inšarab, inbasat, ihtálaf, iğtámac, ihtámm, istácğal, istácmal, istágrab (Bauer, Pal., pp. 45ff.), in Hōrân: enšarab, enkasar, enhabas (Cantineau, Hōrân, pp. 262ff.), öhtarag, öhtaram, öštaġal, öctamad, emtanac, entagal (p. 264; the full prothetic vowel suggests a relatively weak stress of the infix -ta-), estagbal, estacmal, estagrab (pp. 270ff.), and in Palmyra: engamac, öngåtåc, öftakar, estara, östaha, östaccel (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 152—157). On the other hand, no fixed prothesis occurs in Damascus: ndarab, štagal, stacžal (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 77, 79 and 83), in Beirut: nkasar, ktasar, staksar (Nakhla, pp. 120—127; cf. Mattsson, Études, pp. 96ff.), and in Tripoli: nkasår, ftakår, stahsän (el-Hajjé, pp. 98, 101 and 108). The infinitive forms are, however, prothetic, because they are not dialectal: 'əndirâb, 'əštiğâl (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 77 and 79), enftâh, ejtmâc, estehsân (Nakhla, p. 155), 'eftikâr, 'əstəhsän (el-Hajjé, pp. 102 and 108).

4. Imperfect of stems I C²w/y, med.gem., 4-rad., II, and III

In stem I, the short i (< a) of the prefix still appears in some dialects of the discussed area. Thus, the Bedouins living in the eastern and southern deserts of Transjordan say $yig\hat{u}l$ (Cleveland, p. 57), but also in Mādabā i is heard: $jik\hat{u}n$ (Czapkiewicz, p. 19), $yik\hat{u}n$ (Hamarne, p. 176). Elsewhere it is dropped, and a prothetic vowel precedes facultatively the two-consonant initial cluster.

BAUER transcribes the prefix of stems II and III ji-: jibarrir, ji'ākkid, jiṣawwir, jirabbi, jinâdi (Pal., pp. 40f.). This suggests the change of yu- into yi- and the preservation of the vowel. Actually the preformative yu- has lost its u, and Bauer's transcription is most probably a practical solution in order to avoid three different preformatives yi-, y- and i. This becomes obvious from the transcription of 2. persons: tfahhimni (Bauer, Pal., p. 136), it'akkidli (p. 138), thallṣîni (p. 174), thatthi (p. 186).

The forms given by Christie for Galilee (terûḥ, jebajjin; p. 98) are synchronically incorrect.

Imperfect with the prefix b(i)-

When the prefix b(i)- is followed by tC^1 - or nC^1 -, no initial cluster is formed, but if t or n plus a vowel follows, the word begins with bt-, mn- preceded by a facultative prothesis, e.g.: btiktib, mniktib (Bauer, Pal., p. 21), 'ibtiyji (Blanc, Studies, p. 100), $ibta^crif$, imninjah, imninidbih (p. 86), $ibtisma^c$ (Littmann, Volksp., p. 14), ibtiswa (p. 32), (i)btimsik (Jerusalem), btimsik (Syria) (p. 10), bti^cirfeh (Baumann, p. 163), ptöktob, mnöktob (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 219), ptoktob, bnoktob (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 132), ibtij (Littmann, Hama, p. 43), btij mninimal bij (Grotzfeld, Laut, u. Formenlehre, p. 58), btektob, mnektob (el-Hajjé, p. 47).

The cluster bC^1 - occurs in sing. 1. in dialects where the preformative 'a- is dropped in an open syllable: $b\dot{p}\partial tt$ (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 58), $bfatte\check{s}$, $br\partial dd$, $b\dot{q}all$, $b\check{s}\hat{u}f$, $b\hat{q}r$, $bn\hat{a}m$, bbasmer (Grotzfeld, Grammatik, pp. 108—113), $br\hat{u}\dot{p}$ (Mattsson, Études, p. 102),

 $bk\hat{u}n$ (Nakhla, p. 109), $b\mathring{s}\mathring{u}f$, $b\mathring{r}\mathring{l}b$, $bb\hat{a}n$ (el-Hajjé, p. 65), $b\mathring{s}\mathring{u}m$, $b\mathring{c}\mathring{i}\mathring{s}$ (Feghali, Kfar, pp. 147f.).

When iC^1 plus a vowel (yCV-) in sing. and pl. 3, masc, is preceded by b(i), the vowel of the initial syllable is preserved in Jerusalem and the surrounding countryside: bihutt, bihuttu, bišidd, bišiddu (Bauer, Pal., pp. 23f.). In Damascus the vowel is usually heard short: bihətt, bihəttu, but hesitation occurs between i and i (i: phonemically long, positione half-long or short; Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, pp. 58f.). For the Lebanon mostly short-vocalic transcriptions are given: bikûn, bikûnu (Nakhla, p. 109), bišûf, bijîb, binâm (el-Hajjé, pp. 64f.), bikallem (p. 73), bisûm, binâm, bicîš (Feghali, Kfar, pp. 147f.). This preservation of a historically long vowel $(bi + y - > b\bar{\imath})$ seems quite natural. In LGal., however, forms like brûh, brûhu, bsîr occur (supra, p. 75). Examples of such a loss can be found elsewhere, too, e.g. in Jerusalem: ibfûtu (Littmann, Volksp., p. 14), and in Galilee: *lli-bsuknu* (Blanc, Studies, p. 101). In Hōrân the loss is not complete: böšedd (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 227), $b^i \hat{s} \hat{u} f$ (p. 240), $b^i \hat{s} ammes$ (p. 248), but in Palmyra even b may be assimilated into the following consonant: bbîc, ffût, !tîb, mmût (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 43 and 165), pšedd (p. 160), psakker (p. 148).

The comparison of the nominal forms CVCVC- (supra, pp. 89 ff.) shows that $b\bar{\imath}$ ->bi- has hardly lost its historically long vowel in these dialects while the dialects spoken in the Lebanon and in Syrian cities have preserved it as short or half-long. The explanation of this difference must be found elsewhere. A further comparison shows that when the initial syllable is shut, y is pronounced after b- in the dialects where $i/\bar{\imath}$ is preserved. e.g. bjiktib/biktib (Bauer, Pal., p. 21), $byo\bar{s}rab$ (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 58), byektbu (Nakhla, p. 24), byektob, $bye\bar{s}rab$ (el-Hajjé, p. 47). Elsewhere it is dropped: bimsik (Littmann, Volksp., p. 10 'Jerusalem'), $bim\bar{s}i$ (Blanc, Studies, p. 83), $b\bar{o}ktob$, $belb\bar{a}s$ (Cantineau, H $\bar{o}ran$, p. 219), $b\bar{o}ktob$, $be\bar{s}rab$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 132f.). Thus it can be supposed that in the latter dialects the morphemic character of y- has been weakened, and y/i could be dropped (b-iCV->bCV-), but elsewhere it has been preserved for its more distinctive

character (sing. 3. masc. $bik\hat{u}n$, sing. 1. $bk\hat{u}n$; in Palestine, Hōrân, Palmyra: sing. 3. masc. $bk\hat{u}n/bik\hat{u}n$, sing. 1. $b\ddot{u}k\hat{u}n$). The forms bC- and biC- may also differ historically. The use of the prefix b(i)-might be younger in the dialects where i is dropped (b+iC->bC-, and not $bi+iC->b\bar{c}C$ -). This assumption finds support especially in the fact that in Palmyra the preposition bi- has a long vowel in analogy with fi (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 228). Furthermore, both Palestine and Palmyra are bounded from the east by dialects where the prefix b(i)- is not used (cf. $yig\hat{u}l$, Cleveland, p. 57; Malaika, p. 80).

6. Participles of stems II and III

The preformative mu- has regularly lost its vowel in all the dialectal words. The initial cluster mC^1 - is facultatively preceded by a prothesis. Some exceptions are found, e.g. ma^eal^lme (Fleisch, Textes, p. 341), but most of them are either learned borrowings or 'corrected' forms, e.g. $mus\hat{a}^eade$, $min\hat{a}sibi$ (Czapkiewicz, p. 28), $m^esahhar$, $m^efarral$, $m^ebajjin$ (Christie, p. 98), mukättib/mkättib/imkättib (Löhr, p. 8), mu'äkkid (Bauer, Pal., p. 40), m^u/im - (Driver, JRAS 1920, p. 311).

C. Particula

The conjunction wa- has most probably lost its a at an early stage. Before two consonants, especially before a definite article plus a consonant, it is pronounced wu- (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 231; Ḥōrân, pp. 403f.; el-Hajjé, p. 174), before one consonant u- and before a vowel w- (passim). The conjunction fa- often occurs, according to Bauer, in the artistic colloquial (Pal., p. 95) while Schmidt and Kahle observe its absence from the genuine dialect (I, p. 89*). Similarly, ka- belongs to the literate language, although traces of both these particles have remained in dialect: $f\hat{e}n$ (fa-'ayna, Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. fyn), $k \ni nni$, $k \ni nno$, $k \ni nnak$ (ka-'anna-, Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. knn).

As a proclitic preposition used with a noun, the classical bi- is

represented throughout the area by b- except in Palmyra, where the vowel is lengthened analogically with fî, which is not used (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 228). A prothesis is often heard before bC-, e.g. bkut-tên (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 36), ibdōro (Littmann, Volksp., p. 19), ibyōmên (p. 16), ib-matlîk (Littmann, DI, No. 303), 'ib-nâr (Blanc, Studies, p. 77), ebbêtna (Malinjoud, p. 278), bbæṣrût (Mattsson, Études, p. 101).

Used independently with a pronominal suffix, b is most often followed by a short vowel or a consonant: bîji (bîni), bak, bik, bo, biha, bina, bikun, bihum (Bauer, Pal., p. 85), bni, bak, bek, bu, bä, bnä, bkon, bon (el-Hajjé, p. 172), bani, bak, baki, bo, baha, bana, bakon, bahon (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 129). A lengthening, as in LGal., occurs in Hōrân: bîye, bîk, bîč, bîh, bîhe (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 398). Bauer gives two prothetic forms ibo and ibha as used by fellahîn (Pal., p. 85).

The Cl. preposition 'ilā has dropped its short vowel and occurs in proclitic position. Before one consonant it is pronounced la-, before two consonants la-/la-, e.g. lähôn, läǧâi, lahunâk, labarra, laǧûwa (Bauer, Pal., p. 88), la hôn, la ġâd (Cantineau, Ḥōrân, p. 399), la-hōn (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 230), la cand (II, p. 31), laḥalab, lacando (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. l), läz-zlâm, lal-carûs (Bauer, Pal., p. 192), ləlbalad (Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 127; cf. Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. l). Followed by a pronominal suffix this preposition is found e.g. in Tripoli: läyyi, läk, läyki, lâ(h), läyhä, läynä, läykon, läy(h)on (el-Hajjé, p. 174), and rarely in Ḥōrân: leyye, lêi, lêha (-he), lêna (-ne), lêkom (-ko), lêken (-čen), lêhom, lêhen, but 'elak, 'eleĕ, 'elo (Cantineau, Ḥōrân, p. 398).

The Cl. preposition *li*- has lost its vowel in an open unstressed syllable, e.g. *lêš* (Bauer, Pal., p. 86; Grotzfeld, Laut- u. Formenlehre, p. 51; Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. 'yš), *lâš* (el-Hajjé, p. 165). On a syn-

chronic level, the preposition is la-. This is seen, for instance, in the difference between $l\hat{e}s$ 'why?' and la-' $\hat{e}s$ 'what for?' (cf. Bauer, Pal., p. 86), and even more distinctly in the pleonastic expressions $lal\hat{e}s$ (Schmidt u. Kahle I, p. 102), $lel\hat{e}s$ (Cantineau, Palmyre I, pp. 221 and 231).

After a verb ending in one consonant the Cl. la- plus a pronominal suffix occurs without a preceding vowel in Jerusalem: -li, -lak, -lik, -lo, -laha, -lana, -lakun, -lahun (Bauer, Pal., p. 85), and when the suffix begins with a vowel, in Ḥōrân, Palmyra and Tripoli: -li, -lak, -leč, -lo (Cantineau, Ḥōrân, p. 398), -lei, -lek, -le(h) (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p. 230), -li, -lak, -lek, -lu, -lä, -lon (el-Hajjé, p. 173).

After a verb ending in two consonants an anaptyctic vowel is used, and l is gemined before a suffix beginning with a vowel: $dj \partial bt$ illak, $g \partial l d l l o$, $z \partial h d l i$ (Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. l).

As an independent word with a pronominal suffix the Cl. preposition li-/la- is as a rule preceded by a prothesis: ili, ilak, ilik, ilo, ilha, ilna, ilkun, ilhun (Bauer, Pal., p. 85), 'eli, 'elak, 'eleč, 'elo, 'elha (-he), 'elna (-ne), 'elkon (-ko), 'elkenn (-čenn), 'elhom, 'elhomn (Cantineau, Hōrân, p. 399), 'ele-, 'el- (Cantineau, Palmyre I, p 229), 'əli, 'əlak, 'əlek, 'əlo, 'əlha, 'əlna, 'əlkon, 'əlhon (Grotzfeld, Lautu. Formenlehre, p. 127), 'əli, 'əlak, 'əlek, 'əlu, 'əlä, 'əlnä, 'əlkon, 'əlon (el-Hajjé, p. 173; cf. Barthélemy, Dict., s.v. l).

Synchronically ' $il\bar{a} > la$ - and li-/la- are one preposition la- (la-). The prothetic forms 'ili, 'ilak etc. are better explained as having developed from the cases where a stressed anaptyctic vowel occurs ($qultiln\ddot{a}$, $q\hat{a}latiln\ddot{a}$), than as a contamination of ' $il\bar{a}$ and li-/la-.